

# EmbeddedMontiArc: A Implementation Case Study

Haller, Heithoff, Sezer

*RWTH Aachen*

---

## Abstract

(Abstract by Philipp Haller) The magnitude and quantity of software projects rises constantly, as software development needs spread among scientific and technical disciplines. Domain Specific Languages (DSLs) show to provide solutions for specialized contexts. EmbeddedMontiArc is a DSL for cyber physical systems. This paper represents a case-study, evaluating the ease of use and reusability of EmbeddedMontiArc for reactive systems by presenting models for the games Pacman and Supermario. Games are highly reactive systems where entities controlled by the player react to a changing environment and try to reach goals, thus can provide a good testing ground for actual systems. From the models presented it is concluded that EmbeddedMontiArc is suitable for cyber-physical systems, but still not flawless.

*Keywords:*

---

## 1. Introduction

The magnitude and quantity of software projects rises constantly, as software development needs spread among scientific and technical disciplines. Since not all languages are suitable for all occasions and others may provide too much features to be efficient for a specific purpose, Domain Specific Languages (DSLs) are developed. DSLs are languages tailored specifically to a certain objective. EmbeddedMontiArc, a specific DSL for cyber-physical systems is evaluated in this paper. It will be introduced in more detail in section ?? together with the used tools. This section forms a general introduction and will present the research questions. Thereafter the approach will be presented in section ??. Section ?? depicts the simulator integration and the developed models. In section ?? the evaluation is presented, concluded by a conclusion in section ??.

### 1.1. Research Questions

In the following the scope of this paper will be presented by formulating the research questions.

- RQ1: Is EmbeddedMontiArc suitable for other systems?
- RQ2: Is it possible to integrate other simulators in a recent amount of work?
- RQ3: What kind of background knowledge is needed to model C&C in EMA?
- RQ4: What features are good and what are not suited?

## 2. Context (by Philipp Haller)

The following section consists of three parts. The first one is a brief introduction to C&C models. The tools used for this study follow up second. Lastly, the used case study method is presented.

### 2.1. C & C models

In the following a short introduction in Connector and Component (C&C) model based software development is given. C&C modeling divides a task into Components and Connectors.

A *Component* represents a computation. It has predefined inputs and outputs, where the output data is obtained by some kind of mathematical transformation of the input data. A *Connector* represents interaction mechanisms by connecting outputs with inputs. By making this division, the paradigm ensures modularity and therefore reusability. It can be used for modelling software with high demands for testing and verification such as software for self-driving vehicles [1][2]. Another benefit is that a graphical representation is always possible and more efficiently obtainable compared to other text based development, especially non model driven development. The structure of C&C models also benefits code generation techniques in order to transform models into source code for various target systems. Well established examples of C&C modeling and development are SysML[3], AADL[4], Simulink[5] and Labview[6]. The latter two are used in the automotive domain to model behaviour of Electronic Control Units (ECUs) and test their functionality.

### 2.2. MontiCore and EmbeddedMontiArc

MontiCore[ref], MontiCAR[ref] and EmbeddedMontiArc[ref] are tools developed by the Chair of Software Engineering of RWTH Aachen University[7]. *MontiCore* is a language workbench intended for agile and model-driven software development. Its primary objective is to enable efficient development of Domain Specific Languages (DSLs) which enhance the development process for Domain Experts. *MontiCAR* is a composition of such DSLs, used as a language set for Cyber-Physical Systems [8]. Figure 1 shows the DSLs which are part of MontiCar and their respective connections. The components directly used in this studies implementation are EmbeddedMontiArc, EmbeddedMontiArcMath and Stream. *EmbeddedMontiArc* represents the core language of MontiCar. It implements a C&C DSL which can be used to write C&C models, verify, test and deploy them to another architecture. Due to its modularity different simulators and Stream tests can be integrated. See the chapter Modelling for more information. Figure 2 depicts a usage of the EmbeddedMontiArc DSL.

*EmbeddedMontiArcMath* is a DSL for implementing mathematical expressions, thus used for transforming the input values of a Component into its output values. It is also able to declare other variables than the defined inputs and logical structures like if-statements and loops. Example usage of EmbeddedMontiArcMath is shown in figure 3 .




Figure 1: Composition of MontICAR language family[8]

```

component PacManControllerSimple {
  ports
    in Z(0m: 342cm) ghostX[4],
    in Z(0m: 426cm) ghostY[4],
    in Z(0 : 1 : 3) ghostDirection[4],
    in B ghostEatable[4],
    in B ghostEaten[4],
    in Z(0m: 342cm) pacManX,
    in Z(0m: 426cm) pacManY,
    in B pacManEaten,
    in Z(1:00) pacManLives,
    in Z(0:00) pacManScore,
    in Z^{22,19} map,

    out Z(0 : 1 : 3) newPacManDirection;

  instance Fallback fallback;

  connect fallback.out1 -> newPacManDirection;
}

```

Figure 2: Example Component with Connectors

65 The *Stream* DSL allows to implement test cases by defining the expected output values for a given input. Multiple values can be tested in one Stream test, as shown in figure 4 which shows an example stream test for a sum function.

### 2.3. Performing a case study in Software Engineering

70 This study roughly follows the guidelines stated by Runeson and Hoest [9] by presenting the objective, the specific case, method and acquiring both quantitative and qualitative data. Quantitative data is acquired by asking a set of predefined questioned and answering them on a scale from 1 to 10. The qualitative data is obtained via requiring subjects to formalize how they gave the quantitative rating. The quantitative data is analyzed by calculating the mean of each question, and the quantitative by summarizing the subject's writings.

```

component NearestGhost {
  ports
    in Z(0cm: 342cm) ghostX[4],
    in Z(0cm: 426cm) ghostY[4],
    in Z(0cm: 342cm) pacManX,
    in Z(0cm: 426cm) pacManY,

    out Z(0:1:3) nearestIndex;

  implementation Math {
    Q min = 3430;
    Z index = 0;

    for i = 0:4
      Q distX = ghostX(i) - pacManX;
      Q distY = ghostY(i) - pacManY;
      if (distX < 0)
        distX = distX * (-1);
      end
      if (distY < 0)
        distY = distY * (-1);
      end
      Z dist_sqr = distX + distY;
      Q dist = sqrt(dist_sqr);
      if(dist < min)
        min = dist;
        index = i;
      end
    end

    nearestIndex = index;
  }
}

```

Figure 3: Example EmbeddedMontiArcMath implementation

```

stream Sum for Sum {
  t1: 1 tick 2 tick 3;
  t2: -1 tick 0 tick 10;
  result: 0.0 +/- 0.01 tick 2.0 +/- 0.01 tick 13.0 +/- 0.01;
}

```

Figure 4: Example Stream implementation]

### 75 3. Approach

To address **RQ1** and **RQ3** two groups were assigned the task to model a Controller for Pacman and Supermario respectively and interview the results afterwards. The first group (Pacman) consists of a subject who is familiar with EmbeddedMontiArc and the second group (Supermario) consists a subjects  
80 who have no experience with EmbeddedMontiArc. These groups were selected random among the students of a computer science seminar.

#### 3.1. Stream Testing (by Heithoff)

EmbeddedMontiArc comes along with stream tests in order to check a component against a condition as stated in the previous chapter. We can use those  
85 tests to define the conditions the controllers need to fulfill. Those conditions are taken from use cases scenarios. For Pacman the most general acceptance test would be to never let the Pacman die. Due to the fact that stream tests cannot be defined unlimited and that this test might be hard to fulfill the following deterministic tests for Pacman and Supermario were defined.

90 *3.1.1. Pacman (by Heithoff)*

The tests are taken from use case scenarios as stated before. In this section the process of deriving the stream test from a scenario is presented once and then a few conditions are framed.

95 *Deriving a Stream Test*


In fig. 5 a scenario is shown where the only option for Pacman is to flee to the left in order to not collide with the pink and blue ghost. The values of the ghosts and Pacman are partially listed in listing 1. Together with the other values this concludes to the stream test shown below 2.

Listing 1: Values for the stream test

100	(a)
	Pacman: (15m, 17.2m)
	Pink Ghost: (17m, 19m)
	BlueGhost: (15m, 14.8m)
105	newDir: 0
	(b)
	Pacman: (15m, 17m)
	Pink Ghost: (16.8m, 19m)
	BlueGhost: (15m, 15m)
110	newDir: 0
	(c)
	Pacman: (14.8m, 17m)
	Pink Ghost: (16.6m, 19m)
	BlueGhost: (15m, 15.2m)
115	newDir: 2
	(d)
	Pacman: (14.6m, 17m)
	Pink Ghost: (16.4m, 19m)
	BlueGhost: (15m, 15.4m)
120	newDir: 2


Listing 2: Stream test for the scenario above

	<b>package</b> de.rwth.Pacman;
	<b>stream</b> Test1 <b>for</b> PacmanWrapper {
125	ghostX: [5.4m,15m,17m,7m] <b>tick</b> [5.2m,15m, ...
	ghostY: [21m,14.8m,19m,17.2m] <b>tick</b> [21m,15m, ...
	ghostDirection: [2,1,2,1] <b>tick</b> [2,1,2,1] <b>tick</b> ...
	ghostEtable: [false, false, false, false] <b>tick</b> ...
	ghostEaten: [false, false, false, false] <b>tick</b> ...
130	PacmanX: 15m <b>tick</b> 15m <b>tick</b> 14.8m <b>tick</b> 14.6m;
	PacmanY: 17.2m <b>tick</b> 17m <b>tick</b> 17m <b>tick</b> 17m;
	PacmanEaten: false <b>tick</b> false <b>tick</b> false <b>tick</b> false;
	PacmanLives: 3 <b>tick</b> 3 <b>tick</b> 3 <b>tick</b> 3;



(a)

(b)



(c)

(d)

Figure 5: Pacman has to move left to avoid colliding with the ghosts

135

```
PacmanScore: 0 tick 0 tick 0 tick 0;
map: [0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0; ...
newPacmanDirection: 0 tick 0 tick 2 tick 2;
```

}

### *Some other tests*

140 To formulate just some tests, here are a few examples:

- If Pacman is located at an intersection and ghosts are coming from two sides, Pacman should walk to a safe path.
- If Pacman is located at an intersection and ghosts are at the top path and are all eatable, Pacman should walk this path.
- 145 • If Pacman is located at an intersection and there are ghosts from 3 directions and in the other direction there is a ghost facing away from Pacman, Pacman should walk this direction.
- If there are no ghosts nearby, Pacman should walk the direction with the largest biscuit/coin value.

150 Those scenarios can be tested easily within a few ticks via stream testing.

### *3.1.2. Supermario (by Philipp Haller)*

The goal for the Supermario model is to solve a level successfully. The first level was chosen since it provides a diverse environment with different enemy types and obstacles, while not being too skill intensive to solve. Prior to modeling some assumptions were made to fulfill time and complexity constraints. 155 Only a fixed number of enemies and obstacles in the path of the player are considered in order to ensure a static input size. For this number, five has proved to be sufficient for the first level and the implemented strategy. There are rarely more than 3 enemies in scene. For the same reason only the next hole in the ground is considered. 160 In order to develop the model, different situations were assessed and according tests derived. Both the scenarios which a Supermario model has to master and the derived tests are listed below.





Figure 6: Mario has to jump and move right to overcome the obstacle

Figure 6 depicts the player next to an obstacle. In order to jump over it he has to move right and jump at the same time. He needs to keep jumping until 165 he is higher than the obstacle.

Figure 7 shows two situations. In the first one, mario jumps to evade an enemy. The second depicts him landing on top of enemies to kill them.






(a) Mario evades a enemy by jump- (b) Mario defeats enemies by landing on them  
ing

Figure 7: Mario has to jump over/to enemies



(a) Mario and a hole in the ground



(b) Mario and a hole with obstacles

Figure 8: Mario has to jump over a hole

In Figure 8 the player is seen standing next to holes in the ground. In the first picture he is on the ground level, in the second he is standing on an obstacle.

The stream tests derived from the scenarios are introduced in the following.

Listing 3: Enemy watcher stream test

```

175 package de.rwth.supermario.haller.environment;

stream Env_EnemyWatcher_Evade for EnemyWatcher {
    EnemyDistX:      200 tick    100    tick    75;
    EnemyDistY:      0 tick     0     tick    0;
    EnemyVelocityX:  -10 tick   -10    tick   -10;
    EnemyVelocityY:  0 tick     0     tick    0;

180     movesTowardsPlayer: 1 tick    1     tick    1;
    inJumpRange:      0 tick     0     tick    1;
}

```

If a enemy gets closer than 80 pixels (two blocks) and is on the same height as the player, the player has to jump in order to evade the enemy (listing 3). The units for the EnemyDistX and EnemyDistY values are pixels, while the velocities are given in pixels per time frame. The output values are of type boolean.

Listing 4: Enemy watcher stream test

```

190 package de.rwth.supermario.haller.environment;

stream Env_EnemyWatcher_FromAbove for EnemyWatcher {

    EnemyDistX:      200 tick    100    tick    5;
195    EnemyDistY:      128 tick   128    tick   32;
    EnemyVelocityX:  -10 tick   -10    tick   -10;
    EnemyVelocityY:  0 tick     0     tick    0;

    movesTowardsPlayer: 1 tick    1     tick    1;
200    inJumpRange:      0 tick     0     tick    0;

}

```

The stream in listing 4 covers the case when the player is above enemies and shall drop on them while he is above.

Listing 5: Enemy watcher stream test

```

210 package de.rwth.supermario.haller.environment;

stream Env_EnemyWatcher_FromAbove for EnemyWatcher {

    EnemyDistX:      -1 tick;
    EnemyDistY:      -1 tick;
    EnemyVelocityX:   0 tick;

```

```

215     EnemyVelocityY:      0 tick;

    movesTowardsPlayer:  0 tick;
    inJumpRange:         0 tick;

220 }

```

If there is no enemy near the player, the enemy watcher object shall give no jump advice (listing 5).

Listing 6: Obstacle watcher stream test

```

225 package de.rwth.supermario.haller.environment;
stream Env_ObstacleWatcher for ObstacleWatcher {
    ObstacleDistX: 200 tick 100 tick 75 tick 50 tick 25 tick 0;
    ObstacleDistX:  0 tick  0 tick  0 tick 25 tick 50 tick 75;

    inJumpRange:    0 tick  0 tick  1 tick  1 tick  1 tick  0;
230 }

```

If a obstacle is in front of the player, he shall jump until he has passed it(listing 6). The distances are given in pixels, and the obstacle in this text is of 70px height.

Listing 7: Hole watcher stream test

```

235 package de.rwth.supermario.haller.environment;
stream Env_ObstacleWatcher for ObstacleWatcher {
    holeDistance: 200 tick 100 tick 10 tick 0 tick 1200;

    inJumpRange:  0 tick  0 tick  1 tick  1 tick  0;
240 }

```

In listing 7 the stream test for jumping over holes is given. In this case, the player shall start jumping close to the hole and only stop once he is over.

### 245 3.2. Preparations (by Haller and Heithoff)

The Code of the Pacman emulator [?] and Supermario emulator [11] we used was available in Html5 and JavaScript. C&C-Components in Embedded-MontiArc can be translated to C++ code and then to a web assembly (using Emscripten [12]) which uses JavaScript (see [?]). This JavaScript file can be given inputs according to the component and calculates the outputs on execution. To combine these two files, there is an additional interface needed to extract the information for the inputs out of the emulator and then give the calculated outputs into the emulator. For the purpose of implementing the controllers the subjects were assigned to use the EmbeddedMontiArcStudio. EmbeddedMontiArcStudioV1.6.2 did neither support a simulator of Pacman nor 255 of a simulator Supermario. So an additional step to answer RQ2 *Is it possible to integrate other simulators in a recent amount of work* it for the groups to integrate the simulators into the EmbeddedMontiArcStudio.

260 In order to be able to do so, group Pacman is instructed by an expert (Jean-Marc) which files need modification and what to add. After that this group instructed the second group the same way.



Figure 9: Main options for the Pacman project in the ide

## 4. Implementation

In this chapter the implementation is described. First, the necessary steps for integrating a new Simulator into the IDE are shown. In the second part the modeling of the controllers for Pacman and Supermario are discussed.

### 4.1. IDE integration (Introduction by Philipp Haller)

As the participants of the use-case study were divided into two groups, the first group dealt with the IDE integration of the Pacman simulator after being instructed by an EMA professional. After successful integration this first group wrote a step-by-step instruction list. The second group used this list to integrate the Supermario simulator into the IDE. Details are given in the following.

#### 4.1.1. Integration at the example of Pacman (by Malte Heithoff)

To integrate a simulator into the EmbeddedMontiArcStudio several steps were necessary. In figure. 4.1.1 you can see the top view of the EmbeddedMontiArc's ide. The five added features here are as follows:

1. Open a new tab where you can play a normal game of Pacman
2. Generate the WebAssembly of the main component
3. Open a new tab in which the simulation of the component takes place
4. Generates the visualization of the main component and shows it in a new tab
5. Generates the reporting of all components and shows it in a new tab
6. Generates the reporting of all components with stream test results and shows it in a new tab
7. Run all tests in the repository and show their results
8. Run a single test and show its result

The features needed to be implemented properly in different places in order to work along the logic of the ide. Each one calls a batch script which again runs the jar for the demanded task for the specific files. In addition, for feature 1 and 2 extra plugins were required which got implemented by the expert group Pacman and could be reused for Supermario.

## pacManWrapper




Figure 10: Visualization of the Pacman wrapper

### 4.2. Modeling (by Heithoff)

This chapter introduces the models of Pacman and Supermario respectively. The models should always follow certain rules defined in the EmbeddedMontiArc documentation (see [13]). The math implementation of all atomic components should be short and have a short runtime. This way not only the clarity of the code is enhanced but also the runtime of the components is fixed. C&C models should, at some point, be runnable on microchips and due to the fact that those models are designed for real-time systems the runtime has to be fix. To achieve this a lot of functionality can be extracted into subcomponents. In general, loops should be avoided and split up into subcomponents if possible. Because while loops are not ensured to terminate, those should never be used.

### 4.3. Pacman (by Heithoff)

In the following the model for the Pacman controller is presented. The goal is to collect as many biscuits and coins as possible and to avoid the ghosts. After introducing the interface which is used here two controllers for Pacman are shown. There is a simple controller which was used in the early stages of the IDE integration to test everything and then a more complex controller that can actually survive a few levels.

#### 4.3.1. Interface

Listing 8: Interface of the Pacman Wrapper

```

310 ports
    in Z(0cm: 180cm) ghostX [4] ,
    in Z(0cm: 210cm) ghostY [4] ,
    in Z(0 : 1 : 3) ghostDirection [4] ,
315    in B ghostEatable [4] ,
    in B ghostEaten [4] ,
    in Z(0cm: 180cm) pacManX ,
    in Z(0cm: 210cm) pacManY ,
    in B pacManEaten ,
320    in Z(0:oo) pacManLives ,
    in Z(0:oo) pacManScore ,

```

```

in Z{22,19} map,
out Z(0 : 1 : 3) newPacmanDirection;

```

325 The project's main component is PacmanWrapper. The main task of the wrapper is to provide a shared interface. Listing 8 shows the input and output ports. As for the inputs, the ghosts' and the Pacman's position are given, the direction the ghosts are facing, information about the ghosts' vulnerability, as well as the current map. The only output port is the new direction the Pacman should walk.

330 The wrapper also holds the current controller. This way the controller is easily exchangeable without changing any of the code needed for the ide. All input ports of the wrapper are connected to the corresponding ports of the controller and the output port of the controller is also connected to the output port of the wrapper.

335 To connect the web assembly of the main component with the Pacman emulator a new JavaScript file was created. Its main functionalities is to extract the needed informations out of the emulator, pass it to the web assembly, execute it and then give the output back to the emulator. In order to be able to extract needed information out of the emulator some modifications were needed. In its original state the emulator did not offer access to the current game object, thus the PACMAN class was extended by these functions. Due to the fact Pacman is a playable game, its input is given as a key-press-event in JavaScript. So the output of the web assembly, which is a number from 0 to 3, is mapped to a corresponding key-press-event which then gets triggered. The emulator is running with 30 frames per second, which also leads to 30 iterations of the game per second. Because the emulator is running asynchronously the component is executed at a double of that rate in order to track every position change.

#### 4.3.2. C&C modeling - Pacman (simple)

350 In fig. 4.3.2 the design of a simple controller is shown. It has four subcomponents:

- nearestGhost: Is given the x - and y - position of every ghost and the x - and y - position of the Pacman. It then iterates over all ghosts and calculates the nearest ghost and gives back its index.
- 355 • picker: Is given all ghost informations as input as well as an index and gives back the ghost information of the ghost at this index.
- away: Is given one ghost's informations as well as Pacman's and calculates a new direction for the Pacman facing away from the ghost. The output is one of the four possible directions mapped from the numbers 0 to 3.
- 360 • tryDir: Gets as input the position of Pacman, the current map as well as a direction the Pacman should try to walk. If there is no wall blocking the way the initial direction is outputted. On the other hand, if there is a wall blocking the way it tries to walk orthogonally left or up. If it fails it will walk right or down respectively.




Figure 11: Visualization of the Pacman controller (simple)

365 The controller connects the subcomponents in the shown order: It calculates the nearest ghost, passes its index to the picker which then again passes the corresponding ghost to the *away* component. This calculates the direction facing away from said ghost and the *tryDir* component then avoids running into walls. This leads to a controller that runs away from the ghosts with some success but  
 370 it is only determined by the nearest ghost and has no other goals. Due to the fact that *tryDir* always tries to walk to the left (or top) first, this can lead to some stuttering as soon as the Pacman walked enough to the right that there is again space to the left.

This design is very simple and not very successful. It shows the concept of C&C modeling in its basics and is therefore listed here. The next controller is a lot  
 375 more complex and can easily beat up to 10 levels.

#### 4.3.3. C&C modeling - Pacman (complex)

The more complex Pacman controller is shown in fig. 4.3.3. It has three main subcomponents:

- 380 • *safePaths*: This component is responsible for checking all the paths leading from Pacman into the labyrinth for safety. This is done by searching in each of the four possible directions until a wall or intersection is found.
- *coneSearch*: Searches in cones in each of the four directions for enemies and coins and gives back a score for each direction.
- 385 • *decision*: The decision component evaluates all data from the other two components. Based on those values it decides which direction the Pacman should go next.






Figure 12: Visualization of the Pacman controller (complex)

The last component *normalize* not listed here is only responsible for increasing all position values from the ghosts and Pacman by 1 to fit the indexation from the math library. We will now go into detail for the three main component.

### *Safe Paths*

In fig. 4.3.3 the *safePaths* component is shown. It contains a subcomponent for each direction and some starting values. It gives back whether the four directions are safe or not. A direction is safe if there is a wall blocking it (no path) or there is no enemy on its path until the next intersection. This is calculated by “going” the path. This could be done with a single component looping through the path to the next intersection. Due to the fact that this would contradict the conditions on C&C components stated before it is split up into subcomponents. Each path in this labyrinth has a length of at most 10. So the task is divided into 10 components as one can partially see in fig. 4.3.3. Each of those checks whether the current position is safe and then calculate the position to check for the next component. This way the runtime is fixed and the code is better parallelizable.

The task of one of the 10 subcomponents is again split up into 5 subtasks (see fig. 4.3.3):

- *reenterMap*: If the previous component calculated a position outside of the map (e.g. leaving the map on the right through the tunnel), reenter the map on the other side.
- *safeFinished*: The search is finished if it is marked as finished by a previous search component or a wall is found (only when there is no path).

 **pacManWrapper** → **controller** → **safePaths**




Figure 13: Visualization of Safe Paths




Figure 14: Visualization of one Search




Figure 15: Visualization of one Single Search Component

- *safePosition*: Loops through the four ghosts and check whether their position matches the current position. If an unsafe tile is found, the search is marked as finished and not safe.
- 415 • *calcNewPosition*: Looks for free ways in the adjacent tiles. If there are more than two free tiles (no wall), an intersection is reached and the search can be marked finished. Otherwise this component gives back the next position which is different from the previous one.
- 420 • *control*: The control unit evaluates all data from the other components and gives back a corresponding new position and whether the search until now is safe or not.

#### *Cone Search*

The *ConeSearch* component searches through the map in cones (see fig. 4.3.3). This way each direction can be given a value which increases when biscuits and coins are found and decreases when ghosts are found. The following weights are used in the most current version:

- biscuit: 50
- coin: 200
- enemy (facing towards Pacman): -10



Figure 16: Visualization of Cone Search




Figure 17: Visualization of Cone Search

- enemy (facing a different direction): -4
- enemy (eatable): 1000

The values shrink with the distance to Pacman. The biscuit/coin value shrink squared and the enemy value linear with the distance. This way near objectives are valued more and Pacman does not go for only far away biscuits/coins if there already are nearby ones. But if all biscuit/coin values are small the maximum gets increased by a fix amount, so Pacman goes for far away biscuits/coins if there are no around. In the end for each direction a value is returned by combining the biscuits/coins value and the enemy value.

In the visualization of the component (see fig. 4.3.3) one can see the different kind of subcomponents:

- *enemiesWeights* and *coinWeights*: some constants for weighting biscuits, coins and ghost values. This design allows easy adjustments.
- *enemies(Top)*: searches for enemies in the (top) cone and gives back its value.
- *coins(Top)*: searches for biscuits/coins in the (top) cone and gives back its value.
- *enhancer*: increases the maximum biscuits/coins value if it is small.




Figure 18: Visualization of Cone Search

- *combine*: combines the values for biscuits/coins and enemies for a direction.

#### Decision

The *decision* component gets all data from *safePaths* and *coneSearch* and makes a final decision on where to go. Beside the maximum value for a direction and whether it is safe or not, the decision is based on a few additional information. E.g. the top direction has the maximum value from the cone searches but it is blocked by a wall or not safe. Then another direction has to be chosen. Here an orthogonal direction (left or right) is preferred to stay near to the desired one (top). In addition, to prevent stuttering a new path is only chosen if the current one is not safe anymore or an intersection is reached. In fig. 4.3.3 one can see the four subcomponents of *safePaths*:

- *intersection*: Gives back whether Pacman is located on a tile with more than 2 Paths leading from it.
- *possibleWays*: Gives back which directions are not blocked by a wall.
- *compareValues*: Calculates the safe direction with the maximum value. If this direction is blocked, a new direction has to be chosen.
- *verifyDirection*: Checks whether the chosen direction is opposing the previous one. This is only allowed if the previous direction is not safe anymore or an intersection is reached.

#### 4.4. Modeling - Supermario (by Philipp Haller)

This part discusses the model used to solve a level of the Supermario game.  
470 First a general introduction on model types is given. Thereafter, the different models are discussed step by step, beginning at the most abstract.

##### 4.4.1. Model Types

In this context the following model types used were:

###### 475 *Watcher*

The watcher model type takes a position as input and returns a boolean value which indicates if it is in a certain range.

###### 480 *Selector*

The selector model type uses a raw array and an index as input and returns the corresponding array entry.

###### 485 *Strategy*

A strategy model type can take different inputs and performs a action decision based on its inputs.

###### 490 *Controller*

The controller model type combines the other defined model types to refine the inputs of the simulation and executes a strategy.

###### 490 *Filter*

The filter model type is intended to perform filtering like debouncing and plausibility checks.

##### 4.4.2. Models

495 The presented model visualizations are generated from the EmbeddedMontiArc Studio. Therein, a grey component indicates that the component uses additional subcomponents, whereas a white component marks atomic components.

###### Split -> Reference

500 The first and most abstract entity modeled was the supermario wrapper which is closely related to the outputs and inputs of the simulator. Therefore it receives all necessary values as input with the aim to forward them to the actual controller and its corresponding sub-components. After computation the results of the controller are handed back into the wrapper, which forwards the data to  
505 the simulator. Figure 19 shows the graphical representation, while listing 9 shows the actual EMA interface definition.

Listing 9: Interface of the Supermario Wrapper

```
510 ports
      in Z^{1,2} marioPosition ,
      in Z^{1,2} marioVelocity ,
```




Figure 19: Visualisation of the Supermario wrapper model

```

in Z marioHeight ,
in Z{5,2} nextEnemyPositions ,
in Z{5,2} nextObstaclePositions ,
in Z nextHole ,
in Z{5,2} nextLootCrates ,
in Q tickSize ,
in Z marioResting ,
out Z(-1 : 1 : 1) marioDirection ,
out Z marioJump ,
out Z marioDown ,
out Z marioShoot ;

```

The player figure's position, velocity and height were chosen as inputs, together with the positions of the next five enemies and obstacles. Furthermore, the position of the next hole in the ground, the position of the next five loot crates, the tick size (the time between model executions) and the information if the player is resting on a tile is given. The outputs consist of the direction the player shall go in combination with the action instructions jumping, crouching and shooting. The data type for most values is integer, indicated by a "Z" in the code. This is due to the circumstance that the simulator uses a number of pixels as a measure for distance. Only exception being the "tickSize" which can be fractions of a second.

The controller used (Figure 20) consists of five parts. There are sub-controllers tasked to cope with the evaluation of enemies and obstacles respectively, named enemyController and obstController. They return an advice to indicate if the player should jump or not. The genStrategy is an atomic component which is






Figure 20: Visualization of the Supermario controller model

currently used to provide a general strategy like moving in another direction, jumping or crouching if the player is stuck.

The action advices of the controllers and the strategy are combined via a logical or-relation, as indicated by the "orR" block. Additionally, the jumpDecider filters the output of the combined value and forwards it, if the player can jump in that time frame. This is necessary to prohibit side-effects like the player only jumping once because the jump key remains pressed constantly and the simulator only accepts distinct jump activations, opposed to continuous jumping.

The enemy controller (Figure 21) handles the enemy position evaluation and assesses if an action has to be initiated. As the input data from the simulator is a array with five positions, it contains a enemy selector component which returns the corresponding x and y values from a given index. For purposes of overview and readability of the EMA code a component "enemyIndexes" was used to feed these indexes into the selectors.

The enemy component (Figure 22) is used to compute a velocity from the x and y positions by comparing the former positions with the current ones.

The enemy strategy (Figure 23) uses the distances and velocities from the enemy components to watch them for their distance to the player and wether they can get dangerous. If an enemy comes too close and is on the player's plane, a jump advice is given. The jump advices are again combined via a logical or-relation and returned.

The obstacle controller is modeled very similar to the enemy controller, extracting positions from the raw input array and feeding them into a obstacle strategy. The main difference to the enemy controller is the presence of another




Figure 21: Visualization of the Supermario enemy controller model




Figure 22: Visualization of the Supermario enemy model




Figure 23: Visualization of the Supermario enemy strategy model




Figure 24: Visualization of the Supermario enemy strategy model

input. This additional input is the distance to the next hole in the ground plane of the level. It is forwarded into the obstacle strategy (Figure 24) where a watcher component checks the player's proximity to the hole and computes a jump advice. All advices are again combined by a or relation.

#### 4.4.3. Future Modeling

The models presented in this chapter were developed with modularity and extensibility in mind, such that in future work more complex strategies can be used to solve more levels and to lay more attention to the score. The presented model utilizes that the player always runs into the right direction, thus it can't solve levels which require the player to move backwards. A future model should be able to solve those situations too. This behaviour could be modeled in the general strategy component or a "movement controller". Another issue could be, that currently all advices are combined via or relations. This can lead to side effects where the player jumps to early because of an enemy and drops into a hole he would have avoided without the enemy. To achieve a better model, the or relations could be swapped with a weighted decision making process.

## 5. Evaluation

580 The two developers of Pacman and Supermario were interviewed in the manner mentioned in the introduction. In this section the results of this interviews are collected and summarized.

### 5.1. *RQ1 - Is EmbeddedMontiArc suitable for other systems?*

585 The tasks were both based on real-time problems which the EMA language is designed for. Both developers were able to model a controller which can beat a level in their specific domain. The code for the models is clearly and good readable. The generated Javascript code is fast enough to be executed every tick of the simulation (30 fps/ 60 fps). Based on the examples of Pacman and Supermario it is clear that real-time problems can be solved with Embedded-MontiArc.

### 590 5.2. *RQ2 - Is it possible to integrate other simulators in a recent amount of work?*

To integrate the Pacman- and Supermario simulators two tasks had to be completed: integrate into the IDE and then link the simulator to the web assembly. The integration into the IDE was quite simple for both systems as soon as the instructions were handed out. But as there was no infrastructure for generating the web assembly before this led to some extra effort by installing emscripten and writing the needed scripts. The Simulator for Pacman was easily adjustable so that the extraction of the needed information (e.g. Pacman position) was done in short time. In contrast, the underlying structure of the Supermario project in use was way more complex and needed a lot more effort to understand it. Linking the web assembly with the simulator was done within little work as soon as the interface for extracting the data from the simulators and inputting the computed results was implemented. Just the data had to be transformed into the correct format and then the web assembly needed to be executed.

605 Therefore the answer to this question is dependent on the complexity of the system and on whether there is a working interface for extracting data. Pacman was fairly easy to integrate but Supermario needed more time than calculated.

### 610 5.3. *RQ3 - What kind of background knowledge is needed to model C&C in EMA?*

One of the developers had some experience with EmbeddedMontiArc while the other had not. Both are computer science students and are therefore familiar with programming concepts and the modular programming that EMA requires. For the more experienced developer the concept of C&C was easy to understand and he could easily make use of the tooling the language offers. The less experienced developer had a few problems in the beginning but after overcoming those he had no further problems with implementing what he was trying to. Both developers benefited from being familiar with programming languages so the math library was easy to understand.

620 Having experience with programming concepts is necessary to model C&C  
in EMA but specific knowledge about the EMA language is optional and can  
be obtained in a short time.

#### 5.4. *RQ4 - What features are good and what are not suited?*

This section will be split up into the question about the tools around the  
625 language and the question about the features the language itself is offering.

##### 5.4.1. *Tools*

The onlineIDE coming with the EmbeddedMontiArcStudio is powerful enough  
to help with the modeling process. But it is also missing a lot of tools a modern  
ide is offering. The safe option was also one of the weak points of the ide, only  
630 after running a plugin all the files are saved to the hard drive. Syntax checking  
was sufficient for the non-atomic components but missing for the atomic compo-  
nents. The other tools integrated into the ide, such as generating a report with  
semantical checks of the models or generating a visualization could be utilized  
for error checking and planning the model. But most of the tools had a long  
635 runtime and need optimization.

##### 5.4.2. *Language Features*

The option to import other components and to have a package hierarchy  
were used all the time and are well suited for the purpose of the language. Also  
connecting arrays of ports with a `[:]` is very convenient but this option is not  
640 nested which made the code at some point larger than necessary. What was  
missing in this version of the code generator is the ability to use structs as a  
port type which led to unclear port interface for some components.

All in all, the features the ide and the language were offering helped with  
the modeling process and are well suited for the language purpose.

#### 645 5.5. *Other Problems*

Although in theory there are no major problems with modeling the two  
groups had to fight some bugs in the code generation process. Most of those  
bugs are fixed by now, but at the time of modeling led to some considerable  
time losses. Due to the fact that the transformation from the C++ code to  
650 Javascript had a really long runtime, testing the code needed a lot of time as  
well.

## **6. Conclusion**

This section is going to be written in the near future

## References

- 655 [1] V. Bertram, S. Maoz, J. O. Ringert, B. Rumpe, M. von Wenckstern, Component and connector views in practice: An experience report, ACM/IEEE International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems 20.
- [2] Filippo Grazioli, Evgeny Kusmenko, Alexander Roth, Bernhard Rumpe, Michael von Wenckstern, Simulation framework for executing component and connector models of self-driving vehicles, Proceedings of MODELS 2017. Workshop EXE, Austin, CEUR 2019, Sept. 2017.
- 660 [3] OMG, Sysml.  
URL <http://www.omg.sysml.org>
- [4] SAE, Architecture analysis and design language.  
665 URL <http://www.aadl.info/>
- [5] Mathworks, Simulink.  
URL <https://de.mathworks.com/products/simulink.html>
- [6] N. Instruments, Labview.  
670 URL <http://www.ni.com/de-de/shop/labview.html>
- [7] C. of Software Engineering RWTH Aachen University, Homepage of the chair of software engineering at rwth aachen university.  
URL <http://se-rwth.de>
- [8] A. Mokhtarian, Monticar: 3d modeling using embeddedmontiarc (2018).  
675
- [9] P. Runeson, M. Höst, Guidelines for conducting and reporting case study research in software engineering.
- [10] D. Harvey, Html5 pacman.  
URL <https://demo.embeddedmontiarc.com/pacman2/>
- 680 [11] J. Goldberg, Fullscreenmario, html5 browser game.  
URL <http://www.joshuakgoldberg.com/FullScreenMario/Source/>
- [12] A. Zakai, Emscripten.  
URL <http://kripken.github.io/emscripten-site/>
- [13] E. Team, Embeddedmontiarc documentation.  
685 URL <https://github.com/EmbeddedMontiArc/Documentation>