

Math 6201 - Topology AY 2024-2025 Term 1

James Israel B. Montillano Romelyn M. Dumanhog

University of San Carlos

MS Mathematics

Department of Computer, Information Sciences, and Mathematics

(May 2025)

# Contents

1	Preliminaries	3
1.1	Sets	3
1.2	Functions, or Maps	3
1.3	Topology	3
1.4	$G_{\delta}$	4
1.5	Relativization	4
1.6	Continuous maps and Homeomorphisms	5
2	Separation Axioms	6
2.1	$T_0$ and $T_1$ space	6
2.2	Hausdorff spaces	
2.3	Regular Spaces	9
2.4	Normal Spaces	10
2.5	Urysohn's Characterization of Normality	12
2.6	Tietze's Characterization of Normality	12
2.7	Completely Regular Spaces	12

1 Preliminaries 3

# 1 Preliminaries

This section reviews some fundamental concepts needed to understand the basic parts on separation axioms on topology.

#### 1.1 Sets

**Definition 1.1 — Subset.** (Lipschutz, 1965) A set A is a subset of a set B or, equivalently, B is a superset of A, written  $A \subset B$  or  $B \supset A$  iff each element in A also belongs to B; that is, if  $x \in A$  implies  $x \in B$ .

**Definition 1.2 — Union.** (Lipschutz, 1965) The union of two sets A and B, denoted by  $A \cup B$ , is the set of all elements which belong to A or B, i.e.,  $A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$ 

**Definition 1.3 — Intersection.** (Lipschutz, 1965) The intersection of two sets A and B, denoted by  $A \cap B$ , is the set of elements which belong to both A and B, i.e.,  $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$ 

**Definition 1.4** — Power Sets. (Dugundji, 1966) Let A be any set. Its power set  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  is the set of all subsets of A.

**Definition 1.5 — Countable.** (Dugundji, 1966) A set *A* is countable if it is finite or equivalent to the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of counting numbers. If  $A \equiv N$ , then *A* is called countably infinite or denumerable.

#### 1.2 Functions, or Maps

**Definition 1.6 — Map.** (Dugundji, 1966) Let X and Y be two sets. A map  $f: X \to Y$  (or function with domain X and range Y) is a subset  $f \subset X \times Y$  with the property: for each  $x \in X$ , there is one, and only one,  $y \in Y$  satisfying  $(x,y) \in f$ . To denote  $(x,y) \in f$ , we write y = f(x) and say that y is the image of x under f.

**Definition 1.7 — Surjective function.** (Holmes, 2008) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. We say that f is surjective if for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that f(x) = y

**Definition 1.8 — Injective function.** (Holmes, 2008) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. We say that f is injective if  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  implies  $x_1 = x_2(x_i \in X)$ 

**Definition 1.9 — Bijective function.** (Holmes, 2008) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. We say that f is bijective if it is both injective and surjective.

# 1.3 Topology

**Definition 1.10 — Topology.** (Dugundji, 1966) Let X be a set. A *topology* (or topological structure) in X is a family  $\tau$  of subsets of X that satisfies:

- (1). Each union of members of  $\tau$  is also a member of  $\tau$ .
- (2). Each *finite* intersection of members of  $\tau$  is also a member of  $\tau$ .

1.4  $G_{\delta}$ 

- (3).  $\emptyset$  and X are members of  $\tau$ .
- Example 1.1 Discrete topology. Let X be any set and  $\tau = \mathscr{P}(X)$ . Then  $\tau$  is a topology on X.

Proof.

- 1. Clearly,  $X, \emptyset \in \tau$
- 2. Since every possible subset of X is included in  $\tau$ , the union of any combination of these subsets will always result in another subset of X, which is already in  $\tau$ .
- 3. Since all subsets are open, the intersection of any finite number of them will still be a subset of X, hence in  $\tau$ .

Thus,  $\tau$  is a topology on X.

■ Example 1.2 — Indiscrete topology. Let X be any set and  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\tau$  is a topology on X

Proof.

- 1.  $X \in \tau$  and  $\emptyset \in \tau$
- 2.  $X \cup X = X \cup \emptyset = X \in \tau$  $\emptyset \cup \emptyset = \emptyset \in \tau$
- 3.  $X \cap X = X \in \tau$  $X \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \in \tau$

Thus,  $\tau$  is a topology on X.

**Definition 1.11 — Open sets.** (Morris, 2020) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then the members of  $\tau$  are said to be *open sets*.

**Definition 1.12 — Closed sets.** (Morris, 2020) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A subset S of X is said to be *closed set* in  $(X, \tau)$  if its complement in X, namely  $X \setminus S$ , is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 1.13 — Neighborhood.** (Holmes, 2008) Let  $x \in X$ . Any open set containing x is called a neighborhood of x.

#### 1.4 $G_{\delta}$

**Definition 1.14** (Dugundji, 1966) A set G is called  $G_{\delta}$  if it is the intersection of at most countably many open sets.

#### 1.5 Relativization

**Definition 1.15 — Subspace topology.** (Dugundji, 1966) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $Y \subset X$ . The induced topology  $\tau_Y$  on Y is  $\{Y \cap U : U \in \tau\}$ . The pair  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is called a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

#### 1.6 Continuous maps and Homeomorphisms

**Definition 1.16 — Continuous maps.** (Belleza, 2025) Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  and  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be topological spaces. A map  $f: X \to Y$  is called *continuous* if the inverse image of each open set in Y is open in X. That is,  $f^{-1}$  maps  $\tau_Y \to \tau_X$ .

■ **Example 1.3** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any topological space and  $f: (X, \tau) \to (X, \tau)$  is defined by f(x) = x for all  $x \in X$ . Then f is continuous.

*Proof.* To show that f is continuous, we need to verify that the inverse image of every open set in  $Y = (X, \tau)$  is open in  $X = (X, \tau)$ .

Let O be an arbitrary open set in Y. By definition,  $f^{-1}(O) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in O\}$ . Since f(x) = x, this simplifies to  $f^{-1}(O) = \{x \in X \mid x \in O\} = O$ . Since O is open in O, and O has the same topology as O, O is also open in O. Thus,  $f^{-1}(O) = O$  is open in O. Since the inverse image of every open set in O is open in O, the identity map O is continuous.

Not every identity function is continuous. To see this, let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and define two topologies on X,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, X\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, X\}$ . Clearly,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are topologies on X. Then  $i: (X, \tau_1) \to (X, \tau_2)$  defined by i(x) = x for all  $x \in X$  is not continuous, since there exists  $\{2\} \in \tau_2$  such that  $i^{-1}(\{2\}) = \{2 \notin \tau_1$ .

**Definition 1.17 — Homeomorphism.** (Dugundji, 1966) A continuous bijective map  $f: X \to Y$ , such that  $f^{-1}: Y \to X$  is also continuous, is called a homeomorphism (or a bicontinuous bijection) and denoted by  $f: X \cong Y$ . Two spaces X, Y are homeomorphic, written  $X \cong Y$ , if there is a homeomorphism  $f: X \cong Y$ .

- **Example 1.4** The identity map  $1: X \to X$  is a homeomorphism.
- **Example 1.5** Two discrete spaces X and Y (similarly, for indiscrete spaces), are homeomorphic if and only if there is a one-to-one function on X onto Y.

*Proof.* In a discrete space, every subset is open. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a bijection (one-to-one and onto). We will show that f is a homeomorphism. To show f is continuous, the preimage of any open set in Y must be open in X. Since Y is discrete, every subset of Y is open. Thus, for any open  $V \subseteq Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$ . Because X is discrete,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X. Hence, f is continuous. Similarly,  $f^{-1}: Y \to X$  is a bijection. For any open  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(U) = f(U) \subseteq Y$ . Since X is discrete, U is open, and since U is discrete, U is open in U. Thus, U is continuous. Hence, U is a bijection, continuous, and its inverse U is also continuous. Therefore, U is a homeomorphism, and U is U is a homeomorphism, and U is a homeomorphism.

**Definition 1.18 — Topological Invariant.** We call any property of spaces a topological invariant if whenever it is true for one space X, it is also true for every space homemorphic to X

# 2 Separation Axioms

Separation axioms are a family of topological invariants that give us new ways of distinguishing between various spaces. The idea is to look how open sets in a space can be used to create "buffer zones" separating pairs of points and closed sets. Separations axioms are denoted by  $T_0$   $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , etc., where T comes from the German word Trennungsaxiom, which just means "separation axiom" (Schechter, 1996).

# 2.1 $T_0$ and $T_1$ space

**Definition 2.1** —  $T_0$  space or Kolmogorov Space. (Milewski, 1994) The space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be a  $T_0$ -space, if for any two distinct  $a, b \in X$ , there is a neighborhood of at least one, which does not contain the other

■ Example 2.1 Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with topology  $\tau = \{\varnothing, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  defined on X, then  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_0$  space

Proof.

- 1. for a and b, there exists an open set  $\{a\}$  such that  $a \in \{a\}$  and  $b \notin \{a\}$
- 2. for a and c, there exists an open set  $\{a\}$  such that  $a \in \{a\}$  and  $c \notin \{a\}$
- 3. for b and c, there exists an open set  $\{b\}$  such that  $b \in \{b\}$  and  $c \notin \{b\}$

**Example 2.2** The space  $X = \{a, b\}$  with the indiscrete topology is not a  $T_0$  space

*Proof.* The two distinct points a and b in X is contained only in the open set X. Thus, it is not a  $T_0$  space.

**Definition 2.2** —  $T_1$ -space or Fréchet Space . (Milewski, 1994) A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called a  $T_1$ -space, if every single element set is closed, that is,  $\forall a \in X, \{a\} = \overline{\{a\}}$  .

**Theorem 2.1** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$  space if and only if for any pair of distinct points  $a, b \in X$ , the open sets  $G, H \in \tau$  exist, such that  $a \in G$ ,  $b \notin G$  and  $b \in H$ ,  $a \notin H$ 

*Proof.* Suppose *X* is a  $T_1$ -space. Then, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is a closed set. Let  $a, b \in X$  and  $a \neq b$ . The sets  $X - \{a\}$  and  $X - \{b\}$  are open, and

$$a \in X - \{b\}$$
 and  $b \notin X - \{b\}$ 

$$b \in X - \{a\}$$
 and  $a \notin X - \{a\}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $x \in X$ . We shall show that  $\{x\}$  is closed, i.e.,  $X - \{x\}$  is open. Let  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , then  $y \neq x$  and an open set  $H_y$  exists, such that

$$y \in H_y$$
 and  $x \notin H_y$ .

Thus,

$$y \in H_y \subseteq X - \{x\}$$
 and  $X - \{x\} = \bigcup_{y \neq x} H_y$ .

Since all  $H_{y}$  are open sets,  $X - \{x\}$  is open and  $\{x\}$  is closed,  $\{x\} = \overline{\{x\}}$ .

**Example 2.3** Consider the set  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the cofinite topology,

$$\tau = \{X, \emptyset, a, b, c, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}.$$

Verify that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$  space.

*Proof.* The complement of  $\{a\}$  is  $\{b,c\}$ , which is open (its complement  $\{a\}$  is finite). Similarly,  $\{b\}^c = \{a,c\}$  and  $\{c\}^c = \{a,b\}$  are open. By Definition 2.2, since every singleton is closed, X is  $T_1$ . For a and b, there exist open sets  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  such that  $a \in \{a\}$ ,  $b \notin \{a\}$  and  $b \in \{b\}$ ,  $a \notin \{b\}$ . This satisfies the condition in Theorem 2.1, confirming X is  $T_1$ .

Thus, 
$$(X, \tau)$$
 is a  $T_1$  space.

**Example 2.4** The Sierpinski Space is  $T_0$  but not  $T_1$ 

*Proof.* Recall that a *Sierpiński space* is the topological space  $X = \{x, y\}$  with the topology given by  $\{X, \{x\}, \emptyset\}$ . It is  $T_0$  because for x and y the open set  $\{x\}$  contains x but not y. It is not  $T_1$  because every open set U containing y (which is only X) contains x.

**Theorem 2.2** (Milewski, 1994) A  $T_1$  space is also a  $T_0$  space.

*Proof.* Let X be a  $T_1$  space then clearly from its definition it follows that it is also a  $T_0$  space. Since with any pair  $a, b \in X$  there exist an open set G with  $a \in G$  and  $b \notin G$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Milewski, 1994) If  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \tau')$  are homeomorphic and  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$ -space (or  $T_0$ ) then so is  $(Y, \tau')$ 

*Proof.* Let f denote a homeomorphism

$$f: X \to Y$$

and X be a  $T_1$ -space. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1$ , if and only if every one-point subset of X is closed. Let y represent any point of Y,  $y \in Y$ . The set  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a one-point subset of X and since X is  $T_1$ , the set  $\{f^{-1}(y)\}$  is closed.

Since  $f: X \to Y$  is a homeomorphism, it maps closed sets into closed sets. Therefore, for any  $y \in Y$ 

$$\{y\} = \overline{\{y\}}.$$

Thus,  $(Y, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$ -space. Similarl proof can be done to when if X is  $T_0$ , then so is Y.

# 2.2 Hausdorff spaces

**Definition 2.3** —  $T_2$ -space or Hausdorff space. (Dugundji, 1966) A space X is Hausdorff (or separated) if each two distinct points have nonintersecting nbds, that is, whenever,  $p \neq q$  there are nbds U(p), V(q) such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Example 2.5** The real line R with the standard topology is a Hausdorff space.

*Proof.* For any two distinct points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , let d = |x - y| > 0. Then the open intervals

$$U = \left(x - \frac{d}{2}, x + \frac{d}{2}\right)$$
 and  $V = \left(y - \frac{d}{2}, y + \frac{d}{2}\right)$ 

are disjoint neighborhoods of x and y, respectively. Thus,  $\mathbb{R}$  is Hausdorff.

**Theorem 2.4** (Milewski, 1994) Each Hausdorff space is a  $T_1$  space.

*Proof.* Suppose X is a Hausdorff space. Then from the definition, for two distinct points x and y, there exist two open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x \notin V$  and  $y \notin U$ , by Theorem 2.1, X is also a  $T_1$  space.

Theorem 2.5 (Milewski, 1994) Each Hausdorff space is a  $T_0$  space

*Proof.* Suppose X is a Hausdorff space. Then from Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.2, it is also a  $T_0$  space.

Theorem 2.6 (Dugundji, 1966) The following three properties are equivalent

- 1. X is Hausdorff.
- 2. Let  $p \in X$ . For each  $q \neq p$ , there is a nbd U(p) such that  $q \notin U(p)$ .
- 3. For each  $p \in X$ ,  $\bigcap \{\overline{U} \mid U \text{ is a nbd of } p\} = \{p\}$ .

Theorem 2.7 Every subspace of a Hausdorff space is Hausdorff

**Theorem 2.8** If  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \tau')$  are homeomorphic and  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_2$ -space then so is  $(Y, \tau')$ 

*Proof.* Let f denote a homeomorphism,  $f: X \to Y$  and X be a  $T_2$ -space. Now f is a continuous bijective map hence two distinct points  $x_1, x_2$  of X exist such that  $f^{-1}(y_1) = x_1, f^{-1}(y_2) = x_2$ .  $(X, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff space, therefore, there are two open sets  $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ , such that

$$x_1 \in U_1, \quad x_2 \in U_2, \quad U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset.$$

Since f is bijective,

$$f(U_1) \subset Y$$
,  $f(U_2) \subset Y$   
 $f(U_1) \cap f(U_2) = \emptyset$ 

Now, since  $f^{-1}$  is continuous, the function  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$  maps open sets into open sets. Hence,  $f(U_1), f(U_2) \in T'$  are open sets.

$$y_1 \in f(U_1), \quad y_2 \in f(U_2)$$

We conclude that  $(Y, \tau')$  is a  $T_2$ -space. If two spaces are homeomorphic and one of them is a  $T_2$ -space, then so is the other.

#### 2.3 Regular Spaces

**Definition 2.4** — **Regular space.** (Milewski, 1994) A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , is said to be regular if, given any closed subset  $F \subset X$  and any point  $x \in X$ , such that  $x \notin F$ , there are open sets U and V, such that

$$F \subset U$$
,  $x \in V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ 

**Definition 2.5** —  $T_3$ -space or Regular Hausdorff space. A space is a  $T_3$ -space or Regular Hausdorff space if it is both a Hausdorff space and a regular space.

Note that some authors switch the definition of "Regular" and " $T_3$ ". Some also defines them equivalently, such as Dugundji (1966). Some also defined  $T_3$  by the theorems below. For this lecture notes, we use the definitions that was stated above.

- ightharpoonup A regular space need not be a  $T_1$ -space.
- **Example 2.6** Consider the topology  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$  on the set  $X = \{a,b,c\}$ . Observe that the closed subsets of X are also X,  $\emptyset$ ,  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b,c\}$  and that  $(X,\tau)$  does satisfy Definition 2.4. On the other hand,  $(X,\tau)$  is not a  $T_1$ -space since there are single element sets, e.g.  $\{b\}$ , which are not closed.

#### **Theorem 2.9** A space is $T_3$ if and only if it is both regular and $T_0$

*Proof.* Suppose a space is  $T_3$  then by the definition, it is both regular and  $T_2$ . By Theorem 2.5, it is also  $T_0$ . For the converse, suppose a space is both a regular and  $T_0$ . Now let  $a, b \in X$  represents distinct points. Since the space is  $T_0$ , there is a neighborhood of at least one, which does not contain the other. Thus, let  $a \notin U(b)$  then  $a \notin \overline{U(b)}$ . By regularity, there

exist open sets G and V s.t  $\overline{U(b)} \subset G$  and  $a \in V$  where  $G \cap V = \emptyset$ . This implies that the space is also Hausdorff and hence also a  $T_3$  space.

# **Theorem 2.10** A space is $T_3$ if and only if it is both regular and $T_1$

Theorem 2.11 (Dugundji, 1966) The following three properties are equivalent

- 1. X is a  $T_3$  space
- 2. For each  $x \in X$  and nbd U of x, there exists a nbd V of x with  $X \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$ .
- 3. For each  $x \in X$  and closed A not containing x, there is a nbd V of x with  $\bar{V} \cap A = \emptyset$ .

#### **Theorem 2.12** Every subspace of a regular space is regular

*Proof.* Let Y be a regular space and  $X \subset Y$  a subspace. Let  $B \subset X$  be closed in X, and let  $x_0 \in X \setminus B$ . Since B is closed in X, there exists a closed set  $A \subset Y$  such that  $B = X \cap A$ . Note that  $x_0 \notin A$  because  $x_0 \in X \setminus B$ . By the regularity of Y, there exist disjoint open sets U and V in Y such that  $x_0 \in U$  and  $A \subset V$ . The sets  $U \cap X$  and  $V \cap X$  are open in X (by the definition of the subspace topology),  $x_0 \in U \cap X$ , and  $B = X \cap A \subset V \cap X$ . Since U and V are disjoint in Y, their intersections with X are also disjoint in X.

Thus, X is regular.

# **Theorem 2.13** Any subspace of a $T_3$ -space is a $T_3$ -space

*Proof.* A  $T_3$ -space is a regular Hausdorff space. By Theorem 2.12 and theorem 2.7, its subspace is also a regular Hausdorff space or a  $T_3$ -space.

#### 2.4 Normal Spaces

**Definition 2.6** — **Normal Space.** (Milewski, 1994) A topological space (X, T) is said to be normal if, given any two disjoint closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in X, there are disjoint open sets U and V, such that

$$F_1 \subset U$$
 and  $F_2 \subset V$ 

**Definition 2.7** —  $T_4$ -space of Normal Hausdorff space. A space is a  $T_4$ -space or Normal Hausdorff space if it is both a Hausdorff space and a normal space

Similarly with regular spaces, some authors switch the definition of "Normal" and " $T_4$ ". Some also defines them equivalently, such as Dugundji (1966). For this lecture notes, we use the definitions that are stated above.

#### **Example 2.7** Discrete spaces are $T_4$ spaces

*Proof.* For any two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , the singleton sets  $\{x\}$  and  $\{y\}$  are open and disjoint. Thus, X is Hausdorff. Now, Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be disjoint closed sets in X. In the discrete topology, every set is open, so  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  themselves are open. Thus, we can take

 $U = F_1$  and  $V = F_2$  as disjoint open sets containing  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  respectively. This shows that X is normal. Since X is both Hausdorff and normal, it is a  $T_4$ -space.

■ **Example 2.8** Any space  $(X, \tau)$ , containing more than one point with the indiscrete topology is Normal.

*Proof.* The indiscrete topology consists of two sets X and  $\phi$ .

$$T = \{X, \phi\}.$$

Hence, the only closed sets are X and  $\phi$  because  $X - \phi = X$  and  $X - X = \phi$ . Thus, there are no non-empty disjoint closed subsets of X. The space is normal.

# **Theorem 2.14** Every $T_4$ -spaces are $T_3$ -spaces

*Proof.* Let (X,T) denote a  $T_4$ -space. Hence, (X,T) is normal and  $T_1$ . Suppose F is a closed subset of X and  $a \in F$ . Since (X,T) is  $T_1$ , the singleton set  $\{a\}$  is closed. Sets F and  $\{a\}$  are closed and disjoint. Since (X,T) is normal, the open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  exist, such that

$$\{a\} \subset U_1, \quad F \subset U_2, \quad U_1 \cap U_2$$

. Therefore (X, T) is regular and  $T_3$ 

#### Theorem 2.15 The following four properties are equivalent

- 1. X is  $T_4$ .
- 2. For each closed A and open  $U \supset A$  there is an open V with  $A \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$ .
- 3. For each pair of disjoint closed sets A, B, there is an open U with  $A \subset U$  and  $\bar{U} \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- 4. Each pair of disjoint closed sets have nbds whose closures do not intersect.

#### **Theorem 2.16** A closed subspace of a $T_4$ space is $T_4$ .

*Proof.* Let X be a  $T_4$  space and Y be a closed subspace of X. Since every subspace of a  $T_1$ -space is  $T_1$  and X is  $T_1$  also, Y is a  $T_1$ -space. Since Y is closed, a subset F of Y is closed in Y, if and only if F is closed in X. Hence, if  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint closed subsets of Y, they are also disjoint closed subsets of X.

Thus, the open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  exist, such that

$$F_1 \subset U_1$$
,  $F_2 \subset U_2$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .

Then

$$F_1 \subset U_1 \cap Y$$
,  $F_2 \subset U_2 \cap Y$ ,

and  $U_1 \cap Y$  and  $U_2 \cap Y$  are disjoint subsets of Y, open in Y. Since  $(Y, T_Y)$  is  $T_1$  and normal, it is  $T_4$ .



A subspace of a normal space need not be normal.

**Definition 2.8** — Completely normal space. A space X is completely normal if every pair of sets A, B satisfying  $\bar{A} \cap B = A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$  can be separated. That is there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ .

**Definition 2.9** —  $T_5$ -spaces or completely normal Hausdorff spaces. A space that is both Hausdorff and completely normal is a  $T_5$  space.

# 2.5 Urysohn's Characterization of Normality

**Theorem 2.17 — Urysohn Lemma**. (Dugundji, 1966) The following two properties are equivalent:

- 1. X is  $T_4$ .
- 2. For each pair of disjoint closed sets, A, B in X, there exists a continuous  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , called a Urysohn function for A, B, such that:
  - (a) 0 < f(x) < 1 for all  $x \in X$
  - (b) f(a) = 0 for all  $a \in A$ .
  - (c) f(b) = 0 for all  $b \in B$ .

**Corollary 2.18** (Dugundji, 1966) A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Urysohn function satisfying  $A = f^{-1}(0)$  is that A be a  $G_{\delta}$ .

**Corollary 2.19** (Dugundji, 1966) A necessary and sufficient condition that there be a Urysohn function f with  $A = f^{-1}(0)$ ,  $B = f^{-1}(1)$  is that both A and B be  $G_{\delta}$ .

**Definition 2.10** —  $T_6$ -spaces or perfectly normal Hausdorff spaces. A  $T_4$  space in which each closed set is a  $G_\delta$  is a  $T_6$  space.

**Theorem 2.20** Every  $T_6$  space is a  $T_5$  space.

# 2.6 Tietze's Characterization of Normality

**Theorem 2.21 — H. Tietze Theorem.** (Dugundji, 1966) The following two properties are equivalent:

- 1. X is a  $T_4$ -space
- 2. For every closed  $A \subset X$ , each continuous  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$  has a continuous  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, if |f(a)| < c on A, then F can be chosen so that |F(x)| < c on X.

# 2.7 Completely Regular Spaces

**Definition 2.11 — Completely regular space.** (Dugundji, 1966) A space is completely regular if for each point  $p \in X$  and closed A not containing p, there is a continuous  $\varphi: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $\varphi(p) = 1$  and  $\varphi(a) = 0$  for each  $a \in A$ 

# Theorem 2.22 Every completely regular space is regular

*Proof.* Let F represent a closed subset of X and  $a \in X$  a point which does not belong to F. By hypothesis, a continuous function

$$f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$$

exists, such that  $f(F) = \{1\}$  and f(a) = 0. An interval [0,1] is a Hausdorff space. Hence, two open disjoint subsets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of [0,1] exists, such that

$$0 \in U_1$$
 and  $1 \in U_2$ .

Since f is continuous,  $f^{-1}(U_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(U_2)$  are open. These subsets are disjoint such that

$$a \in f^{-1}(U_1), \quad F \subset f^{-1}(U_2).$$

Hence, (X,T) is regular.

**Definition 2.12 — Tychonoff space.** A completely regular Hausdorff space is a Tychonoff space.

Theorem 2.23 (Dugundji, 1966) Every subspace of a Tychonoff space is Tychonoff.

REFERENCES 14

# References

Belleza, K. (2025). Continuous maps (general topology). [Last accessed 19 May 2025]. https://drive.google.com/file/d/1C4QofGsse0Id7WWCgmd424qTMKC51sfJ/view Dugundji, J. (1966). *Topology*. Allyn; Bacon, Inc.

Holmes, R. R. (2008). *Introduction to topology*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID: 13883851

Lipschutz, S. (1965). Schaum's outline of general topology. McGraw-Hill.

Milewski, E. G. (1994). *Topology problem solver*. Research & Education Assoc.

Morris, S. A. (2020). *Topology without tears* [Version of June 28, 2020]. Self-published. http://www.topologywithouttears.net

Schechter, E. (1996). Handbook of analysis and its foundations. Academic Press.