

HAHN-BANACH THEOREM

1. INTRODUCTION

2. HAHN-BANACH THEOREM

We start by introducing certain notions concerning real maps defined on \mathbb{R} -vector spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let V be an \mathbb{R} -vector space. A map $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *subadditive* if

$$p(v_1 + v_2) \leq p(v_1) + p(v_2)$$

for any vectors v_1, v_2 in V .

Definition 2.2. Let V be an \mathbb{R} -vector space. A map $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *positive homogeneous* if

$$p(\alpha \cdot v) = \alpha \cdot p(v)$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and every v in V .

The following is central result of these notes.

Theorem 2.3 (Hahn-Banach). *Let V be an \mathbb{R} -vector space and let $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a subadditive and positive homogeneous map. Suppose that W is an \mathbb{R} -subspace of V and $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear map such that*

$$f(w) \leq p(w)$$

for every w in W . Then there exists \mathbb{R} -linear map $\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}|_W = f$ and $\tilde{f}(v) \leq p(v)$ for every v in V .

The heart of the proof is the following result.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let V be an \mathbb{R} -vector space and let $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a subadditive and positive homogeneous map. Suppose that W is an \mathbb{R} -subspace of V and $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear map such that*

$$f(w) \leq p(w)$$

for every w in W . Then for every vector $\tilde{v} \in V \setminus W$ there exists \mathbb{R} -linear map $\tilde{f} : W + \mathbb{R} \cdot \tilde{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}|_W = f$ and $\tilde{f}(v) \leq p(v)$ for every v in $W + \mathbb{R} \cdot \tilde{v}$.

Proof of the lemma. We claim that the set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $w \in W$ the following condition is satisfied

$$f(w) + \gamma \cdot \lambda \leq p(w + \gamma \cdot \tilde{v})$$

is nonempty. In order to prove this we analyze this condition. Note that for $\gamma = 0$ the condition holds by assumption of the theorem. Thus we may assume that $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $\alpha = |\gamma|$. Now we consider two cases.

- For $\gamma > 0$ the condition is equivalent to

$$\lambda \leq p\left(\frac{w}{\alpha} + \tilde{v}\right) - f\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}\right)$$

Since W is an \mathbb{R} -vector space, it can be equivalently stated as

$$\lambda \leq p(w + \tilde{v}) - f(w)$$

for every $w \in W$.

- For $\gamma < 0$ the condition is equivalent to

$$-p\left(\frac{w}{\alpha} - \tilde{v}\right) + f\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}\right) \leq \lambda$$

We invoke the fact that W is an \mathbb{R} -vector space one again and obtain equivalent condition

$$-p(w - \tilde{v}) + f(w) \leq \lambda$$

for every $w \in W$.

Thus in order to prove our claim it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{w \in W} -p(w - \tilde{v}) + f(w) \leq \inf_{w \in W} p(w + \tilde{v}) - f(w)$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$p(w_1 - \tilde{v}) + f(w_1) \leq p(w_2 + \tilde{v}) - f(w_2)$$

for any $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Fix arbitrary $w_1, w_2 \in W$. The inequality

$$p(w_1 - \tilde{v}) + f(w_1) \leq p(w_2 + \tilde{v}) - f(w_2)$$

is equivalent to

$$f(w_1 + w_2) \leq p(w_2 + \tilde{v}) + p(w_1 - \tilde{v})$$

which holds according to

$$f(w_1 + w_2) \leq p(w_1 + w_2) = p(w_2 + \tilde{v} + w_1 - \tilde{v}) \leq p(w_2 + \tilde{v}) + p(w_1 - \tilde{v})$$

Thus the claim is proved. We infer the statement from the claim as follows. Pick $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(w) + \gamma \cdot \lambda \leq p(w + \gamma \cdot \tilde{v})$$

for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $w \in W$. Then define $\tilde{f} : W + \mathbb{R} \cdot \tilde{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{f}(w + \gamma \cdot \tilde{v}) = f(w) + \gamma \cdot \lambda$ for every $w \in W$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then \tilde{f} satisfies the assertion. \square

Proof of the theorem. Consider the family \mathcal{G} which consists of \mathbb{R} -linear maps $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that U is a \mathbb{R} -subspace of V containing W , $g|_W = f$ and $g(u) \leq p(u)$ for every $u \in U$. For $g_1 : U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_2 : U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in \mathcal{G} we define $g_1 \leq g_2$ if and only if $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ and $(g_2)|_{U_1} = g_1$. Clearly \leq is a partial order on \mathcal{G} . By Zorn's lemma there exists element $\tilde{f} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in \mathcal{G} maximal with respect to \leq . If $\tilde{V} \subsetneq V$, then by Lemma 2.3.1 there exists element of \mathcal{G} greater than \tilde{f} with respect to \leq . This is a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{V} = V$ and \tilde{f} satisfies the assertion of the theorem. \square

3. NORMED VERSION OF HAHN-BANACH THEOREM