

# ALGEBRAIC GROUP SCHEMES OVER FIELD

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In these notes we group schemes over fields. For background we refer to [Mon19] and [Mon20]. Throughout these notes  $k$  is a fixed field.

## 2. SIMPLE CRITERION FOR SEPARATEDNESS

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a group scheme over  $k$  and let  $e_{\mathbf{G}} : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  be its unit. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $e_{\mathbf{G}}$  is a closed immersion.
- (ii)  $\mathbf{G}$  is separated.

*Proof.* Suppose that (i) holds. Consider morphism  $f : \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  given on  $A$ -points by formula

$$f(g_1, g_2) = g_1 \cdot g_2^{-1}$$

where  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra. Note that we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \operatorname{Spec} k \\ \delta_{\mathbf{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow e_{\mathbf{G}} \\ \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{G} \end{array}$$

where  $\delta_{\mathbf{G}}$  is a diagonal of  $\mathbf{G}$  and the top horizontal arrow is the structure morphism. Since base change of a closed immersion is a closed immersion, we derive that  $\delta_{\mathbf{G}}$  is a closed immersion and hence  $\mathbf{G}$  is separated. This is (ii).

Suppose now that (ii) holds. Let  $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$  be the structural morphism. Then  $\pi \cdot e_{\mathbf{G}} = 1_{\mathbf{G}}$ . Since  $\pi$  is a separated morphism, we derive that (by cancellation)  $e_{\mathbf{G}}$  is closed immersion. This is (i).  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a group scheme over  $k$ . If  $\mathbf{G}$  is (locally) of finite type over  $k$ , then we say that  $\mathbf{G}$  is an (a locally) algebraic group over  $k$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a locally algebraic group over  $k$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}$  is separated.*

*Proof.* Consider the unit  $e_{\mathbf{G}} : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is locally of finite type, we derive that each  $k$ -point is closed in  $\mathbf{G}$ . Thus  $e_{\mathbf{G}}$  is a closed immersion. By Proposition 2.1 we derive that  $\mathbf{G}$  is separated.  $\square$

## 3. ABELIAN VARIETIES

We start this section with the following general result.

**Theorem 3.1 (Rigidity).** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of schemes such that  $\pi^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is an isomorphism of sheaves. Let  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  be a morphism of schemes. Suppose that for some point  $y$  in  $Y$  there is a point  $z$  of  $Z$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(y) \subseteq g^{-1}(z)$ . Then there exist an affine neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  and an affine neighborhood  $W$  of  $z$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq g^{-1}(W)$ . Moreover, there exists a morphism  $h : V \rightarrow W$  making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\text{res. of } g} & W \\ \text{restriction of } \pi \downarrow & \nearrow h & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

commutative, where horizontal arrow is the restriction of  $g$ .

*Proof.* Consider an affine open neighborhood  $W$  of  $z$ . Since  $\pi$  is proper and  $\pi^{-1}(y) = g^{-1}(z)$ , we derive that  $\pi(X \setminus g^{-1}(W))$  is a closed subset of  $Y$  that does not contain  $y$ . Pick an open affine neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  that does not intersect with  $\pi(X \setminus g^{-1}(W))$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq g^{-1}(W)$ . Since  $\pi^\#$  is an isomorphism we have the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(W) \xrightarrow{\delta_W^\#} \Gamma(g^{-1}(W), \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{(-)|_{\pi^{-1}(V)}} \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(V), \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{(\pi_V^\#)^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_Y(V)$$

This composition induces a morphism of affine schemes  $h : V \rightarrow W$ . Since a morphism from a scheme to an affine scheme is determined by the morphism on global sections of structure sheaves, we derive that  $h$  makes the triangle in the statement commutative.  $\square$

Now we can apply this result to study complete algebraic groups over  $k$ . For this we need the following definition.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a geometrically integral, complete algebraic group over  $k$ . Then we say that  $\mathbf{A}$  is an *abelian variety over  $k$* .

Now we prove the following interesting result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an abelian variety over  $k$ , let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a separated group scheme over  $k$  and let  $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  be a morphism of schemes over  $k$ . Suppose that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{G} \\ e_{\mathbf{A}} \swarrow & & \searrow e_{\mathbf{G}} \\ \text{Spec } k & & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then  $f$  is a morphism of groups schemes over  $k$ .

*Proof.* We define a morphism  $g : \mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  given by

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto f(x_1) \cdot f(x_2) \cdot f(x_1 \cdot x_2)^{-1}$$

where  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra and  $x_1, x_2$  are  $A$ -points of  $\mathbf{A}$ . It suffices to show that  $g$  factors through  $\text{Spec}(e_{\mathbf{G}})$ . For this we may change base to an algebraic closure of  $k$  by faithfully flat descent. So

we may assume that the field  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $\mathbf{A}$  is connected. Then the projection onto second factor  $\pi : \mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is proper and  $k = \Gamma(\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}})$  implies that  $\pi^\#$  is an isomorphism of sheaves on  $\mathbf{A}$ . Moreover, note that  $\pi^{-1}(e_{\mathbf{A}}) \subseteq g^{-1}(e_{\mathbf{G}})$ . Indeed, this follows from the assumption that  $f(e_{\mathbf{A}}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}$ . By Theorem 3.1 we deduce that there exist an affine neighborhood  $V$  of  $e_{\mathbf{A}}$ , an affine neighborhood  $W$  of  $e_{\mathbf{G}}$  and a morphism  $h : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow W$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq g^{-1}(W)$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} \times_k V & \xrightarrow{\text{res. of } g} & W \\ \text{projection} \downarrow & \nearrow h & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence for every  $k$ -point  $v$  of  $V$  we have the restriction  $g|_{\mathbf{A} \times_k \text{Spec } k(v)}$  factors through  $\text{Spec } k(h(v))$ . Since  $g(v, e_{\mathbf{A}}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}$ , we derive that  $h(v) = e_{\mathbf{G}}$  and thus  $g|_{\mathbf{A} \times_k \text{Spec } k(v)}$  factors through  $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$ . This holds for any  $k$ -point of  $V$ . Therefore,  $g|_{\mathbf{A} \times_k V}$  factors through  $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$ . Consider the kernel  $i : Z \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A}$  of a pair consisting of  $g$  and a morphism  $\mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  that factorizes through  $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is separated, we derive that  $i$  is a closed immersion. Moreover,  $i$  dominates  $\mathbf{A} \times_k V$ . Since  $\mathbf{A} \times_k V$  is schematically dense open subset of  $\mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A}$  (because  $\mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A}$  is integral), we derive that  $i$  is an isomorphism and hence  $g$  factors through  $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an abelian variety over  $k$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}$  is a commutative group scheme over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the morphism  $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  given on  $A$ -points of  $\mathbf{A}$  by

$$f(x) = x^{-1}$$

where  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra. By Theorem 3.3 we derive that  $f$  is a morphism of group schemes over  $k$ . Hence  $\mathbf{A}$  is a commutative group scheme.  $\square$

#### 4. REPRESENTABILITY OF FIXED POINTS

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be an action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on a  $k$ -functor. Then we define a  $k$ -subfunctor  $\mathfrak{X}^\mathfrak{G}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  by

$$\mathfrak{X}^\mathfrak{G}(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{X}(A) \mid \text{for any } A\text{-algebra } f : A \rightarrow B \text{ and } g \in \mathfrak{G}(B) \text{ we have } \alpha(g, \mathfrak{X}(f)(x)) = \mathfrak{X}(f)(x)\}$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{X}^\mathfrak{G}$  is called *the fixed point  $k$ -functor*.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a group scheme over  $k$  and let  $a : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$  be an action of  $\mathbf{G}$  on a  $k$ -scheme  $X$ . Suppose that one of the following assertions hold.*

- (i)  $X$  is separated.
- (ii)  $\mathbf{G}$  is a geometrically connected, locally algebraic group.

The following result is based on [Mon19, Theorem 6.2] and plays the fundamental role in the proof.

**Lemma 4.2.1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be  $k$ -schemes and let  $a : Y \times_k X \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $k$ -schemes. Suppose that one of the following assertions hold.*

- (1)  $X$  is separated.
- (2) For every open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$  we have  $a(Y \times_k U) \subseteq U$

Consider a  $k$ -functor given by formula

$$A \mapsto \{f : \operatorname{Spec} A \rightarrow X \mid a \cdot (1_Y \times_k f) = \operatorname{pr}_X \cdot (1_Y \times_k f)\}$$

where  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra and  $\operatorname{pr}_X : Y \times_k X \rightarrow X$  is the projection. Then this  $k$ -functor is representable by a closed subscheme of  $X$ .

*Proof of the lemma.* Assume first that  $X$  is separated. Consider a morphism  $\langle a, \operatorname{pr}_X \rangle : Y \times_k X \rightarrow X \times_k X$ . By [Mon20, Corollary 4.6] we deduce that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\langle a, \operatorname{pr}_X \rangle}$  corresponds to a morphism  $\sigma : \mathfrak{P}_X \rightarrow \operatorname{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X \times \mathfrak{P}_X)$  of  $k$ -functors. Since  $X$  is separated, the diagonal  $\delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times_k X$  is a closed immersion. This implies that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\delta_X}$  is a closed immersion of  $k$ -functors. The fact that  $Y$  is locally free over  $k$  and [Mon19, Theorem 6.2] imply that

$$\operatorname{Mor}_k(1_{\mathfrak{P}_Y}, \mathfrak{P}_{\delta_X}) : \operatorname{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X \times \mathfrak{P}_X)$$

is a closed immersion of  $k$ -functors. Consider now a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{j} & \operatorname{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \operatorname{Mor}_k(1_{\mathfrak{P}_Y}, \mathfrak{P}_{\delta_X}) \\ \mathfrak{P}_X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \operatorname{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X \times \mathfrak{P}_X) \end{array}$$

of  $k$ -functors. By base change  $j : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$  is a closed immersion of  $k$ -functors. Thus we derive that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is representable by a closed subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . It suffices to observe that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is precisely the  $k$ -functor described in the statement. This proves the statement under the assumption (1).

Now suppose that  $a(Y \times_k U) \subseteq U$  for every open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$ . For every open subscheme denote by  $a_U : Y \times_k U \rightarrow U$  the restriction of  $a$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open affine cover of  $X$ . Then functors

$$\left\{ \mathbf{Alg}_k \ni A \mapsto \{f : \operatorname{Spec} A \rightarrow U \mid a \cdot (1_Y \times_k f) = \operatorname{pr}_X \cdot (1_Y \times_k f)\} \in \mathbf{Set} \right\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$$

form an open cover ([Mon19, Definition 4.5]) of the  $k$ -functor in the statement. Moreover, since each  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  is affine and hence separated, we derive by the first part of the proof that each  $k$ -functor in the family is representable. Now [Mon19, Theorem 4.6] imply that the functor in the statement is representable. This finishes the proof in case (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.2.** *Let  $f : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  be a morphism of locally algebraic groups over  $k$ . Suppose that the following assertions hold.*

(1) *The morphism*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}, e_{\mathbf{G}}}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}, e_{\mathbf{H}}}}$$

*induced by  $f^\#$  is an isomorphism.*

(2)  *$f$  is a monomorphism of  $k$ -schemes.*

*Then  $f$  is an open immersion.*

*Proof of the lemma.* The assertion (1) implies that  $f$  is étale in  $e_{\mathbf{H}}$ . Let  $K$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ . Consider the étale locus  $U$  of  $f_k = 1_K \otimes_k f : \mathbf{H}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_K$ . Then  $U$  is an open subscheme of  $\mathbf{H}_K$  containing the unit. Moreover, for every  $K$ -point  $h$  of  $\mathbf{H}_K$  we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{H}_K & \xrightarrow{f_K} & \mathbf{G}_K \\
h \cdot (-) \downarrow & & \downarrow f_K(h) \cdot (-) \\
\mathbf{H}_K & \xrightarrow{f_K} & \mathbf{G}_K
\end{array}$$

where  $h \cdot (-)$  and  $f_K(h) \cdot (-)$  are isomorphisms of  $K$ -schemes. This proves that  $h \cdot U \subseteq U$ . Hence  $U$  contains all  $K$ -rational points of  $\mathbf{H}_K$ . Therefore, the complement of  $U$  in  $\mathbf{H}_K$  is empty. Hence  $U = \mathbf{H}_K$ . This shows that  $f_K$  is étale and by faithfully flat descent also  $f$  is étale. Since étale monomorphisms are open immersions, we derive that  $f$  is an open immersion.  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* If (1) holds, then the statement follows directly from Lemma 4.2.1. Suppose now that (2) holds that is  $\mathbf{G}$  is an algebraic group. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$\mathbf{G}_n = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}, e_{\mathbf{G}}} / \mathfrak{m}_{e_{\mathbf{G}}}^{n+1}$$

where  $e$  is the unit of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}_n$  is the  $n$ -th infinitesimal neighborhood of  $e$  in  $\mathbf{G}$ . Denote by  $p_n : \mathbf{G}_n \times_k X \rightarrow X$  the projection on the second factor. Let  $a_n : \mathbf{G}_n \times_k X \rightarrow X$  be the morphism induced by  $a$ . Note that for every open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$  we have  $a_n(\mathbf{G}_n \times_k U) \subseteq U$ . By Lemma 4.2.1 it follows that the  $k$ -functor given by

$$\mathbf{Alg}_k \ni A \mapsto \{f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X \mid a_n \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}_n} \times_k f) = \text{pr}_n \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}_n} \times_k f)\} \in \mathbf{Set}$$

is representable by a closed subscheme  $Z_n$  of  $X$ . Consider now the quasi-coherent ideal  $\mathcal{I}_n$  of  $Z_n$  inside  $X$ . Define

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{I}_n$$

Let  $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a closed subscheme of  $X$  determined by  $\mathcal{I}$ . This means that  $Z$  is the scheme-theoretic intersection inside  $X$  of closed subschemes  $Z_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show that  $Z$  represents the fixed point functor. For this assume that  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra and  $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of  $k$ -schemes such that  $f$  is an  $A$ -point of the fixed point functor. This is equivalent with

$$a \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k f) = \text{pr}_X \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k f)$$

From this equality we deduce that

$$a_n \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}_n} \times_k f) = \text{pr}_n \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}_n} \times_k f)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $f$  factors through  $Z_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We derive that  $f$  factors through  $Z$ . This proves that the fixed point functor is a  $k$ -subfunctor of the functor of points of  $Z$ . It suffices to prove that  $Z$  is invariant with respect to  $\mathbf{G}$ -action. For this consider the morphism  $b : \mathbf{G} \times_k Z \rightarrow X$  induced by  $a$ . By [Mon20, Corollary 4.6] morphism  $b$  corresponds to a morphism  $\sigma : \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_X)$  of  $k$ -functors. Consider the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_Z) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Mor}_k(1_{\mathfrak{P}_Z}, \mathfrak{P}_i) \\
\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{G}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_X)
\end{array}$$

The fact that  $Z$  is locally free over  $k$  and [Mon19, Theorem 6.2] imply that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_i)$  is a closed immersion of  $k$ -functors. Hence  $j : \mathfrak{H} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{G}}$  is a closed immersion. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a subgroup  $k$ -functor of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{G}}$ . Thus we deduce that  $j$  is induced by a closed immersion of algebraic groups  $f : \mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}$ . By definition of  $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ , we derive that morphism of local  $k$ -algebras

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}, e_{\mathbf{G}}}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}, e_{\mathbf{H}}}}$$

induced by  $f^\#$  is an isomorphism. Hence by Lemma 4.2.2  $f$  is an open immersion of locally algebraic groups. Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is geometrically connected, we deduce that  $f$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $j$  is an isomorphism and this means that  $b : \mathbf{G} \times_k Z \rightarrow X$  factors through  $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ .  $\square$

## 5. TRANSPORTERS

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be an action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on a  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{Y}_1, \mathfrak{Y}_2$  are  $k$ -subfunctors of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we define

$$\text{Transp}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathfrak{Y}_1, \mathfrak{Y}_2)(A) = \{g \in \mathfrak{G}(A) \mid \alpha_g(\mathfrak{Y}_1(A)) \subseteq \mathfrak{Y}_2(A)\}$$

where as usual  $\alpha_g$  is a slice of  $\alpha$  along  $g$ . Then  $\text{Transp}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathfrak{Y}_1, \mathfrak{Y}_2)$  is a  $k$ -subfunctor of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . It is called the transporter of  $\mathfrak{Y}_1$  into  $\mathfrak{Y}_2$  with respect to  $\alpha$ .

## 6. MORPHISMS OF LOCALLY ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $f : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  be a morphism of algebraic groups over  $k$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $f$  is a monomorphism of  $k$ -schemes.
- (ii)  $f$  is a locally closed immersion of  $k$ -schemes.
- (iii)  $f$  is a closed immersion of  $k$ -schemes.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a monomorphism of finite type with  $X, Y$  noetherian. Then there exists open dense subscheme  $V$  of  $Y$  such that the morphism  $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$  induced by  $f$  is a locally closed immersion.

The proof is based on a sequence of results.

**Lemma 6.2.1.** Let  $K$  and  $L$  be fields. If  $\text{Spec } L \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } K$  is a monomorphism of schemes, then it is an isomorphism.

*Proof of the lemma.* Since the diagonal of a monomorphism is an isomorphism, we deduce that the multiplication map  $L \otimes_K L \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism. This implies that  $\dim_k(L) = \dim_L(L \otimes_K L) = 1$ . Hence  $k \hookrightarrow L$  is an isomorphism of fields.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.2.** There exists an open dense subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $f|_U$  is a locally closed immersion.

*Proof of the lemma.* Suppose that  $x$  is a generic point of an irreducible component of  $X$ . Let  $y = f(x)$ . Pick  $f_y : X_y \rightarrow \text{Spec } k(y)$ . Then  $f_y$  is a monomorphism. Since  $\text{Spec } k(x)$  is a closed subscheme of  $X_y$ , we derive that the composition of  $\text{Spec } k(x) \hookrightarrow X_y$  and  $f_y$  is a monomorphism  $\text{Spec } k(x) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } k(y)$  of schemes. By Lemma 6.2.1 we deduce that is an isomorphism. We derive that  $f_y$  is a retraction. A retraction that is a monomorphism is an isomorphism. Hence  $f_y$  is an isomorphism. This shows that  $k(y) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_y \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . Thus we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = f^\#(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) + \mathfrak{m}_y \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

Since  $x$  is a generic point of an irreducible component of  $X$ , we derive that  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is artinian. Thus  $\mathfrak{m}_y \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$  is a nilpotent ideal. Thus  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is onto.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.3.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of finite type between noetherian rings. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B$  are prime ideals such that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$ . Assume that  $f$  induces a surjective morphism  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Then there exists  $s \in B \setminus \mathfrak{q}$  such that  $f$  induces a surjective morphism  $A \rightarrow B_s$ .

*Proof of the Lemma.* First assume that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is bijective and let  $\phi : B_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is morphism of finite type between noetherian rings, we have  $B \cong A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  for some finitely generated ideal  $I \subseteq A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and free variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $\bar{x}_i = x_i \bmod I$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Suppose that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are elements in  $A$  such that

$$\frac{a_i}{1} = \phi \left( \frac{\bar{x}_i}{1} \right)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . □

#### REFERENCES

- [DG70] Michel Demazure and Pierre Gabriel. *Groupes algébriques. Tome I. Géométrie algébrique généralités. Groupes commutatifs*. North-Holland, 1970.
- [Mon19] Monygham. Geometry of k-functors. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2019.
- [Mon20] Monygham. Monoid k-functors and their representations. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2020.