

ALGEBRAIC GROUP SCHEMES OVER FIELD

1. INTRODUCTION

In these notes we group schemes over fields. For background we refer to [Mon19] and [Mon20]. Throughout these notes k is a fixed field.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a scheme over k . If X is (locally) of finite type over k , then we say that X is an (a locally) algebraic scheme over k .

2. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF GROUPS SCHEMES OVER k

Proposition 2.1. Let \mathbf{G} be a group scheme over k and let $e_{\mathbf{G}} : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be its unit. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) $e_{\mathbf{G}}$ is a closed immersion.
- (ii) \mathbf{G} is separated.

Proof. Suppose that (i) holds. Consider morphism $f : \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ given on A -points by formula

$$f(g_1, g_2) = g_1 \cdot g_2^{-1}$$

where A is a k -algebra. Note that we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \operatorname{Spec} k \\ \delta_{\mathbf{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow e_{\mathbf{G}} \\ \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{G} \end{array}$$

where $\delta_{\mathbf{G}}$ is a diagonal of \mathbf{G} and the top horizontal arrow is the structure morphism. Since base change of a closed immersion is a closed immersion, we derive that $\delta_{\mathbf{G}}$ is a closed immersion and hence \mathbf{G} is separated. This is (ii).

Suppose now that (ii) holds. Let $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ be the structural morphism. Then $\pi \cdot e_{\mathbf{G}} = 1_{\mathbf{G}}$. Since π is a separated morphism, we derive that (by cancellation) $e_{\mathbf{G}}$ is closed immersion. This is (i). \square

Definition 2.2. Let \mathbf{G} be a group scheme over k . If \mathbf{G} is (locally) algebraic over k , then we say that \mathbf{G} is an (a locally) algebraic group over k .

Corollary 2.3. Let \mathbf{G} be a locally algebraic group over k . Then \mathbf{G} is separated.

Proof. Consider the unit $e_{\mathbf{G}} : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$. Since \mathbf{G} is locally of finite type, we derive that each k -point is closed in \mathbf{G} . Thus $e_{\mathbf{G}}$ is a closed immersion. By Proposition 2.1 we derive that \mathbf{G} is separated. \square

Remark 2.4. Let \mathfrak{G} be a group k -functor and let $a : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an action of \mathfrak{G} on \mathfrak{X} . Consider an isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{G}(A) \times \mathfrak{X}(A) \ni (g, x) \mapsto (g, g^{-1}x) \in \mathfrak{G}(A) \times \mathfrak{X}(A)$$

for every k -algebra. Then the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \\
\pi_{\mathfrak{X}} \searrow & & \swarrow a \\
& \mathfrak{X} &
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathbf{G} be a group scheme over k and let $a : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be an action of \mathbf{G} on k -scheme X . Then a is isomorphism with the projection $\pi_X : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. This is a reformulation of Remark 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathbf{G} be a group scheme over k and let $a : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be an action of \mathbf{G} on k -scheme X . Then a is faithfully flat.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.5 and the fact that each group scheme \mathbf{G} over a field k is faithfully flat. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let \mathbf{G} be a group scheme over k , let X, Y be k -schemes with \mathbf{G} -actions and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphism. Suppose that \mathbf{P} is a property of morphisms of k -schemes such that the following assertions hold.*

- (1) \mathbf{P} is local on the base.
- (2) \mathbf{P} is closed under base change.
- (3) \mathbf{P} descends along faithfully flat base change.

Then there exists the largest open subset of Y such that the restriction $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ of f is in \mathbf{P} and it is \mathbf{G} -invariant.

Proof. Note that the existence of V follows from (1). We denote by \tilde{f} the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ and we denote by $\mathbf{G} \cdot V$, $\mathbf{G} \cdot f^{-1}(V)$ translations with respect to \mathbf{G} -actions of V , $f^{-1}(V)$, respectively. We also denote by $\hat{f} : \mathbf{G} \cdot f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \cdot V$ the restriction of f . Note that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{G} \times_k f^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}\text{-action}} & \mathbf{G} \cdot f^{-1}(V) \\
1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{f} \\
\mathbf{G} \times_k V & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}\text{-action}} & \mathbf{G} \cdot V
\end{array}$$

is cartesian. The assumption (2) implies that $1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k \tilde{f}$ is in \mathbf{P} . Since bottom horizontal morphism is faithfully flat by Corollary 2.6, we deduce by (3) that \hat{f} is in \mathbf{P} . Since V is the largest open subset of Y such that the restriction $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ of f is in \mathbf{P} and

$$f^{-1}(\mathbf{G} \cdot V) = \mathbf{G} \cdot f^{-1}(V)$$

we derive that $\mathbf{G} \cdot V \subseteq V$. Hence $V = \mathbf{G} \cdot V$, which means that V is \mathbf{G} -invariant. \square

Finally we introduce certain class of monoid k -schemes that satisfy rudimentary finiteness properties.

Definition 2.8. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid scheme over k . If \mathbf{M} is (locally) algebraic over k , then we say that \mathbf{M} is an (a locally) algebraic monoid over k .

3. THE ACTION ON REGULAR FUNCTIONS OF A \mathbf{G} -SCHEME

We start with the following notion.

Definition 3.1. Let Y be a scheme and let X be a Y -scheme. If the diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is affine, then we say that X is *semi-separated* over Y .

Remark 3.2. Let Y be a scheme. Every separated Y -scheme is semi-separated.

Example 3.3 (Semi-separated scheme that is not separated). Let o be the origin of the affine line \mathbb{A}_k^1 . Consider the following pushout diagram in the category of k -schemes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus \{o\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_k^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_k^1 & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Then X is an affine line with double origin. Then the diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times_k X$ is affine but not a closed immersion. Hence X is semi-separated but not separated.

Theorem 3.4. Let X, Y be quasi-compact and semi-separated k -schemes. Denote by π_X and π_Y projections from $X \times_k Y$ to X and Y , respectively. Then the canonical morphism

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \ni f \otimes_k g \mapsto \pi_X^\#(f) \cdot \pi_Y^\#(g) \in \Gamma(X \times_k Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y})$$

is an isomorphism.

The theorem follows from the following result.

Lemma 3.4.1. Let X, Y be k -schemes and let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite open cover of Y . Suppose that the canonical morphism

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \Gamma(V_i \cap V_j, \mathcal{O}_{V_i \cap V_j}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X \times_k (V_i \cap V_j), \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k (V_i \cap V_j)})$$

is an isomorphism for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the canonical morphism

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X \times_k Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof of the lemma. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have the restriction $r_i : \Gamma(X \times_k Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X \times_k V_i, \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k V_i})$ we denote by p_i the restriction $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{O}_{V_i})$ tensored with $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have the restriction $r_{i,j} : \Gamma(X \times_k V_i, \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k V_i}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X \times_k (V_i \cap V_j), \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k (V_i \cap V_j)})$ and we denote by $p_{i,j}$ the restriction $\Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{O}_{V_i}) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_i \cap V_j, \mathcal{O}_{V_i \cap V_j})$ tensored with $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma(X \times_k Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}) & \xrightarrow{\langle r_i \rangle_{i=1}^n} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Gamma(X \times_k V_i, \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k V_i}) & \xrightarrow[\langle r_{j,i} \cdot \text{pr}_j \rangle_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}]{} & \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Gamma(X \times_k (V_i \cap V_j), \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k (V_i \cap V_j)}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\ \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) & \xrightarrow{\langle p_i \rangle_{i=1}^n} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \Gamma(V_i, \mathcal{O}_{V_i}) & \xrightarrow[\langle p_{j,i} \cdot \text{pr}_j \rangle_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}]{} & \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \Gamma(V_i \cap V_j, \mathcal{O}_{V_i \cap V_j}) \end{array}$$

in which vertical arrows are canonically defined. Moreover, by assumptions right and middle vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Note also that both rows are kernel diagrams. Indeed, for the top row this follows from the sheaf property of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}$ and for the bottom row this follows from the fact that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is flat over k (it is a field) together with the sheaf property of \mathcal{O}_Y . These imply that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism. This proves the assertion. \square

Proof of the theorem. The statement holds, if X, Y are affine. Note that semi-separatedness of a scheme over commutative ring is equivalent to the fact that intersection of every pair of its open affine subschemes is affine. Now Lemma 3.4.1 implies that the result holds if X is affine and Y is quasi-compact and semi-separated over k . Next and by symmetry in Lemma 3.4.1, we derive that the result holds if X, Y are quasi-compact and semi-separated over k . \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a quasi-compact and semi-separated k -scheme. Then $\mathcal{M}or_k(\mathfrak{P}_X, \mathfrak{D}_k)$ exists and for every k -algebra A there is identification*

$$\mathcal{M}or_k(\mathfrak{P}_X, \mathfrak{D}_k)(A) = A \otimes_k \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

natural in A .

Proof. Since k -functor \mathfrak{D}_k is representable by \mathbb{A}_k^1 [Mon20, Fact 3.2] and morphisms $\text{Spec } A \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ of A -schemes corresponds to regular functions on $\text{Spec } A \times_k X$, we derive that there is an identification

$$\mathcal{M}or_A((\mathfrak{P}_X)_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) = \Gamma(\text{Spec } A \times_k X, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A \times_k X})$$

natural in k -algebra A . Now by Theorem 3.4 and assumptions on X we deduce that for every k -algebra there is an identification

$$\mathcal{M}or_k(\mathfrak{P}_X, \mathfrak{D}_k)(A) = A \otimes_k \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

natural in A . \square

Recall that if \mathfrak{G} is a monoid k -functor that acts on a k -functor \mathfrak{X} , then by [Mon20, Proposition 10.2] there exists canonically defined action of \mathfrak{G}^{op} on $\mathcal{M}or_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$ assuming that the latter exists.

Example 3.6. Let \mathbf{M} be a quasi-compact and semi-separated monoid k -scheme and let $a : \mathbf{M} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be an action of \mathbf{M} on quasi-compact and semi-separated k -scheme X . Then by the remark above and by Proposition 3.5 we deduce that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ carries the canonical structure of a linear representation of \mathbf{M}^{op} . Let us explain how this representation is defined. For this consider k -algebra A and let $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be a morphism of k -schemes. Since $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{\text{op}}$ as k -schemes, morphism f is an A -point of \mathbf{M}^{op} . Now the morphism

$$a \cdot (f \times_k 1_X) : \text{Spec } A \times_k X \rightarrow X$$

defines a morphism

$$\rho(f) : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow A \otimes_k \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

on global sections. Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}^{\text{op}}}(A) \ni f \mapsto \rho(f) \in \text{Hom}_k(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X), A \otimes_k \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

and this determines $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ as the linear representation of \mathbf{M}^{op} .

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathbf{M} be a quasi-compact and semi-separated monoid k -scheme and let $a : \mathbf{M} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be an action of \mathbf{M} on quasi-compact and semi-separated k -scheme X . Consider the canonical linear representation $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of \mathbf{M}^{op} . Then every finite dimensional subspace $V \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is contained in some finite dimensional \mathbf{M}^{op} -subrepresentation.*

Proof. Let $\mu : \mathbf{M} \times_k \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be the multiplication. Since a is an action, the following square is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M} \times_k \mathbf{M} \times_k X & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathbf{M}} \times_k a} & \mathbf{M} \times_k X \\ \mu \times_k 1_X \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ \mathbf{M} \times_k X & \xrightarrow{a} & X \end{array}$$

It implies that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M} \times_k \mathbf{M} \times_k X}(\mathbf{M} \times_k \mathbf{M} \times_k X) & \xleftarrow{(1_{\mathbf{M}} \times_k a)^\#_{\mathbf{M} \times_k X}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M} \times_k X}(\mathbf{M} \times_k X) \\
\uparrow (\mu \times_k 1_X)^\#_{\mathbf{M} \times_k X} & & \uparrow a_X^\# \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M} \times_k X}(\mathbf{M} \times_k X) & \xleftarrow{a_X^\#} & \mathcal{O}_X(X)
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Since \mathbf{M} , X are quasi-compact and semi-separated, canonical identifications of Theorem 3.4 can be used. This implies that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{M}) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{M}) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X(X) & \xleftarrow{1_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{M})} \otimes_k a_X^\#} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{M}) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X(X) \\
\uparrow \mu_{\mathbf{M}}^\# \otimes_k 1_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)} & & \uparrow a_X^\# \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{M}) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X(X) & \xleftarrow{a_X^\#} & \mathcal{O}_X(X)
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Fix a k -basis $\{r_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Pick a regular function r on X and write

$$a_X^\#(r) = \sum_{i \in F} \phi_i \otimes_k r_i$$

where F is a finite subset of I and ϕ_i are regular functions on \mathbf{M} for $i \in F$. Let W be a k -subspace of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ spanned by $\{r_i\}_{i \in F}$ and r . Then we equality

$$\sum_{i \in F} \phi_i \otimes_k a_X^\#(r_i) = \sum_{i \in F} \mu_{\mathbf{M}}^\#(\phi_i) \otimes_k r_i \in \Gamma(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}) \otimes_k \Gamma(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}) \otimes_k W$$

Therefore, we have $a_X^\#(r_i) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}) \otimes_k W$ for every $i \in F$. We deduce that $a_X^\#(W) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}) \otimes_k W$. Now for each k -algebra A and A -point f of k -scheme $\mathbf{M}^{\text{op}} = \mathbf{M}$ and for every $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ Theorem 3.4 and description of ρ in Example 3.6 imply

$$\rho(f) = (f_{\mathbf{M}}^\# \otimes_k 1_{\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)}) \cdot a_X^\#$$

Thus for every A -point f of \mathbf{M}^{op} we have

$$\rho(f)(W) \subseteq A \otimes_k W$$

Thus W is a linear \mathbf{M}^{op} -subrepresentation of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $r \in W$. This proves that each element of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is contained in a finite dimensional subrepresentation of \mathbf{M}^{op} . So the statement holds for one dimensional vector subspaces of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. If the statement holds for two finite dimensional vector subspaces of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, then it also holds for their sum. Thus the assertion holds for arbitrary finite dimensional vector subspace of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. \square

4. MORPHISMS OF LOCALLY ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

We start with the following interesting result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be a morphism of locally algebraic groups over k . Suppose that f is of finite type. Let $i : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be the scheme-theoretic image of f and let $g : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ be the unique morphism of schemes such that $f = i \cdot g$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) \mathbf{K} is a closed subgroup k -scheme of \mathbf{G} .
- (2) g is a surjective morphism of group schemes over k .

Proof. Since f is quasi-compact, we deduce that $i : \mathbf{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a closed immersion determined by the kernel of $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}}$ and $g^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}} \rightarrow g_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}}$ is injective morphism of sheaves. Moreover, g is quasi-compact. We derive by Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 2.3 that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K} \times_k \mathbf{K}}(V \times_k W) & \xrightarrow{(g \times_k g)^\#_{V \times_k W}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H} \times_k \mathbf{H}}(g^{-1}(V) \times_k g^{-1}(W)) \\
\uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}(V) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K}}(W) & \xrightarrow{g_V^\# \otimes_k g_W^\#} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}}(g^{-1}(V)) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}}(g^{-1}(W))
\end{array}$$

is commutative, where vertical arrows are canonical isomorphisms. This implies that the morphism $(g \times_k g)^\#$ is injective. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{H} \times_k \mathbf{H} & \xrightarrow{g \times_k g} & \mathbf{K} \times_k \mathbf{K} & \xrightarrow{i \times_k i} & \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \\
\downarrow \nu_{\mathbf{H}} & & & & \downarrow \nu_{\mathbf{G}} \\
\mathbf{H} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbf{K} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{G}
\end{array}$$

where $\nu_{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\nu_{\mathbf{H}}$ are morphisms determined by formula $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2$ on functors of points. Commutativity of the diagram implies that we have equality

$$((\nu_{\mathbf{G}})_* (i \times_k i)_* (g \times_k g)^\#) \cdot ((\nu_{\mathbf{G}})_* (i \times_k i)^\#) \cdot \nu_{\mathbf{G}}^\# = (i_* g_* (\nu_{\mathbf{H}})^\#) \cdot (i_* g^\#) \cdot i^\#$$

This equality together with injectivity of $(g \times_k g)^\#$ implies that the kernel of

$$(\nu_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot (i \times_k i))^\# = ((\nu_{\mathbf{G}})_* (i \times_k i)^\#) \cdot \nu_{\mathbf{G}}^\#$$

contains $\ker(i^\#)$. Thus $\nu_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot (i \times_k i)$ factors through i . Hence there exists a unique morphism ν such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{K} \times_k \mathbf{K} & \xrightarrow{i \times_k i} & \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \\
\downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \nu_{\mathbf{G}} \\
\mathbf{K} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{G}
\end{array}$$

is commutative. This implies that $i : \mathbf{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a closed subgroup k -scheme of \mathbf{G} . Since i is a monomorphism and

$$i \cdot \nu \cdot (g \times_k g) = \nu_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot (i \times_k i) \cdot (g \times_k g) = i \cdot g \cdot \nu_{\mathbf{H}}$$

we derive that $\nu \cdot (g \times_k g) = g \cdot \nu_{\mathbf{H}}$. Hence g is a morphism of group schemes over k . It remains to prove that $g : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ is surjective. Recall that g is of finite type and $g^\#$ is injective. Note that these properties are preserved under base change to an algebraic closure of k . Moreover, the surjectivity of morphism descends along faithfully flat base change. Thus we may assume that k is algebraically closed. By [Mon18, Theorem 3.4] and the fact that g is of finite type, we deduce that $g(\mathbf{H})$ is locally constructible in \mathbf{K} . Since $g^\#$ is injective, we derive that set-theoretic image $g(\mathbf{H}) \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ is dense. Thus $g(\mathbf{H})$ is dense and locally constructible. Hence there exists an open and dense subset V of \mathbf{K} such that $V \subseteq g(\mathbf{H})$. Since k is algebraically closed and \mathbf{K} is locally algebraic, we may pick a k -point v in V . Since $V \subseteq g(\mathbf{H})$, we deduce that $v \in g(\mathbf{H})$ and thus $v^{-1} \in g(\mathbf{H})$. Hence

$$W = v^{-1} \cdot V \subseteq g(\mathbf{H}) \cdot g(\mathbf{H}) \subseteq g(\mathbf{H})$$

Thus W is open neighborhood of the unit, dense in \mathbf{K} and contained in $g(\mathbf{H})$. Next

$$g(\mathbf{H}) \cdot W \subseteq g(\mathbf{H}) \cdot g(\mathbf{H}) \subseteq g(\mathbf{H})$$

Thus $g(\mathbf{H})$ is open in \mathbf{K} . Now if $u \in \mathbf{K} \setminus g(\mathbf{H})$ is a k -point, then

$$u \cdot g(\mathbf{H}) \cap g(\mathbf{H}) = \emptyset$$

as two distinct left cosets of an open subgroup $g(\mathbf{H})$ in \mathbf{K} are disjoint. This is contradiction, because $u \cdot g(\mathbf{H})$ is open neighborhood of u and $g(\mathbf{H})$ is dense in \mathbf{K} . Therefore, $g(\mathbf{H})$ is an open subset of \mathbf{K} that contains all its k -points. Since k is algebraically closed and \mathbf{K} is locally algebraic, this implies that the closed subset $\mathbf{K} \setminus g(\mathbf{H})$ is empty. Thus g is surjective. \square

Now we study equivariant monomorphisms of actions of locally algebraic groups. We start by proving certain results that hold for monomorphisms locally of finite type in locally noetherian case.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism locally of finite type with Y locally noetherian. Suppose that for some point x in X morphism $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is surjective. Then there exist an open neighborhood U of x and an open neighborhood V of $f(x)$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$ and the restriction $U \rightarrow V$ induced by f is a closed immersion.*

Proof. Consider an open affine neighborhood W of x and an open affine neighborhood V of $f(x)$ such that $f(W) \subseteq V$. Let $V = \text{Spec } A$, $W = \text{Spec } B$ and $f = \text{Spec } g$. Then g induces a surjective map $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ with x corresponding to $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B$ and $f(x)$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Since B is a finitely generated A -algebra (g is of finite type), there exist b_1, \dots, b_n generators of B over A . Next the fact that $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is surjective, implies that there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $s_1, \dots, s_n \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in B \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ such that

$$t_i (g(a_i) - g(s_i)b_i) = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that $\tilde{s} = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $t = t_1 \dots t_n g(s)$. Then

$$\frac{g(a_i)}{g(s_i)} = \frac{b_i}{1}$$

in B_t . Moreover, there exists a polynomial $F \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $t = F(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. This shows that

$$\frac{t}{1} = F\left(\frac{g(a_1)}{g(s_1)}, \dots, \frac{g(a_n)}{g(s_n)}\right)$$

as an element of B_t . Thus in B_t we have equality

$$\frac{t}{1} = \frac{g(a)}{g(\tilde{s})^m}$$

for some element $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $t \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and hence $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Since B_t is generated by $\frac{b_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{b_n}{1}, \frac{1}{t}$ over A , we derive that the morphism $A_{\tilde{s} \cdot a} \rightarrow B_t$ induced by g is surjective. Since $\tilde{s} \notin \mathfrak{p}$, we deduce that for $s = \tilde{s} \cdot a$ the restriction of f to $W_t \rightarrow V_s$ induces a closed immersion. \square

Remark 4.3. Let $K \hookrightarrow L$ be a fields such that $\text{Spec } L \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } K$ is a monomorphism of schemes. Since the diagonal of a monomorphism is an isomorphism, we deduce that the multiplication map $L \otimes_K L \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism. This implies that $\dim_K(L) = \dim_L(L \otimes_K L) = 1$. Hence $K \hookrightarrow L$ is an isomorphism of fields. Thus every monomorphism of spectra of fields is an isomorphism.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a monomorphism of finite type and let Y be locally noetherian. Then there exists open dense subscheme V of Y such that the morphism $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ induced by f is a closed immersion.*

The proof is based on a sequence of results.

Lemma 4.4.1. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be an artinian local ring and $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ be a monomorphism locally of finite type. Then f is a closed immersion.*

Proof of the lemma. Let K be a residue field of A i.e. $K = A/\mathfrak{m}$. Since f is locally of finite type, we derive that X is a locally noetherian scheme. Moreover, f is injective. Hence X consists of a single point. Thus $X = \operatorname{Spec} B$ for some local artinian ring (B, \mathfrak{n}) . Note that the composition $\operatorname{Spec} B/\mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} B/\mathfrak{m}B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} K$ is a monomorphism of spectra of fields. Hence by Remark 4.3 we derive that it is an isomorphism. This implies that $\operatorname{Spec} B/\mathfrak{m}B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} K$ is simultaneously a monomorphism and a retraction of schemes. Thus $\operatorname{Spec} B/\mathfrak{m}B \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} K$ is an isomorphism of schemes. We deduce that

$$B = f^\#(A) + \mathfrak{m}B$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}B \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ and \mathfrak{n} is nilpotent, this last equality shows that $B = f^\#(A)$. Hence $f^\#$ is surjective. This means that f is a closed immersion. \square

Proof of the theorem. Let y be a generic point of an irreducible component of Y . There are two cases.

- (1) Suppose that there exists x in X such that $f(x) = y$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is artinian local ring. By Lemma 4.4.1 we derive that $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is surjective. Next by Proposition 4.2 we deduce that there exists an open neighborhood U of x and an open neighborhood V of y such that the restriction $U \rightarrow V$ of f is a closed immersion. Shrinking V we may assume that it is affine and does not intersect any irreducible component of Y other than $\mathbf{cl}(\{y\})$. By Chevalley's theorem on images [Mon18, Theorem 3.4] we derive that $f(U)$ is locally constructible in V and contains y . Hence there exists open neighborhood V_y of y contained in $f(U)$. Since f is injective, we infer that f induces a closed immersion $f^{-1}(V_y) \rightarrow V_y$.
- (2) Suppose that $y \notin f(X)$. Since f is of finite type and Y is locally noetherian, we deduce by [Mon18, Theorem 3.4] that $f(X)$ is locally constructible and does not contain y . This implies that there exists an open affine neighborhood V_y of y such that $V_y \cap f(X) = \emptyset$. Clearly $f^{-1}(V_y) \rightarrow V_y$ is a closed immersion (inclusion of an empty closed subscheme into V_y).

Since closed immersions are local on the target, we derive that $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is a closed immersion, where

$$V = \bigcup_{y \in Y_0} V_y$$

and Y_0 denotes the set of generic points of irreducible components of Y . By construction V is dense in Y . \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a monomorphism locally of finite type with Y locally noetherian. Then for each generic point x of an irreducible component of X there exist an open neighborhood U of x and an open neighborhood V of $f(x)$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$ and the restriction $U \rightarrow V$ induced by f is a closed immersion.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4.1. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is an artinian local ring and $f(x) = y$. Next consider the fiber $f_y : X_y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k(y)$ of f . This is a monomorphism. Hence the composition of closed immersion $\operatorname{Spec} k(x) \hookrightarrow X_y$ with f_y is a monomorphism of spectra of fields. Thus by Remark 4.3 we derive that it is an isomorphism. Therefore, f_y is simultaneously a monomorphism and a retraction. We deduce that f_y is an isomorphism. This means that

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = f^\#(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) + \mathfrak{m}_y \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}_y \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$ and this last ideal is nilpotent as $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is artinian, we infer that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = f^\#(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$. We conclude by Proposition 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let \mathbf{G} be a group scheme over k , let X, Y be k -schemes with \mathbf{G} -actions and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphism. If f is a monomorphism of finite type and Y is locally algebraic scheme over k , then there exists an open dense and \mathbf{G} -invariant subset V such that the restriction $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ of f is a closed immersion.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4 there exists an open dense subset V of Y such that the restriction $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is a closed immersion. Note that the class of closed immersions satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3) of Theorem 2.7. Thus we may pick V that is open dense in Y and \mathbf{G} -invariant. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let $f : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be a morphisms of finite type of locally algebraic group schemes over k . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) f is a monomorphism.
- (ii) f is a closed immersion.

Proof. Assume (i). By Theorem 4.1 we may assume that f is a surjective monomorphism. We view f as a \mathbf{H} -equivariant morphism with respect to action of \mathbf{H} on \mathbf{G} given by f . Now Corollary 4.6 implies that there exists an open, dense and \mathbf{H} -invariant subset V of \mathbf{G} such that the morphism $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ induced by f is a closed immersion. Every \mathbf{H} -invariant open subset of \mathbf{G} is \mathbf{G} -invariant (this follows from the fact that f is surjective). Thus $V = \mathbf{G}$ and we deduce (ii). The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious. \square

5. ABELIAN VARIETIES

We start this section with the following general result.

Theorem 5.1 (Rigidity). *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of schemes such that $\pi^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is an isomorphism of sheaves. Let $g : X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of schemes. Suppose that for some point y in Y there is a point z of Z such that $\pi^{-1}(y) \subseteq g^{-1}(z)$. Then there exist an affine neighborhood V of y and an affine neighborhood W of z such that $\pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq g^{-1}(W)$. Moreover, there exists a morphism $h : V \rightarrow W$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\text{res. of } g} & W \\ \text{restriction of } \pi \downarrow & \nearrow h & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

commutative, where horizontal arrow is the restriction of g .

Proof. Consider an affine open neighborhood of W of z . Since π is proper and $\pi^{-1}(y) = g^{-1}(z)$, we derive that $\pi(X \setminus g^{-1}(W))$ is a closed subset of Y that does not contain y . Pick an open affine neighborhood V of y in Y that does not intersect with $\pi(X \setminus g^{-1}(W))$. Then $\pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq g^{-1}(W)$. Since $\pi^\#$ is an isomorphism we have the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(W) \xrightarrow{g_W^\#} \Gamma(g^{-1}(W), \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{(-)|_{\pi^{-1}(V)}} \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(V), \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{(\pi_V^\#)^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_Y(V)$$

This composition induces a morphism of affine schemes $h : V \rightarrow W$. Since a morphism from a scheme to an affine scheme is determined by the morphism on global sections of structure sheaves, we derive that h makes the triangle in the statement commutative. \square

Now we can apply this result to study complete algebraic groups over k . For this we need the following definition.

Definition 5.2. Let \mathbf{A} be a geometrically integral, complete algebraic group over k . Then we say that \mathbf{A} is an *abelian variety* over k .

Now we prove the following interesting result.

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian variety over k , let \mathbf{G} be a separated group scheme over k and let $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be a morphism of schemes over k . Suppose that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \swarrow e_{\mathbf{A}} \quad \searrow e_{\mathbf{G}} & \\ & \text{Spec } k & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then f is a morphism of groups schemes over k .

Proof. We define a morphism $g : \mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ given by

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto f(x_1) \cdot f(x_2) \cdot f(x_1 \cdot x_2)^{-1}$$

where A is a k -algebra and x_1, x_2 are A -points of \mathbf{A} . It suffices to show that g factors through $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$. For this we may change base to an algebraic closure of k by faithfully flat descent. So we may assume that the field k is algebraically closed and \mathbf{A} is connected. Then the projection onto second factor $\pi : \mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is proper and $k = \Gamma(\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}})$ implies that $\pi^{\#}$ is an isomorphism of sheaves on \mathbf{A} . Moreover, note that $\pi^{-1}(e_{\mathbf{A}}) \subseteq g^{-1}(e_{\mathbf{G}})$. Indeed, this follows from the assumption that $f(e_{\mathbf{A}}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}$. By Theorem 5.1 we deduce that there exist an affine neighborhood V of $e_{\mathbf{A}}$, an affine neighborhood W of $e_{\mathbf{G}}$ and a morphism $h : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow W$ such that $\pi^{-1}(V) \subseteq g^{-1}(W)$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} \times_k V & \xrightarrow{\text{res. of } g} & W \\ \text{projection} \downarrow & \nearrow h & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence for every k -point v of V we have the restriction $g|_{\mathbf{A} \times_k \text{Spec } k(v)}$ factors through $\text{Spec } k(h(v))$. Since $g(v, e_{\mathbf{A}}) = e_{\mathbf{G}}$, we derive that $h(v) = e_{\mathbf{G}}$ and thus $g|_{\mathbf{A} \times_k \text{Spec } k(v)}$ factors through $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$. This holds for any k -point of V . Therefore, $g|_{\mathbf{A} \times_k V}$ factors through $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$. Consider the kernel $i : Z \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A}$ of a pair consisting of g and a morphism $\mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ that factorizes through $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$. Since \mathbf{G} is separated, we derive that i is a closed immersion. Moreover, i dominates $\mathbf{A} \times_k V$. Since $\mathbf{A} \times_k V$ is schematically dense open subset of $\mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A}$ (because $\mathbf{A} \times_k \mathbf{A}$ is integral), we derive that i is an isomorphism and hence g factors through $\text{Spec } k(e_{\mathbf{G}})$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian variety over k . Then \mathbf{A} is a commutative group scheme over k .*

Proof. Consider the morphism $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ given on A -points of \mathbf{A} by

$$f(x) = x^{-1}$$

where A is a k -algebra. By Theorem 5.3 we derive that f is a morphism of group schemes over k . Hence \mathbf{A} is a commutative group scheme. \square

6. REPRESENTABILITY OF FIXED POINTS

Definition 6.1. Let \mathfrak{G} be a monoid k -functor and let $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an action of \mathfrak{G} on a k -functor. Then we define a k -subfunctor $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ of \mathfrak{X} by

$$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathfrak{G}}(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{X}(A) \mid \text{for any } A\text{-algebra } f : A \rightarrow B \text{ and } g \in \mathfrak{G}(B) \text{ we have } \alpha(g, \mathfrak{X}(f)(x)) = \mathfrak{X}(f)(x)\}$$

for every k -algebra A . Then $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ is called *the fixed point k -functor*.

Theorem 6.2. Let \mathbf{G} be a group scheme over k and let $a : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be an action of \mathbf{G} on a k -scheme X . Suppose that one of the following assertions hold.

- (i) X is separated.
- (ii) \mathbf{G} is a geometrically connected, locally algebraic group.

The following result is based on [Mon19, Theorem 6.2] and plays the fundamental role in the proof.

Lemma 6.2.1. Let X, Y be k -schemes and let $a : Y \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of k -schemes. Suppose that one of the following assertions hold.

- (1) X is separated.
- (2) For every open subscheme U of X we have $a(Y \times_k U) \subseteq U$

Consider a k -functor given by formula

$$A \mapsto \{f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X \mid a \cdot (1_Y \times_k f) = \text{pr}_X \cdot (1_Y \times_k f)\}$$

where A is a k -algebra and $\text{pr}_X : Y \times_k X \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Then this k -functor is representable by a closed subscheme of X .

Proof of the lemma. Assume first that X is separated. Consider a morphism $\langle a, \text{pr}_X \rangle : Y \times_k X \rightarrow X \times_k X$. By [Mon20, Corollary 4.6] we deduce that $\mathfrak{P}_{\langle a, \text{pr}_X \rangle}$ corresponds to a morphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{P}_X \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X \times \mathfrak{P}_X)$ of k -functors. Since X is separated, the diagonal $\delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times_k X$ is a closed immersion. This implies that \mathfrak{P}_{δ_X} is a closed immersion of k -functors. The fact that Y is locally free over k and [Mon19, Theorem 6.2] imply that

$$\text{Mor}_k(1_{\mathfrak{P}_Y}, \mathfrak{P}_{\delta_X}) : \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X) \hookrightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X \times \mathfrak{P}_X)$$

is a closed immersion of k -functors. Consider now a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Mor}_k(1_{\mathfrak{P}_Y}, \mathfrak{P}_{\delta_X}) \\ \mathfrak{P}_X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Y, \mathfrak{P}_X \times \mathfrak{P}_X) \end{array}$$

of k -functors. By base change $j : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$ is a closed immersion of k -functors. Thus we derive that \mathfrak{X} is representable by a closed subscheme of \mathfrak{X} . It suffices to observe that \mathfrak{X} is precisely the k -functor described in the statement. This proves the statement under the assumption (1).

Now suppose that $a(Y \times_k U) \subseteq U$ for every open subscheme U of X . For every open subscheme denote by $a_U : Y \times_k U \rightarrow U$ the restriction of a . Let \mathcal{U} be an open affine cover of X . Then functors

$$\left\{ \mathbf{Alg}_k \ni A \mapsto \{f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow U \mid a \cdot (1_Y \times_k f) = \text{pr}_X \cdot (1_Y \times_k f)\} \in \mathbf{Set} \right\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$$

form an open cover ([Mon19, Definition 4.5]) of the k -functor in the statement. Moreover, since each U in \mathcal{U} is affine and hence separated, we derive by the first part of the proof that each k -functor in the family is representable. Now [Mon19, Theorem 4.6] imply that the functor in the statement is representable. This finishes the proof in case (2). \square

Lemma 6.2.2. Let $f : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be a morphism of locally algebraic groups over k . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (1) The morphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}, \rho_{\mathbf{G}}}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}, \rho_{\mathbf{H}}}}$$

induced by $f^\#$ is an isomorphism.

(2) f is a monomorphism of k -schemes.

Then f is an open immersion.

Proof of the lemma. The assertion (1) implies that f is étale in e_H . Let K be an algebraic closure of k . Consider the étale locus U of $f_K = 1_K \otimes_k f : H_K \rightarrow G_K$. Then U is an open subscheme of H_K containing the unit. Moreover, for every K -point h of H_K we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_K & \xrightarrow{f_K} & G_K \\ h \cdot (-) \downarrow & & \downarrow f_K(h) \cdot (-) \\ H_K & \xrightarrow{f_K} & G_K \end{array}$$

where $h \cdot (-)$ and $f_K(h) \cdot (-)$ are isomorphisms of K -schemes. This proves that $h \cdot U \subseteq U$. Hence U contains all K -rational points of H_K . Therefore, the complement of U in H_K is empty. Hence $U = H_K$. This shows that f_K is étale and by faithfully flat descent also f is étale. Since étale monomorphisms are open immersions, we derive that f is an open immersion. \square

Proof of the theorem. If (1) holds, then the statement follows directly from Lemma 6.2.1. Suppose now that (2) holds that is G is an algebraic group. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$G_n = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{G,e_G} / \mathfrak{m}_{e_G}^{n+1}$$

where e is the unit of G . Then G_n is the n -th infinitesimal neighborhood of e in G . Denote by $p_n : G_n \times_k X \rightarrow X$ the projection on the second factor. Let $a_n : G_n \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be the morphism induced by a . Note that for every open subscheme U of X we have $a_n(G_n \times_k U) \subseteq U$. By Lemma 6.2.1 it follows that the k -functor given by

$$\mathbf{Alg}_k \ni A \mapsto \{f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X \mid a_n \cdot (1_{G_n} \times_k f) = p_n \cdot (1_{G_n} \times_k f)\} \in \mathbf{Set}$$

is representable by a closed subscheme Z_n of X . Consider now the quasi-coherent ideal \mathcal{I}_n of Z_n inside X . Define

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{I}_n$$

Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed subscheme of X determined by \mathcal{I} . This means that Z is the scheme-theoretic intersection inside X of closed subschemes Z_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that Z represents the fixed point functor. For this assume that A is a k -algebra and $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of k -schemes such that f is an A -point of the fixed point functor. This is equivalent with

$$a \cdot (1_G \times_k f) = p_X \cdot (1_G \times_k f)$$

From this equality we deduce that

$$a_n \cdot (1_{G_n} \times_k f) = p_n \cdot (1_{G_n} \times_k f)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence f factors through Z_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We derive that f factors through Z . This proves that the fixed point functor is a k -subfunctor of the functor of points of Z . It suffices to prove that Z is invariant with respect to G -action. For this consider the morphism $b : G \times_k Z \rightarrow X$ induced by a . By [Mon20, Corollary 4.6] morphism b corresponds to a morphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{P}_G \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_X)$ of k -functors. Consider the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Mor}_k(1_{\mathfrak{P}_Z}, \mathfrak{P}_i) \\ \mathfrak{P}_G & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_X) \end{array}$$

The fact that Z is locally free over k and [Mon19, Theorem 6.2] imply that $\mathcal{M}or_k(\mathfrak{P}_Z, \mathfrak{P}_i)$ is a closed immersion of k -functors. Hence $j : \mathfrak{H} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_G$ is a closed immersion. Moreover, \mathfrak{H} is a subgroup k -functor of \mathfrak{P}_G . Thus we deduce that j is induced by a closed immersion of algebraic groups $f : \mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}$. By definition of $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$, we derive that morphism of local k -algebras

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{G, \ell_G}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{H, \ell_H}}$$

induced by $f^\#$ is an isomorphism. Hence by Lemma 6.2.2 f is an open immersion of locally algebraic groups. Since \mathbf{G} is geometrically connected, we deduce that f is an isomorphism. Thus j is an isomorphism and this means that $b : \mathbf{G} \times_k Z \rightarrow X$ factors through $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Mon18] Monygham. Constructible and locally constructible sets. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2018.
- [Mon19] Monygham. Geometry of k -functors. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2019.
- [Mon20] Monygham. Monoid k -functors and their representations. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2020.