

## FLATNESS

### 1. FILTERED COLIMITS IN THE CATEGORY OF MODULES

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $I$  be a category. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) For any objects  $i, j \in I$  there exists an object  $k \in I$  and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & k & \\ i \nearrow & & \nwarrow j \end{array}$$

- (2) For any pair of parallel morphisms in  $I$

$$i \rightrightarrows j$$

there exist an object  $k \in I$  and a morphism  $j \rightarrow k$  such that, the following diagram is commutative

$$i \rightrightarrows j \longrightarrow k$$

Then we say that  $I$  is a *filtered category*.

Let  $R$  be a ring.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $I$  be a small filtered category. Then the functor sending  $I$ -indexed diagram of left  $R$ -modules to its colimit is exact.

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$\left\{ 0 \longrightarrow K_i \xrightarrow{r_i} M_i \xrightarrow{p_i} N_i \longrightarrow 0 \right\}_{i \in I}$$

is an  $I$ -indexed family of short exact sequences. Consider a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{r} M \xrightarrow{p} N \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $K = \text{colim}_{i \in I} K_i$ ,  $M = \text{colim}_{i \in I} M_i$ ,  $N = \text{colim}_{i \in I} N_i$ ,  $r = \text{colim}_{i \in I} r_i$  and  $p = \text{colim}_{i \in I} p_i$ . Clearly the complex is exact from the right. It suffices to prove that  $r$  is a monomorphism. For  $i \in I$  denote by  $v_i : K_i \rightarrow K$ ,  $u_i : M_i \rightarrow M$  structural morphisms. Pick  $k \in K$  such that  $r(k) = 0$ . Since  $I$  is filtered, we have

$$K = \sum_{i \in I} v_i(K_i), \quad M = \sum_{i \in I} u_i(M_i)$$

Thus there exists  $i_0 \in I$  and  $k_{i_0} \in K_{i_0}$  such that  $v_{i_0}(k_{i_0}) = k$ . We have  $u_{i_0}(r_{i_0}(k_{i_0})) = r(k) = 0$ . Again using the fact that  $I$  is filtered, we deduce that there exist  $i_1 \in I$  and a morphism  $\alpha : i_0 \rightarrow i_1$  such that  $u_\alpha(r_{i_0}(k_{i_0})) = 0$ , where  $u_\alpha : M_{i_0} \rightarrow M_{i_1}$  is a morphism in the  $I$ -indexed diagram  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Now let  $k_{i_1} = v_\alpha(k_{i_0})$ , where  $v_\alpha : K_{i_0} \rightarrow K_{i_1}$  is a morphism in the  $I$ -indexed diagram  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Then

$v_{i_1}(k_{i_1}) = k$  and  $r_{i_1}(k_{i_1}) = 0$ . Since  $r_{i_1}$  is a monomorphism, we derive that  $k_{i_1} = 0$  and hence  $k = v_{i_1}(k_{i_1}) = 0$ . Thus  $r$  is a monomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Then for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  functor  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, -)$  defined on the category of left  $R$ -modules and with values in the category of abelian groups preserves filtered colimits.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a small filtered category and  $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an  $I$ -indexed diagram of left  $R$ -modules. Fix a projective resolution  $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$  of  $M$ . Since tensor product commutes with colimits, we have

$$\text{colim}_{i \in I} (P_\bullet \otimes_R N_i) = P_\bullet \otimes_R \text{colim}_{i \in I} N_i$$

in the category of complexes of abelian groups. Since exact functors preserve kernels, cokernels and images, we derive by Proposition 1.2 that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an identification

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_n^R(M, \text{colim}_{i \in I} N_i) &= H_n(P_\bullet \otimes_R \text{colim}_{i \in I} N_i) = H_n(\text{colim}_{i \in I} (P_\bullet \otimes_R N_i)) = \\ &= \text{colim}_{i \in I} H_n(P_\bullet \otimes_R N_i) = \text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N_i) \end{aligned}$$

of cocones.  $\square$

## 2. HOMOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF FLATNESS

Let  $R$  be a ring with unit.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. We say that  $M$  is *flat* if the functor  $M \otimes_R (-)$  defined on the category of left  $R$ -modules and with values in the category of abelian groups is exact.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $I$  be a filtered category and  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an  $I$ -indexed diagram of flat right  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{colim}_{i \in I} M_i$  is a flat right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Proposition 1.2 implies that filtered colimits of short exact sequences of abelian groups are short exact sequences. Thus filtered colimits of flat right  $R$ -modules are flat.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *For every finitely generated left ideal  $I \subseteq R$  morphism  $M \otimes_R I \rightarrow M$  induced by the inclusion of  $I$  in  $R$  is a monomorphism.*
- (ii)  *$\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/I) = 0$  for every finitely generated left ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .*
- (iii)  *$M$  is flat.*
- (iv)  *$\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for every left  $R$ -module  $N$  and  $i > 0$ .*

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is straightforward.

Suppose that (ii) holds. Then for every left ideal  $I \subseteq R$  we can write  $I = \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ , where  $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a filtered set of all finitely generated left ideals of  $R$  contained in  $I$ . This induces a presentation of  $R/I$  as a filtered colimit of the system  $\{R/I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  and thus by Corollary 1.3 we have

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/I) = \text{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/I_\lambda) = 0$$

Now suppose that  $N$  is a finitely generated left module over  $R$ . Then we can decompose  $N$  such that it fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{q} R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

Now we have  $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, K) = 0$  implies that  $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$ . Therefore, using induction on the minimal number of generators of finitely generated left  $R$ -module we may prove that  $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$  for every finitely generated left  $R$ -module. Since every left  $R$ -module is a filtered colimit of its finitely generated left  $R$ -submodules, we derive by Corollary 1.3 that  $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$  for every left  $R$ -module  $N$ . Using first terms of the long exact sequence for Tor associated with  $M \otimes_R (-)$  we deduce that (iii).

Now if  $M$  is flat, then tensoring with  $M$  is exact. This means that tensor product of a free resolution of some left  $R$ -module  $N$  with  $M$  have trivial higher homologies. Thus  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . This gives (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv).

Finally (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is obvious.  $\square$

### 3. FLATNESS IN TERMS OF EQUATIONS

Let  $R$  be a ring with unit.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a left  $R$ -module. Suppose that  $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a set of generators for  $N$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a set of elements of  $M$ . Suppose that all  $x_i$  for  $i \in I$  except of finitely many are zero. Assume that*

$$\sum_{i \in I} x_i \otimes y_i = 0$$

*in tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$ . Then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{a_{ik}\}_{i \in I, 1 \leq k \leq n}$  in  $R$  and  $\{z_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  in  $M$  such that*

- (1)  $x_i = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k a_{ik}$  for every  $i \in I$
- (2)  $0 = \sum_{i \in I} a_{ik} y_i$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$

*Proof.* Consider a free left  $R$ -module  $F$  on a set  $I$  and a morphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow N$  given by  $\phi(e_i) = y_i$ , where  $e_i$  is a free generator corresponding to  $i \in I$ . Let  $K = \ker(\phi)$ . Applying  $M \otimes_R (-)$  we derive that  $M \otimes_R K$  maps onto the kernel of  $1_M \otimes_R \phi$ . Next by assumptions  $(1_M \otimes_R \phi)(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \otimes e_i) = \sum_{i \in I} x_i \otimes y_i = 0$ . Thus  $\sum_{i \in I} x_i \otimes e_i$  is equal to  $\sum_{k=1}^n z_k \otimes f_k$  for  $z_k \in M$ ,  $f_k \in K$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can write  $f_k = \sum_{i \in I} a_{ik} e_i$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_k \otimes f_k = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \otimes \left( \sum_{i \in I} a_{ik} e_i \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i \in I} (z_k \otimes a_{ik} e_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{k=1}^n (z_k a_{ik} \otimes e_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n z_k a_{ik} \right) \otimes e_i$$

We deduce that  $x_i = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k a_{ik}$  and  $0 = \sum_{i \in I} a_{ik} y_i$  for every  $i \in I$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** (Equational criteria for flatness). *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $M$  is flat.
- (ii) For every set of elements  $\{x_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  in  $M$  and a relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i = 0$$

*where  $a_i \in R$  there exist elements  $z_k \in M$  and  $r_{ik} \in R$  for  $1 \leq k \leq l$  such that*

$$x_i = \sum_{k=1}^l z_k r_{ik}, \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} a_i$$

*for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq l$ .*

- (iii) For every finitely presented right  $R$ -module  $N$ , every morphism  $\phi : N \rightarrow M$  and every finitely generated  $R$ -submodule  $K \subseteq \ker(\phi)$  there exists a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& G & \\
\psi \nearrow & & \searrow \theta \\
N & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M
\end{array}$$

where  $G$  is a finitely generated free right  $R$ -module and  $K \subseteq \ker(\psi)$ .

(iv) For every set of elements  $\{x_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$  in  $M$  and a finite set of relations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_{ij} = 0$$

where  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $a_{ij} \in R$  there exist elements  $z_k \in M$  and  $r_{ik} \in R$  for  $1 \leq k \leq l$  such that

$$x_i = \sum_{k=1}^l z_k r_{ik}, \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} a_{ij}$$

for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $1 \leq k \leq l$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is flat. We will show that (ii) holds.. We have relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i = 0$$

Let  $I = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} R a_i \subseteq R$  be a left ideal. Since  $M$  is flat, the canonical morphism  $M \otimes_R I \rightarrow M$  is a monomorphism. It sends  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes a_i$  to  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i = 0$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes a_i = 0$$

in  $M \otimes_R I$ . Thus by Proposition 3.1 there exist  $\{r_{ik}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq l}$  in  $R$  and  $\{z_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq l}$  in  $M$  such that

$$x_i = \sum_{k=1}^l z_k r_{ik}, \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} a_i$$

for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq l$ .

Now we prove that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Suppose first that  $N$  is a finitely generated and free right  $R$ -module,  $\phi : N \rightarrow M$  is a morphism and  $K \subseteq \ker(\phi)$  is finitely generated. Note that our result easily follows from (ii) of the theorem, if  $K \subseteq \ker(\phi)$  is generated by a single element. Now easy induction on the number of generators for  $K \subseteq \ker(\phi)$  yields the assertion (iii) in the case of finitely generated free right  $R$ -module  $N$ .

Suppose now that  $N$  is a finitely presented right  $R$ -module,  $\phi : N \rightarrow M$  is a morphism and  $K \subseteq \ker(\phi)$  is a finitely generated submodule. Take an epimorphism  $f : F \rightarrow N$  where  $F$  is a finitely generated free left  $R$ -module. Let  $\phi' = \phi f$  and pick a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& G & \\
g \nearrow & & \searrow \theta \\
F & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & M
\end{array}$$

where  $G$  is a finitely generated free right  $R$ -module and  $f^{-1}(K) \subseteq \ker(g)$ . Such a factorization exists according to the fact that  $f^{-1}(K)$  is a finitely generated submodule of  $\ker(\phi')$ . Since

$\ker(f) \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$ , we deduce that  $g$  factorizes through  $f$ . This proves the implication.

Assume that (iii) holds. Suppose that  $\{x_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$  are in  $M$  and we have a finite set of relations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_{ij} = 0$$

where  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $a_{ij} \in R$ . Let  $F$  be a right free  $R$ -module of rank  $n$  with basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . Define a morphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow M$  by  $\phi(e_i) = x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

$$K = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e_i a_{ij} \right) R \subseteq \ker(\phi)$$

is finitely generated. Hence by (iii) there exist a finitely generated free right  $R$ -module  $G$  and morphisms  $\psi : F \rightarrow G$ ,  $\theta : G \rightarrow M$  such that  $\phi = \theta \cdot \psi$  and  $K \subseteq \ker(\psi)$ . Next if  $f_1, \dots, f_l$  is a basis of  $G$ , then we pick  $z_k = \theta(f_k)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq l$ . There exist  $r_{ik} \in R$  for  $1 \leq k \leq l$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $\psi(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^l f_k r_{ik}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now straightforward verification shows that  $z_k \in M$  and  $r_{ik} \in R$  for  $1 \leq k \leq l$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$  satisfy (iv).

Now assume that (iv) holds. Let  $I$  be a finitely generated left ideal in  $R$ . Suppose that  $a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  are generators of  $I$ . We are going to prove that the canonical morphism  $M \otimes_R I \rightarrow M$  is a monomorphism. This implies (i) due to Proposition 2.3. Assume that there exist  $x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  in  $M$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes a_i \in M \otimes_R I$  is in the kernel of  $M \otimes_R I \rightarrow M$ . This means that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i = 0$  in  $M$ . According to (iv) there exist  $z_k \in M$  and  $r_{ik} \in R$  for  $1 \leq k \leq l$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that

$$x_i = \sum_{k=1}^l z_k r_{ik}, \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} a_i$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^l z_k r_{ik} \right) \otimes a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^l (z_k r_{ik} \otimes a_i) = \sum_{k=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^n (z_k \otimes r_{ik} a_i) = \sum_{k=1}^l z_k \otimes \left( \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} a_i \right) = 0$$

Hence the kernel of the morphism  $M \otimes_R I \rightarrow M$  is trivial.  $\square$

#### 4. CATEGORICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF FLATNESS

Let  $R$  be a ring with unit.

**Theorem 4.1** (Lazard's theorem). *A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is flat if and only if it is a colimit of a filtered diagram of finitely generated free right  $R$ -modules.*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is a filtered colimit of finitely generated flat right  $R$ -modules, then Proposition 2.2 implies that  $M$  is flat.

Assume now that  $M$  is flat. Consider a set of symbols  $E = \{e_m \mid m \in M\}$ . For every finite subset  $\alpha \subseteq E$  let  $F_\alpha$  be a right free  $R$ -module generated by symbols in  $\alpha$ . Next for every such  $\alpha$  let  $q_\alpha : F_\alpha \rightarrow M$  be a morphism defined by formula  $q_\alpha(e_m) = m$  for  $e_m \in \alpha$ .

Next we define a small diagram category  $I$ . Objects of  $I$  are finite subsets  $\alpha \subseteq E$ . Morphisms  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  for any two finite subsets  $\alpha, \beta \subseteq E$  are morphisms of right  $R$ -modules  $f : F_\alpha \rightarrow F_\beta$  such that  $q_\beta \cdot f = q_\alpha$ . The composition of morphisms in  $I$  is given by the usual composition of morphisms of right  $R$ -modules.

We will now show that  $I$  is a filtered category. Pick  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in I$ . Let  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2$ . Then  $\alpha$  is well defined object of  $I$ . Moreover, canonical inclusions  $\alpha_1 \subseteq \alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2 \subseteq \alpha$  give rise to morphisms  $f_1 : F_{\alpha_1} \rightarrow F_\alpha$  and  $f_2 : F_{\alpha_2} \rightarrow F_\alpha$  in the category of right  $R$ -modules and hence give rise to morphisms  $f_1 : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $f_2 : \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $I$ . This verifies the first axiom of filtered category for  $I$ . Now if  $f, g : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  are two morphisms in  $I$ , then

$$q_\beta \cdot (f - g) = q_\alpha - q_\alpha = 0$$

in the category of right  $R$ -modules. Hence  $(f - g)(F_\alpha)$  is a finitely generated right  $R$ -submodule of  $F_\beta$  contained in the kernel of  $q_\beta$ . Using Theorem 3.2 we derive that there exists some finite

subset  $\gamma \subseteq E$  and a morphism  $h : F_\beta \rightarrow F_\gamma$  such that  $h \cdot (f - g) = 0$  and  $q_\gamma \cdot h = q_\beta$ . This implies that  $h : \beta \rightarrow \gamma$  is a morphism in  $I$  and  $h \cdot f = h \cdot g$ . Hence  $I$  verifies the second axiom for filtered category.

Now we define a diagram of finitely generated free right  $R$ -modules indexed by  $I$ . We send each object  $\alpha$  of  $I$  to right  $R$ -module  $F_\alpha$  and we send  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in  $I$  to  $f : F_\alpha \rightarrow F_\beta$  in the category of right  $R$ -modules. It is clear that it is well defined  $I$ -indexed diagram.

Finally it suffices to verify that  $q_\alpha : F_\alpha \rightarrow M$  for  $\alpha \in I$  admit the universal property of colimit for the  $I$ -indexed diagram defined above. For this let  $N$  be some right  $R$ -module and  $r_\alpha : F_\alpha \rightarrow N$  for  $\alpha \in I$  be morphisms such that  $r_\beta \cdot f = r_\alpha$  for every  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in  $I$ . Now we define a function  $s : M \rightarrow N$  by formula

$$s(m) = r_\alpha(e_m)$$

for any  $m \in M$  and any  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $e_m \in \alpha$ . It is easy to verify that the function  $s$  is well defined. Moreover, it is a unique function that satisfies  $s \cdot q_\alpha = r_\alpha$ .

We will show now that  $s$  is a morphism of right  $R$ -modules. Pick  $x \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Consider  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $e_m, e_{mx} \in \alpha$ . Since  $q_\alpha(e_mx - e_mx) = mx - mx = 0$  and  $M$  is flat, by Theorem 3.2 there exist  $\beta \in I$  and a morphism  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in  $I$  such that  $f(e_mx - e_mx) = 0$ . Hence we deduce that

$$s(m)x - s(mx) = r_\alpha(e_m)x - r_\alpha(e_{mx}) = r_\alpha(e_mx - e_mx) = r_\beta(f(e_mx - e_mx)) = 0$$

Similar argument shows that for  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  the relation  $s(m_1 + m_2) - (s(m_1) + s(m_2)) = 0$  is satisfied.

Now according to the fact that  $s : M \rightarrow N$  is a unique morphism of cocones in the category of right  $R$ -modules, we deduce that

$$M = \text{colim}_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha$$

□

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module of finite presentation. Then  $M$  is flat if and only if it is projective.*

*Proof.* Using Theorem 4.1 we derive that  $M = \text{colim}_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha$ , where  $I$  is a filtered category and  $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is  $I$ -indexed diagram of finitely generated right free  $R$ -modules. Next we have that

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, M) = \text{colim}_{\alpha \in I} \text{Hom}_R(M, F_\alpha)$$

by finite presentation of  $M$ . Thus there exists an  $\alpha \in I$  and a morphism  $f : M \rightarrow F_\alpha$  such that  $q_\alpha \cdot f = 1_M$  for the structural morphism  $q_\alpha : F_\alpha \rightarrow M$ . This means that  $q_\alpha$  is a retraction. Hence  $M$  is a direct summand of a right free  $R$ -module  $P_\beta$ . Thus it is projective. □