INTEGRATION

1. INTRODUCTION

In these notes we develop theory of Bochner-Lebesgue integral. Our exhibition is to some extent different from the standard one. The first step is typical - we start with integration of nonnegative functions and we prove monotone convergence. Then we introduce Lebesgue's spaces and prove their completeness. Lebesgue's dominated convergence is presented as a result about convergence in Lebesgue's spaces. After this we introduce integral as a linear operator on Lebesgue's spaces. Then we prove Tonelli and Fubini theorems. Final section discusses L^∞ spaces.

Prerequisites consists of material contained in first notes on measure theory [Monygham, 2018]. Most of the theory of Lebesgue's spaces (this does not embrace Bochner's integral itself due to obvious reasons) works for Banach spaces defined over fields with absolute values. The reader may always assume for hers convenience that the field is either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.1. Let (X, Σ) be a measurable space and let Y be a topological space. A map $f : X \to Y$ is *measurable* if f is a measurable map $(X, \Sigma) \to (Y, \mathcal{B}(Y))$, where $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ is the σ-algebra of Borel sets on Y.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a set and let Y be a topological space. Consider a sequence $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a map $f : X \to Y$. If

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f_n(x)$$

then $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *pointwise convergent to f*. In this case we write

$$f = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f_n$$

2. PROPERTIES OF MEASURABLE REAL FUNCTIONS

Let $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be completion of $\mathbb{R}$ to linearly ordered set with the smallest and the greatest elements. Clearly $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a complete linear order. Addition is partially defined operation on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ given by the following rules

$$(+\infty) + r = +\infty = r + (+\infty), \ (-\infty) + r = -\infty = r + (-\infty)$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with order topology is the two point compactification of $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\{f_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions on a set X. We define functions

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n, \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

by formulas

$$\left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \right)(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x), \ \left(\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \right)(x) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$$

for every $x \in X$. We define functions

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} f_n = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n \geq m} f_n, \ \liminf_{n \to +\infty} f_n = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{n \geq m} f_n$$

If

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} f_n = \limsup_{n \to +\infty} f_n$$

then $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is pointwise convergent.

Let X be a set and let $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be functions. We write $f \leq g$ if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set and let $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function. We say that f is *nonnegative* if $f \geq 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a set. A sequence $\{f_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *nondecreasing* if $f_n \leq f_m$ for all pairs $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \leq m$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\{f_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on a measurable space (X, Σ). Then functions*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n, \ \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$$

are measurable.

Proof. Note that $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n = -\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-f_n)$. Thus it suffices to prove the proposition for $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$. Fix $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and note that

$$\left\{ x \in X \mid \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x) > r \right\} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, q > r} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ x \in X \mid f_n(x) \geq q \}$$

Therefore, we derive that $f = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$ satisfies $f^{-1}((r, +\infty]) \in \Sigma$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Family of all left-open intervals in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ generate $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. Hence f is measurable. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\{f_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on a measurable space (X, Σ). Then functions*

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} f_n, \ \limsup_{n \to +\infty} f_n$$

are measurable. In particular, if $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent for every $x \in X$, then also

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} f_n$$

is measurable.

Proof. Follows directly from Proposition 2.3 and definitions. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a nonnegative, measurable function on a measurable space (X, Σ). Then there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{s_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative, measurable functions such that $s_n(X)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is pointwise convergent to f. Moreover, $s_n \leq f$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and integer $0 \leq k < n \cdot 2^n$ we define

$$A_{n,k} = f^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right] \right)$$

Then $A_{n,k}$ is a measurable set. We define

$$s_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{2^n} & \text{if } x \in A_{n,k} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n \cdot 2^n - 1} A_{n,k} \end{cases}$$

Then each $s_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a nonnegative, measurable function such that $s_n(X)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we have

$$|s_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$$

for every $x \in X$ such that $f(x) \leq n$. It follows that

$$f = \lim_{n \to +\infty} s_n$$

By definition of s_n we have $s_n \leq f$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

3. LEBESGUE'S INTEGRAL OF NONNEGATIVE FUNCTIONS

Definition 3.1. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. A measurable function $s : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $s(X)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\mu\left(\{x \in X \mid s(x) \neq 0\}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is *a μ-simple function.*

Definition 3.2. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and $s : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a nonnegative, μ-simple function. Then

$$\int_X s \, d\mu = \sum_{y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}} y \cdot \mu\left(s^{-1}(y)\right)$$

is *the integral of s with respect to μ.*

Fact 3.3. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and $s_1, s_2 : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be nonnegative, μ-simple functions. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \geq 0$, then $as_1 + bs_2$ is a nonnegative, μ-simple function and*

$$\int_X (as_1 + bs_2) \, d\mu = a \int_X s_1 \, d\mu + b \int_X s_2 \, d\mu$$

(2) *If $s_1 \leq s_2$, then*

$$\int_X s_1 \, d\mu \leq \int_X s_2 \, d\mu$$

Proof. Left for the reader as an exercise. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Definition 3.4. Let $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a nonnegative, measurable function on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. Then we define

$$\int_X f d\mu = \sup\left\{ \int_X s \, d\mu \,\middle|\, s \text{ is a nonnegative, } \mu\text{-simple function and } s \leq f \right\}$$

We call it *the integral of f with respect to μ.*

Fact 3.5. *Let $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a nonnegative, measurable functions on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. If $f \leq g$, then*

$$\int_X f \, d\mu \leq \int_X g \, d\mu$$

Proof. Left for the reader as an exercise. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Theorem 3.6 (Monotone Convergence Theorem). *Let $\{f_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of nonnegative, measurable functions on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. Assume that $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nondecreasing and let f be a nonnegative function which is a limit of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a nonnegative, measurable function and*

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 function f is measurable. It is also nonnegative. By Fact 3.5 we deduce that

$$\int_X f_n \, d\mu \leq \int_X f_{n+1} \, d\mu \leq \int_X f \, d\mu$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int f_n d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$$

Fix a number $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Pick a μ-simple, nonnegative function $s : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $s \le f$. Consider the set

$$A_n = \big\{ x \in X \,\big|\, f_n(x) < \alpha s(x) \big\}$$

Then $A_n \in \Sigma$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nondecreasing sequence, we derive that $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing sequence of sets. Since $s(X)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$s(x) \le f(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f_n(x)$$

we derive that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \emptyset, \ A_1 \subseteq \big\{ x \in X \,\big|\, s(x) \ne 0 \big\}$$

In particular, $\mu(A_1) \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$$

We have inequality

$$\alpha \int_X s\, d\mu = \int_X \alpha s\, d\mu = \int_X \mathbb{1}_{X \setminus A_n} \cdot (\alpha \cdot s) \, d\mu + \int_X \mathbb{1}_{A_n} \cdot (\alpha \cdot s) \, d\mu \le$$

$$\le \int_X f_n\, d\mu + \mu(A_n) \cdot \sup_{x \in X} (\alpha s(x)) = \int_X f_n\, d\mu + \mu(A_n) \cdot \sup_{x \in X} (\alpha s(x))$$

By virtue of

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$$

we have

$$\alpha \int_X s\, d\mu \le \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X f_n\, d\mu$$

Since s is an arbitrary nonnegative and μ-simple function such that $s \le f$, we deduce that

$$\alpha \int_X f\, d\mu \le \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X f_n\, d\mu$$

Finally for $\alpha \to 1$ we obtain

$$\int_X f\, d\mu \le \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X f_n\, d\mu$$

and this completes the proof. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ $\square$

The theorem above is a reason why Lebesgue's integration theory is such a powerful tool.

Theorem 3.7 (Fatou's lemma). *Let $\{f_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of nonnegative, measurable functions on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. Then*

$$\int_X \liminf_{n \to +\infty} f_n\, d\mu \le \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \int_X f_n\, d\mu$$

Proof. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote $\inf_{n \ge m} f_n$ by g_m. Corollary 2.4 implies that $\{g_m : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative, measurable functions on (X, Σ). By Theorem 3.6 we have

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_X \inf_{n \ge m} f_n = \lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_X g_m\, d\mu = \int_X \lim_{m \to +\infty} g_m\, d\mu = \int_X \liminf_{n \to +\infty} f_n\, d\mu$$

Hence

$$\int_X \liminf_{n \to +\infty} f_n\, d\mu = \lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_X \inf_{n \ge m} f_n \le \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \int_X f_n\, d\mu$$

$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *Let $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a nonnegative, measurable functions on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. Fix numbers $a, b \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the function $af + bg$ is measurable and*

$$\int_X (af + bg)\, d\mu = a \int_X f\, d\mu + b \int_X g\, d\mu$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 there exist nondecreasing sequences $\{s_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative, measurable functions such that

(1) $s_n(X), t_n(X)$ are finite subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2)
$$f(x) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} s_n(x),\ g(x) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} t_n(x)$$

(3) $s_n \le f$, $t_n \le g$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows that
$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} (as_n + bt_n) = af + bg$$
Thus $af + bg$ is measurable by Corollary 2.4. By definition
$$a\int_X f\,d\mu + b\int_X g\,d\mu \le \int_X (af + bg)\,d\mu$$
Hence if one of the integrals
$$\int_X f\,d\mu,\ \int_X g\,d\mu$$
is infinite, then the assertion holds. Suppose that both integrals are finite. Then $\{s_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ consist of nonnegative, μ-simple functions. By Theorem 3.6 and Fact 3.3 we have

$$\int_X (af + bg)\,d\mu = \lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_X (as_n + bt_n)\,d\mu = \lim_{n\to+\infty}\left(a\int_X s_n\,d\mu + b\int_X t_n\,d\mu\right) =$$
$$= a\left(\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_X s_n\,d\mu\right) + b\left(\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_X t_n\,d\mu\right) = a\int_X f\,d\mu + b\int_X g\,d\mu$$
$\square$

4. STRONGLY MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

In this section we introduce a class of measurable functions which form the basis of integration in Banach spaces.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (Y, d) be a metric space and let (X, Σ) be a measurable space. Suppose that a sequence $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of measurable functions is pointwise convergent to some function $f : X \to Y$. Then f is measurable.*

Proof. Let U be an open subset of Y. We define
$$U_k = \{y \in Y \mid \text{dist}\,(y, X \setminus U) > 2^{-k}\}$$
for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{U_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are open subsets of Y. We have
$$f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{n\ge m} f_n^{-1}(U_k)$$
and the left hand side is clearly an element of Σ. Hence preimages of open subsets of Y under f are in Σ. Since σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ is generated by open sets, we derive the assertion. $\square$

We fix a field $\mathbb{K}$ together with an absolute value $|-|$. Suppose that Y is a normed vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and suppose that $\|-\|$ is its norm. Let X be a set and let $f : X \to Y$ be a function. We define a nonnegative function $\|f\| : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by formula
$$\|f\|(x) = \|f(x)\|$$
for every $x \in X$.

Definition 4.2. Let Y be a normed vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ) be a measurable space. A function $f : X \to Y$ is *strongly measurable* if it is measurable and $f(X)$ is a separable subspace of Y.

Proposition 4.3. *Let Y be a normed vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ) be a measurable space. Suppose that a sequence $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of strongly measurable functions is pointwise convergent to some function $f : X \to Y$. Then f is strongly measurable.*

Proof. According to Proposition 4.1 function f is measurable. Moreover, we have

$$f(X) \subseteq \mathbf{cl}\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(X) \right)$$

and hence $f(X)$ is a separable subspace of Y. Thus f is strongly measurable. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Y_0, ..., Y_n$ be normed vector spaces over $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose that (X, Σ) is a measurable space and $f_i : X \to Y_i$ for $0 \le i \le n$ are strongly measurable functions. Then the function*

$$X \ni x \mapsto \left(f_0(x), ..., f_n(x) \right) \in \prod_{i=0}^{n} Y_i$$

is strongly measurable.

Proof. Note that the family of open subsets of

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i(X)$$

is contained in σ-algebra generated by sets

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n} (U_i \cap f_i(X))$$

where U_i is an open subset of Y_i for $0 \le i \le n$. Indeed, this is a consequence of the fact that $f_i(X)$ are separable for $0 \le i \le n$. It follows that the function in question is measurable. Since finite product of separable metric spaces is separable, we derive that its image is separable. Hence the function in the statement is strongly measurable. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 4.5. *Let Y be a normed space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ) be a measurable space. Let $f, g : X \to Y$ be strongly measurable functions. Then*

$$\alpha f + \beta g$$

is strongly measurable for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 4.4 and the fact that Y is topological vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. Details are left for the reader. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let Y be a normed space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ) be a measurable space. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a function. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *f is strongly measurable.*

(ii) *There exists a sequence $\{s_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of measurable functions pointwise convergent to f such that $s_n(X) \subseteq Y$ is finite and the inequality*

$$||f - s_n|| \le ||f||$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6.1. *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $k \le n$. Then*

$$\left\{ (r_0, ..., r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \, \Big| \, \min_{0 \le i \le n} r_i < r_j \text{ for } j < k \text{ and } r_k = \min_{0 \le i \le n} r_i \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

is a Borel subset.

Proof of the lemma. Left for the reader. □

Proof of the theorem. Suppose that f is strongly measurable. Consider a countable subset $\{y_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Y which closure contains $f(X)$ and assume that y_0 is zero in Y. From Proposition 4.4 we deduce that the function

$$X \ni x \mapsto \left(\|y_0 - f(x)\|, ..., \|y_n - f(x)\| \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

is measurable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by Lemma 4.6.1 the set

$$A_{n,k} = \left\{ x \in X \mid \min_{0\leq i\leq n} \|y_i - f(x)\| < \|y_j - f(x)\| \text{ for } j < k \text{ and } \|y_k - f(x)\| = \min_{0\leq i\leq n} \|y_i - f(x)\| \right\}$$

is in Σ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq n$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a function $s_n : X \to Y$ by formula

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} y_k \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_{n,k}}$$

Note that s_n is measurable, $s_n(X)$ is finite and

$$\|s_n(x) - f(x)\| = \min_{0\leq i\leq n} \|y_i - f(x)\|$$

for every $x \in X$. Thus

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} s_n = f$$

and $\|s_n - f\| \leq \|f\|$. This completes the proof of **(i)** $\Rightarrow$ **(ii)**.

Suppose now that there exists a sequence $\{s_n : X \to Y\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of measurable functions pointwise convergent to f such that $s_n(X) \subseteq Y$ is finite. Then Proposition 4.3 asserts that f is strongly measurable. This proves that **(ii)** $\Rightarrow$ **(i)**. □

5. Hölder and Minkowski integral inequalities

Theorem 5.1 (Hölder)**.** *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

If $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are nonnegative functions measurable with respect to Σ, then

$$\int_X f \cdot g \, d\mu \leq \left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X g^q \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

For the proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let a, b be nonnegative extended real numbers and let $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Then

$$a^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot b^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q}$$

Proof of the lemma. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Next the inequality in the question is equivalent with

$$\frac{\ln a}{p} + \frac{\ln b}{q} \leq \ln \left(\frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q} \right)$$

and this inequality is an instance of Jensen's inequality, since

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

and logarithm is concave. □

Proof of the theorem. We may assume that

$$\left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \left(\int_X g^q \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

By Lemma 5.1.1 we have

$$\frac{f(x) \cdot g(x)}{\left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X g^q \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} = \left(\frac{f(x)^p}{\int_X f^p \, d\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{g(x)^q}{\int_X f^q \, d\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{f(x)^p}{\int_X f^p \, d\mu} + \frac{1}{q} \cdot \frac{g(x)^q}{\int_X g^q \, d\mu}$$

for every $x \in X$. Integrating both sides with respect to μ yields

$$\frac{\int_X f \cdot g \, d\mu}{\left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X g^q \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

and hence the inequality in the statement holds.   □

Corollary 5.2 (Minkowski). *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Suppose that $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are nonnegative functions measurable with respect to μ. Then*

$$\left(\int_X (f+g)^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_X g^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Proof. The case $p = 1$ follows from Proposition 3.8. Thus we assume that $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Suppose that $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Note that if $q \in [1, +\infty)$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

then $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Hence by Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\int_X f \cdot (f+g)^{p-1} \, d\mu \leq \left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X (f+g)^{(p-1) \cdot q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X (f+g)^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\int_X g \cdot (f+g)^{p-1} \, d\mu \leq \left(\int_X g^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X (f+g)^{(p-1) \cdot q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_X g^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X (f+g)^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$$

Thus

$$\int_X (f+g)^p \, d\mu = \int_X (f+g) \cdot (f+g)^{p-1} \, d\mu = \int_X f \cdot (f+g)^{p-1} \, d\mu + \int_X g \cdot (f+g)^{p-1} \, d\mu \leq$$

$$\leq \left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X (f+g)^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_X g^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_X (f+g)^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$$

dividing both sides by

$$\left(\int_X (f+g)^p\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$$

yields

$$\left(\int_X (f+g)^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_X g^p \, d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

This completes the proof.   □

Finally in the next section we also use the following integral inequality.

Proposition 5.3. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Suppose that $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are nonnegative functions measurable with respect to μ. Then*

$$\int_X (f + g)^p \, d\mu \leq C_p \cdot \left(\int_X f^p \, d\mu + \int_X g^p \, d\mu \right)$$

where

$$C_p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \in (0, 1) \\ 2^p & \text{if } p \in [1, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Pick nonnegative numbers $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$(a + b)^p \leq C_p \cdot (a^p + b^p)$$

where

$$C_p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \in (0, 1) \\ 2^p & \text{if } p \in [1, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

Application of Fact 3.5 completes the proof. $\square$

6. Lebesgue spaces

In this section we fix a positive real number p and a Banach space Y with norm $\|-\|$ over a field $\mathbb{K}$ with absolute value $|-|$.

Definition 6.1. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a strongly measurable function on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. Then

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X \|f\|^p \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is *the p-norm of f with respect to μ*.

Definition 6.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a strongly measurable function on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. If

$$\|f\|_p \in \mathbb{R}$$

then f is *p-th power integrable with respect to μ* or shortly *p-th power μ-integrable*.

Definition 6.3. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Then the set of all Y-valued, p-th power μ-integrable functions is denoted by $L^p(\mu, Y)$ and is called *the Lebesgue space of p-th power μ-integrable functions for Y*.

By Corollary 4.5 the set of all strongly measurable, Y-valued functions on a space (X, Σ) is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space with respect to the usual operations. Our next goal is to show that $L^p(\mu, Y)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspace of this space and to introduce the topology on $L^p(\mu, Y)$ which makes it into a topological vector space over $\mathbb{K}$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Then $L^p(\mu, Y)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspace of the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space of all strongly measurable functions on (X, Σ). Moreover, the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If $p \in (0, 1)$, then*

$$L^p(\mu, Y) \times L^p(\mu, Y) \ni (f, g) \mapsto \int_X \|f - g\|^p \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$$

is a translation invariant pseudometric on $L^p(\mu, Y)$.

(2) *If $p \in [1, +\infty)$, then*

$$\|-\|_p : L^p(\mu, Y) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$$

is a seminorm

Proof. Note that if $f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, then

$$\|\alpha \cdot f\|_p = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_p$$

Hence if $f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$, then also $\alpha \cdot f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$ and moreover, the function $\|-\|_p$ is positively homogeneous. Next we separately handle cases $p \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, +\infty)$.
Suppose that $p \in (0, 1)$. Then by Proposition 5.3

$$\int_X (f + g)^p \, d\mu \leq \int_X f^p \, d\mu + \int_X g^p \, d\mu$$

for any two nonnegative strongly measurable functions $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ on (X, Σ, μ). Hence

$$f, g \in L^p(\mu, Y) \iff f + g \in L^p(\mu, Y)$$

and

$$L^p(\mu, Y) \times L^p(\mu, Y) \ni (f, g) \mapsto \int_X \|f - g\|^p \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$$

is a translation invariant pseudometric on $L^p(\mu, Y)$. This completes the proof for this case.
Suppose now that $p \in [1, +\infty)$. This case follows from Corollary 5.2.   □

For now on we consider $L^p(\mu, Y)$ as a topological vector $\mathbb{K}$-space with respect to topology described in Proposition 6.4.

Remark 6.5. Note that the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $L^p(\mu, Y)$ converges to $f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|f_n - f\|_p \, d\mu = 0$$

and the space $L^p(\mu, Y)$ carries translation invariant pseudometric.

Theorem 6.6 (Riesz)**.** *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. If $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence of elements of $L^p(\mu, Y)$, then there exist an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers and a function $f : X \to Y$ which is p-the power μ-integrable such that*

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} f_{n_k}(x) = f(x)$$

for all x outside some set in Σ of measure μ equal to zero. Moreover, $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mu, Y)$.

Proof. We consider an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that

$$\int_X \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|^p \, d\mu \leq 4^{-k}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ consider a set

$$A_k = \left\{ x \in X \,\middle|\, \|f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x)\|^p \geq 2^{-k} \right\}$$

in Σ. Then

$$2^{-k} \cdot \mu(A_k) \leq \int_X \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|^p \, d\mu \leq 4^{-k}$$

Hence $\mu(A_k) \leq 2^{-k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$B_m = \bigcup_{k=m}^{+\infty} A_k$$

Then

$$\mu(B_m) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=m}^{+\infty} A_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} \mu(A_k) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} 2^{-k} = 2^{1-m}$$

and $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of subsets of Σ. This proves that

$$B = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_m$$

satisfy $\mu(B) = 0$. For $x \notin B_m$ we have

$$\sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} \|f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x)\| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} 2^{-kp} = \left(\frac{1}{2^p}\right)^m \cdot \frac{2^p}{2^p - 1}$$

Since Y is a Banach space, we deduce that for $x \notin B_m$ series

$$f_{n_0}(x) + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \left(f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x)\right)$$

is convergent. Therefore, it is also convergent for $x \notin B$. We define $f : X \to Y$ as a sum of the series for $x \notin B$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in B$. Then

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} f_{n_k}(x) = f(x)$$

for $x \notin B$. Hence

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \mathbb{1}_{X\setminus B} \cdot f_{n_k} = f$$

and Proposition 4.3 asserts that f is a strongly measurable function. Moreover, by Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 5.3 we have

$$\int_X \|f\|^p \, d\mu = \int_X \mathbb{1}_{X\setminus B} \cdot \|f_{n_0} + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k})\|^p \, d\mu \leq \int_X \left(\|f_{n_0}\| + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|\right)^p \, d\mu \leq$$

$$\leq C_p \cdot \left(\int_X \|f_{n_0}^p\| \, d\mu + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \int_X \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|^p \, d\mu\right) \leq C_p \cdot \left(\int_X \|f_{n_0}\|^p \, d\mu + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} 4^{-k}\right)$$

where C_p is some positive constant depending only on p. Thus $f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$. Again by Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 5.3 we have

$$\int_X \|f - f_{n_m}\|^p \, d\mu = \int_X \mathbb{1}_{X\setminus B} \cdot \|\sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k})\|^p \, d\mu \leq \int_X \|\sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k})\|^p \, d\mu \leq$$

$$\leq C_p \cdot \int_X \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|^p \, d\mu = C_p \cdot \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} \int_X \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|^p \, d\mu = \sum_{k=m}^{+\infty} 4^{-k} = 4^{-m} \cdot \frac{4}{3}$$

where C_p is some positive constant depending only on p. Therefore, $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mu, Y)$. Since $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mu, Y)$ with a subsequence convergent to f, we derive that $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mu, Y)$. □

Next theorem is a criterion connecting pointwise convergence and convergence in $L^p(\mu, Y)$.

Theorem 6.7 (Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem)**.** *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of p-th power μ-integrable functions. Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a pointwise limit of $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and assume that there exists nonnegative, measurable function $g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\|f_n\|^p \leq g$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\int_X g \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then $f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$ and $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mu, Y)$.

For the proof we need the following result.

Lemma 6.7.1. *Let $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a nonnegative, measurable functions on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. Suppose that $f \leq g$ and*

$$\int_X f \, d\mu, \int_X g \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then

$$\int_X (g - f) \, d\mu = \int_X g \, d\mu - \int_X f \, d\mu$$

Proof of the lemma. According to Proposition 3.8 we obtain that

$$\int_X g \, d\mu = \int_X \left((g - f) + f \right) d\mu = \int_X (g - f) \, d\mu + \int_X f \, d\mu$$

Since integrals above are finite, we have

$$\int_X (g - f) \, d\mu = \int_X g \, d\mu - \int_X f \, d\mu$$

$\square$

Proof of the theorem. Since $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to f, we deduce that f is strongly measurable. Moreover, a sequence $\left\{ \|f\|_n : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to $\|f\|$. Since $\|f_n\|^p \leq g$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that $\|f\|^p \leq g$. Thus

$$\int_X \|f\|^p \, d\mu \leq \int_X g \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

Hence $f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$. By Proposition 5.3 there exists some positive constant C_p such that $\|f - f_n\|^p \leq C_p \cdot 2g$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 6.7.1 we have

$$\int_X C_p \cdot 2g \, d\mu - \int_X \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \|f - f_n\|^p \, d\mu = \int_X \left(C_p \cdot 2g - \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \|f - f_n\|^p \right) d\mu =$$

$$= \int_X \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \left(C_p \cdot 2g - \|f - f_n\|^p \right) d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \int_X \left(C_p \cdot 2g - \|f - f_n\|^p \right) d\mu =$$

$$= \int_X C_p \cdot 2g \, d\mu - \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_X \|f - f_n\|^p \, d\mu$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_X \|f - f_n\|^p \, d\mu \leq \int_X \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \|f - f_n\|^p \, d\mu = 0$$

Thus we deduce that $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mu, Y)$.

$\square$

It turns out that Lebesgue's space $L^p(\mu, Y)$ contains certain dense subspace which can be easily described. We shall define this space and then prove that in fact it is dense.

Definition 6.8. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. A measurable function $s : X \to Y$ such that $s(X) \subseteq Y$ is finite and

$$\mu \left(\{ x \in X \, | \, s(x) \neq 0 \} \right) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is *μ-simple*. The set of all μ-simple, Y-valued functions defined on (X, Σ, μ) is denoted by $S(\mu, Y)$.

Theorem 6.9. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. For each $f \in L^p(\mu, Y)$ there exists a sequence $\{s_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of μ-simple functions and a nonnegative, measurable function $g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that the following assertions hold.*

(1)
$$\int_X g \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

(2) $\|s_n\|^p \leq g$ *for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(3) $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *converges pointwise to* f.

Proof. Clearly every μ-simple function is strongly measurable and p-th power μ-integrable. Moreover, μ-simple functions are closed under $\mathbb{K}$-vector space operations defined on the space of strongly measurable functions. Hence $S(\mu, Y) \subseteq L^p(\mu, Y)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear subspace.

Suppose now that $f : X \to Y$ is a p-th power μ-integrable function. By Theorem 4.6 there exists a sequence $\{s_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of measurable functions pointwise convergent to f such that $s_n(X)$ is finite and the inequality

$$\|s_n - f\| \leq \|f\|$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g = 2^p \cdot \|f\|^p$. Then

$$\int_X g \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\|s_n\|^p \leq g$. Hence s_n is μ-simple for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 6.10. *The space $S(\mu, Y)$ is a dense $\mathbb{K}$-linear subspace of $L^p(\mu, Y)$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorems 6.7 and 6.9. $\qquad \square$

7. BOCHNER'S INTEGRAL

In this section $\mathbb{K}$ is either field $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ with their usual absolute values.

Definition 7.1. Let Y be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. For every $s \in S(\mu, Y)$ we define

$$\int_X s \, d\mu = \sum_{y \in Y} y \cdot \mu \left(s^{-1}(y) \right)$$

and we call it *the integral of s with respect to μ*.

Fact 7.2. *Let Y be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Then*

$$S(\mu, Y) \ni s \mapsto \int_X s \, d\mu \in Y$$

is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear operator such that

$$\left\| \int_X s \, d\mu \right\| \leq \|s\|_1$$

Proof. We left the proof (direct calculation) for the reader as an exercise. $\qquad \square$

Let Y be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. By Theorem 6.9 space $S(\mu, Y)$ is a dense $\mathbb{K}$-linear subspace of $L^1(\mu, Y)$. By Theorem 6.6 space $L^1(\mu, Y)$ is complete and by Fact 7.2 operator

$$S(\mu, Y) \ni s \mapsto \int_X s \, d\mu \in Y$$

is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear operator with norm equal to one. These imply that there exists a unique $\mathbb{K}$-linear operator

$$L^1(\mu, Y) \ni f \mapsto \int_X f \, d\mu \in Y$$

with norm equal to one extending the integral on $S(\mu, Y)$.

Definition 7.3. Let Y be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. The operator

$$L^1(\mu, Y) \ni f \mapsto \int_X f \, d\mu \in Y$$

is called *the Bochner's integral with respect to μ*. For every $f \in L^1(\mu, Y)$ element

$$\int_X f \, d\mu \in Y$$

is called *the integral of f with respect to μ*.

Corollary 7.4. *Let Y be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Suppose that $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of μ-integrable functions convergent in $L^1(\mu, Y)$ to some μ-integrable function $f : X \to Y$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu$$

in Y.

Proof. By definition Bochner's integral is continuous with respect to $\|-\|_1$. $\square$

Definition 7.5. Elements of $L^1(\mu, Y)$ are called *μ-integrable functions with values in Y.*

8. Lebesgue integral of scalar functions and induction

First we compare Bochner's integration with Lebesgue's integration of nonnegative functions. We introduce precise terminology.

Definition 8.1. Let X be a set and let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function. If $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $x \in X$, then we say that f is *real valued*. If in addition $f(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$, then f is *nonnegative*.

As careful reader may notice there is certain ambiguity in theory developed so far. Indeed, if (X, Σ, μ) is a space with measure and $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a μ-integrable, nonnegative function, then we have a twofold interpretation of

$$\int_X f \, d\mu$$

Firstly, if we consider f as a nonnegative, μ-measurable function with values in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, then we may consider integral of this nonnegative function described as in Section 3. On the other hand it may be considered as the Bochner integral of f with respect to μ as defined in Section 7. We explain now why these two numbers are equal. For this note that there is no ambiguity in definitions of simple functions and their integrals between Section 3 on the one hand and Sections 6, 7 on the other. By Proposition 2.5 there exists a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative, μ-simple functions $\{s_n : X \to \mathbb{C}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is pointwise convergent to f. By Theorem 3.6 we have

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X s_n \, d\mu$$

where we understand the left hand side as the integral in the sense of Section 3. On the other hand by Theorem 6.7 the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f also in $L^1(\mu, \mathbb{C})$. Hence by Corollary 7.4 we deduce that

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_X s_n \, d\mu$$

where we understand the left hand side as the Bochner integral of f with respect to μ. Thus the two numbers are equal.

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. In case of $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ valued μ-integrable function f on X its Bochner integral

$$\int_X f \, d\mu$$

is also called Lebesgue integral.

The following sequence of results is a useful tool for studying classes of functions in integration theory.

Corollary 8.2. *Let (X, Σ) be a measurable space and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions defined on X and with values in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Suppose that the following assertions hold.*

(1) $\mathbb{1}_A \in \mathcal{F}$ *for every $A \in \Sigma$.*

(2) *$\mathcal{F}$ is closed under $\mathbb{R}$-linear combinations of nonnegative functions with nonnegative coefficients.*

(3) *$\mathcal{F}$ is closed under pointwise limits of nondecreasing sequences of nonnegative functions.*

Then $\mathcal{F}$ contains all nonnegative, measurable functions on X with values in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.5.   □

Corollary 8.3. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of complex valued, μ-integrable functions defined on X. Suppose that the following assertions hold.*

(1) $\mathbb{1}_A \in \mathcal{F}$ *for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(2) *If $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$\alpha f + \beta g \in \mathcal{F}$$

(3) *If $\{f_n : X \to \mathbb{C}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative functions in $\mathcal{F}$ which converges to μ-integrable function f, then $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is $L^1(\mu, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. By **(1)** and **(2)** family $\mathcal{F}$ contains all μ-simple functions. In particular, it contains all nonnegative, μ-simple functions. According to **(3)** and Proposition 2.5 this implies that $\mathcal{F}$ contains all nonnegative, μ-integrable functions. Suppose now that $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is real valued and μ-integrable. Then $f_+ = \sup\{f, 0\}$ and $f_- = \sup\{-f, 0\}$ are μ-integrable and nonnegative. Hence they are elements of $\mathcal{F}$. By **(2)** we deduce that $f = f_+ - f_-$ is in $\mathcal{F}$. Finally, if $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary function in $L^1(\mu, \mathbb{C})$, then we write $f = f_r + i \cdot f_i$, where f_r, f_i are real valued and μ-integrable. Then by previous considerations $f_r, f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{F}$ as their $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination.   □

Corollary 8.4. *Fix a positive real number p. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let Y be a Banach space over a field $\mathbb{K}$ with absolute value. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of μ-integrable, Y-valued functions defined on X. Suppose that the following assertions hold.*

(1) $y \cdot \mathbb{1}_A \in \mathcal{F}$ *for every $y \in Y$ and $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(2) *If $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$, then*

$$\alpha f + \beta g \in \mathcal{F}$$

(3) *Suppose that $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{F}$ and $g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a nonnegative, μ-integrable function such that $\|f_n\|^p \leq g$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let f be a pointwise limit of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is $L^p(\mu, Y)$.

Proof. By **(1)** and **(2)** family $\mathcal{F}$ contains all μ-simple functions. According to Theorem 6.9 and **(3)** we derive that $\mathcal{F}$ contains every element of $L^p(\mu, Y)$.   □

9. PRODUCT MEASURES

In this section we discuss integration on the product of spaces with measures.

Fact 9.1. *Let (X_1, Σ_1) and (X_2, Σ_2) be measurable spaces. Then a family consisting of disjoint sums of sets of the form $A_1 \times A_2$ where $A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2$ is an algebra of subsets of $X_1 \times X_2$.*

Proof. Left to the reader as an exercise.   □

Definition 9.2. Let (X_1, Σ_1) and (X_2, Σ_2) be measurable spaces. Let $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ be the algebra of subsets of $X_1 \times X_2$ consisting of disjoint subsets of the form $A_1 \times A_2$ where $A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2$. Then $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ is *the product algebra of Σ_1 and Σ_2.* A σ-algebra $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ generated by $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ is *the product σ-algebra of Σ_1 and Σ_2.*

Suppose that Y is a set and $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ is a function. For every $x_1 \in X_1$ we define a function $f_{x_1} : X_2 \to Y$ by formula

$$f_{x_1}(x) = f(x_1, x)$$

for every $x \in X_2$. Similarly for every $x_2 \in X_2$ we define a function $f_{x_2} : X_1 \to Y$ by formula

$$f_{x_2}(x) = f(x, x_2)$$

for every $x \in X_1$. There is also a version of this notation for sets. Let $E \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$ be a subset. Then we define

$$E_{x_1} = \{x \in X_2 \,|\, (x_1, x) \in E\}, \, E_{x_2} = \{x \in X_1 \,|\, (x, x_2) \in E\}$$

for each $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$. Note that

$$\mathbb{1}_{E_{x_1}} = (\mathbb{1}_E)_{x_1}, \, \mathbb{1}_{E_{x_2}} = (\mathbb{1}_E)_{x_2}$$

Proposition 9.3. *Let $(X_1, \Sigma_1), (X_2, \Sigma_2)$ be measurable spaces. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *For every function $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ measurable with respect to $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ and any $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$ function f_{x_1} is measurable with respect to Σ_2 and function f_{x_2} is measurable with respect to Σ_1.*

(2) *Let Y be a Banach space over a field $\mathbb{K}$ with absolute value. For every function $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ strongly measurable with respect to $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ and any $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$ function f_{x_1} is strongly measurable with respect to Σ_2 and f_{x_2} is strongly measurable with respect to Σ_1.*

Proof. First let $\mathcal{S}$ be a family of all subsets E in $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ such that $E_{x_1} \in \Sigma_2$ and $E_{x_2} \in \Sigma_1$ for every $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$. Then $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is a monotone family. Thus by Sierpiński's theorem on monotone classes we have $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Now we prove the first assertion. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all functions $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that f_{x_1} is measurable with respect to Σ_2 and f_{x_2} is measurable with respect to Σ_1 for every $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$. Since $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, we derive that $\mathcal{F}$ contains $\mathbb{1}_E$ for every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$. Thus the intersection of $\mathcal{F}$ with nonnegative, $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-valued functions on $X_1 \times X_2$ satisfy all conditions of Corollary 8.2 and hence $\mathcal{F}$ contains all nonnegative, $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$-measurable functions with values in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Now suppose that $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$-measurable. Write $f_+ = \sup\{f, 0\}$ and $f_- = \sup\{-f, 0\}$. Then $f = f_+ - f_-$ and both functions $f_+, f_- : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are measurable with respect to $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ and nonnegative. Thus $f_+, f_- \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence also $f \in \mathcal{F}$. This proves **(1)**.

Now we prove **(2)**. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all functions $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ such that f_{x_1} is measurable with respect to Σ_2 and f_{x_2} is measurable with respect to Σ_1 for every $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$. As above we can derive that for every $y \in Y$ and for every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ we have $y \cdot \mathbb{1}_E \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space with respect to pointwise operations. Hence $\mathcal{F}$ contains every $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$-measurable function $s : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ such that $s(X_1 \times X_2)$ is finite. Next by Theorem 4.6 for every strongly $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$-measurable function $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ there exists a sequence $\{s_n : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of strongly $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$-measurable functions such that $s_n(X_1 \times X_2)$ is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$f = \lim_{n \to +\infty} s_n$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under pointwise limits, we derive that f is in $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

Theorem 9.4. *Let (X, Σ_1, μ_1) and (X_2, Σ_2, μ_2) be spaces with σ-finite measures. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *For every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ function*

$$X_1 \ni x_1 \mapsto \mu_2(E_{x_1}) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

is measurable with respect to Σ_1.

(2) *For every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ function*

$$X_2 \ni x_2 \mapsto \mu_1(E_{x_2}) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

is measurable with respect to Σ_2.

(3) *There exists a unique measure $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ defined on $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ such that*

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)\,(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$$

for $A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2$.

(4) *$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ is σ-finite.*

(5) *For every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ we have*

$$\int_{X_1} \mu_2(E_{x_1})\,d\mu_1 = (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)\,(E) = \int_{X_2} \mu_1(E_{x_2})\,d\mu_2$$

Proof. We prove **(1)**. For every E in $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ we denote by f_E the function

$$X_1 \ni x_1 \mapsto \mu_2\,(E_{x_1}) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

This function is well defined according to Proposition 9.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all subsets $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ such that f_E is measurable with respect to Σ_1. First note that if $E = A_1 \times A_2$ for $A_1 \in \Sigma_1$ and $A_2 \in \Sigma_2$, then $f_E = \mu_2(A_2) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_1}$. Now suppose that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} A_{1,n} \times A_{2,n}$$

where $A_{1,n} \in \Sigma_1, A_{2,n} \in \Sigma_2$ for every $1 \le n \le m$. Then

$$f_E = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mu_2(A_{2,n})\mathbb{1}_{A_{1,n}}$$

and hence $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}$ is a monotone family of sets. Sierpiński's theorem on monotone classes shows that $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. This proves **(1)** and by symmetry also **(2)**.
Now by **(1)** it makes sense to define

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(E) = \int_{X_1} \mu_2(E_{x_1})\,d\mu_1$$

for every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$. Clearly $(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(\varnothing) = 0$ and if $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of disjoint subsets in $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$, then by Theorem 3.6 we have

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n\right) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(E_n)$$

Hence $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ is a measure on $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$. We also have

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)\,(A_1 \times A_2) = \int_{X_1} \mu_2(A_2)\mathbb{1}_{A_1}\,d\mu_1 = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$$

for every $A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2$. This gives the first part of **(3)**. Suppose now that μ, ν are measures on $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ such that

$$\mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2) = \nu(A_1 \times A_2)$$

for every $A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2$. Let

$$X_1 = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_{1,n},\ X_2 = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_{2,n}$$

be partitions such that $X_{1,n} \in \Sigma_1, X_{2,n} \in \Sigma_2$ and $\mu_1(X_{1,n}) \in \mathbb{R}, \mu_2(X_{2,n}) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix now $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ define

$$\mu_{n,m}(E) = \mu\,(E \cap (X_{1,n} \times X_{2,m}))\,,\ \nu_{n,m}(E) = \nu_{n,m}\,(E \cap (X_{1,n} \times X_{2,m}))$$

Note that $\mu_{n,m}$, $\nu_{n,m}$ are finite measures on $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$. Family $\left\{ A_1 \times A_2 \right\}_{A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2}$ is a π-system that generates σ-algebra $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$. Dynkin's theorem on $\pi - \lambda$-systems shows that $\mu_{n,m} = \nu_{n,m}$. This implies that

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{n,m}(E) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_{n,m}(E) = \nu(E)$$

Thus $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ is unique and **(3)** is proved. Moreover, it is easy to observe that **(4)** holds i.e. measure $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ is σ-finite. Indeed, we have

$$X_1 \times X_2 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} X_{1,n} \times X_{2,m}$$

and

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(X_{1,n} \times X_{2,m}) = \mu_1(X_{1,n})\mu_2(X_{2,m}) \in \mathbb{R}$$

Finally by symmetry we derive that

$$\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2 \ni E \mapsto \int_{X_2} \mu_1(E_{x_2}) \, d\mu_2 \in [0, +\infty]$$

is a measure on $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ which takes exactly the same values on sets $\left\{ A_1 \times A_2 \right\}_{A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2}$ as $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$. By uniqueness of $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ we have

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(E) = \int_{X_2} \mu_1(E_{x_2}) \, d\mu_2$$

This finishes the proof of **(5)**. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

Definition 9.5. Let (X, Σ_1, μ_1) and (X_2, Σ_2, μ_2) be spaces with σ-finite measures. The unique measure $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ on $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ such that

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$$

for every $A_1 \in \Sigma_1, A_2 \in \Sigma_2$ is *the product measure of μ_1 and μ_2*.

Next results relate integration with respect to $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ to iterated integration with respect to μ_1 and μ_2.

Theorem 9.6 (Tonelli)**.** *Let (X, Σ_1, μ_1) and (X_2, Σ_2, μ_2) be spaces with σ-finite measures. Suppose that $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a nonnegative function measurable with respect to $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$. Then functions*

$$X_1 \ni x_1 \mapsto \int_{X_2} f_{x_1} \, d\mu_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

and

$$X_2 \ni x_2 \mapsto \int_{X_1} f_{x_2} \, d\mu_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

are measurable with respect to Σ_1 and Σ_2, respectively. Moreover, we have equality

$$\int_{X_1} \int_{X_2} f_{x_1} \, d\mu_2 d\mu_1 = \int_{X_1 \times X_2} f \, d(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2) = \int_{X_2} \int_{X_1} f_{x_2} \, d\mu_1 d\mu_2$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all nonnegative functions $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ that are measurable with respect to $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ such that functions

$$X_1 \ni x_1 \mapsto \int_{X_2} f_{x_1} \, d\mu_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \ X_2 \ni x_2 \mapsto \int_{X_1} f_{x_2} \, d\mu_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

are measurable with respect to Σ_1, Σ_2, respectively, and the formula

$$\int_{X_1} \int_{X_2} f_{x_1} \, d\mu_2 d\mu_1 = \int_{X_1 \times X_2} f \, d(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2) = \int_{X_2} \int_{X_1} f_{x_2} \, d\mu_1 d\mu_2$$

holds. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under linear combinations of its elements with nonnegative coefficients. Next if $\{ f_n : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of elements of $\mathcal{F}$, then

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} f_n \in \mathcal{F}$$

by Theorem 3.6. Finally $\mathbb{1}_E \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ by Theorem 9.4. According to Corollary 8.2 we derive that $\mathcal{F}$ consists of all nonnegative functions measurable with respect to $\Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$. $\quad\square$

Theorem 9.7 (Fubini). *Let (X, Σ_1, μ_1), (X_2, Σ_2, μ_2) be spaces with σ-finite measures and let Y be a Banach space over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ is a function integrable with respect to $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$. Then there are sets N_i in Σ_i for $i = 1, 2$ such that*

$$\mu_1(N_1) = \mu_2(N_2) = 0$$

and functions

$$X_1 \ni x_1 \mapsto \int_{X_2} \left(\mathbb{1}_{(X_1 \setminus N_1) \times X_2}\right)_{x_1} \cdot f_{x_1} \, d\mu_2 \in Y, \ X_2 \ni x_2 \mapsto \int_{X_1} \left(\mathbb{1}_{X_1 \times (X_2 \setminus N_2)}\right)_{x_2} \cdot f_{x_2} \, d\mu_1 \in Y$$

are well defined and integrable with respect to μ_1, μ_2, respectively. Moreover, we have equality

$$\int_{X_1} \int_{X_2} \left(\mathbb{1}_{(X_1 \setminus N_1) \times X_2}\right)_{x_1} \cdot f_{x_1} \, d\mu_2 d\mu_1 = \int_{X_1 \times X_2} f \, d(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2) = \int_{X_2} \int_{X_1} \left(\mathbb{1}_{X_1 \times (X_2 \setminus N_2)}\right)_{x_2} \cdot f_{x_2} \, d\mu_1 d\mu_2$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of all $(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$-integrable functions $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ such that the statement holds for f. Then according to Theorem 9.4 for every $y \in Y$ and $E \in \Sigma_1 \otimes \Sigma_2$ such that $(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(E) \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $y \cdot \mathbb{1}_E \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, if $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, then for scalars α, β we have $\alpha f + \beta g \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $\{f_n : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{F}$ which is pointwise convergent and $g : X_1 \times X_2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a nonnegative measurable function such that

$$\int_{X_1 \times X_2} g \, d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $\|f_n\| \leq g$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let f be pointwise limit of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then by Theorem 6.7 and Theorem 9.6 we have $f \in \mathcal{F}$. From Corollary 8.4 we derive that $\mathcal{F}$ contains all $(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$-integrable functions. $\quad\square$

10. SPACE OF ESSENTIALLY BOUNDED FUNCTIONS

In this section we extend the notion of Lebesgue space to $p = +\infty$. We fix a Banach space Y with norm $\|-\|$ over a field $\mathbb{K}$ with absolute value $|-|$.

Definition 10.1. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a strongly measurable function on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. Then

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \,\middle|\, \mu\left(\{x \in X \mid \|f(x)\| \geq r\}\right) > 0 \right\}$$

is *the essential supremum of f with respect to μ.*

Proposition 10.2. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Then*

(1) *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and $f : X \to Y$ is a strongly measurable function on (X, Σ), then*

$$\|\alpha \cdot f\|_\infty = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_\infty$$

(2) *If $f, g : X \to Y$ are strongly measurable functions on (X, Σ), then*

$$\|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$$

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ and $f : X \to Y$ be a strongly measurable function on (X, Σ). Then

$$\{x \in X \mid \|(\alpha \cdot f)(x)\| \geq r\} = \left\{ x \in X \,\middle|\, \|f(x)\| \geq \frac{r}{|\alpha|} \right\}$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Hence

$$\|\alpha \cdot f\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \,\middle|\, \mu\left(\{x \in X \mid \|(\alpha \cdot f)(x)\| \geq r\}\right) > 0 \right\} =$$

$$= \sup \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \,\middle|\, \mu \left(\left\{ x \in X \,\middle|\, \|f(x)\| \geq \frac{r}{|\alpha|} \right\} \right) > 0 \right\} =$$

$$= |\alpha| \cdot \sup \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \,\middle|\, \mu \left(\{ x \in X \mid \|f(x)\| \geq r \} \right) > 0 \right\} = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_\infty$$

It follows that

$$\|\alpha \cdot f\|_\infty = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_\infty$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. For $\alpha = 0$ this also holds for trivial reasons. Hence **(1)** is proved.

Suppose that $f, g : X \to Y$ are strongly measurable functions on (X, Σ). Assume that $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is such that

$$\|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty < r$$

We may pick $r_f, r_g \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $r_f + r_g = r$ and $\|f\|_\infty < r_f$ and $\|g\|_\infty < r_g$. Then

$$\{ x \in X \mid \|(f+g)(x)\| \geq r \} \subseteq \{ x \in X \mid \|f(x)\| + \|g(x)\| \geq r_f + r_g \} \subseteq$$

$$\subseteq \{ x \in X \mid \|f(x)\| \geq r_f \} \cup \{ x \in X \mid \|g(x)\| \geq r_g \}$$

Since $\|f\|_\infty < r_f$ and $\|g\|_\infty < r_g$, we deduce that

$$\mu \left(\{ x \in X \mid \|f(x)\| \geq r_f \} \right) = \mu \left(\{ x \in X \mid \|g(x)\| \geq r_g \} \right) = 0$$

This implies that

$$\mu \left(\{ x \in X \mid \|(f+g)(x)\| \geq r \} \right) = 0$$

and thus $\|f + g\|_\infty < r$. This proves that

$$\|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$$

if right hand side is finite. Clearly the inequality holds if the right hand side is infinite. This completes the proof of **(2)**. $\square$

Definition 10.3. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a strongly measurable function on a space (X, Σ, μ) with measure. If

$$\|f\|_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$$

then f is *essentially bounded with respect to μ* or shortly *μ-essentially bounded*.

Definition 10.4. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Then the set of all Y-valued and μ-essentially bounded functions is denoted by $L^\infty(\mu, Y)$ and is called *the Lebesgue space of μ-essentially bounded functions for Y*.

Corollary 10.5. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Then $L^\infty(\mu, Y)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspace of the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space of all strongly measurable functions on (X, Σ) and*

$$\|-\|_\infty : L^\infty(\mu, Y) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$$

is a seminorm.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 10.2. $\square$

Theorem 10.6 (Riesz). *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let $\{f_n : X \to Y\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence of elements of $L^\infty(\mu, Y)$. Then $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $L^\infty(\mu, Y)$.*

Proof. Consider an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty \leq 2^{-k}$$

for every $n, m \geq n_k$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sets

$$A_k = \bigcup_{n=n_k}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{m=n_k}^{+\infty} \{ x \in X \mid \|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\| > 2^{-k} \}$$

and

$$B_k = \left\{ x \in X \mid \|f_k(x)\| > \|f_k\|_\infty \right\}$$

are in Σ and have measure μ equal to zero. Hence

$$A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (A_k \cup B_k)$$

have measure μ equal to zero. Now $\{f_{n|X \setminus A}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of bounded functions which is Cauchy with respect to uniform norm. Since Y is complete with respect to $\|-\|$, sequence $\{f_{n|X \setminus A}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to some function $X \setminus A \to Y$. We extend this function to a function $f : X \to Y$ by setting it equal to zero on A. Note that f is strongly measurable by Proposition 4.3. Moreover, $\{f_{n|X \setminus A}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to $f_{|X \setminus A}$. Thus $f_{X \setminus A}$ is bounded and hence $f \in L^\infty(\mu, Y)$. For the same reason f is a limit of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^\infty(\mu, Y)$. $\qquad \square$

REFERENCES

[Monygham, 2018] Monygham (2018). Introduction to measure theory. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*.