

# MONOID $k$ -FUNCTORS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

In these notes we study algebraic structures in the category of  $k$ -functors with special emphasis on monoid objects.

Throughout these notes  $k$  is a fixed commutative ring and  $\mathbf{Alg}_k$  denote the category of commutative  $k$ -algebras. If  $A, B$  are  $k$ -algebras, then we denote by  $\mathrm{Mor}_k(A, B)$  the set of all morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$  of  $k$ -algebras. Similarly if  $X, Y$  are  $k$ -schemes, then we denote by  $\mathrm{Mor}_k(X, Y)$  the set of all morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$  of  $k$ -schemes. If  $M$  is an abstract monoid, then we denote by  $M^*$  the group of units of  $M$ . If  $R$  is a ring, then we denote by  $R^\times$  its multiplicative monoid. Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra and let  $V$  be an  $A$ -module and  $v$  be an element of  $V$ . Then for  $A$ -algebra  $B$  we denote by  $v_B$  the element  $1 \otimes v$  of  $B \otimes_A V$ . If  $V$  is an  $A$ -module, then we denote  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(V, A)$  by  $V^\vee$ . Thus we have a contravariant functor

$$(-)^\vee : \mathbf{Mod}(A)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(A)$$

Moreover, if  $v$  is an element of an  $A$ -module  $V$  and  $w$  is an element of  $V^\vee$ , then we denote by  $\langle v, w \rangle$  the evaluation of  $w$  on  $v$ .

## 2. ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES IN THE CATEGORY OF $k$ -FUNCTORS

In the sequel we assume that the reader is familiar with notions of a monoid, group etc. in arbitrary category with finite products. For definitions and some discussion related to these notions cf. [ML98, pages 2-5].

**Definition 2.1.** A monoid (group, abelian group, ring)  $k$ -functor is a monoid (group, abelian group, ring) object in the category of  $k$ -functors.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor such that  $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{or}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  exists. Then  $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{or}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  is a monoid  $k$ -functor with respect to composition of morphisms.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. Then we denote by  $\mathfrak{G}^*$  the  $k$ -subfunctor of  $\mathfrak{G}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{G}^*(A) = \mathfrak{G}(A)^*$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . We call  $\mathfrak{G}^*$  the unit group  $k$ -functor of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

**Example 2.4.** Basic example of a ring  $k$ -functor is a  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{K}$  given by

$$\mathfrak{K}(A) = k, \mathfrak{K}(f) = 1_k$$

for any  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and morphism  $f$  of  $k$ -algebras. It can be described as a constant  $k$ -functor ([ML98, page 67]) corresponding to  $k$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a ring  $k$ -functor. Then we denote by  $\mathfrak{R}^\times$  the  $k$ -subfunctor of  $\mathfrak{R}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{R}^\times(A) = \mathfrak{R}(A)^\times$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . We call  $\mathfrak{R}^\times$  the multiplicative monoid  $k$ -functor of  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a commutative ring  $k$ -functor. An  $\mathfrak{A}$ -algebra is an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -algebra object in the category of  $k$ -functors.

3. GLOBAL REGULAR FUNCTIONS ON A  $k$ -FUNCTOR

Recall the ring  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{K}$  from Example 2.4. Note that a  $\mathfrak{K}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be viewed as a functor  $\mathfrak{A} : \mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_k$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The  $\mathfrak{K}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_k$  given by the identity functor on  $\mathbf{Alg}_k$  is called *the structure  $\mathfrak{K}$ -algebra*.

Let  $|-| : \mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be the forgetful  $k$ -functor. Note that  $|-|$  is the underlying  $k$ -functor of  $\mathfrak{K}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ . Recall that the affine line  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  is an affine  $k$ -scheme having  $k$ -algebra of polynomials with one variable as a  $k$ -algebra of regular functions.

**Fact 3.2.** Let  $|-| : \mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be the forgetful  $k$ -functor. Then we have natural isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1} \cong |-|$$

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -algebra. We have the following chain of identifications

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}(B) = \text{Mor}_k(\text{Spec } B, \mathbb{A}_k^1) = \text{Mor}_k(\text{Spec } B, \text{Spec } k[x]) = \text{Mor}_k(k[x], B) = |B|$$

natural in  $B$ . □

In particular, since  $|-|$  carries the structure  $\mathfrak{K}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ , we derive that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}$  admits a structure of  $\mathfrak{K}$ -algebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ .

No we introduce regular functions on  $k$ -functors.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor and assume that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  is a set. Then  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  is a  $k$ -algebra with respect to the structure induced by  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ . We call this  $k$ -algebra *the  $k$ -algebra of global regular functions on  $\mathfrak{X}$* . Its elements are called *global regular functions on  $\mathfrak{X}$* .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor. Suppose that  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra,  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$  and  $f \in \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$ . The element  $f(x) \in A$  is called *the value of  $f$  on a point  $x$* .

For given  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{X}$  we describe  $k$ -algebra operations on  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  in terms of values of its elements on points of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . For this consider  $\alpha \in k$  and  $f, g_1 \in \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$ . We have formulas

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), (f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x), (\alpha \cdot f)(x) = \alpha \cdot f(x)$$

in which right hand side are  $k$ -algebra operations in  $A$ .

**Remark 3.5.** Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -algebra. Then we have an isomorphism  $B \cong \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{P}_{\text{Spec } B}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  of  $k$ -algebras that sends  $b \in B$  to a morphism  $\mathfrak{P}_{\text{Spec } B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_k$  of  $k$ -functors given by formula

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\text{Spec } B}(A) = \text{Mor}_k(B, A) \ni f \mapsto f(b) \in A = \mathfrak{D}_k(A)$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . This is a consequence of Yoneda lemma.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor and assume that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  exists. Fix  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Note that  $\text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$  is an  $A$ -algebra of global regular functions on  $\mathfrak{X}_A$ . Moreover, if  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra, then

$$\text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \ni f \mapsto f_B \in \text{Mor}_B(\mathfrak{X}_B, \mathfrak{D}_B)$$

is a morphism of  $A$ -algebras. This implies that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  admits a canonical structure of an  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra  $k$ -functor.

4. INTERNAL HOM AND PRODUCT OF  $k$ -FUNCTORS

We denote by  $\mathbf{1}$  a  $k$ -functor that assigns to every  $k$ -algebra a set with one element. Then for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  the restriction  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is a terminal object in the category of  $A$ -functors.

**Fact 4.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor. Suppose  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra and  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ . Then  $x$  determines a morphism  $\mathbf{1}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_A$  that for every  $A$ -algebra  $B$  with structural morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  sends a unique element of  $\mathbf{1}_A(B)$  to  $\mathfrak{X}(f)(x) \in \mathfrak{X}_A(B)$ . This gives rise to a bijection*

$$\mathfrak{X}(A) \cong \text{Mor}_A(\mathbf{1}_A, \mathfrak{X}_A)$$

*Proof.* Left to the reader as an exercise.  $\square$

The discussion below is partially an application of the main result in [Mon19a, section 6]. For reader's convenience we make our presentation self-contained.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$  be  $k$ -functors and let  $\sigma : \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be a morphism of  $k$ -functors. Fix  $z \in \mathfrak{U}(A)$  for some  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . We denote by  $i_z : \mathbf{1}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_A$  the morphism of  $A$ -functors corresponding to  $z$  by Fact 4.1. Since  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is terminal  $A$ -functor, a morphism  $\sigma_A \cdot (i_z \times \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{X}_A})$  is isomorphic to a morphism  $\sigma_z : \mathfrak{X}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_A$  of  $A$ -functors. We call  $\sigma_z$  the *slice of  $\sigma$  along  $z$* .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$  be  $k$ -functors. Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a  $k$ -functor such that  $\mathfrak{J}(A)$  is a subset of a class  $\text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, \mathfrak{Y}_A)$  for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Assume that for every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  of  $k$ -algebras and every  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{J}(A)$  we have

$$\mathfrak{J}(f)(\sigma) = \sigma_B$$

where  $\sigma_B \in \text{Mor}_B(\mathfrak{X}_B, \mathfrak{Y}_B)$  is the restriction of  $\sigma$  along  $f$ . Then we call  $\mathfrak{J}$  a  *$k$ -subfunctor of internal hom of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$* .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{U}$  be  $k$ -functors and let  $\sigma : \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be a morphism of  $k$ -functors. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a  $k$ -subfunctor of internal hom of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Assume that  $\sigma_z : \mathfrak{X}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_A$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{J}(A)$  for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{U}(A)$ . Then we call  $\sigma$  a *family of  $\mathfrak{J}$ -morphisms parametrized by  $\mathfrak{U}$* .

Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a  $k$ -subfunctor of internal hom of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Assume that  $\sigma : \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  is a  $\mathfrak{J}$ -family of morphism parametrized by  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Then the family of maps

$$\mathfrak{U}(A) \ni z \mapsto \sigma_z \in \mathfrak{J}(A)$$

gives rise to a morphism  $\tau : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  of  $k$ -functors. Indeed, for a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  of  $k$ -algebras and  $z \in \mathfrak{U}(A)$  we have

$$\sigma_B \cdot (i_{\mathfrak{U}(f)(z)} \times \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{X}_B}) = (\sigma_A \cdot (i_z \times \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{X}_A}))_B$$

and hence  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{U}(f)(z)} = (\sigma_z)_B$ . This gives rise to a map  $\Phi$  of classes

$$\left\{ \text{families } \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \text{ of } \mathfrak{J}\text{-morphisms parametrized by } \mathfrak{U} \right\} \ni \sigma \mapsto \tau \in \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{J})$$

Consider next a morphism  $\tau : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  of  $k$ -functors and define  $\sigma : \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  by formula  $\sigma^A(z, x) = (\tau^A(z))^A(x)$  for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and points  $z \in \mathfrak{U}(A)$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of  $k$ -algebras. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^B(\mathfrak{U}(f)(z), \mathfrak{X}(f)(x)) &= (\tau^B(\mathfrak{U}(f)(z)))^B(\mathfrak{X}(f)(x)) = \left( (\tau^A(z))_B \right)^B(\mathfrak{X}(f)(x)) = \\ &= (\tau^A(z))^B(\mathfrak{X}(f)(x)) = \mathfrak{Y}(f) \left( (\tau^A(z))^A(x) \right) = \mathfrak{Y}(f)(\sigma^A(z, x)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sigma : \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  is a morphism of  $k$ -functors. For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{U}(A)$  we have  $\sigma_z = \tau^A(z)$ . Indeed, let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of  $k$ -algebras and  $x$  be an element in  $\mathfrak{X}(B)$  then we have

$$(\sigma_z)^B(x) = \sigma^B(\mathfrak{U}(f)(z), x) = (\tau^B(\mathfrak{U}(f)(z)))^B(x) = \left( \left( \tau^A(z) \right)_B \right)^B(x) = \left( \tau^A(z) \right)^B(x)$$

Hence  $\sigma$  is a family of  $\mathfrak{J}$ -morphisms parametrized by  $\mathfrak{U}$ . This gives rise to a map  $\Psi$  of classes

$$\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{J}) \ni \tau \mapsto \sigma \in \left\{ \text{families } \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \text{ of } \mathfrak{J}\text{-morphisms parametrized by } \mathfrak{U} \right\}$$

Now we have the following result, which is an instance [Mon19a, Theorem 6.3]. To make presentation self-contained we give a complete proof.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$  be  $k$ -functors and let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a  $k$ -subfunctor of internal hom of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Then maps*

$$\Phi : \left\{ \text{families } \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \text{ of } \mathfrak{J}\text{-morphisms parametrized by } \mathfrak{U} \right\} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{J})$$

and

$$\Psi : \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{J}) \rightarrow \left\{ \text{families } \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \text{ of } \mathfrak{J}\text{-morphisms parametrized by } \mathfrak{U} \right\}$$

are mutually inverse bijections.

*Proof.* Pick a morphism  $\tau : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}$  of  $k$ -functors. Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra and  $z \in \mathfrak{U}(A)$ . In the discussion preceding the statement we showed that  $\Psi(\tau)_z = \tau^A(z)$ . Thus

$$(\Phi(\Psi(\tau)))^A(z) = \Psi(\tau)_z = \tau^A(z)$$

and hence  $\Phi \cdot \Psi$  is the identity.

Pick a family of  $\mathfrak{J}$ -morphism  $\sigma : \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  parametrized by  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra and  $z \in \mathfrak{U}(A)$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$  be points. Then

$$(\Psi(\Phi(\sigma)))^A(z, x) = (\Phi(\sigma)^A(z))^A(x) = \sigma_z^A(x) = \sigma^A(z, x)$$

Thus  $\Psi \cdot \Phi$  is the identity map. □

Now we formulate some consequences of Theorem 4.5.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$  be  $k$ -functors. Assume that for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  the class  $\text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, \mathfrak{Y}_A)$  is a set. Then there is a bijection*

$$\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{U}, \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}))$$

of classes.

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$  be  $k$ -functors. If  $\text{Iso}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, \mathfrak{Y}_A)$  is a set for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , then we define a  $k$ -subfunctor  $\mathcal{I}\text{so}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  of  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  by

$$\mathcal{I}\text{so}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})(A) = \text{Iso}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, \mathfrak{Y}_A)$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . We call  $\mathcal{I}\text{so}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  the  $k$ -functor of isomorphism.

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{U}$  be  $k$ -functors and let  $\sigma : \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be a morphism of  $k$ -functors. Assume that  $\sigma_z : \mathfrak{X}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_A$  is an isomorphism of  $A$ -functors for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Then we call  $\sigma$  a family of isomorphisms parametrized by  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$  be  $k$ -functors and suppose that for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  the class  $\text{Iso}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, \mathfrak{Y}_A)$  is a set. The following map*

$$\left\{ \text{families } \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \text{ of isomorphism parametrized by } \mathfrak{U} \right\} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{U}, \text{Iso}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}))$$

*is a bijection of classes.*

## 5. ACTIONS OF MONOID $k$ -FUNCTORS

In this section we assume that the reader is familiar with notion of an action of a monoid object in arbitrary category with finite products. For definitions and some discussion related to these notions cf. [ML98, pages 5].

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor together with an action  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ . Next assume that  $k$ -functor  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  exists. By Example 2.2 it is a monoid  $k$ -functor. We define a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  of  $k$ -functors by formula  $\rho(g) = \alpha_g$ . Note that by discussion preceding Theorem 4.5, we deduce that  $\rho$  is a well defined morphism of  $k$ -functors. We show now that  $\rho$  is a morphism of monoids. For this pick  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an action, we deduce that  $\alpha_{g_1 \cdot g_2} = \alpha_{g_1} \cdot \alpha_{g_2}$  and hence also

$$\rho(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \alpha_{g_1 \cdot g_2} = \alpha_{g_1} \cdot \alpha_{g_2} = \rho(g_1) \cdot \rho(g_2)$$

Therefore,  $\rho$  is a morphism of monoid  $k$ -functors. This shows how to construct a morphism of monoid  $k$ -functors  $\rho$  from an action  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor such that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  exists. Suppose that*

$$\left\{ \text{actions of } \mathfrak{G} \text{ on } \mathfrak{X} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \text{Morphisms } \rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}) \text{ of monoid } k\text{-functors} \right\}$$

*is a map of classes described above. Then it is bijection.*

*Proof.* Our goal is to construct the inverse of the map. Substitute  $\mathfrak{J} = \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  in Theorem 4.5. Consider maps

$$\Phi : \left\{ \text{families } \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \text{ of morphisms} \right\} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}))$$

and

$$\Psi : \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})) \rightarrow \left\{ \text{families } \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \text{ of morphisms} \right\}$$

in that Theorem. Then the map in the statement above is the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\mathfrak{G}$ -actions on  $\mathfrak{X}$  on the right and morphisms  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  of monoid  $k$ -functors on the left. Since by Theorem 4.5 maps  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are mutually inverse, it suffices to check that  $\Psi$  sends a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X})$  of monoids to an action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . For this denote  $\Psi(\rho)$  by  $\alpha$ . Consider  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $A$ -points  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ . Then

$$\alpha(g_1, \alpha(g_2, x)) = \rho(g_1)(\rho(g_2)(x)) = (\rho(g_1) \cdot \rho(g_2))(x) = \rho(g_1 \cdot g_2)(x) = \alpha(g_1 \cdot g_2, x)$$

Therefore,  $\alpha$  is an action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . □

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2$  be  $k$ -functors such that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_1), \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}_2, \mathfrak{X}_2)$  exist. Suppose that  $\alpha_1 : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$  are actions of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , respectively. Suppose that  $\sigma : \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$  is a morphism of  $k$ -functors. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathfrak{G}} \times \sigma} & \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X}_2 \\
\alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\
\mathfrak{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathfrak{X}_2
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

(ii) For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  we have

$$\sigma_A \cdot \rho_1(g) = \rho_2(g) \cdot \sigma_A$$

where  $\rho_1 : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_1)$  and  $\rho_2 : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}_2, \mathfrak{X}_2)$  are morphism of monoid  $k$ -functors corresponding to  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Conditions expressed in (i) and (ii) are directly translatable to each other by virtue of the bijection in Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \alpha_1), (\mathfrak{X}_2, \alpha_2)$  be  $k$ -functors with actions of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Suppose that  $\sigma : \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$  is a morphism  $k$ -functors such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathfrak{G}} \times \sigma} & \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X}_2 \\
\alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\
\mathfrak{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathfrak{X}_2
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Then  $\sigma$  is called an  $\mathfrak{G}$ -equivariant morphism.

## 6. MODULES OVER RING $k$ -FUNCTORS

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a ring  $k$ -functor. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an abelian group  $k$ -functor and there exists a morphism  $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  of  $k$ -functors that for each  $k$ -algebra  $A$  makes  $\mathfrak{M}(A)$  into an  $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ -module. Then we say that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a *module  $k$ -functor over  $\mathfrak{R}$* .

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an ring  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$  be module  $k$ -functors over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Suppose that  $\sigma : \mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$  is a morphism of abelian group  $k$ -functors such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathfrak{R}} \times \sigma} & \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{M}_2 \\
\alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\
\mathfrak{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathfrak{M}_2
\end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $\alpha_i : \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{M}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_i$  define  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module structure on  $\mathfrak{M}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a *morphism of modules over  $\mathfrak{R}$* .

Let  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  be module  $k$ -functors over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We denote by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2)$$

the class of all morphisms of modules  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$  over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We denote the category of  $\mathfrak{R}$ -modules by  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathfrak{R})$ .

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  be module  $k$ -functors over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Assume that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}_A}((\mathfrak{M}_1)_A, (\mathfrak{M}_2)_A)$  is a set for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Then we define a  $k$ -subfunctor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2)$  of internal hom of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2$  by formula

$$\mathbf{Alg}_k \ni A \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}_A}((\mathfrak{M}_1)_A, (\mathfrak{M}_2)_A) \in \mathbf{Set}$$

We call  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2)$  a  $k$ -functor of module morphisms of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2$ .

If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a module  $k$ -functor over some ring  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ , then we denote (if it exists)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M})$  by  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M})$ .

**Example 6.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a module over a ring  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Assume that  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M})$  exists. Then  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M})$  is a ring  $k$ -functor with respect to composition of morphisms of modules as the multiplication and the usual addition of module morphisms. Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a commutative ring  $k$ -functor, then  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{M})$  (if exists) admits additional structure of a  $\mathfrak{A}$ -algebra  $k$ -functor induced via a unique morphism  $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathfrak{M})$  of ring  $k$ -functors that sends  $1 \mapsto 1_{\mathfrak{M}}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a commutative ring  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a  $\mathfrak{A}$ -algebra  $k$ -functor. This means that there exists a morphism  $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  of ring  $k$ -functors and for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  induced morphism  $\mathfrak{A}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(A)$  sends  $\mathfrak{A}(A)$  to the center of a ring  $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ . Fix a module  $\mathfrak{M}$  over  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Next assume that  $k$ -functor  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{M})$  exists. By Example 6.4 it is a ring  $k$ -functor.

**Definition 6.5.** In the setting above suppose that  $\alpha : \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is a morphism of  $k$ -functors. Suppose that  $\alpha$  makes  $\mathfrak{M}$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module and moreover, for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and for every point  $x \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  morphism  $\alpha_x$  is a morphism of  $\mathfrak{A}_A$ -modules. Then  $\alpha$  is called a  $\mathfrak{A}$ -linear  $\mathfrak{R}$ -action on  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

We continue the discussion. We assume that we are given an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -linear  $\mathfrak{R}$ -action  $\alpha : \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We define a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{M})$  of  $k$ -functors by formula  $\rho(r) = \alpha_r$ . As in Section 5 we can prove that  $\rho$  is a morphism of ring  $k$ -functors. Now we have the following result.

**Theorem 6.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an algebra  $k$ -functor over commutative ring  $\mathfrak{A}$   $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module such that  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{M})$  exists. Suppose that

$$\left\{ \mathfrak{A} \text{ linear actions of } \mathfrak{R} \text{ on } \mathfrak{M} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \text{Morphisms } \rho : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{M}) \text{ of ring } k\text{-functors} \right\}$$

is a map of classes described above. Then it is bijection.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

## 7. MONOID ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$ AND ITS MODULES

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. Then we construct an  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$  as follows. For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we define

$$\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}](A) = A[\mathfrak{G}(A)]$$

where the right hand side is monoid  $A$ -algebra for the abstract monoid  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$ . The structure of monoid  $k$ -functor on  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_k$  makes  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$  into a ring  $k$ -functor. Moreover, we have a morphism  $\mathfrak{D}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$  which for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is given by the canonical inclusion

$$A \hookrightarrow A[\mathfrak{G}(A)]$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$  is  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra. We call  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$  a monoid  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra over  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

**Fact 7.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra  $k$ -functor. Then every morphism

$$\sigma : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^\times$$

of monoid  $k$ -functors admits a unique extension

$$\tilde{\sigma} : \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$$

to a morphism of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras.

*Proof.* This follows from the analogical universal property of algebras over abstract monoids.  $\square$

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a module over  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ . Suppose that  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is an action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that for any  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and point  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  morphism  $\alpha_g : \mathfrak{M}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_A$  is a morphism of  $\mathfrak{D}_A$ -modules. Then  $\alpha$  is called a *linear  $\mathfrak{G}$ -action on  $\mathfrak{M}$* .

Suppose now that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a monoid  $k$ -functor and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a module  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ . Note that every linear  $\mathfrak{G}$ -action  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  extends uniquely to a  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -linear action  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  of monoid  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra. This gives a bijection

$$\left\{ \text{Linear actions of } \mathfrak{G} \text{ on } \mathfrak{M} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_k\text{-linear actions } \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \right\}$$

Next assume that  $k$ -functor  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M})$  exists. By Example 6.4 it is an  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra  $k$ -functor. Next by Theorem 6.6 we have a bijection

$$\left\{ \mathfrak{D}_k\text{-linear actions of } \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \text{Morphisms } \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{D}_k\text{-algebras} \right\}$$

Finally Fact 7.2 implies that we have a bijection

$$\left\{ \text{Morphisms } \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{D}_k\text{-algebras} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \text{Morphisms } \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}) \text{ of monoids} \right\}$$

This chain of bijections sends a linear action  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  to a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M})$  of monoid  $k$ -functors given by  $\rho(g) = \alpha_g$  for every  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  and every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . We proved the following result.

**Proposition 7.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -module such that  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M})$  exists. Then the following classes are in canonical bijections described above.

- (1) Linear actions of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$ .
- (2)  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -linear actions  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ . These are precisely  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$ -modules.
- (3) Morphisms  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M})$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras.
- (4) Morphisms  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M})$  of monoids.

Moreover, the bijection between class (1) and (2) does not require the existence of  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M})$ .

Now in a similar manner we can describe morphisms.

**Proposition 7.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$  be  $k$ -functors of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -modules such that  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_1), \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$  exist. Suppose that  $\alpha_1 : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1, \alpha_2 : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$  are linear actions of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Suppose that  $\sigma : \mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$  is a morphism of modules over  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathfrak{G}} \times \sigma} & \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{M}_2 \\ \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\ \mathfrak{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathfrak{M}_2 \end{array}$$



is commutative.

(ii) The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times \mathfrak{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]} \times \sigma} & \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times \mathfrak{M}_2 \\ \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\ \mathfrak{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathfrak{M}_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -linear actions of  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$  corresponding to  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , respectively. This states that  $\sigma$  is a morphism of  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$ -modules.

(iii) For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  we have

$$\sigma_A \cdot \tilde{\rho}_1(g) = \tilde{\rho}_2(g) \cdot \sigma_A$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_1 : \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_2 : \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$  are morphism of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras corresponding to  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , respectively.

(iv) For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  we have

$$\sigma_A \cdot \rho_1(g) = \rho_2(g) \cdot \sigma_A$$

where  $\rho_1 : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  and  $\rho_2 : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$  are restrictions of  $\tilde{\rho}_1$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_2$ , respectively.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) does not require the existence of  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$ .

*Proof.* Conditions expressed in (i)-(iv) are directly translatable to each other by virtue of bijections in Proposition 7.4.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. We denote by  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}])$  the category of  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$ -modules.

## 8. LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS OF A MONOID $k$ -FUNCTORS

We start the discussion with some results that relates categories  $\mathbf{Mod}(k)$  and  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathfrak{D}_k)$ .

**Example 8.1.** Let  $V$  be a  $k$ -module. We define a  $k$ -functor  $V_a$ . We set

$$V_a(A) = A \otimes_k V, \quad V_a(f) = f \otimes_k 1_V$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  of  $k$ -algebras. Note that  $V_a$  is  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -module. Suppose that  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism of  $k$ -modules, then we define  $\phi_a : V_a \rightarrow W_a$  by formula

$$\phi_a^A = 1_A \otimes_k \phi$$

for every  $k$ -algebra. Then  $\phi_a$  is a morphism of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -modules.

**Remark 8.2.** Let  $V$  be a finitely generated, projective  $k$ -module. Then for each  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\text{SpecSym}(V^\vee)}(A) = \text{Mor}_k(\text{Sym}(V^\vee), A) = \text{Hom}_k(V^\vee, A) \cong A \otimes_k V$$

Clearly this isomorphism is natural in  $A$ . Thus  $V_a$  is representable by a  $k$ -scheme  $\text{SpecSym}(V^\vee)$ . Hence according to Remark 3.5 the  $k$ -algebra of global regular functions on  $V_a$  is  $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)$ . In particular, elements of  $V^\vee$  can be identified with global regular functions on  $V_a$ . Concretely if  $w \in V^\vee$ , then its value on  $A$ -point  $a \otimes v \in A \otimes_k V$  of  $V_a$  is

$$a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle \in A$$

**Proposition 8.3.** The functor  $(-)_a : \mathbf{Mod}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathfrak{D}_k)$  is full and faithful.

*Proof.* Fix  $k$ -modules  $V, W$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(V_a, W_a) \ni \sigma \mapsto \sigma^k \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W) \ni \phi \mapsto \phi_a \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(V_a, W_a)$$

are mutually inverse bijections. Hence the functor is full and faithful.  $\square$

**Example 8.4.** Let  $V$  be a  $k$ -module. We define a  $k$ -functor  $\mathcal{L}_V$ . We set

$$\mathcal{L}_V(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k V)$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Next for every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  of  $k$ -algebras and every morphism  $\phi : A \otimes_k V \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$  of  $A$ -modules we define  $\mathcal{L}_V(f)(\phi)$  as a unique morphism of  $B$ -modules such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A \otimes_k V \\ f \otimes_k 1_V \downarrow & & \downarrow f \otimes_k 1_V \\ B \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_V(\phi)} & B \otimes_k V \end{array}$$

is commutative. Note also that  $\mathcal{L}_V(A)$  is an  $A$ -algebra for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}_V$  is a monoid  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra. Note that we have natural identification

$$\mathcal{L}_V(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, A \otimes_k V)$$

for every  $k$ -algebra. One can describe  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra structure on  $\mathcal{L}_V$  in terms of this identification as follows. Since  $\mathrm{Hom}_k(V, A \otimes_k V)$  carries canonical structure of  $A$ -module it suffices to describe the multiplication. For this suppose that  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, A \otimes_k V)$ . Then their product is given by

$$(\mu_A \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot (1_A \otimes_k d_2) \cdot d_1$$

where  $\mu_A : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$  is the multiplication on  $A$ .

**Remark 8.5.** Let  $V$  be a  $k$ -module. Proposition 8.3 implies that there are bijective maps that make the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_V(A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_A}((V_a)_A, (V_a)_A) \\ \mathcal{L}_V(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \mapsto \sigma_B \\ \mathcal{L}_V(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_B}((V_a)_B, (V_a)_B) \end{array}$$

commutative for every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  of  $k$ -algebras. This induces an identification  $\mathcal{L}_V = \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{D}_k}(V_a)$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras.

**Remark 8.6.** Suppose that  $V$  is a finitely generated, projective  $k$ -module. Then for each  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_V(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, A \otimes_k V) \cong A \otimes_k V^\vee \otimes_k V$$

Clearly this isomorphism is natural in  $A$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}_V$  is isomorphic with  $(V^\vee \otimes_k V)_a$  and thus (Remark 8.2) it is representable by a  $k$ -scheme  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{Sym}(V \otimes_k V^\vee)$ . Now by Remark 3.5 the  $k$ -module  $V \otimes_k V^\vee$  generates the  $k$ -algebra of global regular functions on  $\mathcal{L}_V$ . Concretely if  $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k V)$  for some  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is an  $A$ -point of  $\mathcal{L}_V$ , then for  $v \in V$  and  $w \in V^\vee$  the value of  $v \otimes w$  on  $\phi$  is

$$\langle \phi(v_A), w_A \rangle \in A$$

**Definition 8.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. A pair  $(V, \rho)$  consisting of a  $k$ -module  $V$  and a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  of  $k$ -monoids is called a *linear representation* of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Next result characterizes linear representations of monoid  $k$ -functors.

**Corollary 8.8.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $V$  be a  $k$ -module. Then the following classes are in canonical bijections.

- (1) Linear actions of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $V_a$ .
- (2)  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -linear actions  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times V_a \rightarrow V_a$ . These are precisely  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$ -modules.
- (3) Morphisms  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras.
- (4) Morphisms  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  of monoids.

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 7.4. □

**Definition 8.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $(V, \rho), (W, \delta)$  be its linear representations. A morphism  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  of  $k$ -modules such that

$$\phi_a^A \cdot \rho(g) = \delta(g) \cdot \phi_a^A$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  is called a *morphism of linear representations* of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Next result characterizes morphisms of linear representations of monoid  $k$ -functor.

**Corollary 8.10.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $V, W$  be  $k$ -modules. Suppose that  $\alpha_1 : \mathfrak{G} \times V_a \rightarrow V_a, \alpha_2 : \mathfrak{G} \times W_a \rightarrow W_a$  are linear actions of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Suppose that  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism of  $k$ -modules. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{G} \times V_a & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathfrak{G}} \times \phi_a} & \mathfrak{G} \times W_a \\ \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\ V_a & \xrightarrow{\phi_a} & W_a \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (ii) The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times V_a & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]} \times \phi_a} & \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \times W_a \\ \tilde{\alpha}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_2 \\ V_a & \xrightarrow{\phi_a} & W_a \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_2$  are  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -linear actions of  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$  corresponding to  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , respectively.

- (iii) For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  we have

$$\phi_a^A \cdot \tilde{\rho}_1(g) = \tilde{\rho}_2(g) \cdot \phi_a^A$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_1 : \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_2 : \mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_W$  are morphism of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras corresponding to  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ , respectively.

(iv) For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  we have

$$\phi_a^A \cdot \rho_1(g) = \rho_2(g) \cdot \phi_a^A$$

where  $\rho_1 : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  and  $\rho_2 : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_W$  are restrictions of  $\tilde{\rho}_1$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_2$ , respectively. This states that  $\phi$  is a morphism of linear representations of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 7.5.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. We denote by  $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathfrak{G})$  its category of linear representations. Note that  $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathfrak{G})$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}])$ .

## 9. CONSTRUCTIONS OF LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS

**Example 9.1** (Outer tensor product of representations). Let  $(V_1, \rho_1)$  and  $(V_2, \rho_2)$  are linear representations of monoid  $k$ -functors  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2$ , respectively. Then we define a linear representation of  $\mathfrak{G}_1 \times \mathfrak{G}_2$  with  $V_1 \otimes_k V_2$  as the underlying  $k$ -module that corresponds to a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{G}_1 \times \mathfrak{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V_1 \otimes_k V_2}$  of monoid  $k$ -functors given by

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \rho_1(g_1) \otimes_A \rho_2(g_2) : A \otimes_k V_1 \otimes_k V_2 \rightarrow A \otimes_k V_1 \otimes_k V_2$$

for  $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathfrak{G}_1(A) \times \mathfrak{G}_2(A)$ , where  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra.

**Example 9.2** (Tensor product of representations). Let  $(V_1, \rho_1)$  and  $(V_2, \rho_2)$  are linear representations of monoid  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then we define a linear representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$  with  $V_1 \otimes_k V_2$  as the underlying  $k$ -module given as the composition of the outer tensor product of  $(V_1, \rho_1)$  and  $(V_2, \rho_2)$  with the diagonal  $\mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}$ .

**Example 9.3** (Tensor operations). Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor, let  $V$  be  $k$ -module and let  $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  be a morphism of monoid  $k$ -functors. Then both  $\wedge^n V$  and  $\text{Sym}^n(V)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  carry canonical structure of linear representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Note that if  $V$  is a finitely generated, projective  $k$ -module, then there is a canonical isomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $(V^\vee)_a(A) \cong (A \otimes_k V)^\vee$  natural in  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Under these assumptions on  $V$  there exists an anti-isomorphism of  $A$ -algebras

$$\text{Hom}_A(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k V) \ni \phi \mapsto \phi^\vee \in \text{Hom}_A((A \otimes_k V)^\vee, (A \otimes_k V)^\vee)$$

natural in  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . This proves the following result.

**Fact 9.4.** Let  $V$  be a finitely generated, projective  $k$ -module. Then we have an identification of  $k$ -functors of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{L}_{V^\vee}$$

**Example 9.5** (Dual representation). Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor, let  $V$  be  $k$ -module and let  $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  be a morphism of monoid  $k$ -functors. Suppose that  $V$  is a projective and finitely generated  $k$ -module. Fact 9.4 implies that morphism of a monoid  $k$ -functors  $\rho^{\text{op}} : \mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V^{\text{op}}$  can be identified with  $\rho^\vee : \mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V^\vee}$ . Hence a pair  $(V^\vee, \rho^\vee)$  is a linear representation of  $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}$ .

**Example 9.6** (Hom representation). Let  $(V_1, \rho_1)$  and  $(V_2, \rho_2)$  are linear representations of monoid  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Suppose that  $V_1, V_2$  are finitely generated, projective  $k$ -module. Then we have an identification

$$\text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)_a = (V_1^\vee \otimes_k V_2)_a$$

of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -modules. By Examples 9.1 and 9.5 this isomorphism makes  $\text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)$  into linear representation of  $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}$ .

10. EXAMPLE OF  $\mathfrak{G}$ -ACTION: REGULAR FUNCTIONS  $k$ -FUNCTOR

First we need the following notion.

**Definition 10.1.** Let  $(-)^{\text{op}} : \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$  be the opposite monoid functor and let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. Then the composition  $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}} = (-)^{\text{op}} \cdot \mathfrak{G}$  is called *the opposite monoid  $k$ -functor of  $\mathfrak{G}$* .

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. In this section we discuss important example of a  $\mathfrak{D}_k[\mathfrak{G}]$ -module. Fix a  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{X}$  for which  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  exists. Recall that by Example 3.6  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  is  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra  $k$ -functor. Let  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be an action of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we have a map of sets

$$\text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, (\mathfrak{D}_k)_A) \ni f \mapsto f \cdot \alpha_g \in \text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, (\mathfrak{D}_k)_A)$$

where  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$ . From this description it follows that the map  $f \mapsto f \cdot \alpha_g$  is a morphism of  $A$ -algebras. Moreover, note that if  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$ , then  $(f \cdot \alpha_{g_1}) \cdot \alpha_{g_2} = f \cdot \alpha_{g_1 \cdot g_2}$ , where  $g_1 \cdot g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$  is a product of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . Thus the opposite monoid  $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}(A)$  acts on the  $A$ -algebra  $\text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{X}_A, (\mathfrak{D}_k)_A)$  by morphism of  $A$ -algebras. Next for every  $A$ -algebra  $B$  and every point  $x \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$  we have

$$(f \cdot \alpha_g)(x) = f(\alpha_g(x))$$

where  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$ . This proves the following result.

**Proposition 10.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $k$ -functor and let  $\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be an action of a monoid  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Suppose that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  exists. Then  $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}$  acts canonically on  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra  $k$ -functor  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  by morphisms of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras.

Let us note one important consequence of this result.

**Corollary 10.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor. The action of  $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}$  on  $\mathfrak{G}$  induces the action of  $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{G}$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebra  $k$ -functor  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  by morphisms of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$ -algebras.

## 11. MATRIX COEFFICIENTS OF A REPRESENTATION

**Proposition 11.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $V$  be a finitely generated, projective  $k$ -module. Fix a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  of monoid  $k$ -functors. Fix  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and elements  $v \in A \otimes_k V$ ,  $w \in A \otimes_k V^\vee$ . For every  $A$ -algebra  $B$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(B)$  we consider the formula

$$c_{v,w}(g) = \langle \rho_A(g) \cdot v_B, w_B \rangle$$

Then  $c_{v,w}$  defines a regular function on  $\mathfrak{G}_A$  for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f : B \rightarrow C$  is a morphism of  $A$ -algebras and pick  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(B)$ . Since  $\rho_A$  is natural and  $w : A \otimes_k V \rightarrow A$  is a morphism of  $A$ -modules, we derive that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{\rho_A(g)} & B \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{w_B} & B \\ \downarrow f \otimes_A 1_{A \otimes_k V} & & \downarrow f \otimes_A 1_{A \otimes_k V} & & \downarrow f \\ C \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{\rho_A(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g))} & C \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{w_C} & C \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence

$$c_{v,w}(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g)) = \langle \rho_A(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g)) \cdot v_C, w_C \rangle = f(\langle \rho_A(g) \cdot v_B, w_B \rangle) = f(c_{v,w}(g))$$

and this implies that  $c_{v,w} : \mathfrak{G}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_A$  is a morphism of  $A$ -functors.  $\square$

**Definition 11.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $(V, \rho)$  be its representation with finitely generated, projective underlying  $k$ -module  $V$ . Fix  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and elements  $v \in A \otimes_k V$ ,  $w \in A \otimes_k V^\vee$ . Then the regular function  $c_{v,w}$  on  $\mathfrak{G}_A$  is called *the matrix coefficient of  $v$  and  $w$* .

**Proposition 11.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $(V, \rho)$  be its representation with finitely generated projective underlying  $k$ -module  $V$ . Then the following assertions holds.*

(1) *For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  map*

$$(A \otimes_k V) \times (A \otimes_k V^\vee) \ni (v, w) \mapsto c_{v,w} \in \text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{G}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$$

*is  $A$ -bilinear.*

(2) *Suppose that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  exists. Then the collection of maps*

$$\left\{ (A \otimes_k V) \times (A \otimes_k V^\vee) \ni (v, w) \mapsto c_{v,w} \in \text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{G}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \right\}_{A \in \mathbf{Alg}_k}$$

*gives rise to a morphism of  $k$ -functors*

$$V_a \times V_a^\vee \longrightarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$$

*Proof.* We left the proof of (1) to the reader.

We prove (2). Consider  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and an  $A$ -algebra  $B$  with structural morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Fix  $v \in A \otimes_k V$ ,  $w \in A \otimes_k V^\vee$ . We prove that restriction of  $c_{v,w} : \mathfrak{G}_A \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_A$  to the category  $\mathbf{Alg}_B$  is  $c_{v_B, w_B}$ . For this pick a  $B$ -algebra  $C$  and an element  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(C)$ . Note that

$$c_{v,w}(g) = \langle \rho_A(g) \cdot v_C, w_C \rangle = \langle \rho_B(g) \cdot v_C, w_C \rangle = \langle \rho_B(g) \cdot (v_B)_C, (w_B)_C \rangle = c_{v_B, w_B}(g)$$

and hence  $c_{v,w}|_{\mathbf{Alg}_B} = c_{v_B, w_B}$ . Consider the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_a(A) \times V_a^\vee(A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{D}_A)(A) \\ \downarrow V_a(f) \times V_a^\vee(f) & & \downarrow \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{D}_k)(f) \\ V_a(B) \times V_a^\vee(B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{D}_B)(B) \end{array}$$

in which both horizontal arrows are given by formula  $(v, w) \mapsto c_{v,w}$ . We proved that the square commutes. Since  $f$  is an arbitrary morphism of  $k$ -algebras, we conclude the assertion.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $(V, \rho)$  be its representation with finitely generated projective underlying  $k$ -module  $V$ . Suppose that  $\text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$  exists. Then there exists a morphism of  $k$ -functors*

$$(V \otimes_k V^\vee)_a \xrightarrow{c} \text{Mor}_k(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{D}_k)$$

*given by formula*

$$(A \otimes_k V) \otimes_A (A \otimes_k V^\vee) \ni (v, w) \mapsto c_{v,w} \in \text{Mor}_A(\mathfrak{G}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$$

*Moreover,  $c$  is a morphism of  $k$ -functors equipped with  $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}$ -actions.*

*Proof.* The first part is an immediate consequence of Proposition 11.3. We prove that  $c$  is a morphism of  $k$ -functors equipped with  $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}$ -actions. For this we fix a  $k$ -algebra  $k$  and elements  $v \in A \otimes_k V$ ,  $w \in A \otimes_k V^\vee$ . Pick a morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathfrak{G}(A) \times \mathfrak{G}(A)^{\text{op}}$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}(B)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\rho(g_1) \cdot v, w \cdot \rho(g_2)}(g) &= \langle \rho_A(g) \cdot (\rho(g_1) \cdot v)_B, (w \cdot \rho(g_2))_B \rangle = \\ &= \langle \rho_A(g) \cdot \rho_A((\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_1))) \cdot v_B, w_B \cdot \rho_A(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_2)) \rangle = w_B(\rho_A(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_2)) \cdot \rho_A(g) \cdot \rho_A(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_1)) \cdot v_B) = \\ &= w_B(\rho_A(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_2)) \cdot g \cdot \mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_1)) \cdot v_B = \langle \rho_A(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_2)) \cdot g \cdot \mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_1)) \cdot v_B, w_B \rangle = \end{aligned}$$

$$= c_{v,w}(\mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_2) \cdot g \cdot \mathfrak{G}_A(f)(g_1))$$

and hence  $c$  is a morphism of  $k$ -functors equipped with actions of  $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}^{\text{op}}$ .  $\square$

## 12. MONOID $k$ -SCHEMES

**Definition 12.1.** A monoid  $k$ -scheme  $\mathbf{M}$  is a monoid object in the category of  $k$ -schemes. If  $\mathbf{M}$  is affine, then we say that  $\mathbf{M}$  is an affine monoid  $k$ -scheme.

**Definition 12.2.** A group  $k$ -scheme  $\mathbf{G}$  is a group object in the category of  $k$ -schemes. If  $\mathbf{G}$  is affine, then we say that  $\mathbf{G}$  is an affine group  $k$ -scheme.

**Corollary 12.3.** The functor

$$\mathbf{Sch}_k \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{P}} \text{the category of } k\text{-functors}$$

induces an equivalence of categories

the category of monoid  $k$ -schemes  $\cong$  monoid  $k$ -functors representable by  $k$ -schemes

Similarly for categories of groups.

*Proof.* Follows from [Mon19b, Fact 4.1].  $\square$

Recall that by Example 2.3 each monoid  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{G}$  has its group  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{G}^*$  of units.

**Proposition 12.4.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a monoid  $k$ -scheme. Then the  $k$ -functor of units  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^*$  is representable. If  $\mathbf{M}$  is affine, then  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^*$  is representable by an affine  $k$ -scheme.

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^*$  fits into a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{P}_e \\ \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{P}_m} & \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \end{array}$$

where  $m : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  is the multiplication and  $e : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  is the unit. By [Mon19b, Fact 4.1] the functor  $\mathfrak{P}$  preserves finite products and hence it preserves fiber-products. This implies that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^*$  is represented by a unique (up to an isomorphism)  $k$ -scheme  $\mathbf{M}^*$  that fit into a cartesian square below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Spec } k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M} & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbf{M} \end{array}$$

Note that if  $\mathbf{M}$  is affine, then also  $\mathbf{M}^*$  is affine.  $\square$

**Definition 12.5.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a monoid  $k$ -scheme. Then the group  $k$ -scheme  $\mathbf{M}^*$  representing  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}^*$  is called the group of units of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**Remark 12.6.** Under the embedding given in Corollary 12.3 notions defined for monoid  $k$ -functors can be translated to monoid  $k$ -schemes.

We give two instances of the use of Remark 12.6 below.

**Definition 12.7.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a monoid  $k$ -scheme. Then the category of linear representations of  $\mathbf{M}$  is the category of linear representations of the monoid  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}$ . We denote this category by  $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{M})$ .

**Definition 12.8.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a monoid  $k$ -functor and let  $\alpha : \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be an action of  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}$  on a  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Then we say that  $\alpha$  is an action of  $\mathbf{M}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

### 13. BIALGEBRAS AND AFFINE MONOID $k$ -SCHEMES

We start here with a general notion of  $k$ -coalgebras.

**Definition 13.1.** Let  $(C, \Delta, \xi)$  be a triple consisting of a module  $C$  over  $k$  and morphisms

$$\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes_k C, \xi : C \rightarrow k$$

of  $k$ -modules such that the following diagrams are commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes_k C \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_C \otimes \Delta \\ C \otimes_k C & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes_k 1_C} & C \otimes_k C \otimes_k C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes_k C \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow 1 \otimes \xi \\ & & C \otimes_k k \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes_k C \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \xi \otimes_k 1_C \\ & & k \otimes_k C \end{array}$$

Then  $(C, \Delta, \xi)$  is called a  $k$ -coalgebra. Morphisms  $\Delta, \xi$  are called a comultiplication and a counit, respectively.

**Definition 13.2.** Let  $(C_1, \Delta_1, \xi_1)$  and  $(C_2, \Delta_2, \xi_2)$  are  $k$ -coalgebras. Then a morphism  $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  of  $k$ -modules is a morphism of  $k$ -coalgebras if the following diagrams are commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 \otimes_k C_1 & \xrightarrow{f \otimes_k f} & C_2 \otimes_k C_2 \\ \Delta_1 \uparrow & & \uparrow \Delta_2 \\ C_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & C_2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & C_2 \\ \xi_1 \searrow & & \swarrow \xi_2 \\ & k & \end{array}$$

By  $k$ -algebra we mean commutative and unital  $k$ -algebra.

**Definition 13.3.** Let  $B$  be a  $k$ -module with structures of both  $k$ -algebra and  $k$ -coalgebra. Assume that the comultiplication and the counit of  $B$  are morphisms of  $k$ -algebras with respect to  $k$ -algebra structure of  $B$ . Then we say that  $B$  with these structures is a  $k$ -bialgebra.

**Definition 13.4.** Let  $B_1, B_2$  be  $k$ -bialgebras and let  $f : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  be a morphism of  $k$ -modules. We say that  $f$  is a morphism of  $k$ -bialgebras if it is simultaneously morphism of  $k$ -algebras and  $k$ -coalgebras.

**Theorem 13.5.** The functor  $\mathbf{Spec} : \mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Sch}_k$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$k\text{-bialgebras} \cong \text{the category of affine monoid } k\text{-schemes}$$

*Proof.* This is an exercise in translation. For details see [DG70, II, 1.6]. □



Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be an affine monoid  $k$ -scheme. Then we denote by  $k[\mathbf{M}]$  its coordinate  $k$ -bialgebra, by  $\Delta_{\mathbf{M}}$  its comultiplication and by  $\zeta_{\mathbf{M}}$  its counit. This is a notation that we consistently use in these notes.

#### 14. COMODULES OVER $k$ -COALGEBRAS

**Definition 14.1.** Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra with the comultiplication  $\Delta$  and the counit  $\zeta$ . A pair  $(V, d)$  consisting of a  $k$ -module  $V$  and a morphism  $d : V \rightarrow C \otimes_k V$  of  $k$ -modules such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{d} & C \otimes_k V \\ d \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_C \otimes_k d \\ C \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes_k 1_V} & C \otimes_k C \otimes_k V \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{d} & C \otimes_k V \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \zeta \otimes_k 1_V \\ & & k \otimes_k V \end{array}$$

is called a  $C$ -comodule. Morphism  $d$  is called a coaction of  $C$  on  $V$ .

**Definition 14.2.** Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra and let  $(V_1, d_1), (V_2, d_2)$  be two comodules over  $C$ . A morphism of  $k$ -modules  $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is a morphism of  $C$ -comodules if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes_k V_1 & \xrightarrow{1_C \otimes_k f} & C \otimes_k V_2 \\ d_1 \uparrow & & \uparrow d_2 \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We denote by  $\mathbf{coMod}(C)$  the category of  $C$ -comodules for a  $k$ -coalgebra  $C$ .

**Theorem 14.3.** Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra. Then the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{coMod}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(k)$  creates colimits.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta, \zeta$  be the comultiplication and the counit of  $C$ , respectively. Suppose that  $I \ni i \mapsto (V_i, d_i) \in \mathbf{coMod}(C)$  is a diagram of  $C$ -comodules indexed by some category  $I$ . Let  $V$  together with  $u_i : V_i \rightarrow V$  for  $i \in I$  be a colimit of the diagram  $I \ni i \mapsto V_i \in \mathbf{Mod}(k)$ . By the universal property of colimit we deduce that there exists a unique morphism  $d : V \rightarrow C \otimes_k V$  such that diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes_k V_i & \xrightarrow{1_C \otimes_k u_i} & C \otimes_k V \\ d_i \uparrow & & \uparrow d \\ V_i & \xrightarrow{u_i} & V \end{array}$$

are commutative for every  $i \in I$ . In order to verify that diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{d} & C \otimes_k V \\ d \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_C \otimes_k d \\ C \otimes_k V & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes_k 1_V} & C \otimes_k C \otimes_k V \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{d} & C \otimes_k V \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \zeta \otimes_k 1_V \\ & & k \otimes_k V \end{array}$$

are commutative it suffices to note that for every  $i \in I$  we have chains of equalities

$$(1_C \otimes_k d) \cdot d \cdot u_i = (1_C \otimes_k 1_C \otimes_k u_i) \cdot (1_C \otimes_k 1_C \otimes_k d_i) \cdot d_i = (1_C \otimes_k 1_C \otimes_k u_i) \cdot (\Delta \otimes_k 1_{V_i}) \cdot d_i = (\Delta \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d \cdot u_i$$

and

$$(\zeta \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d \cdot u_i = (1_k \otimes_k u_i) \cdot (\zeta \otimes_k 1_{V_i}) \cdot d_i = (1_k \otimes_k u_i) \cdot j_{V_i} = j_V \cdot u_i$$

where  $j_W : W \rightarrow k \otimes_k W$  is the natural isomorphism for every  $k$ -module  $W$ . Hence  $(V, d)$  is a  $C$ -comodule. Suppose now that  $(W, e)$  is a  $C$ -comodule and  $w_i : V_i \rightarrow W$  for  $i \in I$  is a family of  $C$ -comodule morphisms compatible with the diagram  $I \ni i \mapsto (V_i, d_i) \in \mathbf{coMod}(C)$ . Since  $\{u_i : V_i \rightarrow V\}_{i \in I}$  form a colimiting cocone for  $I \ni i \mapsto V_i \in \mathbf{Mod}(k)$ , there exists a unique morphism of  $k$ -modules  $f : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $f \cdot u_i = w_i$ . Note that

$$e \cdot f \cdot u_i = e \cdot w_i = (1_C \otimes_k w_i) \cdot d_i = (1_C \otimes_k f) \cdot (1_C \otimes_k u_i) \cdot d_i = (1_C \otimes_k f) \cdot d \cdot u_i$$

for every  $i \in I$ . Hence  $e \cdot f = (1_C \otimes_k f) \cdot d$ . Thus  $f$  is a morphism of  $C$ -comodules. Thus  $(V, d)$  together with family  $\{u_i : (V_i, d_i) \rightarrow (V, d)\}_{i \in I}$  is a colimit of the diagram  $I \ni i \mapsto (V_i, d_i) \in \mathbf{coMod}(C)$  of  $C$ -comodules. This implies that the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{coMod}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(k)$  creates colimits.  $\square$

**Theorem 14.4.** *Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra such that  $C$  is a flat  $k$ -module. Then the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{coMod}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(k)$  creates finite limits.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 14.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 14.5.** *Let  $C$  be a coalgebra over  $k$  and assume that  $C$  is flat as a  $k$ -module. Then  $\mathbf{coMod}(C)$  is an abelian category with small colimits.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorems 14.3 and 14.4.  $\square$

The next result is of fundamental importance.

**Theorem 14.6.** *Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra that is free as a  $k$ -module. Suppose that  $V$  is a  $C$ -comodule over  $C$ . Then for every finitely generated  $k$ -submodule  $U \subseteq V$  there exists a  $C$ -subcomodule  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $U \subseteq W$  and  $W$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -module.*

The theorem follows from the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 14.6.1.** *Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -coalgebra over  $k$  that is free as a  $k$ -module. Suppose that  $V$  is a  $C$ -comodule over  $C$  and fix an element  $v \in V$ . Then there exists a  $C$ -subcomodule  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $v \in W$  and  $W$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -module.*

*Proof of the lemma.* Let  $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a free basis of  $C$  over  $k$  and let  $d : V \rightarrow C \otimes_k V$  be a left coaction of  $C$  on  $V$ . Denote by  $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes_k C$  the comultiplication of  $C$ . Then we have

$$d(v) = \sum_{j \in J} e_j \otimes v_j$$

where  $v_j \in V$  are zero for almost all  $j \in J$ . Next according to

$$(\Delta \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d = (1_C \otimes_k d) \cdot d$$

we derive that equality

$$\sum_{j \in J} e_j \otimes d(v_j) = (1_C \otimes_k d)(d(v)) = (\Delta \otimes_k 1_V)(d(v)) = \sum_{j \in J} \Delta(e_j) \otimes v_j \subseteq \sum_{j \in J} C \otimes_k C \otimes_k k \cdot v_j$$

holds. This implies that  $d(v_j) \subseteq C \otimes_k (\sum_{j \in J} k \cdot v_j)$ . Hence  $k$ -submodule  $W$  of  $V$  generated by  $v$  and  $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$  is  $C$ -subcomodule of  $V$ . It is finitely generated as a  $k$ -module and  $v \in W$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* Suppose that  $U$  is generated by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  as a  $k$ -module. For each  $i$  pick  $C$ -subcomodule  $W_i$  of  $V$  such that  $W_i$  is finitely generated as a  $k$ -module and  $v_i \in W_i$ . This can be done by Lemma 14.6.1. Next

$$W = W_1 + \dots + W_n$$

is a  $C$ -subcomodule of  $V$  that is finitely generated as a  $k$ -module and contains  $U$ .  $\square$

## 15. LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS AND COMODULES

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be an affine monoid  $k$ -scheme and let  $\rho : \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  be a morphism of functors of sets, where  $V$  is a  $k$ -module. Yoneda Lemma implies that  $\rho$  is determined by some element (Example 8.4)

$$d_\rho \in \text{Hom}_k(V, k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k V)$$

**Theorem 15.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be an affine monoid  $k$ -scheme. Then the correspondence*

$$\text{Rep}(\mathbf{M}) \ni (V, \rho) \mapsto (V, d_\rho) \in \mathbf{coMod}(k[\mathbf{M}])$$

*is an isomorphism of categories over  $\mathbf{Mod}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* We fix notation in the proof. We denote by  $\mu_A : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$  the multiplication and by  $\eta_A : k \rightarrow A$  the unit for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . If  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra, then we denote by  $e_A$  the composition  $\eta_A \cdot \xi_{\mathbf{M}} : k[\mathbf{M}] \rightarrow A$ . Note that  $e_A \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}(A)$  is the neutral element.

We start the proof with some useful remarks. If  $V$  is a  $k$ -module, then

$$\mathcal{L}_V(A) = \text{Hom}_k(V, A \otimes_k V)$$

for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\mathfrak{O}_k$ -algebra structure discussed in Example 8.4. Moreover, if  $\rho : \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  is a morphism of  $k$ -functors corresponding to  $d_\rho : V \rightarrow k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k V$ , then for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and a morphism  $f : k[\mathbf{M}] \rightarrow A$  of  $k$ -algebras we have

$$\rho(f) = (f \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d_\rho$$

Our discussion in Example 8.4 and Yoneda Lemma show that the following assertions hold.

(1) For  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}_k(k[\mathbf{M}], A) = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}(A)$  we have

$$\rho(f_1) \cdot \rho(f_2) = (\mu_A \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot (f_2 \otimes_k f_1 \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot (1_{k[\mathbf{M}]} \otimes_k d_\rho) \cdot d_\rho$$

and

$$\rho(f_1 \cdot f_2) = (\mu_A \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot (f_2 \otimes_k f_1 \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot (\Delta_{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d_\rho$$

(2) For  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we have

$$\rho(e_A) = (\eta_A \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot (\xi_{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d_\rho$$

Now (1) imply that if  $(\Delta_{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d_\rho = (1_{\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbf{M}}} \otimes_k d_\rho) \cdot d_\rho$  then  $\rho(f_1 \cdot f_2) = \rho(f_1) \cdot \rho(f_2)$ . On the other hand suppose that  $\rho(f_1 \cdot f_2) = \rho(f_1) \cdot \rho(f_2)$  for any two  $f_1, f_2 : k[\mathbf{M}] \rightarrow A$  morphism of  $k$ -algebras and for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . Pick inclusions  $f_1, f_2 : k[\mathbf{M}] \rightarrow k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k k[\mathbf{M}]$  onto first and second component, respectively. Then

$$(\mu_{k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k k[\mathbf{M}]} \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot (f_2 \otimes_k f_1 \otimes_k 1_V) = 1_{k[\mathbf{M}]} \otimes_k 1_{k[\mathbf{M}]} \otimes_k 1_V$$

and hence  $(\Delta_{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d_\rho = (1_{\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbf{M}}} \otimes_k d_\rho) \cdot d_\rho$  by (1).

Now if  $(\xi_{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d_\rho$  is the canonical isomorphism  $V \cong k \otimes_k V$ . Then by (2) we derive that  $\rho(e_A)$  is the canonical morphism  $V \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$ . On the other hand if  $\rho(e_A)$  is  $V \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$  for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , then substituting  $k$  for  $A$  we deduce by (2) that  $\rho(e_k) = (\xi_{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k 1_V) \cdot d_\rho$  is the canonical isomorphism  $V \cong k \otimes_k V$ .

These considerations prove that  $\rho$  is a morphism of monoid  $k$ -functors if and only if  $d_\rho$  is a coaction of  $k[\mathbf{M}]$  on  $V$ .

Now suppose that  $V_1, V_2$  are  $k$ -modules and  $\rho_1 : \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V_1}, \rho_2 : \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V_2}$  are morphisms of

$k$ -functors. Suppose that  $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is a morphism of  $k$ -modules. Pick a  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and a morphism  $f : k[\mathbf{M}] \rightarrow A$  of  $k$ -algebras. Assume that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k V_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{k[\mathbf{M}]} \otimes_k \phi} & k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k V_2 \\ d_{\rho_1} \uparrow & & \uparrow d_{\rho_2} \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_k V_1 & \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes_k \phi} & A \otimes_k V_2 \\ f \otimes_k 1_V \uparrow & & \uparrow f \otimes_k 1_W \\ k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k V_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{k[\mathbf{M}]} \otimes_k \phi} & k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k V_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative, we derive that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_k V_1 & \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes_k \phi} & A \otimes_k V_2 \\ \rho_1(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow \rho_2(f) \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V_2 \end{array}$$

Moreover, if the square above commutes for every  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , then it also commutes for  $A = k[\mathbf{M}]$  and this recovers the commutativity of the first square. Suppose now that  $(V, \rho_1)$  and  $(W, \rho_2)$  are linear representations of  $\mathbf{M}$ , then the discussion above implies that  $\phi$  is a morphism of linear representations if and only if  $\phi$  is a morphism of  $k[\mathbf{M}]$ -comodules  $(V, d_{\rho_1})$  and  $(W, d_{\rho_2})$ .  $\square$

We obtain immediate consequence.

**Corollary 15.2.** *Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $(V, \rho)$  be a linear representation of an affine monoid  $k$ -scheme  $\mathbf{M}$ . Then for every finitely generated  $k$ -subspace  $U \subseteq V$  there exists a subrepresentation  $W$  of  $(V, \rho)$  such that  $U \subseteq W$  and  $W$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -module.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorems 15.1 and 14.6.  $\square$

**Proposition 15.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be an affine monoid of finite type over a field  $k$ . Then  $\mathbf{M}$  is a closed submonoid  $k$ -scheme of  $\mathcal{L}_V$  for some finite dimensional representation  $V$  of  $\mathbf{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $k[\mathbf{M}]$  is the  $k$ -algebra of global regular functions on a  $k$ -functor  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}$  by Remark 3.5 and it is a  $\mathbf{M}$ -representation by Corollary 10.3. By assumptions the algebra  $k[\mathbf{M}]$  is finitely generated over  $k$ . By Corollary 15.2 there exists a  $\mathbf{M}$ -subrepresentation  $V$  of  $k[\mathbf{M}]$  that generates  $k[\mathbf{M}]$  as a  $k$ -algebra. This  $\mathbf{M}$ -subrepresentation gives rise to a morphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$  of monoid  $k$ -functors. We are going to prove that  $\rho$  is a closed immersion of  $k$ -functors. Since  $V$  is finitely dimensional, according to Remark 8.5 we derive that  $\mathcal{L}_V$  is representable by  $\text{Spec Sym}(V \otimes_k V^\vee)$ .

Hence  $\rho$  is determined by the morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\rho^\# : \text{Sym}(V \otimes_k V^\vee) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{M}]$ . For every  $v \in V$  and every  $w \in V^\vee$  we have

$$\rho^\#(v \otimes w) = c_{v,w}$$

where  $c_{v,w}$  is the matrix coefficient of  $\rho$  corresponding to  $v$  and  $w$ . Using this we are going to prove that  $\rho^\#$  is surjective. For this fix  $v \in V$  and let  $w \in V^\vee$  be the restriction of the counit  $\xi : k[\mathbf{M}] \rightarrow k$  to  $V$ . Pick a  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and an  $A$ -point  $m : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  of  $\mathbf{M}$ . Denote by  $e : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  the unit of  $\mathbf{M}$  and note that  $w_A$  is the evaluation on an  $A$ -point  $e_A : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  given by the composition of  $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  and  $e : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ . Then

$$c_{v,w}(m) = \langle \rho(m) \cdot v_A, w_A \rangle = (\rho(m) \cdot v_A)(e_A) = v(e_A \cdot m) = v(m)$$

for every  $v \in V$ . This proves that  $c_{v,w} = v$  and hence  $\rho^\#$  is surjective. Therefore,  $\rho$  is a closed immersion of  $k$ -functors.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [DG70] Michel Demazure and Pierre Gabriel. *Groupes algébriques. Tome I. Géométrie algébrique généralités. Groupes commutatifs*. North-Holland, 1970.
- [ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [Mon19a] Monygham. Categories of presheaves. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2019.
- [Mon19b] Monygham. Geometry of  $k$ -functors. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2019.
- [Mon20] Monygham. Monoid  $k$ -functors and their representations. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*, 2020.