

# CATEGORIES OF PRESHEAVES

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For some arguments in this notes we use Grothendieck universes [ML98, page 22]. In particular, some arguments in this notes rely on some nonstandard set-theoretical assumptions (and hence our arguments use assumptions beyond usual Zermelo-Frankel axioms). Let  $U$  be a Grothendieck universe. We denote by  $\mathbf{Set}_U$  a category whose objects are elements of  $U$  and whose morphisms are maps of sets.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $U$  be a Grothendieck universe. A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *U-small* if classes of objects and morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$  are members of  $U$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $U$  be a Grothendieck universe. A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *locally U-small* if for any pair  $X, Y$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  we have  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \in U$ .

Throughout this notes we fix a Grothendieck universe  $U$ . Elements of  $U$  are called sets. We use term *class* for arbitrary sets (also these ones outside  $U$ ). We denote  $\mathbf{Set}_U$  by  $\mathbf{Set}$ . By (locally) small category we mean (locally)  $U$ -small category.

## 2. CREATION OF LIMITS AND COLIMITS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be functors. Suppose that  $(X, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a cone in  $\mathcal{X}$  for the composition  $F \cdot D$ . We say that a cone  $(Z, \{g_i\}_{i \in I})$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $D$  is a *lift* of  $(X, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  if  $F(Z) = X$  and  $F(g_i) = f_i$  for every  $i \in I$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be functors. We say that  $F$  *creates limits for D* if every limiting cone for  $F \cdot D$  has a unique lift to a cone for  $D$  and this lift is a limiting cone for  $D$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a functor. We say that:

- (1)  $F$  *creates limits* if  $F$  creates limits for all functors  $D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .
- (2)  $F$  *creates small limits* if  $F$  creates limits for all functors  $D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  with  $I$  being small category.
- (3)  $F$  *creates finite limits* if  $F$  creates limits for all functors  $D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  with  $I$  being category with finitely many objects and arrows.

Some extra material on creation of limits can be found in [ML98, V.1]. By the usual arrow inverting one defines the notion of creation of colimits.

Now we prove an important result. First we need to introduce some notation. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  are categories. Then we denote by  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  the category with functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  as objects and natural transformations between them as morphisms. We also denote by  $|\mathcal{C}|$  the category having the same objects as  $\mathcal{C}$  but with only identities as a morphism. There exists the canonical functor  $|\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  that induces identity map on objects. The next result describes limits and colimits in functor categories.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}$  be a categories. Then the functor  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(|\mathcal{C}|, \mathcal{X})$  induced by the precomposition with the functor  $|\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  creates all limits and colimits.

*Proof.* We prove that this functor creates limits. Creation of colimits can be handled similarly. Let  $I$  be a category. For every object  $i$  in  $I$  consider a functor  $F_i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and for every arrow  $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$  in  $I$  consider a natural transformation  $F_\alpha : F_i \rightarrow F_j$ . Suppose that these data gives rise to a functor  $I \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$ . Each limiting cone over the composition of  $I \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  and

$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(|\mathcal{C}|, \mathcal{X})$  consists of a family of objects  $\{F(X)\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  parametrized by objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  and a family  $\{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I, X \in \mathcal{C}}$  of arrows in  $\mathcal{X}$  parametrized by objects of  $I \times \mathcal{C}$  such that the following assertion hold.

- ( $\star$ ) For every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  a pair  $(F(X), \{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone for a functor  $I \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  given by  $i \mapsto F_i(X)$  and  $\alpha \mapsto F_\alpha(X)$  for any object  $i$  and arrow  $\alpha$  in  $I$ .

We now show that there exists a unique lift of a pair  $(\{F(X)\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}, \{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I, X \in \mathcal{C}})$  to a cone  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  over the functor  $I \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  described by data  $(\{F_i\}_{i \in I}, \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Mor}(I)})$ . For this pick an arrow  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then by ( $\star$ ) there exists a unique arrow  $F(f) : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$  such that every square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(Y) & \xrightarrow{f_{i,Y}} & F_i(Y) \\ \uparrow F(f) & & \uparrow F_i(f) \\ F(X) & \xrightarrow{f_{i,X}} & F_i(X) \end{array}$$

for every  $i \in I$  is commutative. Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  are arrows in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$f_{i,Z} \cdot F(g \cdot f) = F_i(g \cdot f) \cdot f_{i,X} = F_i(g) \cdot F_i(f) \cdot f_{i,X} = F_i(g) \cdot f_{i,Y} \cdot F(f) = f_{i,Z} \cdot F(g) \cdot F(f)$$

According to ( $\star$ ) we deduce that  $F(g \cdot f) = F(g) \cdot F(f)$ . Similarly we prove that  $F(1_X) = 1_{F(X)}$ . Hence there exists a unique functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  that extends object mapping  $\{F(X)\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  and such that  $\{f_i : F \rightarrow F_i\}_{i \in I}$  becomes a collection of natural transformations of functors. Therefore,  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a unique lift of  $(\{F(X)\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}, \{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I, X \in \mathcal{C}})$  to a cone over the functor  $I \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  described by data  $(\{F_i\}_{i \in I}, \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Mor}(I)})$ . Now we prove that the cone  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is limiting. For this assume that  $(G, \{g_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a cone over the functor  $I \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  described by data  $(\{F_i\}_{i \in I}, \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Mor}(I)})$ . By ( $\star$ ) we derive that for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a unique morphism  $\tau_X : G(X) \rightarrow F(X)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(X) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & F(X) \\ g_{i,X} \searrow & & \swarrow f_{i,X} \\ & F_i(X) & \end{array}$$

It suffices to verify that a collection  $\{\tau_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  is a natural transformation of functors  $G \rightarrow F$ . For this pick  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then

$$f_{i,Y} \cdot F(f) \cdot \tau_X = F_i(f) \cdot f_{i,X} \cdot \tau_X = F_i(f) \cdot g_{i,X} = g_{i,Y} \cdot G(f) = f_{i,Y} \cdot \tau_Y \cdot G(f)$$

for every  $i \in I$ . According to ( $\star$ ) we deduce that  $F(f) \cdot \tau_X = \tau_Y \cdot G(f)$ . Since  $f$  is arbitrary, we derive that  $\{\tau_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  is a natural transformation of functors  $G \rightarrow F$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}$  be categories. For every object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  we denote by  $\text{ev}_X : \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  the functor that sends  $F \in \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  to  $F(X)$  and  $f : F \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  to  $f_X : F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}$  and  $I$  be categories and let  $D : I \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$  be a functor. Suppose that for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the functor  $\text{ev}_X \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  admits a limit (colimit). Then  $D$  admits a limit (colimit). Moreover, suppose that  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a cone (cocone) over  $D$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone (colimiting cocone) over  $D$ .

- (ii)  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a cone (cocone) over  $D$  and for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the pair  $(F(X), \{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone (colimiting cocone) over  $\text{ev}_X \cdot D$ .

*Proof.* The assumption that for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the functor  $\text{ev}_X \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  admits a limit (colimit) implies that the composition of  $D$  with the functor  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(|\mathcal{C}|, \mathcal{X})$  induced by the canonical functor  $|\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  admits a limit (colimit). Now by Theorem 2.4 we derive that the functor  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(|\mathcal{C}|, \mathcal{X})$  creates limits and colimits. Hence  $D$  admits a limit (colimit). More precisely there exists a limiting cone (colimiting cocone)  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  over  $D$  such that for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the pair  $(F(X), \{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone (colimiting cocone) over  $\text{ev}_X \cdot D$ . Since any two limiting cones (colimiting cocones) over given functor are isomorphic, we deduce that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). On the other hand if  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a cone (cocone) over  $D$  and for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the pair  $(F(X), \{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone (colimiting cocone) over  $\text{ev}_X \cdot D$ , then, according to the fact that  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(|\mathcal{C}|, \mathcal{X})$  creates limits and colimits, we derive that  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone (colimiting cocone) over  $D$ . Thus (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) holds.  $\square$

### 3. PRESHEAVES

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category. We denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  the category  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set})$  and we call it *the category of presheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$* .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category. For every object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  we define  $h_X = \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)$ . We call it *the presheaf represented by  $X$* . Next for every morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  we define a natural transformation  $h_f : h_X \rightarrow h_Y$  given by formula

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X) \ni g \mapsto f \cdot g \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, Y)$$

This defines a functor  $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  called *the Yoneda embedding of  $\mathcal{C}$* .

**Theorem 3.3** (Yoneda lemma). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category. For every object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and a presheaf  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  map*

$$\text{Mor}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(h_X, F) \rightarrow F(X)$$

*given by formula  $p \mapsto p(1_X)$  is a bijection natural in both  $X$  and  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $p : h_X \rightarrow F$  for some  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $F \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Denote  $x = p(1_X)$ . Next let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $p$  is natural transformation, we derive that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_X(Y) & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & F(Y) \\ h_X(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow F(f) \\ h_X(X) & \xrightarrow{p_X} & F(X) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Thus  $p_Y(f) = p_Y(h_X(f)(1_X)) = F(f)(x)$ . This shows that for every object  $Y \in \mathcal{C}$  and every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  we have  $p_Y(f) = F(f)(x)$ . Hence  $p$  is uniquely determined by  $x$ . This proves that the map described in the statement is injective. Now we prove that it is surjective. For this fix an element  $x \in F(X)$  and define  $p : h_X \rightarrow F$  by formula  $p_Y(f) = F(f)(x)$  for every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Consider morphisms  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and note that

$$F(g)(p_Y(f)) = F(g) \cdot F(f)(x) = F(f \cdot g)(x) = p_Z(f \cdot g) = p_Z(h_X(g)(f))$$

Thus  $p$  is a morphism of presheaves and  $p(1_X) = x$ .

It remains to prove that the map in the statement is natural with respect to  $X$  and  $F$ . This is left to the reader as an exercise.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category. The functor  $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is full and faithful.*

*Proof.* Fully faithfulness follows from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

Now we investigate small limits and colimits in presheaf categories. For this fix a locally small category  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . We denote by  $\text{ev}_X : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  the functor that sends a presheaf  $F$  to  $F(X)$  and a morphism  $f : F \rightarrow G$  to  $f_X$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Fix a locally small category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $I$  be a category and let  $D : I \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  be a functor. If  $I$  is a small category, then  $D$  admits a limit (colimit). Moreover, for a cone (cocone)  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  over  $D$  the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone (colimiting cocone) over  $D$ .
- (ii)  $(F, \{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  is a cone (cocone) over  $D$  and for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the pair  $(F(X), \{f_{i,X}\}_{i \in I})$  is a limiting cone (colimiting cocone) over  $\text{ev}_X \cdot D$ .

*Proof.* By [ML98, V.1, Theorem 1 and Exercise 8] we know that the category  $\mathbf{Set}$  admits both small limits and small colimits. Now it suffices to use Corollary 2.5.  $\square$

Finally we add one notational remark. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category and  $F, G$  be presheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then we denote by  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)$  the class of morphisms of presheaves with domain  $F$  and codomain  $G$ .

#### 4. CLASSES OF GENERATORS

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. A class  $\mathcal{K}$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *class of generators for  $\mathcal{C}$*  if for any pair of distinct and parallel arrows

$$X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} Y$$

there exists  $Z \in \mathcal{K}$  and a morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \cdot h \neq g \cdot h$ .

Now we introduce special case of the notion of the class of generators of category. For this we need one more definition.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and  $X$  be an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . An *object of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $X$*  is a morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X, f_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow X$  are objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $X$ , then a *morphism over  $X$*  between these objects consists of a morphism  $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the following triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_2 \\ f_1 \searrow & & \swarrow f_2 \\ & X & \end{array}$$

is commutative. This defines the *category of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $X$* .

For every object  $X$  of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{C}/X$  the category of objects over  $X$ . Next suppose that  $X$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is a subclass of the class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}/X$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}/X$  that consists of morphisms  $f : K \rightarrow X$  such that  $K$  is in  $\mathcal{K}$ . For every such class we denote by  $\pi_X$  the canonical functor  $\mathcal{K}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  that sends every arrow  $f : K \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{K}/X$  to  $K$ . In the case of considerations in which multiple distinct classes are involved we specify more precise notation. Next suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the composition with  $f$  induces a functor  $\mathcal{C}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/Y$ . We denote this functor by  $\mathcal{C}/f$ . Now if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we denote by  $\mathcal{K}/f$  the functor  $\mathcal{K}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}/Y$  induced by  $\mathcal{C}/f$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose that for every object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  a pair

$$(X, \{f\}_{f \in \mathcal{K}/X})$$

is a colimiting cocone of a functor given as the composition of  $\pi_X : \mathcal{K}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  with the inclusion functor  $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Then we call  $\mathcal{K}$  a *dense class of generators* for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category and  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We also denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the corresponding full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We define a functor  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$  as the composition of the Yoneda embedding  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  with the restriction functor  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category and  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the following are equivalent.

(i)  $\mathcal{K}$  is a (dense) class of generators for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(ii) The functor

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$$

is (full and) faithful.

*Proof.* First we need to introduce some notation. For every object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  we denote by  $F_X : \mathcal{K}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  the functor obtained as the composition of  $\pi_X : \mathcal{K}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  with the inclusion functor  $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . We also denote by  $\Gamma_X$  the value of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  and for every object  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  we denote by  $\text{Cocone}_Y(F_X)$  the class of cocones with  $Y$  as the vertex over the functor  $F_X$ . Finally if  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we denote by  $\Gamma_g$  a natural morphism  $\Gamma_X \rightarrow \Gamma_Y$  induced by  $g$ .

Suppose now that  $X$  and  $Y$  are objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\sigma : \Gamma_X \rightarrow \Gamma_Y$  be a natural transformation. Then one can show that  $\{\sigma(f)\}_{f \in \mathcal{K}/X}$  is a cocone of  $F_Y$  with vertex in  $Y$  and moreover, the map

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{K}}(\Gamma_X, \Gamma_Y) \ni \sigma \mapsto \{\sigma(f)\}_{f \in \mathcal{K}/X} \in \text{Cocone}_Y(F_X)$$

is bijective. We have a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{K}}(\Gamma_X, \Gamma_Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma \mapsto \{\sigma(f)\}_{f \in \mathcal{K}/X}} & \text{Cocone}_Y(F_X) \\ & \nwarrow g \mapsto \Gamma_g \quad \nearrow g \mapsto \{g \cdot f\}_{f \in \mathcal{K}/X} & \\ & \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) & \end{array}$$

From this we derive that  $\Gamma$  is (full and) faithful if and only if

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \ni g \mapsto \{g \cdot f\}_{f \in \mathcal{K}/X} \in \text{Cocone}_Y(F_X)$$

is (bijective) injective for any pair  $X, Y$  of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . This map is (bijective) injective for any pair  $X, Y$  of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of (dense) generators for  $\mathcal{C}$ . This proves theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally category. Then the class of representable presheaves  $\{h_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  is a dense class of generators for  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ .

*Proof.* We want to apply Theorem 4.4 to  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Our issue is that in general  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is not a locally small category. To fix this we must be specific and work with Grothendieck universes [ML98, page 22]. We assume (c.f. Section 1) that our base Grothendieck universe is  $U$ . Then  $\mathbf{Set} = \mathbf{Set}_U$  is the category of  $U$ -small sets and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a locally  $U$ -small category. Next  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}_U)$  is a presheaf category. Now we fix another universe  $V$  that contains  $U$  and such that  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is  $V$ -small. We denote by  $\mathbf{Set}_V$  the category of  $V$ -small sets. We can apply Theorem 4.4 to a locally  $V$ -small category  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Consider the composition of the Yoneda embedding  $h : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}_V)$  with the restriction  $\mathbf{Fun}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}_V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}_V)$  induced by the usual Yoneda embedding  $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . The composition is isomorphic with the functor  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}_U) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}_V)$  induced by

the inclusion  $\mathbf{Set}_U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Set}_V$ . Hence it is full and faithful. Now (replacing our base universe  $U$  by  $V$ ) we can apply Theorem 4.4 to a locally  $V$ -small category  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and derive the statement.  $\square$

## 5. INTERNAL HOMS

We start by making few remarks. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category and let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Recall that  $\pi_X : \mathcal{C}/X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a functor that sends morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  to  $Y$ . For every presheaf  $F$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  we denote by  $F|_X$  the functor

$$F \cdot (\pi_X)^{\text{op}} : (\mathcal{C}/X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

The map  $F \mapsto F|_X$  extends to a functor  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}/X}$ . Let  $\mathbf{1}_X$  denote a presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}/X$  that assigns to every object over  $X$  a set with one element. According to Corollary 3.5 we derive that  $\mathbf{1}_X$  is a terminal object in  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}/X}$ .

**Fact 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and let  $F$  be a presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose that  $x \in F(X)$  for some  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $x$  determines a morphism  $\mathbf{1}_X \rightarrow F|_X$  that for every object  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}/X$  sends a unique element of  $\mathbf{1}_X(f)$  to  $F(f)(x) \in F|_X(f)$ . This gives rise to a bijection*

$$F(X) \cong \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(\mathbf{1}_X, F|_X)$$

*Proof.* We left to the reader as an exercise.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we have a functor  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}/Y} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}/X}$  induced by the precomposition with  $(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category and let  $F, G$  be presheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Assume that for every object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  the class  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(F|_X, G|_X)$  is a set. We define by formula

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)(X) = \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(F|_X, G|_X)$$

for every  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is a presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$ , since for every morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we can compose a morphism  $\sigma : F|_Y \rightarrow G|_Y$  of presheaves with  $(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}$  i.e. we have a map

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)(Y) \ni \sigma \mapsto \sigma_{(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}} \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)(X)$$

and these make  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)$  a functor. The presheaf  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)$  is called *an internal hom of  $F$  and  $G$* .

Let  $F, G$  and  $H$  be presheaves on a locally small category  $\mathcal{C}$  and assume that  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)$  exists. Fix a morphism of presheaves  $\sigma : H \times F \rightarrow G$ . Pick an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $x \in H(X)$ . Let  $i_x : \mathbf{1}_X \rightarrow H|_X$  be a morphism determined by  $x \in H(X)$  as in Fact 5.1. Then  $\sigma|_X \cdot (i_x \times 1_{F|_X})$  yields a morphism  $\tau_x : F|_X \rightarrow G|_X$ . Suppose now that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We have

$$(\sigma|_X \cdot (i_x \times 1_{F|_X}))_{(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}} = (\sigma|_X)_{(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}} \cdot ((i_x)_{(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}} \times (1_{F|_X})_{(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}}) = \sigma|_Y \cdot (i_{F(f)(x)} \times 1_{F|_Y})$$

because  $(i_x)_{(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}} = i_{F(f)(x)}$ . This implies that  $(\tau_x)_{(\mathcal{C}/f)^{\text{op}}} = \tau_{F(f)(x)}$ . Hence  $\tau : H \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)$  given by

$$H(X) \ni x \mapsto \tau_x \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(F|_X, G|_X)$$

is a morphism of presheaves. This defines a map of classes

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \times F, G) \ni \sigma \mapsto \tau \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G))$$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category and  $F, G$  be presheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Assume that for every object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  the class  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(F|_X, G|_X)$  is a set. Then the map*

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \times F, G) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G))$$

*described above is a bijection natural in  $H$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that the map in the statement is natural in  $H$  is left to the reader as an exercise. Pick an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We verify now that the map

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(h_X \times F, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(h_X, \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G))$$

is a bijection. Pick a morphism  $\sigma : h_X \times F \rightarrow G$  of presheaves and suppose that  $\tau : h_X \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)$  is its value under the discussed map. According to Yoneda lemma (Theorem 3.3)  $\tau$  is uniquely determined by its value on  $1_X$ . We denote this value by  $\rho$ . Thus it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(h_X \times F, G) \ni \sigma \mapsto \rho \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(F|_X, G|_X)$$

is bijective. We retrieve  $\rho$  by means of procedure described before the statement of this theorem. Firstly  $1_X$  according to Fact 5.1 determines a morphism  $i : 1|_X \rightarrow (h_X)|_X$ . Now  $\rho \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(F|_X, G|_X)$  is isomorphic with  $\sigma|_X \cdot (i \times 1_{F|_X})$ . Hence for every  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $y \in F(Y)$  we have

$$\rho_f(y) = \sigma_Y(f, y)$$

This implies that  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are mutually determined and thus

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(h_X \times F, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(h_X, \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G))$$

is a bijection.

Now we prove the general case. We know that the map

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \times F, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G))$$

is natural in  $H$  and is bijective for  $H$  representable presheaves. Now the following statements hold.

- (1) Every presheaf is canonically the colimit of representable presheaves by Corollary 4.5.
- (2) The functor  $(-) \times F : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  preserves colimits (this follows from cartesian closedness of **Set** [ML98, page 98] and Corollary 3.5).
- (3) Suppose that  $V$  is a Grothendieck universe that contains the base universe  $U$  and such that  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is  $V$ -locally small. Then the functor

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G)) : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}_V$$

preserves colimits [ML98, V.4, Theorem 1].

Therefore, we derive that the map in the question is bijective for every presheaf  $H$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.