CONSTRUCTIBLE AND LOCALLY CONSTRUCTIBLE SETS

1. Constructible sets

Definition 1.1. Let X be a topological space. Suppose that Z is a subset of X such that the inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is quasi-compact. Then we say that Z is *retro-compact*.

Definition 1.2. Let *X* be a topological space. We define *constructible subsets of X* by the following induction.

- **(1)** Each retro-compact open subset of *X* is constructible.
- (2) If *E* is constructible subset of *X*, then $X \setminus E$ is constructible.
- (3) If E_1 , E_2 ,..., E_n are constructible subsets of X, then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i$$

is constructible.

Rephrasing the definition above one can say that constructible subsets of a topological space *X* form an algebra of sets generated by retro-compact open subsets.

Fact 1.3. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of schemes and E be a constructible subset of Y. Then $f^{-1}(E)$ is a constructible subset of X.

Proof. We set

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ E \subseteq Y \middle| f^{-1}(E) \text{ is constructible} \right\}$$

Obviously \mathcal{F} is an algebra of subsets of Y. By the base change for quasi-compact morphisms, we derive that \mathcal{F} contains all retro-compact open subsets of Y. This implies that \mathcal{F} contains all constructible subsets of Y.

Now we characterize constructible subsets of affine schemes.

Proposition 1.4. *Let A be a ring and E be a subset of* Spec *A*. *Then the following are equivalent*.

- (i) E is a constructible subset of Spec A.
- (ii) There exists elements $a_1,...,a_n$ and finitely generated ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1,...,\mathfrak{a}_n$ such that

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D(a_i) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}_i)$$

Proof of the lemma. Consider the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D(a_i) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}_i) \middle| a_1, ..., a_n \in A \text{ and } \mathfrak{a}_1, ..., \mathfrak{a}_n \text{ are finitely generated ideals of } A \right\}$$

Since every retro-compact open subset of Spec A is quasi-compact, it belongs to \mathcal{F} because it is a finite union of distinguished open subsets. Moreover, subsets in \mathcal{F} are closed under complements and finite unions. Therefore, \mathcal{F} contains all constructible subsets of Spec A. On the other hand each element of \mathcal{F} is constructible in Spec A.

Corollary 1.5. Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme and let E be a constructible subset of X. Then there exists an affine scheme Z together with a morphism $f:Z\to X$ of finite presentation such that E=f(Z).

1

Proof. Since *X* is quasi-compact, there exists an open cover

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} U_j$$

by open affines. Each $E \cap U_j$ is constructible in U_j . Write $U_j = \operatorname{Spec} A_j$ for $1 \le j \le m$. Fix j. By Proposition 1.4 there exists $a_{ji} \in A$ and finitely generated ideals $a_{ji} \subseteq A_j$ for $1 \le i \le n_j$ such that

$$U_j \cap E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_j} D(a_j) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}_j)$$

Consider a scheme $Z_j = \coprod_{i=1}^{n_j} \operatorname{Spec} \left(A_j / \mathfrak{a}_{ij} \right)_{a_{ji}}$ together with a canonical morphism $f_j : Z_j \to U_j$. Next let Z be an affine scheme $\coprod_{j=1}^m Z_j$ with a morphism $f : Z \to X$ such that $f_{|Z_j|}$ is defined as f_j composed with the inclusion $U_j \hookrightarrow X$ for every $1 \le j \le m$. Then f is a finitely presented morphism (this uses the fact that X is quasi-separated) and E = f(Z).

Finally we discuss constructibility for noetherian and locally noetherian topological spaces.

Fact 1.6. Let X be a locally noetherian topological space. Then the algebra of constructible sets of X is generated by open subsets of X.

Proof. Every open subset of a locally noetherian topological space is retro-compact. \Box

Proposition 1.7. Let X be a noetherian topological space. Suppose that E is a subset of X such that for every irreducible closed subset F of X either $E \cap F$ contains open nonempty subset of F or $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Then E is constructible.

Proof. Note that by Fact 1.6 every closed subset of *X* is constructible. Assume that *E* is not constructible. We set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ F \subseteq X \mid F \text{ is closed subset of } X \text{ and } E \cap F \text{ is not constructible in } X \}$$

First note that $X \in \mathcal{F}$. Since X is noetherian, there exists the minimal (with respect to inclusion) subset F in \mathcal{F} . If F is not irreducible, then $F = F' \cup F''$ for some nonempty closed proper subsets F', F'' of F. Since F is minimal in \mathcal{F} , we deduce that both $E \cap F'$ and $E \cap F''$ are constructible and hence $E \cap F = (E \cap F') \cup (E \cap F'')$ is constructible. This is a contradiction. Hence F must be irreducible. Since $E \cap F$ is not constructible, it is nonempty. Hence there exists nonempty subset $U \subseteq E \cap F$ open in F. According to $F \setminus U \subset F$ we infer that $E \cap (F \setminus U)$ is constructible. Thus

$$E \cap F = U \cup (E \cap (F \setminus U))$$

is constructible as a union of constructible sets. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\it E$ is constructible. \Box

2. NOETHER NORMALIZATION LEMMA

In this section we prove important theorem on the structure of commutative and finitely generated *k*-algebras.

Theorem 2.1 (Noether normalization lemma). Let k be a field and A be a finitely generated k-algebra. Then there exist elements $z_1,...,z_n$ in A algebraically independent over k such that

$$k[z_1,...,z_n] \subseteq A$$

is a finite extension of rings.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a family of finitely generated k-subalgebras of A such that for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$ extension $B \subseteq A$ is finite. Clearly $A \in \mathcal{A}$ so \mathcal{A} is nonempty. Now suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a minimal number of k-algebra generators of any element in \mathcal{A} . Then there exist $z_1,...,z_n \in A$ such that $k[z_1,...,z_n] \subseteq A$ is finite. We show now that $z_1,...,z_n$ are algebraically independent over k. Let $k[x_1,...,x_n]$ be a polynomial k-algebra and assume that there exists nonzero $f \in k[x_1,...,x_n]$ such that $f(z_1,...,z_n) = 0$. Write

$$f(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_{(d_1,...,d_n) \in F} a_{d_1,...,d_n} \cdot x_1^{d_1} \cdot ... \cdot x_n^{d_n}$$

where $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is a finite subset and $a_{d_1,...,d_n} \in k$ are nonzero. Since f is nonzero, we derive that F is nonempty. Define

$$m = 1 + \max_{(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in F} \max_{1 \le i \le n} d_i$$

Next define $g \in k[z_2,...,z_n][x]$ by formula

$$g(x) = f(x, z_2 - z_1^m + x^m, z_3 - z_1^{m^2} + x^{m^2}, ..., z_n - z_1^{m^{n-1}} + x^{m^{n-1}})$$

Now we prove that g is a monic polynomial of variable x. Let \leq be the lexographical order on \mathbb{N}^n that is

$$(d_1, ..., d_n) \le (e_1, ..., e_n)$$
 if $d_i \le e_i$ for $i = \max\{j \mid 1 \le j \le n \text{ and } d_j \ne e_j\}$

Since $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is finite, there exists $(M_1, ..., M_n)$ in F that is the greatest with respect to lexographical order \leq restricted to F. This implies that

$$d_1 + d_2 \cdot m + d_3 \cdot m^2 + \dots + d_n \cdot m^{n-1} < M_1 + M_2 \cdot m + M_3 \cdot m^2 + \dots + M_n \cdot m^{n-1}$$

for every $(d_1,...,d_n) \in F$. This fact and a precise investigation of how coefficients of powers of x in g are calculated show that g is monic. Note also that $g(z_1) = f(z_1,z_2,...,z_n) = 0$. This implies that z_1 is integral over $k[z_2,...,z_n]$ and hence $k[z_2,...,z_n] \subseteq A$ is a finite extension of rings. This proves that $k[z_2,...,z_n] \in A$ and contradicts the definition of n. Therefore, such f does not exists and this proves that $z_1,...,z_n$ are algebraically independent over k.

3. LOCALLY CONSTRUCTIBLE SETS AND CHEVALLEY'S THEOREM

Definition 3.1. Let X be a topological space. A subset E of X is called *locally constructible in* X if for every point x in X there exists an open neighbourhood U of x in X such that $E \cap U$ is constructible in U.

Theorem 3.2. Let *X* be a scheme and *E* be a subset of *X*. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) *E* is locally constructible.
- (ii) $E \cap U$ is constructible in U for every open quasi-compact and quasi-separated subset U of X.
- **(iii)** $E \cap U$ is construcible in U for every affine open subset U of X.

The proof is based on the following result.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let U be a quasi-separated scheme and W be its open affine subset. Then every constructible subset E of W is constructible in U.*

Proof of the lemma. For every $f \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_U)$ nonvanishing set W_f of f in W is affine. Since U is quasi-separated, we derive that W_f is retro-compact in U and hence constructible. Suppose now that $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_U)$ is an ideal generated by $f_1,...,f_n \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_U)$ and $V(\mathfrak{I}) \subseteq W$ is a vanishing set of this ideal in W. Then

$$V(\mathfrak{I}) = \left(U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} W_{f_i}\right) \setminus (U \setminus W)$$

Since U, W_{f_i} for $1 \le i \le n$ and W are constructible in U, we derive that $V(\mathfrak{I})$ is constructible in U. Since constructible sets of U form an algebra of sets, the assertion follows from Proposition 1.4.

Proof of the theorem. Suppose that E is a locally constructible subset of X and U is an open quasi-compact and quasi-separated subset of X. There exists a finite open cover $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} W_j$ such that each W_j is affine and $E \cap W_j$ is constructible in W_j . According to Lemma 3.2.1 we deduce that each $E \cap W_j$ is constructible in U. Hence

$$E \cap U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} (E \cap W_j)$$

is constructible in U. This proves that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Implications (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follow from definition.

Theorem 3.3 (Chevalley's theorem on images). Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of schemes of finite presentation and E be a locally constructible subset of X. Then f(E) is locally constructible in Y.

We start by a sequence of reductions. Since the question is local on Y, one can assume that Y is affine. Then X is quasi-compact and quasi-separated. By Theorem 3.2 we deduce that E is constructible on X. Next by Corollary 1.5 we may assume that E = X and X is affine. Now since f is of finite presentation, there exists a cartesian square

$$X \longrightarrow X'$$

$$f \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f'$$

$$Y \longrightarrow Y'$$

with Y' the spectrum of a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra, f' is of finite type and affine X'. We have

$$f(X) = g^{-1} \left(f'(X') \right)$$

Since a preimage of a constructible subset is constructible by Fact 1.3, it suffices to prove that f'(X') is constructible. Hence we may assume that the base is noetherian. Thus our goal is to prove that f(X) is constructible in Y under assumptions that Y is a noetherian affine scheme and f is of finite type. For the proof of this statement we need the following interesting application of Theorem 2.1

Lemma 3.3.1. Let A be a domain and $f: A \to B$ be an injective morphism of finite type. Then there exists nonzero $s \in A$ such that the image of Spec $f: \operatorname{Spec} B \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ contains the distinguished set D(s) of Spec A.

Proof of the lemma. Let $S = A \setminus \{0\}$. Then $K = S^{-1}A$ is a field of fractions of A and $S^{-1}B$ is a finitely generated K-algebra. By Theorem 2.1 we derive that there exists $\frac{b_1}{s_1},...,\frac{b_n}{s_n} \in S^{-1}B$ algebraically independent over K such that

$$K\left[\frac{b_1}{s_1},...,\frac{b_n}{s_n}\right] \subseteq S^{-1}B$$

is a finite extension of rings. Here $b_1,...,b_n \in B$ and $s_1,...,s_n \in S$. It follows that

$$K[b_1,...,b_n] \subseteq S^{-1}B$$

is a finite extension of rings and $b_1,...,b_n$ are algebraically independent over K. There exists a finite set $c_1,...,c_m$ that generates B as an $A[b_1,...,b_n]$ -algebra and all these elements are integral over $K[b_1,...,b_n]$. This implies that for every $1 \le i \le m$ there exists a monic polynomial $f_i \in K[b_1,...,b_n][x]$ such that $f_i(c_i) = 0$. Now there are finitely many coefficients of each f_i and each of them is some algebraic expression in $b_1,...,b_n$ having coefficients in $K = S^{-1}A$. This implies that there exists nonzero $s \in A$ such that f_i is a monic polynomial in $A_s[b_1,...,b_n][x]$ for every $1 \le i \le n$. Hence the extension

$$A_s[b_1,...,b_n] \subseteq B_s$$

is finite. We also know that $b_1,...,b_n$ are algebraically independent over K. Thus $A_s \subseteq B_s$ can be decomposed as a polynomial extension followed by a finite extension

$$A_s \subseteq A_s[b_1,...,b_n] \subseteq B_s$$

Both polynomial extension and finite extension induce surjective morphism on prime spectra. Thus the morphism Spec $B_s \to \operatorname{Spec} A_s$ induced by Spec f is surjective. Hence $D(s) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} A$ is in the image of Spec f.

Proof of the theorem. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a finite type morphism with Y affine and noetherian. As we explained above it suffices to prove that f(X) is constructible. Suppose that F is an irreducible closed subset of Y. We consider it as a subscheme of Y with integral structure. By Lemma 3.3.1 we deduce that either the image of a morphism $f^{-1}(F) \to F$ induced by f contains nonempty open subset of F or this image is empty. Thus for every irreducible closed subset F of Y either $f(X) \cap F$ contains nonempty open subset of F or $f(X) \cap F = \emptyset$. By Proposition 1.7 we derive that f(X) is constructible in Y.

Corollary 3.4 (Characterization of locally constructible sets on qcqs schemes). *Let X be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *E* is locally constructible.
- (ii) *E* is constructible.
- (iii) There exists an affine scheme Z and a morphism $f: Z \to X$ of finite presentation such that E = f(Z).

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Theorem 3.2. The assertion (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is a consequence of Corollary 1.5 and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from Theorem 3.3.

Next result is simple but worth noted.

Fact 3.5. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of schemes and E be a locally constructible subset of Y. Then $f^{-1}(E)$ is a locally constructible subset of X.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Fact 1.3 and the definition of locally constructible sets.

REFERENCES