

ALGEBRAIZATION OF FORMAL M-SCHEMES

1. SOME 2-CATEGORICAL LIMITS

Consider a category \mathcal{C} and its endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Our goal is to construct certain 2-categorical limit associated with a pair (\mathcal{C}, T) . Consider pairs (X, u) consisting of an object X of \mathcal{C} and an isomorphism $u : T(X) \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} . If (X, u) and (Y, w) are two such pairs, then a morphism $f : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, w)$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} such that the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(X) & \xrightarrow{u} & X \\ T(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ T(Y) & \xrightarrow{w} & Y \end{array}$$

is commutative. This data give rise to a category $\mathcal{C}(T)$. There exists a forgetful functor $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that sends a morphism $f : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, w)$ to $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Moreover, there exists a natural isomorphism $\sigma : T \cdot \pi \Rightarrow \pi$ such that the component of σ on an object (X, u) of $\mathcal{C}(T)$ is u . The next result states that the data above form a certain 2-categorical limit.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a category and its endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a natural isomorphism. Then there exists a unique functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ is a functor such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$. Pick an object X of \mathcal{D} . Then we have $\pi \cdot F(X) = P(X)$ and $\sigma_{F(X)} = \tau_X$. This implies that

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X))$$

Next if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{D} , then we derive that $\pi(F(f)) = P(f)$. Hence $F(f) = P(f)$. This implies that there exists at most one functor F satisfying the properties above. Note also that formulas

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X)), F(f) = P(f)$$

for an object X in \mathcal{D} and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{D} , give rise to a functor that satisfy $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$. This establishes existence and the uniqueness of F . \square

Assume now that the pair (\mathcal{C}, T) consists of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} and a monoidal endofunctor T . Then there exists a canonical monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}(T)$. We define $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (-)$ by formula

$$(X, u) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (Y, w) = (X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y, (u \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} w) \cdot m_{X,Y})$$

where

$$m_{X,Y} : T(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y) \rightarrow T(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} T(Y)$$

is the tensor preserving isomorphism of T . We also define the unit

$$I_{\mathcal{C}(T)} = (I, T(I) \cong I)$$

where isomorphism $T(I) \cong I$ is precisely the unit preserving isomorphism of the monoidal functor T . The associativity natural isomorphism for $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (-)$ and right, left units for $I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$ in $\mathcal{C}(T)$ are associativity natural isomorphism and right, left units for \mathcal{C} , respectively. The structure makes a functor $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ strict monoidal and σ a monoidal natural isomorphism. The next result states that the data with these extra monoidal structure form a 2-categorical limit in the 2-category of monoidal categories.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a monoidal category and its monoidal endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a monoidal category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal functor and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a monoidal natural isomorphisms. Then there exists a unique monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$ as monoidal functors and monoidal transformations.*

Proof. Note that F must be defined as it was described in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Namely we must have

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X)), F(f) = P(f)$$

for an object X in \mathcal{C} and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} .

Suppose now that F admits a structure of a monoidal functor such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors. Let

$$\{m_{X,Y}^F : F(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow F(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} F(Y)\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}, \phi^F : F(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$$

be the data forming that structure. Since π is a strict monoidal functor and $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors, we derive that for any objects X, Y of \mathcal{C}

$$\pi(m_{X,Y}^F) : P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)$$

is the tensor preserving isomorphism $m_{X,Y}^P : P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)$ of the monoidal functor P . By the same argument

$$\pi(\phi_F) : P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$$

is the unit preserving isomorphism $\phi^P : P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$ of P . Thus we deduce that for any objects X, Y of \mathcal{C} we have $m_{X,Y}^F = m_{X,Y}^P$ and $\phi^F = \phi^P$. This implies that there exists at most one monoidal functor F such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors.

On the other hand define $m_{X,Y}^F = m_{X,Y}^P$ for objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} and $\phi^F = \phi^P$. We check now that F equipped with these data is a monoidal functor. Fix objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} . The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y}} & P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y) \\ \downarrow T(m_{X,Y}^P) & & \downarrow m_{X,Y}^P \\ T(P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)) & \xrightarrow{(\tau_X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \tau_Y) \cdot m_{P(X), P(Y)}^T} & P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y) \end{array}$$

is commutative due to the fact that $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a monoidal natural isomorphisms. This implies that $m_{X,Y}^F$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}(T)$. It follows that $m_{X,Y}^F$ is a natural isomorphism and due to the definition of associativity in $\mathcal{C}(T)$, we derive its compatibility with $m_{X,Y}^F$. Similarly, since the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(P(I_{\mathcal{D}})) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{I_{\mathcal{D}}}} & P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \\ \downarrow T(\phi^P) & & \downarrow \phi^P \\ T(I_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^T} & I_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array}$$

is commutative, we deduce that ϕ^F is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}(T)$. By definition of left and right unit in $\mathcal{C}(T)$, we derive their compatibility with ϕ^F . This finishes the verification of the fact that F with $\{m_{X,Y}^F\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}$ and ϕ^F is a monoidal functor. Definitions of $\{m_{X,Y}^F\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}$ and ϕ^F show that the identities $P = \pi \cdot F$ holds on the level of monoidal structures. Since the 2-forgetful functor from

2-category of monoidal categories into 2-category of categories is faithful on 2-cells, the identity $\sigma_F = \tau$ of natural isomorphisms is also the identity of monoidal natural isomorphisms. \square

Theorem 1.3. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a category and its endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Assume that T preserves colimits. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ creates colimits.
- (2) Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a functor preserving small colimits and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ a natural isomorphism. Then the unique functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$ preserves small colimits.

Proof. Let I be a small category and $D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ be a diagram such that the composition $\pi \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a colimit given by cocone $(X, \{g_i\}_{i \in I})$. Since T preserves colimits, we derive that $(T(X), \{T(g_i)\}_{i \in I})$ is a colimit of $T \cdot \pi \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Now $\sigma_D : T \cdot \pi \cdot D \rightarrow \pi \cdot D$ is a natural isomorphism. Hence there exists a unique arrow $u : T(X) \rightarrow X$ such that $u \cdot T(g_i) = g_i \cdot \sigma_{D(i)}$ for $i \in I$. Clearly u is an isomorphism and hence (X, u) is an object of $\mathcal{C}(T)$. Moreover, the family $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ together with (X, u) is a colimiting cocone over D . This proves (1). Now (2) is a consequence of (1). \square

Now we apply the results above to certain more general diagrams of categories.

Definition 1.4. A diagram

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

of categories and functors is called a *telescope of categories*.

Definition 1.5. Let

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

be a telescope of monoidal categories and monoidal cocontinuous functors. Then a *2-categorical limit of the telescope* consists of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} , a family of monoidal cocontinuous functors $\{\pi_n : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a family of monoidal natural isomorphisms $\{\sigma_n : F_{n+1} \cdot \pi_{n+1} \Rightarrow \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the following universal property holds. For any monoidal category \mathcal{D} , family $\{P_n : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of cocontinuous monoidal functors and a family $\{\tau_n : F_n P_{n+1} \Rightarrow P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of monoidal natural isomorphisms there exists a unique monoidal cocontinuous functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $P_n = \pi_n \cdot F$ and $(\sigma_n)_F = \tau_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 1.6. *Let*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

be a telescope of monoidal categories and monoidal cocontinuous functors. Then its 2-limit exists.

Proof. We decompose the task of constructing its 2-limit as follows. First note that one may form a product $\mathcal{C} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$. Next the functors $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ induce an endofunctor $T = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \times t$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the terminal category (it has single object and single identity arrow) and $t : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ is the unique functor. Consider the category $\mathcal{C}(T)$. We define $\{\pi_n : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be a family of functors given by coordinates of $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\{\sigma_n : F_n \cdot \pi_{n+1} \Rightarrow \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be a family of natural isomorphisms given by coordinates of $\sigma : \pi \cdot T \Rightarrow \pi$. Now this data form a 2-limit of the telescope by compilation of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. \square

2. FORMAL \mathbf{G} -SCHEMES

This section is devoted to introducing some notions from formal geometry that are central in this notes. We fix a group scheme \mathbf{G} over k .

Definition 2.1. A formal \mathbf{G} -scheme consists of a sequence $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbf{G} -schemes together with \mathbf{G} -equivariant closed immersions

$$Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow Z_n \hookrightarrow Z_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

satisfying the following assertions.

- (1) We have $Z_0 = Z_n^G$ scheme-theoretically for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) Let \mathcal{I}_n be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} defining Z_0 . Then for every $m \leq n$ the subscheme $Z_m \subset Z_n$ is defined by \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} .

Example 2.2. Let Z be a \mathbf{G} -scheme. Consider a quasi-coherent ideal \mathcal{I} of fixed point subscheme $Z^{\mathbf{G}}$ of Z . Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ideal \mathcal{I}^n is \mathbf{G} -equivariant and hence

$$V(\mathcal{I}) \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{I}^2) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{I}^n) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

is a formal \mathbf{G} -scheme. We denote it by \widehat{Z} .

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are formal \mathbf{G} -schemes. Then a morphism $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of formal \mathbf{G} -schemes consists of a family of \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphisms $f = \{f_n : Z_n \rightarrow W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z_0 & \hookrightarrow & Z_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & Z_n & \hookrightarrow & Z_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \\ f_0 \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow & & & & f_n \downarrow & & f_{n+1} \downarrow & & \\ W_0 & \hookrightarrow & W_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & W_n & \hookrightarrow & W_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{G} -scheme. Then there we have the corresponding telescope of monoidal categories

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Omega\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \Omega\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_n) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \Omega\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_2) \longrightarrow \Omega\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_1) \longrightarrow \Omega\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_0)$$

and cocontinuous monoidal functors given by restricting \mathbf{G} -equivariant quasi-coherent sheaves to closed \mathbf{G} -subschemes. Then we define a category $\mathfrak{Q}\mathrm{coh}(\mathcal{Z})$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathcal{Z} as a monoidal category which is a 2-limit of the telescope above. This category is defined uniquely up to a monoidal equivalence.

Let Z be a \mathbf{G} -scheme and let \mathcal{I} be a quasi-coherent ideal of $Z^{\mathbf{G}}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V(I) & \hookrightarrow & V(I^2) & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & V(I^n) \hookrightarrow \dots \\ & & \searrow & & & & \nearrow \\ & & & & & & Z \\ & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

in the category of \mathbf{G} -schemes. Thus restriction functors $\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(V(\mathcal{I}^n))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ induce a unique cocontinuous monoidal functor $\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}(\widehat{Z})$.

Definition 2.5. Let Z be a \mathbf{G} -scheme. Then a unique cocontinuous monoidal functor $\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}(\widehat{Z})$ is called *the comparison functor*.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{G} -scheme. A \mathbf{G} -scheme Z is called *an algebraization of \mathcal{Z}* if the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to \widehat{Z} in the category of formal \mathbf{G} -schemes.
- (2) The comparison functor $\mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\mathrm{coh}(\widehat{Z})$ is an equivalence of monoidal categories.

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. Toruses.

Definition 3.1. Let T be an affine algebraic group over k . Suppose that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every algebraically closed extension K of k there exists an isomorphism

$$T_K \cong \underbrace{\mathbf{G}_{m,K} \times \mathbf{G}_{m,K} \times \dots \times \mathbf{G}_{m,K}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

of group schemes over k . Then T is called *a torus over k* .

Example 3.2. If $T \cong \underbrace{\mathbf{G}_{m,K} \times \mathbf{G}_{m,K} \times \dots \times \mathbf{G}_{m,K}}_{n \text{ times}}$, then T is a torus. We call toruses T of this form *split toruses*.

Example 3.3. Assume that the characteristic of k is not 2. Define

$$\mathbf{S}^1 = \mathrm{Spec} k[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$$

a scheme over k and let $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}$ be its functor of points. Then for every k -algebra A we have

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(A) = \{(u, v) \in A \times A \mid u^2 + v^2 = 1\}$$

There is also a morphism $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1} \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}$ of k -functors given by

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(A) \times \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1} \ni ((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) \mapsto (u_1 u_2 - v_1 v_2, u_1 v_2 + u_2 v_1) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(A)$$

for every k -algebra A . This makes $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}$ into a group k -functor. Thus \mathbf{S}^1 with the group structure described above is an affine algebraic group over k . We call it *the circle group over k* .

Now suppose that K is an algebraically closed extension of k . Consider an element $i \in K$ such that $i^2 = -1$. For every K -algebra A we have a map

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(A) \ni (u, v) \mapsto u + iv \in A^*$$

First note that this map is bijective. Indeed, its inverse is given by

$$A^* \ni a \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{2}(a + a^{-1}), \frac{1}{2i}(a - a^{-1}) \right) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(A)$$

Moreover, the map $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(A) \rightarrow A^*$ is a homomorphism of abstract groups. Thus $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}$ restricted to the category \mathbf{Alg}_K of K -algebras is isomorphic with $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{G}_{m,K}}$ as a group k -functor. Hence

$$\mathbf{S}_K^1 \cong \mathbf{G}_{m,K}$$

as algebraic group schemes over K . Hence \mathbf{S}^1 is a torus over k .

Now assume that $k = \mathbb{R}$. Then abstract groups

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{S}^1}(\mathbb{R}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{R}^*$$

are not isomorphic. Indeed, the left hand side group has infinite torsion subgroup and the right hand side group has torsion subgroup equal to $\{-1, 1\}$. This implies that over \mathbb{R} algebraic groups \mathbf{S}^1 and \mathbf{G}_m are not isomorphic. Hence \mathbf{S}^1 is not a split torus over \mathbb{R} .

LS TODO:
Większość z wyników, które tutaj są, powinna być w teoretycznym wstępie. Idea jest taka, by tutaj w zasadzie tylko przygotować notację do dowodu głównego twierdzenia.

3.2. Locally linear schemes.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that each point of X admits an open affine \mathbf{M} -stable neighborhood. Then we say that X is a *locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme*.

Proposition 3.5. Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that there exists a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -equivariant ideal \mathcal{I} on X with nilpotent sections. Consider an open subset U of X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) U is \mathbf{M} -stable.
- (2) $U \cap V(\mathcal{I})$ is \mathbf{M} -stable.

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbf{M} \times X \rightarrow X$ be the action of \mathbf{M} on X . Fix open subset U of X . If U is \mathbf{M} -stable, then $U \cap V(\mathcal{I})$ is \mathbf{M} -stable. So suppose that $U \cap V(\mathcal{I})$ is \mathbf{M} -stable. Since \mathcal{I} has nilpotent sections and \mathbf{M} is affine, we derive that closed immersions $U \cap V(\mathcal{I}) \hookrightarrow U$ and $\mathbf{M} \times (U \cap V(\mathcal{I})) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times U$ induce homeomorphisms on topological spaces. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M} \times U & \xrightarrow{\alpha|_{U \cap V(\mathcal{I})}} & X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{M} \times (U \cap V(\mathcal{I})) & \longrightarrow & U \cap V(\mathcal{I}) \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal arrow is the induced action on $U \cap V(\mathcal{I})$ and vertical morphisms are homeomorphisms. The commutativity of the diagram implies that $\alpha(\mathbf{M} \times U)$ is contained set-theoretically in U . Since U is open in X , we derive that morphism of schemes $\alpha|_{\mathbf{M} \times U}$ factors through U . Hence U is \mathbf{M} -stable. \square

Corollary 3.6. Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that there exists a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -equivariant ideal \mathcal{I} on X such that $\mathcal{I}^n = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider an open subset U of X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) U is \mathbf{M} -stable and affine.
- (2) $U \cap V(\mathcal{I})$ is \mathbf{M} -stable and affine.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}^n = 0$, we derive that U is affine if and only if $U \cap V(\mathcal{I})$ is affine. Combining this with Proposition 3.5, we deduce the result. \square

Corollary 3.7. Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that there exists a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -equivariant ideal \mathcal{I} on X such that $\mathcal{I}^n = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme if and only if $V(\mathcal{I})$ is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme.

Proof. This is a consequence of Corollary 3.6. \square

3.3. Affine monoid schemes with zero.

Proposition 3.8. Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme with zero and let X be a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then there exists an affine \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphism

$$X \xrightarrow{r} X^{\mathbf{M}}$$

such that $r|_{X^{\mathbf{M}}} = 1_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$.

Proof. Consider the action $\alpha : \mathbf{M} \times X \rightarrow X$ of \mathbf{M} on X . Since X is locally linear and \mathbf{M} is affine, we derive that α is an affine morphism of k -schemes. Now if \mathbf{o} is a zero of \mathbf{M} , then we define a morphism

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{o} \times X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times X \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$$

The morphism above is affine (as a composition of affine morphisms) and induces multiplication by \mathbf{o} on functors of points $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : \mathfrak{P}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$. Now $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : \mathfrak{P}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$ factors as an $fP_{\mathbf{M}}$ -equivariant epimorphism $\mathfrak{P}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$ composed with a closed immersion $\mathfrak{P}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$. The $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}$ -equivariant epimorphism $\mathfrak{P}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$ corresponds to a \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphism $r : X \rightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$ of k -schemes such that $r|_{X^{\mathbf{M}}} = 1_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$. Moreover, the composition of r with a closed immersion $X^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow X$ is an affine morphism. Thus r is affine. \square

3.4. Results on linear representations.

Proposition 3.9. *Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme and let V be a representation of \mathbf{M} . Then for every k -algebra A the natural morphism of A -modules*

$$V^{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k A \rightarrow (A \otimes_k V)^{\mathbf{M}_A}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Note that we have a left exact sequence of k -vector spaces defining invariants

$$0 \longrightarrow V^{\mathbf{M}} \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\Delta - p} \Gamma(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}) \otimes_k V$$

where $\Delta : V \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}) \otimes_k V$ is the coaction and $p : V \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}}) \otimes_k V$ is the trivial coaction defined by formula $p(v) = 1 \otimes v$ for every v in V . Now tensoring the sequence with k -algebra A yields a left exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V^{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k A \longrightarrow A \otimes_k V \xrightarrow{\Delta_A - p_A} \Gamma(\mathbf{M}_A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M}_A}) \otimes_k (A \otimes_k V)$$

where Δ_A is the coaction on $A \otimes_k V$ induced by Δ and p_A is the trivial coaction on $A \otimes_k V$. This shows that $V^{\mathbf{M}} \otimes_k A \rightarrow (A \otimes_k V)^{\mathbf{M}_A}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let \mathbf{G} be an affine group k -scheme and let V, W be representations of \mathbf{G} . If V is finite dimensional, then for every k -algebra A the canonical morphism*

$$A \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}}(V, W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}_A}(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k W)$$

is an isomorphism of A -modules.

Proof. Fix a k -algebra A . Since V is finite dimensional, for every k -algebra B there exists an isomorphism $B \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(B \otimes_k V, B \otimes_k W)$ of B -modules natural in B . This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W)$ is a representation of \mathbf{G} via the action given by formula

$$(g \cdot f)(v) = g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot v)$$

where $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(B \otimes_k V, B \otimes_k W)$, $v \in B \otimes_k V$ and $g \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{G}}(B)$. Similarly $\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k W)$ is a representation of \mathbf{G}_A and the canonical isomorphism $A \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k W)$

of A -modules is \mathbf{G}_A -equivariant. Now we apply Proposition 3.9 to derive a chain of isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k W)^{\mathbf{G}_A} \cong (A \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W))^{\mathbf{G}_A} \cong A \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W)^{\mathbf{G}}$$

of A -modules. Since we have identifications

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}_A}(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(A \otimes_k V, A \otimes_k W)^{\mathbf{G}_A}, \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}}(V, W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W)^{\mathbf{G}}$$

we deduce the statement. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let \mathbf{G} be an affine group scheme over k and let \mathfrak{G} be a monoid k -functor. Denote by Λ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible \mathbf{G} -representations. Suppose that V is a representation of both \mathbf{G} and \mathfrak{G} and assume that their actions on V commute. Assume that V is completely reducible as a \mathbf{G} -representation and consider the decomposition*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$$

onto isotypic components with respect to the action of \mathbf{G} . Then for every λ in Λ the subspace V_λ is a \mathfrak{G} -subrepresentation of V .

Proof. \square

3.5. \mathbf{M} -equivariant quasi-coherent sheaves.

3.6. Kempf monoids.

Definition 3.12. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme. Suppose that the following conditions hold.

- (1) \mathbf{M} is affine, geometrically connected and geometrically normal.
- (2) There exists zero \mathbf{o} in \mathbf{M} .
- (3) There exists a torus T over k contained in the center of \mathbf{M} such that the closure $\mathrm{cl}(T)$ of T in \mathbf{M} contains \mathbf{o} .

Then \mathbf{M} is called *Kempf monoid*.

Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid and let \mathbf{G} be its group of units. If V is a representation of \mathbf{G} and λ is a class in Λ , then we denote by $V[\lambda] \subseteq V$ the sum of all irreducible T -subrepresentations of V of isomorphism type λ . Since T is a central subgroup of \mathbf{G} , we derive by Proposition 3.11 that $V[\lambda]$ is a \mathbf{G} -representation of V .

Suppose that Z is a k -scheme with trivial action of \mathbf{M} . If \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on Z equipped with \mathbf{G} -action, then we denote by $\mathcal{F}[\lambda]$ a sheaf given by

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U)[\lambda]$$

for every open affine subset U of Z . Then $\mathcal{F}[\lambda] \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a \mathbf{G} -quasi-coherent subsheaf of \mathcal{F} .

3.7. Formal \mathbf{M} -schemes.

Definition 3.13. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme having \mathbf{G} as the group of units. A *formal \mathbf{M} -scheme* is a formal \mathbf{G} -scheme $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ scheme Z_n is \mathbf{M} -scheme and the sequence of closed immersions

$$Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow Z_n \hookrightarrow Z_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

consists of \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphisms.

Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme with zero and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that \mathbf{M} is a monoid with zero. Then by Proposition 3.8, we derive that \mathcal{Z} is a part of the commutative diagram

LS TODO:
Uzupełnić dowód w oparciu o Stwierdzenie 3.10.

LS TODO:
Tu trzeba zdefiniować i następnie opisać przypadek schematu z trywialnym działaniem, bo on jest najważniejszy

LS TODO:
Tutaj trzeba zdefiniować monoidy Kempfa. Najpierw trzeba porządkowo spisać dowód algebraizacji, żeby mieć poprawną definicję

LS TODO:
(or rather Jelisiejew :D)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Z_0 & \hookrightarrow & Z_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & Z_n & \hookrightarrow & \dots \\
& & & & & & \nearrow r_1 & & \nwarrow r_n \\
& & & & & & Z_0 & &
\end{array}$$

$r_0 = 1_{Z_0}$

in which vertical morphisms $r_n : Z_n \twoheadrightarrow Z_0$ are affine morphisms such that $r_n|_{Z_0} = 1_{Z_0}$. This implies that Z_n is affine over Z_0 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence we write $\text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathcal{A}_n is a quasi-coherent Z_0 -algebra equipped with the action of \mathbf{M} . Moreover, we have $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$. The diagram above induces the following sequence of epimorphisms

$$\dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$$

of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebras with \mathbf{M} -action. Denote by \mathbf{G} the group of units of \mathbf{M} . If \mathbf{G} is schematically dense in \mathbf{M} (for instance if \mathbf{M} is integral), then we have $Z_0 = Z_n^{\mathbf{G}} = Z_n^{\mathbf{M}}$ and hence Z_0 admits trivial \mathbf{M} -action. This alternative description of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

4. FORMAL FUNCTORS AND REPRESENTABILITY - OLD

Theorem 4.1 (Algebraization of a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme). *Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$ be a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme. Then there exists a colimit*

$$Z = \text{colim}_n Z_n$$

in the category of locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes and Z is the unique algebraization of \mathcal{Z} . If in addition \mathcal{Z} is locally Noetherian, then π_Z is of finite type. If \mathcal{Z} is locally Noetherian and Z_0 is of finite type, then also Z is of finite type.

Now we spell out the main idea of the proof: the $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme Z required in Theorem 4.1 is equal to $\text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is the limit of \mathcal{A}_n in the category of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras; in other words each isotypic component of \mathcal{A} is the limit of isotypic components of \mathcal{A}_n . Our first goal is to prove a stabilization result. We denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ the set of isomorphism types of irreducible \mathbf{G} -representations and by $\text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}}) \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ the subset of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -representations. For $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ and a quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -module \mathcal{C} on Z_0 we denote by $\mathcal{C}[\lambda] \subset \mathcal{C}$ the $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -submodule such that $H^0(U, \mathcal{C}[\lambda]) \subset H^0(U, \mathcal{C})$ is the union of all \mathbf{G} -subrepresentations of $H^0(U, \mathcal{C})$ isomorphic to λ (i.e., the isotypic component of λ).

Lemma 4.1.1 (stabilization on an isotypic component). *Let $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$. Then there exists a number $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$ be a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme and $\{\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n\}$ be the associated sequence of quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras. Then for every $n > n_\lambda$ the surjection*

$$\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1}[\lambda]$$

is an isomorphism. If $\lambda_0 \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ is the trivial representation, then we may take $n_{\lambda_0} = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.1. The claims are preserved under field extension, so we may assume our field is algebraically closed (hence perfect) so we may use the Kempf's torus. Fix a grading on $k[\overline{\mathbf{G}}]$ induced by a Kempf's torus for k as in Corollary ???. Denote by $A_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ the set of weights which appear in $k[\mathbf{G}]_\lambda$. Since $\dim_k k[\mathbf{G}]_\lambda$ is finite by Proposition ??, the set A_λ is finite. Put

$$n_\lambda = \sup A_\lambda.$$

Fix $n > n_\lambda$ and let $\mathcal{I}_n = \ker(\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0)$. Then we have a decomposition with respect to the chosen torus

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i],$$

By Corollary ??, we have $\mathcal{I}_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i]$. Since $n > n_\lambda$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}_n^n \subset \bigoplus_{i \geq n} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i] \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \notin A_\lambda} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i]$$

Hence, $\mathcal{I}_n^n[\lambda] = 0$. But $\mathcal{I}_n^n[\lambda] = \ker(\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1}[\lambda])$, thus $\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1}[\lambda]$ is an isomorphism. Finally note that $A_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$. This implies that $n_{\lambda_0} = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let \mathcal{A}_n be the quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras as in (??). For $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ we define $\mathcal{A}[\lambda] := \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$, where $n \geq n_\lambda$ as in Lemma 4.1.1.

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})} \mathcal{A}[\lambda] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})} \mathcal{A}_{n_\lambda}[\lambda].$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A}[\lambda_0] = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ canonically (where λ_0 is the trivial representation), hence \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -module. Actually $\mathcal{A} = \lim_n \mathcal{A}_n$ in the category of quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -modules on Z_0 . We construct the algebra structure on \mathcal{A} . For this pick $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$. Fix the finite set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ of representations which appear in $k[\overline{\mathbf{G}}]_{\eta_1} \otimes_k k[\overline{\mathbf{G}}]_{\eta_2}$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the multiplication

$$\mathcal{A}_n[\eta_1] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_1] \cdot \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_2] \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_i]$$

and by Lemma 4.1.1 these morphisms can be identified for $n \geq \sup\{n_{\eta_1}, n_{\eta_2}, n_{\lambda_1}, \dots, n_{\lambda_s}\}$. We define

$$\mathcal{A}[\eta_1] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}[\eta_2] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}[\lambda_i] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

as a morphism induced by the multiplication morphism for any $n \geq \sup\{n_{\eta_1}, n_{\eta_2}, n_{\lambda_1}, \dots, n_{\lambda_s}\}$. This gives an \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebra structure on \mathcal{A} , so \mathcal{A} is in fact the limit of \mathcal{A}_n is the category of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras. Note that from the description of \mathcal{A} it follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a surjective morphism $p_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras. We denote its kernel by \mathcal{J}_n and we put $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_0$. The natural injection $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0} = \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a section of p_0 , so that we have

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}} \mathcal{A}[\lambda].$$

We also denote by \mathcal{I}_n the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}_n$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq m$. Since \mathcal{Z} is a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme, the sheaf \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} is the kernel of the morphism $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_m/\mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} = (\mathcal{J}^{m+1} + \mathcal{J}_n)/\mathcal{J}_n.$$

Both \mathcal{J}_m and \mathcal{J}^{m+1} are $\text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ -graded and for given $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ and $n \gg 0$ the isotypic component $\mathcal{J}_n[\lambda]$ is zero by Lemma 4.1.1. Hence $\mathcal{J}_m = \mathcal{J}^{m+1}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$Z = \text{Spec}_{Z_0}(\mathcal{A})$$

and we denote by $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z_0$ the structural morphism. The scheme Z inherits a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -action from \mathcal{A} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the zero-set of $\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme isomorphic to Z_n . Hence \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to \widehat{Z} . Thus Z is an algebraization of \mathcal{Z} . Since $\mathcal{A} = \lim \mathcal{A}_n$, we have $Z = \text{colim } Z_n$ in the category of locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes.

It remains to prove uniqueness of algebraization. Let $Z' = \text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}'$ be an algebraization of $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$. Then $Z_n \rightarrow Z'$, so by the universal property of colimit, we obtain a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -morphism $Z \rightarrow Z'$, corresponding to $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. It induces epimorphisms $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ for all n . For each $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$, the composition

$$\mathcal{A}'[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[\lambda] \simeq \mathcal{A}_{n_\lambda}[\lambda]$$

is an epimorphism, hence $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an epimorphism. The kernel of $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is equal to

$$\bigcap_n \ker(\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n) = \bigcap_n \ker(\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0)^n.$$

To prove that this kernel is zero, we may enlarge the field to an algebraically closed field, so the result follows from Corollary ??.

Assume that each scheme Z_n is locally Noetherian over k . Then \mathcal{I}_n is a coherent \mathcal{A}_n -module, thus $\mathcal{I}_n^i/\mathcal{I}^{i+1}$ is a coherent \mathcal{A}_0 -module for all i . The series

$$0 = \mathcal{I}_n^{n+1} \subset \mathcal{I}^n \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}_n$$

has coherent subquotients, hence \mathcal{A}_n is a coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} -algebra. Thus $\mathcal{A}[\lambda]$ is a coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -module for every $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$. The claim that π is of finite type is local on $Z^{\mathbf{G}}$, hence we may assume that $Z^{\mathbf{G}}$ is quasi-compact. The sheaf $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$ is coherent so there exists a finite set $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$ such that the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}[\lambda_i] \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$$

induced by $\mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is surjective. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -subalgebra generated by the coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}[\lambda_i] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k and let $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \otimes \bar{k}$. Fix a Kempf's torus over \bar{k} and the associated grading $\mathcal{A}' = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}'[i]$ as in Corollary ??. Then $\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}'[i]$ is a graded ideal and $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ is generated by the graded (coherent) subsheaf $\mathcal{M}' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}'[\lambda_i]$. By graded Nakayama's lemma, the ideal \mathcal{J} itself is generated by (the elements of) \mathcal{M}' . Then by induction on the degree, \mathcal{A}' is generated by \mathcal{M}' as an algebra. In other words, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{B} \otimes \bar{k}$. Thus also $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and so \mathcal{A} is of finite type over \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} . \square

5. ALGEBRAIZATION OF FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

Now we prove the main result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid with unit group \mathbf{G} and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then there exists an algebraization Z of \mathcal{Z} . Moreover, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) Z is \mathbf{M} -scheme.
- (2) The canonical morphism $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z_0$ is an affine morphism.

Moreover, if \mathcal{Z} is locally noetherian, then π is of finite type.

Let \mathbf{G} be the group of units of \mathbf{M} . According to the fact that \mathbf{M} is integral, we derive that \mathbf{G} is schematically dense in \mathbf{M} and hence Z_0 admits trivial \mathbf{M} -action. Since \mathbf{M} has zero, formal \mathbf{M} -scheme \mathcal{Z} corresponds to a sequence

$$\dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$$

of \mathbf{M} -quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebras such that $Z_n = \text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next since \mathbf{M} is a Kempf monoid, there exists a closed subgroup T of the center $Z(\mathbf{G})$ such that T is a torus and the scheme-theoretic closure \overline{T} of T in \mathbf{M} contains the zero \mathbf{o} of \mathbf{M} . Denote by Λ the isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of T . The proof of the theorem is based on the following results.

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let K be an algebraically closed field over k . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\overline{T}_K = \text{Spec } K \times_{\text{Spec } k} \overline{T}$ is an affine toric variety with torus T_K .
- (2) There exists an abstract submonoid S of the character group $\mathcal{X}(T_K)$ such that

$$\overline{T}_K = \text{Spec } K[S]$$

as T_K -varieties, where $K[S]$ is monoid K -algebra of S .

(3) K -subspace

$$\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in S \setminus \{0\}} K \cdot \chi \subseteq \bigoplus_{\chi \in S} K \cdot \chi = K[S]$$

is an ideal of $K[S]$ and for every finite subset A of $\mathcal{X}(T_K)$ there exists $n_A \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_A$ we have

$$K \cdot \chi \notin \mathfrak{m}^n$$

for every $\chi \in A$.

Proof of the lemma. Note that $T \hookrightarrow \bar{T}$ is schematically dense open T -equivariant immersion of affine k -schemes. Since K is flat over k , we derive that $T_K \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_K$ is schematically dense open T_K -immersion. Thus \bar{T}_K is integral affine T_K -scheme and hence \bar{T}_K is a affine toric variety having T_K as a torus. Moreover, zero of \mathbf{M} is contained in \bar{T} . Thus \bar{T}_K admits a fixed K -point with respect to T_K -action. Now the lemma follows by basic affine toric geometry. \square

LS TODO:

dokład-
niej ten
dowód, lub
odniesienie
do KOKSA.
Nie ma
Kempfa
HAHA!

Lemma 5.1.2 (Stabilization). Fix λ in Λ . Then there exists a number $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme and $\{\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated sequence of quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebras. Then for every $n > n_\lambda$ the surjection

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}[\lambda] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$$

is an isomorphism. If λ_0 is the isomorphism type of trivial representation of \mathbf{G} , then $n_{\lambda_0} = 0$.

Proof of the lemma. Fix an algebraically closed field K over k . Consider an irreducible representation V of T in λ . Then $V_K = K \otimes_k V$ is an irreducible representation of T_K . Since V_K is finite dimensional over K , it follows that V_K as a T_K -representation decomposes onto finitely many character spaces of T_K . Say

$$V_K = \bigoplus_{\chi \in A_\lambda} V_K[\chi]$$

for some finite subset $A_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{X}(T_K)$, where $\mathcal{X}(T_K)$ is the character group of T_K . Next we use Lemma 5.1.1. We can write

$$\bar{T}_K = \text{Spec } K[S], \mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in S \setminus \{0\}} K \cdot \chi \subseteq \bigoplus_{\chi \in S} K \cdot \chi = K[S]$$

and moreover, there exists $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_\lambda$ we have $K \cdot \chi \notin \mathfrak{m}^n$ for every $\chi \in A_\lambda$. Next \mathcal{A}_n is a quasi-coherent \bar{T} -sheaf on Z_0 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$K \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n = \bigoplus_{\chi \in S} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n)[\chi]$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Lang, 2005] Lang, S. (2005). *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York.
 [Mac Lane, 1998] Mac Lane, S. (1998). *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition.