

ALGEBRAIZATION OF FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

1. INTRODUCTION

In these notes we prove results concerning algebraization of formal schemes in equivariant setting. First we describe 2-limits of telescopes of categories. Then we introduce formal \mathbf{M} -schemes for a monoid k -scheme \mathbf{M} and the notion of algebraization of such a formal object, which is an essential application of the notion of 2-categorical limit for the telescope of categories of coherent sheaves associated with this formal scheme. In next sections we prepare ground to prove algebraization results. For this we discuss locally linear \mathbf{M} -schemes and relate them to formal \mathbf{M} -schemes. We also prove some results concerning toric monoid k -schemes. The last section of these notes is devoted to prove algebraization theorems for formal \mathbf{M} -schemes for Kempf monoid k -schemes.

2. SOME 2-CATEGORICAL LIMITS

Consider a category \mathcal{C} and an endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Our goal is to construct certain 2-categorical limit associated with a pair (\mathcal{C}, T) . Consider pairs (X, u) consisting of an object X of \mathcal{C} and an isomorphism $u : T(X) \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} . If (X, u) and (Y, w) are two such pairs, then a morphism $f : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, w)$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} such that the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(X) & \xrightarrow{u} & X \\ T(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ T(Y) & \xrightarrow{w} & Y \end{array}$$

is commutative. This data give rise to a category $\mathcal{C}(T)$. There exists a forgetful functor $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that sends a morphism $f : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, w)$ to $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Moreover, there exists a natural isomorphism $\sigma : T \cdot \pi \Rightarrow \pi$ such that the component of σ on an object (X, u) of $\mathcal{C}(T)$ is u . The next result states that the data above form a certain 2-categorical limit.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a category and an endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a natural isomorphism. Then there exists a unique functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ is a functor such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$. Pick an object X of \mathcal{D} . Then we have $\pi(F(X)) = P(X)$ and $\sigma_{F(X)} = \tau_X$. This implies that

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X))$$

Next if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{D} , then we derive that $\pi(F(f)) = P(f)$. Hence $F(f) = P(f)$. This implies that there exists at most one functor F satisfying the properties above. Note also that formulas

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X)), F(f) = P(f)$$

for an object X in \mathcal{D} and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{D} , give rise to a functor that satisfy $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$. This establishes existence and the uniqueness of F . \square

Assume now that the pair (\mathcal{C}, T) consists of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} and a monoidal endofunctor T . Then there exists a canonical monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}(T)$. We define $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (-)$ by formula

$$(X, u) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (Y, w) = (X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y, (u \otimes w) \cdot m_{X, Y})$$

where

$$m_{X,Y} : T(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y) \rightarrow T(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} T(Y)$$

is the tensor preserving isomorphism of T . We also define the unit

$$I_{\mathcal{C}(T)} = (I, T(I) \cong I)$$

where isomorphism $T(I) \cong I$ is precisely the unit preserving isomorphism of the monoidal functor T . The associativity natural isomorphism for $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (-)$ and right, left units for $I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$ in $\mathcal{C}(T)$ are associativity natural isomorphism and right, left units for \mathcal{C} , respectively. The structure makes a functor $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ strict monoidal and σ a monoidal natural isomorphism. The next result states that the data with these extra monoidal structure form a 2-categorical limit in the 2-category of monoidal categories.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a monoidal category and its monoidal endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a monoidal category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal functor and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a monoidal natural isomorphism. Then there exists a unique monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$ as monoidal functors and monoidal transformations.*

Proof. Note that F must be defined as it was described in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Namely we must have

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X)), F(f) = P(f)$$

for an object X in \mathcal{C} and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} . Suppose now that F admits a structure of a monoidal functor such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors. Let

$$\{m_{X,Y}^F : F(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow F(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} F(Y)\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}, \phi^F : F(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$$

be the data forming that structure. Since π is a strict monoidal functor and $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors, we derive that for any objects X, Y of \mathcal{C}

$$\pi(m_{X,Y}^F) : P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)$$

is the tensor preserving isomorphism $m_{X,Y}^P : P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)$ of the monoidal functor P . By the same argument

$$\pi(\phi_F) : P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$$

is the unit preserving isomorphism $\phi^P : P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$ of P . Thus we deduce that for any objects X, Y of \mathcal{C} we have $m_{X,Y}^F = m_{X,Y}^P$ and $\phi^F = \phi^P$. This implies that there exists at most one monoidal functor F such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors. On the other hand define $m_{X,Y}^F = m_{X,Y}^P$ for objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} and $\phi^F = \phi^P$. We check now that F equipped with these data is a monoidal functor. Fix objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} . The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y}} & P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y) \\ \downarrow T(m_{X,Y}^P) & & \downarrow m_{X,Y}^P \\ T(P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)) & \xrightarrow{(\tau_X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \tau_Y) \cdot m_{P(X), P(Y)}^T} & P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y) \end{array}$$

is commutative due to the fact that $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a monoidal natural isomorphism. This implies that $m_{X,Y}^F$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}(T)$. It follows that $m_{X,Y}^F$ is a natural isomorphism and due to the definition of associativity in $\mathcal{C}(T)$, we derive its compatibility with $m_{X,Y}^F$. Similarly, since the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T(P(I_{\mathcal{D}})) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{I_{\mathcal{D}}}} & P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \\
\downarrow T(\phi^P) & & \downarrow \phi^P \\
T(I_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^T} & I_{\mathcal{C}}
\end{array}$$

is commutative, we deduce that ϕ^F is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}(T)$. By definition of left and right unit in $\mathcal{C}(T)$, we derive their compatibility with ϕ^F . This finishes the verification of the fact that F with $\{m_{X,Y}^F\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}$ and ϕ^F is a monoidal functor. Definitions of $\{m_{X,Y}^F\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}$ and ϕ^F show that the identities $P = \pi \cdot F$ holds on the level of monoidal structures. Since the 2-forgetful functor from 2-category of monoidal categories into 2-category of categories is faithful on 2-cells, the identity $\sigma_F = \tau$ of natural isomorphisms is also the identity of monoidal natural isomorphisms. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a category and its endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Assume that T preserves colimits. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ creates colimits.
- (2) Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a functor preserving small colimits and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ a natural isomorphisms. Then the unique functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$ preserves small colimits.

Proof. Let I be a small category and $D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ be a diagram such that the composition $\pi \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a colimit given by cocone $(X, \{g_i\}_{i \in I})$. Since T preserves colimits, we derive that $(T(X), \{T(u_i)\}_{i \in I})$ is a colimit of $T \cdot \pi \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Now $\sigma_D : T \cdot \pi \cdot D \rightarrow \pi \cdot D$ is a natural isomorphism. Hence there exists a unique arrow $u : T(X) \rightarrow X$ such that $u \cdot T(g_i) = g_i \cdot \sigma_{D(i)}$ for $i \in I$. Clearly u is an isomorphism and hence (X, u) is an object of $\mathcal{C}(T)$. Moreover, the family $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ together with (X, u) is a colimiting cocone over D . This proves (1). Now (2) is a consequence of (1). \square

Now we apply the results above to certain more general diagrams of categories.

Definition 2.4. A diagram

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

of categories and functors is called a *telescope of categories*.

Definition 2.5. Let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

be a telescope of monoidal categories and monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functors. Then a 2-categorical limit of the telescope consists of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} , a family of monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functors $\{\pi_n : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a family of monoidal natural isomorphisms $\{\sigma_n : F_{n+1} \cdot \pi_{n+1} \Rightarrow \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the following universal property holds. For any monoidal category \mathcal{D} , family $\{P_n : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (finitely) cocontinuous monoidal functors and a family $\{\tau_n : F_n P_{n+1} \Rightarrow P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of monoidal natural isomorphisms there exists a unique monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $P_n = \pi_n \cdot F$ and $(\sigma_n)_F = \tau_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

be a telescope of monoidal categories and monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functors. Then its 2-limit exists.

Proof. We decompose the task of constructing its 2-limit as follows. First note that one may form a product $\mathcal{C} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$. Next the functors $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ induce an endofunctor $T = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \times t$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the terminal category (it has single object and single identity arrow) and $t : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ is the unique functor. Consider the category $\mathcal{C}(T)$. We define $\{\pi_n : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be a family of functors given by coordinates of $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\{\sigma_n : F_n \cdot \pi_{n+1} \Rightarrow \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be a family of natural isomorphisms given by coordinates of $\sigma : \pi \cdot T \Rightarrow \pi$. Now this data form a 2-limit of the telescope by compilation of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. \square

It is worth to extract from previous results a more concrete description of the 2-limit of a telescopes of categories.

Remark 2.7 (2-limit of a telescope). Consider a telescope

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

of categories. Then its 2-limit is the category that can be described as follows. Its objects are pairs $(\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ consisting of a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that X_n is an object of \mathcal{C}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $u_n : F_n(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow X_n$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next if $(\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ and $(\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ are two objects in the 2-limit, then a morphism between them consists of a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of morphisms such that $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_n(X_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{u_n} & X_n \\ F_n(f_{n+1}) \downarrow & & \downarrow f_n \\ F_n(Y_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{w_n} & Y_n \end{array}$$

are commutative for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

This section is devoted to introducing some notions from formal geometry that play a fundamental role in these notes.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme. A formal \mathbf{M} -scheme consists of a sequence $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbf{M} -schemes together with \mathbf{M} -equivariant closed immersions

$$Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow Z_n \hookrightarrow Z_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

satisfying the following assertions.

- (1) We have $Z_0 = Z_n^{\mathbf{M}}$ scheme-theoretically for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) Let \mathcal{I}_n be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} defining Z_0 . Then for every $m \leq n$ the subscheme $Z_m \subset Z_n$ is defined by \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} .

Example 3.2. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let Z be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Consider a quasi-coherent ideal \mathcal{I} of fixed point subscheme $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ of Z . Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ideal \mathcal{I}^n is quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -ideal and hence

$$V(\mathcal{I}) \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{I}^2) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{I}^n) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

is a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. We denote it by \widehat{Z} .

Definition 3.3. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. We say that \mathcal{Z} is *locally noetherian* if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ scheme Z_n is locally noetherian.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme. Suppose that $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are formal \mathbf{M} -schemes. Then a *morphism* $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes consists of a family of \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphisms $f = \{f_n : Z_n \rightarrow W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z_0 & \hookrightarrow & Z_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & Z_n & \hookrightarrow & Z_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \\ f_0 \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow & & & & f_n \downarrow & & f_{n+1} \downarrow & & \\ W_0 & \hookrightarrow & W_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & W_n & \hookrightarrow & W_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Definition 3.5. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let \mathbf{G} be its group of units. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a locally noetherian formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then we have the corresponding telescope of monoidal categories

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_n) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z_0)$$

and finitely cocontinuous monoidal functors given by restricting \mathbf{G} -equivariant coherent sheaves to closed \mathbf{G} -subschemes. Then we define a *category* $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathcal{Z})$ of *coherent \mathbf{G} -equivariant sheaves on \mathcal{Z}* as a monoidal category which is a 2-limit of the telescope above. This category is defined uniquely up to a monoidal equivalence.

Fix now a monoid k -scheme \mathbf{M} with \mathbf{G} as a group of units. Let Z be a locally noetherian \mathbf{M} -scheme and suppose that $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ exists. Suppose that \mathcal{I} is a coherent ideal of $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V(\mathcal{I}) & \hookrightarrow & V(\mathcal{I}^2) & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & V(\mathcal{I}^n) & \hookrightarrow & \dots \\ & \searrow & \searrow & & & & \searrow & & \\ & & & & & & Z & & \end{array}$$

in the category of \mathbf{M} -schemes. Thus restriction functors $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(V(\mathcal{I}^n))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ induce a unique finitely cocontinuous monoidal functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$.

Definition 3.6. Let Z be a locally noetherian \mathbf{M} -scheme such that $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ exists. Let \mathbf{G} be a group of units of \mathbf{M} . Then a unique finitely cocontinuous monoidal functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ is called *the comparison functor*.

4. LOCALLY LINEAR \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

Definition 4.1. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that each point of X admits an open affine \mathbf{M} -stable neighborhood. Then we say that X is a *locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme*.

Proposition 4.2. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that Z is a closed \mathbf{M} -stable subscheme of X defined by the ideal with nilpotent sections. Consider an open subset U of X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) U is \mathbf{M} -stable.
- (2) Scheme-theoretic intersection $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable.

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbf{M} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be the action of \mathbf{M} on X . Fix open subset U of X . If U is \mathbf{M} -stable, then $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable. So suppose that $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable. Since ideal of Z has nilpotent sections and \mathbf{M} is affine, we derive that closed immersions $U \cap Z \hookrightarrow U$ and $\mathbf{M} \times_k (U \cap Z) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times_k U$ induce homeomorphisms on topological spaces. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M} \times_k U & \xrightarrow{\alpha|_{U \cap Z}} & X \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{M} \times_k (U \cap Z) & \longrightarrow & U \cap Z \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal arrow is the induced action on $U \cap Z$ and vertical morphisms are homeomorphisms. The commutativity of the diagram implies that $\alpha(\mathbf{M} \times_k U)$ is contained set-theoretically in U . Since U is open in X , we derive that morphism of schemes $\alpha|_{\mathbf{M} \times_k U}$ factors through U . Hence U is \mathbf{M} -stable. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that Z is a closed \mathbf{M} -stable subscheme of X defined by the nilpotent ideal. Consider an open subset U of X . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) U is \mathbf{M} -stable and affine.
- (2) $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable and affine.

Proof. Since ideal of Z is nilpotent, we derive that U is affine if and only if $U \cap Z$ is affine. Combining this with Proposition 4.2, we deduce the result. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that Z is a closed \mathbf{M} -stable subscheme of X defined by the nilpotent ideal. Then X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme if and only if Z is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Corollary 4.3. \square

Let \mathbf{G} be an affine group k -scheme. We describe quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaves on locally linear \mathbf{G} -schemes.

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathbf{G} be an affine group k -scheme and let X be a k -scheme equipped with an action $a : \mathbf{G} \times X \rightarrow X$ of \mathbf{G} that makes X a locally linear \mathbf{G} -scheme. Let $\pi : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X . Assume that $\gamma : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow a_* \pi^* \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves on X . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) For every \mathbf{G} -stable open affine subscheme U of X consider the morphism

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(U)$$

determined as the composition of $\Gamma(U, \gamma)$ with the identification $\Gamma(U, \pi^* \mathcal{F}) = k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(U)$. Then this morphism is a coaction of $k[\mathbf{G}]$ on $\mathcal{F}(U)$.

- (ii) Let τ be the image of γ under the adjunction bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, a_* \pi^* \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M} \times_k X}}(a^* \mathcal{F}, \pi^* \mathcal{F})$$

for $a^* \dashv a_*$. Then τ is invertible and (\mathcal{F}, τ^{-1}) is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf on X .

Setup. In the proof we denote by $p_{\mathbf{G}}$ the unique morphism $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. Let $\mu : \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be the multiplication and $e : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ be the unit of the group k -scheme structure on \mathbf{G} . Moreover, we denote by $\pi_{23} : \mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times_k X$ the projection on the last two factors. \square

Lemma 4.5.1. *Let \mathbf{G} be a group k -scheme and let X be a k -scheme equipped with an action $a : \mathbf{G} \times X \rightarrow X$ of \mathbf{G} . Let $\pi : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X and $\tau : a^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism of quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{G} \times_k X$. Then*

$$\pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau = (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$$

if and only if τ is an isomorphism and (\mathcal{F}, τ^{-1}) is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf.

Proof of the lemma. Suppose that the formulas

$$\pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau = (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$$

hold. Since \mathbf{G} is a group k -scheme, there exists a morphism $i : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ of k -schemes such that

$$\mu \cdot \langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, i \rangle = e \cdot p_{\mathbf{G}} = \mu \cdot \langle i, 1_{\mathbf{G}} \rangle$$

and $i \cdot i = 1_{\mathbf{G}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\pi^* \mathcal{F}} &= \pi^* \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau = (e \cdot p_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k 1_X)^* \tau = (\langle i, 1_{\mathbf{G}} \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau = \\ &= (\langle i, 1_{\mathbf{G}} \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* (\pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau) = (\langle i, 1_{\mathbf{G}} \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* \pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (\langle i, 1_{\mathbf{G}} \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau = \\ &= \tau \cdot (\langle i, 1_{\mathbf{G}} \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, τ is a retraction. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{a^* \mathcal{F}} &= a^* \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau = \langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, a \rangle^* (e \cdot p_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k 1_X)^* \tau = \langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, a \rangle^* (\langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, i \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau = \\ &= \langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, a \rangle^* (\langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, i \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* (\pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau) = \langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, a \rangle^* (\langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, i \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* \pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot \langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, a \rangle^* (\langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, i \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau = \\ &= \langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, a \rangle^* (\langle 1_{\mathbf{G}}, i \rangle \times_k 1_X)^* \pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot \tau \end{aligned}$$

Thus τ is a coretraction. Therefore, if the formulas above hold, we deduce that τ is an isomorphism and

$$(1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau^{-1} \cdot \pi_{23}^* \tau^{-1} = (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau^{-1}, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau^{-1} = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$$

On the other hand if τ is an isomorphism and (\mathcal{F}, τ^{-1}) is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf, then clearly

$$\pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau = (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$$

\square

Proof of the theorem. Let τ is the image of γ under the adjunction bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, a_* \pi^* \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M} \times_k X}}(a^* \mathcal{F}, \pi^* \mathcal{F})$$

for $a^* \dashv a_*$. Fix an open \mathbf{G} -stable affine subscheme U of X . Let c be the morphism

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(U)$$

determined as the composition of $\Gamma(U, \gamma)$ with the identification $\Gamma(U, \pi^* \mathcal{F}) = k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(U)$. Next observe that $\gamma = a_* \tau \cdot \eta_{\mathcal{F}}$, where $\eta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow a_* a^* \mathcal{F}$ is the unit of $a^* \dashv a_*$. Thus c is the composition of

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k U, \tau) \cdot \Gamma(U, \eta_{\mathcal{F}})$$

with the identification $\Gamma(U, \pi^* \mathcal{F}) = k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(U)$. Note that $\Gamma(U, \eta_{\mathcal{F}})(s) = a^* s$ for every s in $\mathcal{F}(U)$. Fix now s in $\mathcal{F}(U)$. Suppose that

$$c(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes s_i$$

where $a_i \in k[\mathbf{M}]$ and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ for all i . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1_{k[\mathbf{G}]} \otimes c)(c(s)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes c(s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, \pi_{23}^* \tau)(a_i \otimes a^* s_i) \right) = \\ &= \Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, \pi_{23}^* \tau) \left((1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* c(s) \right) = \\ &= \left(\Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, \pi_{23}^* \tau) \cdot \Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau) \right) \left((1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* a^* s \right) = \\ &= \Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, \pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau) \left((1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* a^* s \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\Delta_{\mathbf{G}} \otimes_k 1_{\mathcal{F}(U)})(c(s)) = (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* c(s) = \Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau) \left((\mu \times_k 1_X)^* a^* s \right)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbf{G}}$ is the comultiplication of $k[\mathbf{G}]$. Since s is an arbitrary section of \mathcal{F} over U , we derive that

$$(1_{k[\mathbf{G}]} \otimes c) \cdot c = (\Delta_{\mathbf{G}} \otimes_k 1_{\mathcal{F}(U)}) \cdot c$$

if and only if

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, \pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau) = \Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau)$$

Next suppose that $\xi_{\mathbf{G}} : k \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}]$ is the counit of $k[\mathbf{G}]$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{\mathbf{G}}(a_i) \cdot s_i = \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* c(s) = \Gamma(U, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau) \left(\langle e, 1_X \rangle^* a^* s \right) = \Gamma(U, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau)(s)$$

Since s is arbitrary, we derive that $(\xi_{\mathbf{G}} \otimes_k 1_{\mathcal{F}(U)}) \cdot c$ is isomorphic with $1_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$ if and only if

$$\Gamma(U, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau) = 1_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$$

Thus c is a coaction of $k[\mathbf{G}]$ if and only if

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, \pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau) = \Gamma(\mathbf{G} \times_k \mathbf{G} \times_k U, (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau)$$

and

$$\Gamma(U, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau) = 1_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$$

Now X is a locally linear \mathbf{G} -scheme. From this assumption we deduce that (i) is equivalent with the fact that formulas

$$\pi_{23}^* \tau \cdot (1_{\mathbf{G}} \times_k a)^* \tau = (\mu \times_k 1_X)^* \tau, \langle e, 1_X \rangle^* \tau = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$$

hold. By Lemma 4.5.1 it follows that these formulas hold if and only if (ii) holds. Thus assertions (i) and (ii) are equivalent. \square

Remark 4.6. Theorem 4.5 gives rise to the alternative description of the category $\Omega\text{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(X)$, where X is a k -scheme equipped with an action $a : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ of affine group k -scheme \mathbf{G} that makes it into a \mathbf{G} -linear scheme. We give now details of this description. Denote by $\pi : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ the projection. Objects of $\Omega\text{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(X)$ are pairs (\mathcal{F}, γ) consisting of a quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X and a morphism $\gamma : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow a_* \pi^* \mathcal{F}$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on X such that for every open \mathbf{G} -stable affine subscheme U of X morphism

$$\Gamma(U, \gamma) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(U)$$

is a coaction of the bialgebra $k[\mathbf{G}]$. Now if $(\mathcal{F}_1, \gamma_1)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_2, \gamma_2)$ are two objects of $\Omega\text{coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(X)$, then a morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{F}_1, \gamma_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2, \gamma_2)$ is a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on X such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & a_* \pi^* \mathcal{F}_1 \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow a_* \pi^* \phi \\
\mathcal{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} & a_* \pi^* \mathcal{F}_2
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Moreover, if X is locally noetherian, then analogical description is valid for $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(X)$.

The next two examples are consequences of Remark 4.6.

Example 4.7. Consider $\text{Spec } k$ as a k -scheme with trivial action of an affine group k -scheme \mathbf{G} . Then $\mathcal{Qcoh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\text{Spec } k)$ is isomorphic with $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$.

The example above can be generalized.

Example 4.8. Let \mathbf{G} be an affine group k -scheme and let X be a k -scheme equipped with the trivial action of \mathbf{G} . Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X . Then to give a structure of \mathbf{G} -sheaf on \mathcal{F} is the same as determining a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on X such that for every open affine subscheme U the induced morphism

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(U)$$

is the coaction of $k[\mathbf{G}]$. In other words a structure of \mathbf{G} -sheaf on \mathcal{F} is the same as a structure of \mathbf{G} -representation on $\mathcal{F}(U)$ for every open affine subscheme U of X such that the restriction morphism $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ is a morphism of \mathbf{G} -representations for every pair $U \subseteq V$ of open affine subschemes of X . In this way we obtain a description of $\mathcal{Qcoh}_{\mathbf{G}}(X)$.

Using Theorem 4.5 and Remark 4.6 we give yet another description of the category $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(X)$ on a \mathbf{G} -schemes X which are affine over trivial \mathbf{G} -schemes. This description will be extremely robust as it enables to use representation theory of \mathbf{G} in studying \mathbf{G} -sheaves.

Remark 4.9. Let \mathbf{G} be an affine group k -scheme and let X be k -scheme equipped with an action $a : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ of \mathbf{G} . Suppose that $r : X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphism into a trivial \mathbf{G} -scheme. Assume that r is affine. Then $X = \text{Spec}_Y \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is a quasi-coherent algebra on Y and the action a corresponds to the morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}$ of algebras over \mathcal{O}_Y such that for every open affine subscheme V of Y its restriction

$$\mathcal{A}(V) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}(V)$$

to sections over V is the coaction of $k[\mathbf{G}]$ on $\mathcal{A}(V)$. Now suppose that \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf on X with respect to $\gamma : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow a_* \pi^* \mathcal{F}$ (Remark 4.6), where $\pi : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Then $r_* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf on Y which is an \mathcal{A} -module and $r_* \gamma$ is the morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$ of quasi-coherent on Y such that the following assertions hold.

- (1) For every open affine subscheme V of Y the restriction

$$\mathcal{M}(V) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}(V)$$

to sections over V is the coaction of $k[\mathbf{G}]$ on $\mathcal{M}(V)$.

- (2) $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$ is the morphism of \mathcal{A} -modules, where $k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$ carries the structure of an \mathcal{A} -module induced by restriction of its $k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}$ -module structure along the morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}$ that corresponds to a .

The pair (\mathcal{F}, γ) is uniquely determined by $(r_* \mathcal{F}, r_* \gamma)$.

5. SOME RESULTS ON FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

Corollary 5.1. *Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{G} -scheme. Then Z_n is locally linear \mathbf{G} -scheme for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{I}_n be an ideal defining Z_0 in Z_n . Since \mathcal{Z} is a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme, we derive that $\mathcal{I}_n^{n+1} = 0$ and Z_0 is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Thus we apply Corollary 4.4 and derive that Z_n is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. \square

We are particularly interested in formal \mathbf{M} -schemes for monoid \mathbf{M} with zero. For this we need the following elementary result.

Proposition 5.2. *Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme with zero \mathbf{o} and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then the following results hold.*

- (1) *The multiplication by zero $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : X \rightarrow X$ factors through $X^{\mathbf{M}}$ inducing a \mathbf{M} -equivariant retraction $r_{\mathbf{M}} : X \twoheadrightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$.*
- (2) *If \mathbf{N} is a submonoid k -scheme of \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{o} is a k -point of \mathbf{N} , then $r_{\mathbf{M}} = r_{\mathbf{N}}$.*
- (3) *If \mathbf{M} is affine and X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme, then $r_{\mathbf{M}}$ is affine.*
- (4) *If \mathbf{M} is affine, X is both locally noetherian and locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme and ideal of $X^{\mathbf{M}}$ in X is nilpotent, then $r_{\mathbf{M}}$ is finite.*

Proof. The multiplication $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : X \rightarrow X$ factors as an \mathbf{M} -equivariant epimorphism $X \twoheadrightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$ composed with a closed immersion $X^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow X$. The \mathbf{M} -equivariant epimorphism $X \rightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$ corresponds to a \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphism $r_{\mathbf{M}} : X \rightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$ of k -schemes such that $r_{\mathbf{M}}$ restricted to $X^{\mathbf{M}}$ is the identity $1_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$. This proves (1).

For the proof of (2) note that $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : X \rightarrow X$ is defined similarly for \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} (provided that \mathbf{o} is a k -point of \mathbf{N}). Thus $r_{\mathbf{M}} = r_{\mathbf{N}}$.

Suppose now that \mathbf{M} is affine and X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Consider the action $\alpha : \mathbf{M} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ of \mathbf{M} on X . Since X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme and \mathbf{M} is affine, we derive that α is an affine morphism of k -schemes. Now $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : X \rightarrow X$ is given as a composition

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{o} \times_k X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times_k X \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$$

The morphism above is affine (as a composition of affine morphisms). Since the composition of $r_{\mathbf{M}}$ with a closed immersion $X^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow X$ is $\mathbf{o} \times_k (-)$ and hence an affine morphism, we derive that $r_{\mathbf{M}}$ is affine. This proves (3).

Now we prove (4). From (3) we know that $r_{\mathbf{M}}$ is affine morphism. Hence $r_{\mathbf{M}} : X \twoheadrightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$ corresponds to some quasi-coherent algebra \mathcal{A} on $X^{\mathbf{M}}$. Moreover, the embedding $X^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow X$ corresponds to the surjection $\mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$ which ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent. Assume that $\mathcal{I}^n = 0$. Then we have a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{I}^n \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

with factors $\mathcal{I}^k / \mathcal{I}^{k+1}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Since X is locally noetherian, we derive that each $\mathcal{I}^k / \mathcal{I}^{k+1}$ is a finite type \mathcal{A} -module. Hence each factor is a finite type module over $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$. Thus \mathcal{A} has finite filtrations which factors are coherent sheaves on $X^{\mathbf{M}}$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is coherent algebra on $X^{\mathbf{M}}$ and this shows that $r_{\mathbf{M}}$ is finite. \square

Let us note the immediate consequence of this result.

Corollary 5.3. *Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme with zero and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then \mathcal{Z} is a part of the commutative diagram*

are not isomorphic. Indeed, the left hand side group has infinite torsion subgroup and the right hand side group has torsion subgroup equal to $\{-1, 1\}$. This implies that over \mathbb{R} algebraic groups \mathbf{S}^1 and \mathbf{G}_m are not isomorphic. Hence \mathbf{S}^1 is not a split torus over \mathbb{R} .

Corollary 6.4. *Let T be a torus over k . Then T is a linearly reductive algebraic group.*

Definition 6.5. Let T be a torus over k and let \bar{T} be a linearly reductive monoid having T as the group of units. Then \bar{T} is a toric monoid over k

Theorem 6.6. *Let \bar{T} be a toric monoid over k with group of units T and let K be an algebraically closed extension of k . Suppose that N is a dimension of T .*

- (1) *The group of characters of T_K is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^N and there exists an abstract submonoid S of \mathbb{Z}^N such that the open immersion*

$$T_K = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m \right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in S} K \cdot \chi^m \right) = \bar{T}_K$$

is induced by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$.

- (2) *Let $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)}$ be a set of irreducible representation of T such that V_λ is in isomorphism class λ . For every λ there exists a finite subset A_λ of \mathbb{Z}^N such that*

$$K \otimes_k V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{m \in A_\lambda} K \cdot \chi^m$$

If λ is in $\mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$, then A_λ is a subset of S . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}^N = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} A_\lambda$$

and $A_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$, where λ_0 is the class of the trivial representation of T .

- (3) *If \bar{T} has a zero, then there exists a homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of abelian groups such that $f|_{S \setminus \{0\}} > 0$. In particular, f induces a closed immersion*

$$\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k \mathbb{G}_m = \operatorname{Spec} K[\mathbb{Z}] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m \right) = T_K$$

of group K -schemes that extends to a zero preserving closed immersion $\mathbb{A}_K^1 \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_K$ of monoid K -schemes.

Proof. Since T is a torus, we derive that

$$T_K = \operatorname{Spec} K \times_k \underbrace{\mathbb{G}_m \times_k \mathbb{G}_m \times_k \dots \times_k \mathbb{G}_m}_{N \text{ times}} = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m \right)$$

and hence

$$\bar{T}_K = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{s \in S} K \cdot \chi^s \right)$$

for some abstract submonoid S of \mathbb{Z}^N . Moreover, the open immersion $T_K \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_K$ is induced by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$. This proves (1).

We have identification

$$k[T] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} V_\lambda^{n_\lambda}$$

of T -representations, where $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for each λ . Thus

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m = K \otimes_k k[T] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} (K \otimes_k V_\lambda)^{n_\lambda}$$

This implies that $n_\lambda = 1$ for every λ and moreover, we derive that

$$K \otimes_k V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{m \in A_\lambda} K \cdot \chi^m$$

for some finite set $A_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$. We also have $A_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$ and $A_\lambda \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$. This proves (2).

Since \bar{T} admits a zero, we derive that

$$\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{m \in S \setminus \{0\}} K \cdot \chi^S \subseteq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m$$

is an ideal. This implies that $S \setminus \{0\}$ is closed under addition. In particular, there exists a homomorphism of abelian groups $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_{|S \setminus \{0\}} > 0$. This implies (3). \square

7. ISOTYPIC DECOMPOSITIONS

The following result will be used in the next section.

Proposition 7.1. *Let \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{H} be monoid k -functors. Denote by Λ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible \mathfrak{H} -representations. Suppose that V is a representation of both \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{H} and assume that their actions on V commute. Assume that V is completely reducible as a \mathfrak{H} -representation and consider the decomposition*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V[\lambda]$$

onto isotypic components with respect to the action of \mathfrak{H} . Then for every λ in Λ the subspace $V[\lambda]$ is a \mathfrak{G} -subrepresentation of V .

Proof. Consider morphisms $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$ determining the structure of V as the \mathfrak{G} -representation and \mathfrak{H} -representation, respectively. Fix k -algebra A and $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$. Consider $A \otimes_k V$ as a tensor product of \mathfrak{H} -representation V with A as a trivial \mathfrak{H} -representation. We claim that $\rho(g) : A \otimes_k V \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$ is an endomorphism of this \mathfrak{H} -representation. For this consider k -algebra B and $h \in \mathfrak{H}(B)$. Since actions of \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{H} on V commute, we derive that

$$(1_B \otimes_k \rho(g)) \cdot (1_A \otimes_k \delta(h)) = (1_A \otimes_k \delta(h)) \cdot (1_B \otimes_k \rho(g))$$

Since this holds for every k -algebra B and every $h \in \mathfrak{H}(B)$, we deduce that indeed $\rho(g)$ is a \mathfrak{H} -endomorphism of $A \otimes_k V$. Next we have

$$(A \otimes_k V)[\lambda] = A \otimes_k V[\lambda]$$

for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Thus

$$\rho(g)(A \otimes_k V[\lambda]) \subseteq A \otimes_k V[\lambda]$$

for every λ in Λ . This holds for every k -algebra A and $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$. Hence $V[\lambda]$ is a \mathfrak{G} -subrepresentation of V . \square

Definition 7.2. Let \mathbf{G} be an affine monoid k -scheme and let X be a k -scheme equipped with the trivial action of \mathbf{G} . Fix λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ and a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf \mathcal{F} on X . We define a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -subsheaf $\mathcal{F}[\lambda]$ of \mathcal{F} by setting its module of sections over every open affine subscheme U of X to be an isotypic component $\mathcal{F}(U)[\lambda]$ of the linear \mathbf{G} -representation $\mathcal{F}(U)$ (Example 4.8). We call it a λ -isotypic component of \mathcal{F} .

Fact 7.3. Let \mathbf{G} be an affine group k -scheme and let X be a k -scheme equipped with the trivial action of \mathbf{G} . Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaves on X . Fix now $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ in $\mathbf{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ such that

$$V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k V_{\lambda_2} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_{\eta_i}$$

as \mathbf{G} -representations, where by V_λ we denote the irreducible representation in class $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$. Then

$$(\mathcal{F}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2[\lambda_2])[\lambda] = 0$$

for $\lambda \neq \eta_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Consider an open affine subscheme U of X . The canonical surjection

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_1)[\lambda_1] \otimes_k \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_2)[\lambda_2] \longrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_1)[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_2)[\lambda_2]$$

is a morphism of \mathbf{G} -representations. Since $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k V_{\lambda_2} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_{\eta_i}$, we derive that

$$(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_1)[\lambda_1] \otimes_k \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_2)[\lambda_2])[\lambda] = 0$$

for $\lambda \neq \eta_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that $(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_1)[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_2)[\lambda_2])[\lambda] = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \eta_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since U is an arbitrary affine open subscheme of X , we deduce that the statement holds. \square

8. ALGEBRAIZATION OF FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

Now we are ready to prove certain results concerning *algebraizations* of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes for Kempf monoids.

Theorem 8.1. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then there exists a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme Z equipped with an action of \mathbf{M} such that \widehat{Z} is isomorphic to \mathcal{Z} and*

$$Z = \operatorname{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$$

in category of \mathbf{M} -schemes affine over Z_0 .

Setup. Monoid \mathbf{M} is affine and admits zero \mathbf{o} . By Corollary 5.3 a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ corresponds to a sequence of surjections

$$\dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$$

of quasi-coherent algebras on Z_0 such that the following assertions hold.

- (1) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a morphism $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n$ such that for every open affine neighborhood U of Z_0 its restriction

$$\mathcal{A}_n(U) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n(U)$$

to sections on U is a coaction of $k[\mathbf{M}]$ on $\mathcal{A}_n(U)$.

- (2) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the epimorphism $\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ preserves coaction described in (1).
- (3) $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ is the surjection on coinvariants of \mathcal{A}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (4) $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ is an isomorphism for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (5) If \mathcal{I}_n is the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ in \mathcal{A}_n , then \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} is the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$ for $m \leq n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since \mathbf{M} is a Kempf monoid, there exists a closed subgroup T of the center $Z(\mathbf{G})$ of the unit group \mathbf{G} of \mathbf{M} such that T is a torus and the scheme-theoretic closure \overline{T} of T in \mathbf{M} contains the zero \mathbf{o} of \mathbf{M} . We derive by Corollary 5.3 that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{T}} = \mathcal{A}_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition \overline{T} is a toric monoid k -scheme with T as a group of units. Let $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(T)}$ be a set of irreducible representations of T such that V_λ is contained in λ . \square

Lemma 8.1.1. *Let λ be in $\operatorname{Irr}(T)$. Then there exists $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n > n_\lambda$ and any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \operatorname{Irr}(\overline{T}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$ the representation*

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i}$$

has trivial isotypic component of type λ . We have $n_{\lambda_0} = 0$, where λ_0 is an isomorphism type of the trivial representation of T .

Proof of the lemma. Let K be an algebraically closed extension of k . Pick A_λ and f as in Theorem 6.6 and define

$$n_\lambda = \sup_{m \in A_\lambda} f(m)$$

We have

$$K \otimes_k V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k \dots \otimes_k V_{\lambda_n} = \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in A_{\lambda_1} \times_k \dots \times_k A_{\lambda_n}} K \cdot \chi^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}$$

and since $m_1, \dots, m_n \in A_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{\lambda_n} \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ we derive that

$$f(m_1 + \dots + m_n) = f(m_1) + \dots + f(m_n) \geq n > n_\lambda = \sup_{m \in A_\lambda} f(m)$$

This implies that isotypic component of $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k \dots \otimes_k V_{\lambda_n}$ corresponding to λ is trivial. \square

Lemma 8.1.2. Fix λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq n_\lambda$.

Proof of the lemma. For $\lambda \notin \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$ we have $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}[\lambda] = \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] = 0$, because \mathcal{A}_{n+1} and \mathcal{A}_n are quasi-coherent \bar{T} -algebras. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$. Consider an affine open subset U of Z_0 . By Lemma 8.1.1 and Fact 7.3 we derive that

$$\underbrace{(\mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1})}_{n+1 \text{ times}}[\lambda] = 0$$

for every $n \geq n_\lambda$. Next the multiplication

$$\underbrace{(\mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1})}_{n+1 \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$$

is a morphism of quasi-coherent T -sheaves with image \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{n+1} . Thus we derive that $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{n+1}[\lambda] = 0$ for $n \geq n_\lambda$. Hence the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$ is trivial. \square

Proof of Theorem. According to Proposition 7.1 and the fact that T is central in \mathbf{M} we derive that $\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$ is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -sheaf. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)$ we define

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda] = \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$$

where $n \geq n_\lambda$ as in Lemma 8.1.2. Note that $\mathcal{A}[\lambda] = 0$ for $\lambda \notin \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$. We set

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})} \mathcal{A}[\lambda]$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A}[\lambda_0] = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ canonically (where λ_0 is the trivial T -representation), hence \mathcal{A} is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -sheaf on Z_0 . Actually $\mathcal{A} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$ in the category of quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -sheaves on Z_0 . We construct the \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebra structure on \mathcal{A} . For this pick $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$. Consider the irreducible representations V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} in classes λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively. Suppose that η_1, \dots, η_s are finitely many classes in $\mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$ such that $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k V_{\lambda_2}$ can be completely decomposed onto irreducible representation in these classes. According to Fact 7.3 we deduce that the image of the multiplication

$$\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$$

is contained in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_i]$. By Lemma 8.1.2 all these multiplications for $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$ can be identified. Now we define

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{A}[\lambda_2] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}[\eta_i] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

as a morphism induced by the multiplication morphism for any $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$. This gives an \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebra structure on \mathcal{A} . So \mathcal{A} is in fact the limit of $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the category of quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebras on Z_0 . This implies that

$$\mathrm{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A} = \mathrm{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$$

in the category of \mathbf{M} -schemes affine over Z_0 . Note that from the description of \mathcal{A} it follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a surjective morphism $p_n : \mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ of algebras. We denote its kernel by \mathcal{J}_n and we put $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_0$. We have

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(\bar{T}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}} \mathcal{A}[\lambda]$$

Recall that we denote by \mathcal{I}_n the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}_n$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq m$. Since \mathcal{Z} is a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme, the sheaf \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} is the kernel of the morphism $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_m/\mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} = (\mathcal{J}^{m+1} + \mathcal{J}_n)/\mathcal{J}_n$$

Both \mathcal{J}_m and \mathcal{J}^{m+1} are $\mathrm{Irr}(\bar{T})$ -graded by their isotypic \bar{T} -components and for given $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(\bar{T})$ and for $n \geq n_\lambda$ the isotypic component $\mathcal{J}_n[\lambda]$ is zero by Lemma 8.1.2. Hence $\mathcal{J}_m = \mathcal{J}^{m+1}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$Z = \mathrm{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}$$

and we denote by $r : Z \rightarrow Z_0$ the structural morphism. The scheme Z inherits a \mathbf{M} -action from \mathcal{A} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the zero-set of \mathcal{J}^{n+1} in \mathcal{A} is a \mathbf{M} -scheme isomorphic to $Z_n = \mathrm{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}_n$. Hence \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to \widehat{Z} and this proves the theorem. \square

Let us note the following interesting consequence of the previous theorem.

Corollary 8.2. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid and let Z, W be locally linear \mathbf{M} -schemes. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z, W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mor}(\widehat{Z}, \widehat{W})$$

is a bijection, where $\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z, W)$ is the class of \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphisms $Z \rightarrow W$ and $\mathrm{Mor}(\widehat{Z}, \widehat{W})$ is the class of morphisms of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes.

Proof. Suppose that $\widehat{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\widehat{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Consider a morphism $\{f_n : Z_n \rightarrow W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes. Since the retraction on fixed points in Proposition 5.2 is given by the multiplication by zero of \mathbf{M} , we derive that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & W_n \\ r_n^Z \downarrow & & \downarrow r_n^W \\ Z_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & W_0 \end{array}$$

is commutative for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where r_n^W and r_n^Z are canonical retractions. Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & f_n & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 Z_n & \xrightarrow{p_n} & W_n \times_{W_0} Z_0 & \xrightarrow{q_n} & W_n \\
 & \searrow r_n^Z & \downarrow r_n & & \downarrow r_n^W \\
 & & Z_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & W_0
 \end{array}$$

in which the rightmost square is cartesian and $p_n : Z_n \rightarrow W_n \times_{W_0} Z_0$ is the unique morphism that makes the diagram commutative. Now since r_n^W is affine by (3) of Proposition 5.2, we derive that $r_n : W_n \times_{W_0} Z_0 \rightarrow Z_0$ is affine as its base change. Our goal is to show that there exists a unique morphism $p : Z \rightarrow W \times_{W_0} Z_0$ such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z & \xrightarrow{p} & W \times_{W_0} Z_0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 Z_n & \xrightarrow{p_n} & W_n \times_{W_0} Z_0
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Theorem 8.1 states that

$$Z = \operatorname{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$$

in the category of \mathbf{M} -schemes which are affine over Z_0 . Thus by the universal property of colimit we deduce that q exists. Now composing q with the morphism $q : W \times_{W_0} Z_0 \rightarrow W$ coming from the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W \times_{W_0} Z_0 & \xrightarrow{q} & W \\
 \downarrow r & & \downarrow r^W \\
 Z_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & W_0
 \end{array}$$

we obtain \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphism $f = q \cdot p : Z \rightarrow W$. By construction $f|_{Z_n}$ induces f_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by uniqueness of p we infer that f is a unique \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphism with this property. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 8.3. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid and let Z be a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that $r : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is the canonical retraction. If the formal \mathbf{M} -scheme \widehat{Z} is locally noetherian, then r is of finite type.*

Proof. Since r is affine (Proposition 5.2), we derive that $\mathcal{A} = r_* \mathcal{O}_Z$ is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebra on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. We denote by \mathcal{J} the ideal of \mathcal{A} that corresponds to the closed immersion $Z^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow Z$. We know that the formal \mathbf{M} -scheme

$$Z^{\mathbf{M}} = \operatorname{Spec}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{J}^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{J}^{n+2} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

is locally noetherian. Hence $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ is $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ -module of finite type. Thus $\{\mathcal{J}^i/\mathcal{J}^{i+1}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are finite type \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} -modules. The series

$$0 \subseteq \mathcal{J}^n/\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$$

has subquotients that are of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -algebra for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The claim that π is of finite type is local on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$, hence we may assume that $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is quasi-compact. This reduces the question to the noetherian $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^2$ is coherent over $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$. Since $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is noetherian, there exists coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -subsheaf $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that the morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ is surjective. Fix an algebraically closed extension K of k and denote

$$\mathcal{A}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{J}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$$

Since \mathbf{M} is a Kempf monoid and by (3) Theorem 6.6 there exists a closed immersion $\mathbb{A}_K^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_K$ of monoid K -schemes that preserve zero. This implies that we have \mathbb{N} -grading $\mathcal{A}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$ that gives rise to the action of \mathbb{A}_K^1 . Moreover, by Proposition 5.2 we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Spec} K \times_k Z^{\mathbf{M}} = (\mathrm{Spec} K \times_k Z)^{\mathbf{M}_K} = (\mathrm{Spec} K \times_k Z)^{\mathbb{A}_K^1}$$

as K -schemes. This shows that $\mathcal{J}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$ is an ideal with positive grading. We have surjection $\mathcal{M}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_K/\mathcal{J}_K^2$. By graded version of Nakayama's lemma, the ideal \mathcal{J}_K is generated by \mathcal{M}_K . Then by induction on degrees we deduce that \mathcal{A}_K is generated by \mathcal{M}_K as a $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -algebra. Thus $1_{\mathrm{Spec} K \times_k r}$ is of finite type and by faithfully flat descent also r is of finite type. \square

Theorem 8.4. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid with group of unit \mathbf{G} and let Z be a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is the canonical retraction. If Z is locally noetherian, then the comparison functor*

$$\mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$$

is an equivalence of monoidal categories.

Setup. Since \mathbf{M} is a Kempf torus, there exists a central closed torus T in \mathbf{G} such that the scheme-theoretic closure \overline{T} of T in \mathbf{M} contains the zero. As above we note that π is affine (Proposition 5.2) and we pick a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebra $\mathcal{A} = r_* \mathcal{O}_Z$ on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. We denote by \mathcal{J} the ideal of \mathcal{A} that corresponds to the closed immersion $Z^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow Z$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ and since r is a retraction, we derive that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \oplus \mathcal{J}$. Next \widehat{Z} is locally noetherian (this follows from the fact that Z is locally noetherian). By Remark 4.9 and Remark 2.7 an object of $\mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ corresponds to a sequence of surjections

$$\dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$$

of coherent sheaves on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ such that the following assertions hold.

- (1) \mathcal{M}_n is a module over \mathcal{A}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ is the kernel of the epimorphism $\mathcal{M}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (3) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a morphism $\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow k[\mathbf{M}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ such that for every open affine neighborhood U of Z_0 its restriction

$$\mathcal{M}_n(U) \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n(U)$$

to sections on U is a coaction of $k[\mathbf{G}]$ on $\mathcal{M}_n(U)$.

- (4) $\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ is the morphism of \mathcal{A} -modules, where $k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ carries the structure of an \mathcal{A} -module induced by restriction of its $k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n$ -module structure along the morphism $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow k[\mathbf{G}] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n$ that corresponds to the action of \mathbf{G} on Z_n .

(5) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the epimorphism $\mathcal{M}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ preserves coaction described in (3).

We fix an algebraically closed field K containing k . By (3) of Theorem 6.6 there exists a closed immersion $\text{Spec } K \times_k \mathbf{G}_m \hookrightarrow T_K$ of group K -schemes that induces zero preserving closed immersion $\mathbb{A}_K^1 \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_K$ of monoid K -schemes. By Proposition 5.2 we have

$$\text{Spec } K \times_k Z^{\mathbf{M}} = (\text{Spec } K \times_k Z)^{\mathbf{M}_K} = (\text{Spec } K \times_k Z)^{\mathbb{A}_K^1}$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{A}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_K[i], \quad \mathcal{J}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$$

where gradation is induced by the action of \mathbb{A}_K^1 . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the action of $\text{Spec } K \times_k \mathbf{G}_m$ on $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ induced by the closed immersion $\text{Spec } K \times_k \mathbf{G}_m \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_K$ of group K -schemes gives rise to a gradation

$$K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$$

□

Lemma 8.4.1. *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = 0$ for $i \geq i_0$.*
- (2) *For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_i$ the surjection $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \rightarrow (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ is an isomorphism.*
- (3) *For every λ in $\text{Irr}(T)$ there exists a finite subset $B_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$K \otimes_k V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i \in B_\lambda} (K \otimes_k V_\lambda)[i]$$

Define $n_\lambda = \sup_{i \in B_\lambda} n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $n \geq n_\lambda$ the surjection $\mathcal{M}_{n+1}[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$ is an isomorphism.

Proof of the lemma. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the decomposition $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$. Since $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ is a coherent $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -module and the decomposition consists of modules over $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$, we derive that there are only finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] \neq 0$. Hence we may write $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_n} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ for some $i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i_n] \neq 0$. Moreover, we know that the kernel of the surjection

$$K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_{n+1}} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq i_n} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$$

is $\mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})$ and hence is contained in $\bigoplus_{i \geq (i_{n+1}+n+1)} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i]$. This implies that $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i]$ for $i_{n+1} \leq i \leq i_{n+1} + n$. In particular, we have $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i_{n+1}] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i_{n+1}] \neq 0$ and thus $i_{n+1} \geq i_n$. This shows that $i_n \geq i_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (1) is proved. Now the surjection

$$K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$$

induces an isomorphism for i -th graded component, where $i_0 \leq i \leq i_0 + n$. Hence for fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_i$ the surjection $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \rightarrow (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ is an isomorphism. Thus we proved (2).

Fix now λ in $\text{Irr}(T)$ and let V_λ be an irreducible representation in class λ . Since $K \otimes_k V_\lambda$ is a finite dimensional vector space over K , there exists a finite subset $B_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $(K \otimes_k V_\lambda)[i] \neq 0$ if $i \in B_\lambda$. Now define $n_\lambda = \sup_{i \in B_\lambda} n_i$ the surjection $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \rightarrow K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ induces an isomorphism $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \cong (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ for every i in B_λ . Thus for $n \geq n_\lambda$ the surjection $\mathcal{M}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{n+1}[\lambda] \cong \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$. This completes the proof of (3). □

Proof of the theorem. Fix a coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on \widehat{Z} described as in the setup above. For fixed λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ we define $\mathcal{M}[\lambda] = \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$ for any $n \geq n_\lambda$, where $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ is as in (3) of Lemma 8.4.1 (in particular, $\mathcal{M}[\lambda]$ does not depend on $n \geq n_\lambda$). Next we define

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}} \mathcal{M}[\lambda]$$

By Proposition 7.1 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)$ sheaf $\mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$ admits a structure of a \mathbf{G} -sheaf. Therefore, \mathcal{M} is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -modules. We now show that \mathcal{M} admits a canonical structure of \mathcal{A} -module. For this pick λ_1 and λ_2 in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$. Consider the irreducible representations V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} in classes λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively. Suppose that η_1, \dots, η_s are finitely many classes in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ such that $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k V_{\lambda_2}$ can be completely decomposed into irreducible representations contained in classes η_1, \dots, η_s . According to Fact 7.3 the image of the multiplication $\mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}} \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ is contained in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{M}_n[\eta_i]$. By (3) of Lemma 8.4.1 all these multiplications for $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$ can be identified. Now we define

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}} \mathcal{M}[\lambda_2] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{M}[\eta_i] \subseteq \mathcal{M}$$

as a morphism induced by the multiplication morphism for any $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$. This gives an \mathcal{A} -module structure on \mathcal{M} . Next we prove that \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{A} -module of finite type. Denote $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$ by \mathcal{M}_K . Note that the combination of (2) and (3) of Lemma 8.4.1 show that

$$\mathcal{M}_K[i] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$$

for $n \geq n_i$ and $i \geq i_0$. Hence by (1) of Lemma 8.4.1 we have

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} \mathcal{M}[\lambda]_K = \mathcal{M}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} \mathcal{M}_K[i]$$

Since each \mathcal{M}_n is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -module, we derive that $\mathcal{M}_K[i]$ is a coherent $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -module for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now we may pick $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ such that we have a surjection

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{M}[\lambda_j]_K \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 \leq i \leq 1} \mathcal{M}_K[i]$$

induced by the projection $\mathcal{M}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} \mathcal{M}_K[i] \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 \leq i \leq 1} \mathcal{M}_K[i]$. Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{M}[\lambda_j]$$

be a $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -submodule of \mathcal{M} . Clearly each $\mathcal{M}[\lambda]$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -module. Hence \mathcal{G} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -module. Since $\mathcal{J}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$, we derive that

$$\mathcal{M}_K = \sum_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{J}_K^j \cdot \mathcal{G}_K$$

and hence \mathcal{G}_K generates \mathcal{M}_K as an \mathcal{A}_K -module. By faithfully flat descent we deduce that \mathcal{G} generates \mathcal{M} as an \mathcal{A} -module. Since \mathcal{G} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -module, we derive that \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{A} -module of finite type. We show that $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By faithfully flat descent it suffices to show that

$$(\mathcal{M}_K/\mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}\mathcal{M}_K)[i] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Pick m greater than $\sup_{i_0 \leq j \leq i} n_j$ and n . Then

$$\mathcal{M}_K[j] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_m)[j], \mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}\mathcal{M}_K[j] = \mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_m)[j]$$

for $i_0 \leq j \leq i$. Since $\mathcal{M}_m/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}\mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}_n$ as $m \geq n$, we derive that

$$(\mathcal{M}_K/\mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}\mathcal{M}_K)[i] = \mathcal{M}_K[i]/\mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}\mathcal{M}_K[i] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_m)[i]/\mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_m)[i] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$$

and this completes the proof of our claim. All these facts imply that \mathcal{M} corresponds to a coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf on Z such that its image under the comparison functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ is a

coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf on \widehat{Z} with \mathbf{G} -structure described by $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence the comparison functor is essentially surjective. Note also that

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}_n$$

in the category of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -modules. Now we are going to prove that $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ is full and faithful. For this consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{n+1} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_n & \twoheadrightarrow & \dots & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_1 & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_0 \\ & & \downarrow f_{n+1} & & \downarrow f_n & & & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 \\ \dots & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{n+1} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_n & \twoheadrightarrow & \dots & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_1 & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_0 \end{array}$$

that represents the morphism in $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$. This means that f_n is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ -modules and preserves the $k[\mathbf{G}]$ -coactions for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next suppose that \mathcal{N} is an \mathcal{A} -module with $k[\mathbf{G}]$ -coaction that corresponds to an object of $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z)$ which image under the comparison functor yields $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We define $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ as follows. We pick $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)$ and set $f[\lambda] : \mathcal{M}[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}[\lambda]$ to be $f_n[\lambda] : \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n[\lambda]$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3) of Lemma 8.4.1 this definition makes sense and by construction of \mathcal{A} -module structure on \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} gives rise to a morphism of \mathcal{A} -modules that preserves the \mathbf{G} -coactions. Moreover, we have

$$f = \operatorname{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$$

in the category of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -modules. Thus f is a unique morphism of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_n \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_n \\ \mathcal{N} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_n \end{array}$$

is commutative for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next denote $K \otimes_k f = f_K$ and fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by (2) and (3) of Lemma 8.4.1 we have

$$f_K[i] = (K \otimes_k f_n)[i]$$

for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix now $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to (1) of Lemma 8.4.1 for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we may pick $m \geq n$ such that

$$f_K[j] = (K \otimes_k f_m)[j]$$

for all $j \leq i$. Thus

$$f_K[i] \bmod \mathcal{J}_K^{n+1} \mathcal{M}_K[i] = (1_K \otimes_k f_m)[i] \bmod \mathcal{J}_K^{n+1} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_m)[i] = (1_K \otimes_k f_n)[i]$$

Since $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is arbitrary, we derive that

$$f_K \bmod \mathcal{J}_K^{n+1} \mathcal{M}_K = (1_K \otimes_k f_n)$$

By faithfully flat descent we deduce that $f_n = (1_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} f)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, f is a unique morphism in $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z)$ such that its image under the comparison functor is $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This completes the proof that the comparison functor is full and faithful. We proved that it is essentially surjective above. Thus the comparison functor is an equivalence of categories. \square

Definition 8.5. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme with group of units \mathbf{G} . Let $Z = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a locally noetherian formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. A locally noetherian \mathbf{M} -scheme Z is called an *algebraization of Z* if the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}$ in the category of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes.
- (2) The comparison functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})$ is an equivalence of monoidal categories.

Corollary 8.6. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid with \mathbf{G} as a group of units and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a locally noetherian formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then \mathcal{Z} admits an algebraization.*

Proof. By Theorem 8.1 there exists a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme Z such that \widehat{Z} is isomorphic with \mathcal{Z} . By Theorem 8.3 we deduce that the canonical retraction $r : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is of finite type. Hence Z is locally noetherian. Finally Theorem 8.4 implies that the comparison functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ is an equivalence of categories. Thus Z is an algebraization of \mathcal{Z} . \square

REFERENCES

[Monygham, 2020] Monygham (2020). Group schemes over field. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno"*.