

# HOMOGENEOUS MARKOV CHAINS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this notes we study discrete homogeneous Markov chains. We start in the first section with general discrete Markov chains. Main result is the theorem on existence of a Markov chain with given transition matrices and initial distribution. This theorem is basically a consequence of Daniell-Kolmogorov extension theorem proved in [Monygham, 2022]. In the second section we define homogeneous Markov chains and discuss their basic properties. Next sections are devoted to classification of states of such chains.

## 2. GENERAL MARKOV CHAINS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a countable set considered as a measurable space with respect to power set  $\sigma$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\{X_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of random variables such that

$$P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0) = P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} \mid X_n = s_n)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_0, \dots, s_{n+1} \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$P(X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0) > 0$$

Then  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a countable set and let  $\{p_{ts}\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  be a matrix of nonnegative reals such that

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} p_{ts} = 1$$

for every  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\{p_{ts}\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  is a stochastic matrix.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$  and fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $\{p_{ts}(n)\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  is a stochastic matrix such that

$$p_{ts}(n) = P(X_{n+1} = t \mid X_n = s)$$

for every  $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $P(X_n = s) > 0$ . Then  $\{p_{ts}(n)\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  is called a transition matrix of  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $n$ -th step.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then the distribution of  $X_0$  is called the initial distribution of  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose that  $\{p_{ts}(n)\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  are transition matrices and  $\nu$  is the initial distribution for  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then

$$P(X_n = t) = \sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^n} p_{ts_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot p_{s_{n-1}s_{n-2}}(n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})$$

for every  $t \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The proof goes by induction on  $n$ . The base case is trivial. Indeed, according to the definition of the initial distribution, we have

$$P(X_0 = t) = \nu(\{t\})$$

for every  $t \in \mathcal{S}$ . Suppose that the result holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix  $t \in \mathcal{S}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{S}_+ = \{s \in \mathcal{S} \mid P(X_n = s) > 0\}, \mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_+$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_{n+1} = t) &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} P(X_{n+1} = t, X_n = s) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_+} P(X_{n+1} = t, X_n = s) + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_0} P(X_{n+1} = t, X_n = s) = \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_+} P(X_{n+1} = t | X_n = s) \cdot P(X_n = s) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_+} P(X_{n+1} = t | X_n = s) \cdot P(X_n = s) + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_0} p_{ts}(n) \cdot P(X_n = s) = \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_+} p_{ts}(n) \cdot P(X_n = s) + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_0} p_{ts}(n) \cdot P(X_n = s) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p_{ts}(n) \cdot P(X_n = s)
\end{aligned}$$

and by inductive assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p_{ts}(n) \cdot P(X_n = s) &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p_{ts}(n) \cdot \left( \sum_{(s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^n} p_{s s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) \right) = \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}, (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^n} p_{ts}(n) \cdot p_{s s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) = \\
&= \sum_{(s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n) \in \mathcal{S}^n} p_{ts_n}(n) \cdot p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$P(X_{n+1} = t) = \sum_{(s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n) \in \mathcal{S}^n} p_{ts_n}(n) \cdot p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})$$

□

Proposition 2.5 shows that Markov chains are determined by initial distributions and transition matrices. The following result establishes its converse.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a countable set considered as a measurable space with respect to its power set  $\sigma$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\nu$  is a probability distribution on  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\{p_{ts}(n)\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a sequence of stochastic matrices. Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and a Markov chain  $\{X_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\nu$  is the initial distribution of  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{p_{ts}(n)\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are its transition matrices.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}^{[n]} = \underbrace{\mathcal{S} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}}_{n \text{ times}}$  together with its power

algebra of subsets is a measurable space. We define a probability measure  $\mu_n$  in  $\mathcal{S}^{[n]}$  by formula

$$\mu_n(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)\}) = p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})$$

In order to verify that  $\mu_n$  is well defined note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n]}} \mu_n(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)\}) &= \sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n]}} p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) = \\
&= \sum_{s_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s_1 \in \mathcal{S}} \dots \sum_{s_{n-2} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s_n \in \mathcal{S}} p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) = \\
&= \sum_{s_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s_1 \in \mathcal{S}} \dots \sum_{s_{n-2} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \sum_{s_n \in \mathcal{S}} p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \right) \cdot p_{s_{n-1} s_{n-2}}(n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) = \\
&= \sum_{s_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s_1 \in \mathcal{S}} \dots \sum_{s_{n-2} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}} p_{s_{n-1} s_{n-2}}(n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this simplification  $(n-1)$ -times more we obtain

$$\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n]}} \mu_n(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)\}) = \sum_{s_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \nu(\{s_0\}) = 1$$

This proves that  $\mu_n$  is well defined. Suppose next that  $n_1 \leq n_2$ . Then we have a projection  $\pi_{n_2, n_1} : \mathcal{S}^{[n_2]} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{[n_1]}$  and

$$(\pi_{n_2, n_1})_* \mu_{n_2}(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n_1})\}) = \mu_{n_2}(\pi_{n_2, n_1}^{-1}(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n_1})\})) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{s_{n_1+1} \in \mathcal{S}} \dots \sum_{s_{n_2} \in \mathcal{S}} \mu_{n_2}(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n_1}, s_{n_1+1}, \dots, s_{n_2})\}) = \\
&= \sum_{s_{n_1+1} \in \mathcal{S}} \dots \sum_{s_{n_2} \in \mathcal{S}} p_{s_{n_2}s_{n_2-1}}(n_2-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_{n_1+1}s_{n_1}}(n_1) \cdot p_{s_{n_1}s_{n_1-1}}(n_1-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) = \\
&= \left( \sum_{s_{n_1+1} \in \mathcal{S}} \dots \sum_{s_{n_2} \in \mathcal{S}} p_{s_{n_2}s_{n_2-1}}(n_2-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_{n_1+1}s_{n_1}}(n_1) \right) \cdot p_{s_{n_1}s_{n_1-1}}(n_1-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) = \\
&= p_{s_{n_1}s_{n_1-1}}(n_1-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) = \mu_{n_1}(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n_1})\})
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $(\pi_{n_2, n_1})_* \mu_{n_2} = \mu_{n_1}$  for every pair of natural numbers  $n_1 \leq n_2$ . Now suppose that  $F$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F \subseteq [n]$ . Next suppose that  $\pi_{n, F} : \mathcal{S}^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^F$  is the projection on axes parametrized by elements of  $F$ . We define

$$\mu_F = (\pi_{n, F})_* \mu_n$$

Then  $\mu_F$  is a probability measure and, since  $(\pi_{n_2, n_1})_* \mu_{n_2} = \mu_{n_1}$  for every pair of natural numbers  $n_1 \leq n_2$ , we derive that  $\mu_F$  does not depend on particular choice of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F \subseteq [n]$ . It follows that if  $F_1 \subseteq F_2$  are arbitrary finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\pi_{F_2, F_1} : \mathcal{S}^{F_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{F_1}$  is the projection, then

$$(\pi_{F_2, F_1})_* \mu_{F_2} = \mu_{F_1}$$

Moreover, we have  $\mu_n = \mu_{[n]}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note also that each measure  $\mu_F$  is inner regular with respect to discrete topology on  $\mathcal{S}^F$ . Therefore, by [Monygham, 2022, Theorem 2.2] there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$(\pi_F)_* \mu = \mu_F$$

for every finite subset  $F$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . We set  $\Omega = \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$  and  $P = \mu$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $X_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  as the projection onto  $n$ -th axis. We describe now joint distribution of  $(X_0, \dots, X_n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For this fix  $s_0, \dots, s_n \in \mathcal{S}$  and note that

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0) &= \mu(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)\} \times \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus [n]}) = (\pi_{[n]})_* \mu(\{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)\}) = \\
&= \mu_n(\{(s_0, \dots, s_n)\}) = p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})
\end{aligned}$$

In addition for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t, s \in \mathcal{S}$  we have formulas It follows that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{S}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_n = t) &= \mu(\mathcal{S}^{[n-1]} \times \{t\} \times \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus [n]}) = (\pi_{[n]})_* \mu(\mathcal{S}^{[n-1]} \times \{t\}) = \\
&= \mu_n(\mathcal{S}^{[n-1]} \times \{t\}) = \sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{ts_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_{n+1} = t, X_n = s) &= \mu(\mathcal{S}^{[n-1]} \times \{(s, t)\} \times \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N} \setminus [n]}) = (\pi_{[n]})_* \mu(\mathcal{S}^{[n-1]} \times \{(s, t)\}) = \\
&= \mu_n(\mathcal{S}^{[n-1]} \times \{(s, t)\}) = \sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{ts}(n) \cdot p_{s s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Markov chain with initial distribution  $\nu$  and transition matrices  $\{p_{ts}(n)\}_{s, t \in \mathcal{S}}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In order to prove the claim we use the description of joint distributions  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and distribution of  $X_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_0, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1} \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$P(X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0) > 0$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0) &= \frac{P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}, X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0)}{P(X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0)} = \\
&= \frac{p_{s_{n+1}s_n}(n) \cdot p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})}{p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})} = p_{s_{n+1}s_n}(n)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n) &= \frac{P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}, X_n = s_n)}{P(X_n = s_n)} = \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{s_{n+1}s_n}(n) \cdot p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})}{\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})} = \\
 &= \frac{p_{s_{n+1}s_n}(n) \cdot \left( \sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) \right)}{\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{s_n s_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})} = p_{s_{n+1}s_n}(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0) = P(X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n)$$

Thus  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Markov chain. Moreover, if  $t, s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that

$$P(X_n = s) > 0$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X_{n+1} = t | X_n = s) &= \frac{P(X_{n+1} = t, X_n = s)}{P(X_n = s)} = \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{ts}(n) \cdot p_{ss_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})}{\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{ss_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})} = \\
 &= \frac{p_{ts}(n) \cdot \left( \sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{ss_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\}) \right)}{\sum_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^{[n-1]}} p_{ss_{n-1}}(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s_1 s_0}(0) \cdot \nu(\{s_0\})} = p_{ts}(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$P(X_0 = s) = \nu(\{s\})$$

This completes the proof of the claim. Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

### 3. HOMOGENEOUS MARKOV CHAINS AND THEIR STATE SPACES

So far we discussed general Markov chains. However, the following special case is very important.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose that there exists a stochastic matrix  $\{p_{ts}\}_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}}$  such that

$$p_{ts} = P(X_{n+1} = t | X_n = s)$$

for every  $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $P(X_n = s) > 0$ . Then  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a homogeneous Markov chain.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a homogeneous Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$  and transition matrix  $P$ . A state  $t$  is *accessible* from state  $s$  for  $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$  if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  such that

$$(P^n)_{ts} > 0$$

If  $t$  is accessible from  $s$ , then we write  $s \rightarrow t$ .

**Fact 3.3.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a homogeneous Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $s_1 \rightarrow s_2$  and  $s_2 \rightarrow s_3$  for some  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ , then also  $s_1 \rightarrow s_3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a transition matrix of  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . By assumptions

$$(P^{n_2})_{s_3 s_2} > 0, (P^{n_1})_{s_2 s_1} > 0,$$

for some  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . Hence

$$(P^{n_1+n_2})_{s_3 s_1} \geq (P^{n_2})_{s_3 s_2} \cdot (P^{n_1})_{s_2 s_1} > 0$$

Thus  $s_1 \rightarrow s_3$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a homogeneous Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$  and transition matrix  $P$ . Consider a subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose that for every  $t \in \mathcal{S}$  if  $s \rightarrow t$  for  $s \in C$ , then  $t \in C$ . Then  $C$  is a *closed set of states*.

Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a homogeneous Markov chain with state space  $\mathcal{S}$  and transition matrix  $P$ . Consider states  $s, t$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  and define

$$F_{ts} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (P^n)_{ts}$$

**Definition 3.5.**

#### REFERENCES

[Monygham, 2022] Monygham (2022). Daniell-Kolmogorov extension theorem. *github repository*: "[Monygham/Pedonmellon-a-minimo](#)".