

ALGEBRAIZATION OF FORMAL M-SCHEMES

1. INTRODUCTION

In these notes we prove some results concerning algebraization of formal schemes in equivariant setting.

2. SOME 2-CATEGORICAL LIMITS

Consider a category \mathcal{C} and its endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Our goal is to construct certain 2-categorical limit associated with a pair (\mathcal{C}, T) . Consider pairs (X, u) consisting of an object X of \mathcal{C} and an isomorphism $u : T(X) \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} . If (X, u) and (Y, w) are two such pairs, then a morphism $f : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, w)$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} such that the following square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(X) & \xrightarrow{u} & X \\ T(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ T(Y) & \xrightarrow{w} & Y \end{array}$$

is commutative. This data give rise to a category $\mathcal{C}(T)$. There exists a forgetful functor $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that sends a morphism $f : (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, w)$ to $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Moreover, there exists a natural isomorphism $\sigma : T \cdot \pi \Rightarrow \pi$ such that the component of σ on an object (X, u) of $\mathcal{C}(T)$ is u . The next result states that the data above form a certain 2-categorical limit.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a category and its endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a natural isomorphism. Then there exists a unique functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ is a functor such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$. Pick an object X of \mathcal{D} . Then we have $\pi \cdot F(X) = P(X)$ and $\sigma_{F(X)} = \tau_X$. This implies that

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X))$$

Next if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{D} , then we derive that $\pi(F(f)) = P(f)$. Hence $F(f) = P(f)$. This implies that there exists at most one functor F satisfying the properties above. Note also that formulas

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X)), F(f) = P(f)$$

for an object X in \mathcal{D} and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{D} , give rise to a functor that satisfy $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$. This establishes existence and the uniqueness of F . \square

Assume now that the pair (\mathcal{C}, T) consists of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} and a monoidal endofunctor T . Then there exists a canonical monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}(T)$. We define $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (-)$ by formula

$$(X, u) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (Y, w) = (X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y, (u \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} w) \cdot m_{X,Y})$$

where

$$m_{X,Y} : T(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y) \rightarrow T(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} T(Y)$$

is the tensor preserving isomorphism of T . We also define the unit

$$I_{\mathcal{C}(T)} = (I, T(I) \cong I)$$

where isomorphism $T(I) \cong I$ is precisely the unit preserving isomorphism of the monoidal functor T . The associativity natural isomorphism for $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} (-)$ and right, left units for $I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$ in $\mathcal{C}(T)$ are associativity natural isomorphism and right, left units for \mathcal{C} , respectively. The structure makes a functor $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ strict monoidal and σ a monoidal natural isomorphism. The next result states that the data with these extra monoidal structure form a 2-categorical limit in the 2-category of monoidal categories.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a monoidal category and its monoidal endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a monoidal category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal functor and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a monoidal natural isomorphism. Then there exists a unique monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$ as monoidal functors and monoidal transformations.*

Proof. Note that F must be defined as it was described in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Namely we must have

$$F(X) = (P(X), \tau_X : T(P(X)) \rightarrow P(X)), F(f) = P(f)$$

for an object X in \mathcal{C} and a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} .

Suppose now that F admits a structure of a monoidal functor such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors. Let

$$\{m_{X,Y}^F : F(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow F(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(T)} F(Y)\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}, \phi^F : F(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$$

be the data forming that structure. Since π is a strict monoidal functor and $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors, we derive that for any objects X, Y of \mathcal{C}

$$\pi(m_{X,Y}^F) : P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)$$

is the tensor preserving isomorphism $m_{X,Y}^P : P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y) \rightarrow P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)$ of the monoidal functor P . By the same argument

$$\pi(\phi_F) : P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$$

is the unit preserving isomorphism $\phi^P : P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}(T)}$ of P . Thus we deduce that for any objects X, Y of \mathcal{C} we have $m_{X,Y}^F = m_{X,Y}^P$ and $\phi^F = \phi^P$. This implies that there exists at most one monoidal functor F such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ as monoidal functors.

On the other hand define $m_{X,Y}^F = m_{X,Y}^P$ for objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} and $\phi^F = \phi^P$. We check now that F equipped with these data is a monoidal functor. Fix objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} . The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Y)) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y}} & P(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y) \\ \downarrow T(m_{X,Y}^P) & & \downarrow m_{X,Y}^P \\ T(P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y)) & \xrightarrow{(\tau_X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \tau_Y) \cdot m_{P(X), P(Y)}^T} & P(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P(Y) \end{array}$$

is commutative due to the fact that $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ is a monoidal natural isomorphism. This implies that $m_{X,Y}^F$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}(T)$. It follows that $m_{X,Y}^F$ is a natural isomorphism and due to the definition of associativity in $\mathcal{C}(T)$, we derive its compatibility with $m_{X,Y}^F$. Similarly, since the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(P(I_{\mathcal{D}})) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{I_{\mathcal{D}}}} & P(I_{\mathcal{D}}) \\ \downarrow T(\phi^P) & & \downarrow \phi^P \\ T(I_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^T} & I_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array}$$

is commutative, we deduce that ϕ^F is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}(T)$. By definition of left and right unit in $\mathcal{C}(T)$, we derive their compatibility with ϕ^F . This finishes the verification of the fact that F with $\{m_{X,Y}^F\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}$ and ϕ^F is a monoidal functor. Definitions of $\{m_{X,Y}^F\}_{X,Y \in \mathcal{C}}$ and ϕ^F show that the identities $P = \pi \cdot F$ holds on the level of monoidal structures. Since the 2-forgetful functor from 2-category of monoidal categories into 2-category of categories is faithful on 2-cells, the identity $\sigma_F = \tau$ of natural isomorphisms is also the identity of monoidal natural isomorphisms. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let (\mathcal{C}, T) be a pair consisting of a category and its endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Assume that T preserves colimits. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ creates colimits.
- (2) Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a category, $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a functor preserving small colimits and $\tau : T \cdot P \Rightarrow P$ a natural isomorphisms. Then the unique functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ such that $P = \pi \cdot F$ and $\sigma_F = \tau$ preserves small colimits.

Proof. Let I be a small category and $D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ be a diagram such that the composition $\pi \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a colimit given by cocone $(X, \{g_i\}_{i \in I})$. Since T preserves colimits, we derive that $(T(X), \{T(u_i)\}_{i \in I})$ is a colimit of $T \cdot \pi \cdot D : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Now $\sigma_D : T \cdot \pi \cdot D \rightarrow \pi \cdot D$ is a natural isomorphism. Hence there exists a unique arrow $u : T(X) \rightarrow X$ such that $u \cdot T(g_i) = g_i \cdot \sigma_{D(i)}$ for $i \in I$. Clearly u is an isomorphism and hence (X, u) is an object of $\mathcal{C}(T)$. Moreover, the family $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ together with (X, u) is a colimiting cocone over D . This proves (1). Now (2) is a consequence of (1). \square

Now we apply the results above to certain more general diagrams of categories.

Definition 2.4. A diagram

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

of categories and functors is called a *telescope of categories*.

Definition 2.5. Let

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

be a telescope of monoidal categories and monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functors. Then a *2-categorical limit of the telescope* consists of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} , a family of monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functors $\{\pi_n : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a family of monoidal natural isomorphisms $\{\sigma_n : F_{n+1} \cdot \pi_{n+1} \Rightarrow \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the following universal property holds. For any monoidal category \mathcal{D} , family $\{P_n : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (finitely) cocontinuous monoidal functors and a family $\{\tau_n : F_n P_{n+1} \Rightarrow P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of monoidal natural isomorphisms there exists a unique monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functor $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $P_n = \pi_n \cdot F$ and $(\sigma_n)_F = \tau_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2.6. Let

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

be a telescope of monoidal categories and monoidal (finitely) cocontinuous functors. Then its 2-limit exists.

Proof. We decompose the task of constructing its 2-limit as follows. First note that one may form a product $\mathcal{C} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$. Next the functors $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ induce an endofunctor $T = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \times t$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the terminal category (it has single object and single identity arrow) and $t : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ is the unique functor. Consider the category $\mathcal{C}(T)$. We define $\{\pi_n : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be a family of functors given by coordinates of $\pi : \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\{\sigma_n : F_n \cdot \pi_{n+1} \Rightarrow \pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be a family of

natural isomorphisms given by coordinates of $\sigma : \pi \cdot T \Rightarrow \pi$. Now this data form a 2-limit of the telescope by compilation of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. \square

3. FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

This section is devoted to introducing some notions from formal geometry that play a fundamental role in these notes.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme. A *formal \mathbf{M} -scheme* consists of a sequence $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbf{M} -schemes together with \mathbf{M} -equivariant closed immersions

$$Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow Z_n \hookrightarrow Z_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

satisfying the following assertions.

- (1) We have $Z_0 = Z_n^{\mathbf{M}}$ scheme-theoretically for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) Let \mathcal{I}_n be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} defining Z_0 . Then for every $m \leq n$ the subscheme $Z_m \subset Z_n$ is defined by \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} .

Example 3.2. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let Z be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Consider a quasi-coherent ideal \mathcal{I} of fixed point subscheme $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ of Z . Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ideal \mathcal{I}^n is \mathbf{M} -equivariant and hence

$$V(\mathcal{I}) \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{I}^2) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{I}^n) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

is a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. We denote it by \widehat{Z} .

Definition 3.3. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. We say that \mathcal{Z} is *locally noetherian* if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ scheme Z_n is locally Noetherian.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme. Suppose that $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are formal \mathbf{M} -schemes. Then a *morphism $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes* consists of a family of \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphisms $f = \{f_n : Z_n \rightarrow W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z_0 & \hookrightarrow & Z_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & Z_n & \hookrightarrow & Z_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \\ f_0 \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow & & & & f_n \downarrow & & f_{n+1} \downarrow & & \\ W_0 & \hookrightarrow & W_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & W_n & \hookrightarrow & W_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Definition 3.5. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be locally noetherian a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then we have the corresponding telescope of monoidal categories

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z_n) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z_0)$$

and finitely cocontinuous monoidal functors given by restricting \mathbf{M} -equivariant coherent sheaves to closed \mathbf{M} -subschemes. Then we define a *category $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathcal{Z})$ of coherent \mathbf{M} -equivariant sheaves on \mathcal{Z}* as a monoidal category which is a 2-limit of the telescope above. This category is defined uniquely up to a monoidal equivalence.

Fix now a monoid k -scheme \mathbf{M} . Let Z be a locally noetherian \mathbf{M} -scheme and suppose that $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ exists. Suppose that \mathcal{I} is a coherent ideal of $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 V(\mathcal{I}) & \hookrightarrow & V(\mathcal{I}^2) & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & V(\mathcal{I}^n) \hookrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \searrow & & & \nearrow & \\
 & & & & & & Z
 \end{array}$$

in the category of \mathbf{M} -schemes. Thus restriction functors $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(V(\mathcal{I}^n))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ induce a unique finitely cocontinuous monoidal functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(\widehat{Z})$.

Definition 3.6. Let Z be a locally noetherian \mathbf{M} -scheme such that $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ exists. Then a unique finitely cocontinuous monoidal functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(\widehat{Z})$ is called *the comparison functor*.

Since group k -scheme is also a monoid k -scheme, definitions above can be applied to group k -schemes.

Definition 3.7. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme with group of units \mathbf{G} . Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a locally noetherian formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. A locally noetherian \mathbf{M} -scheme Z is called *an algebraization of \mathcal{Z}* if the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) Z is isomorphic to \widehat{Z} in the category of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes.
- (2) The comparison functor $\mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ is an equivalence of monoidal categories.

4. LOCALLY LINEAR \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

Definition 4.1. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that each point of X admits an open affine \mathbf{M} -stable neighborhood. Then we say that X is a *locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme*.

Proposition 4.2. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that Z is a closed \mathbf{M} -stable subscheme of X defined by the ideal with nilpotent sections. Consider an open subset U of X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) U is \mathbf{M} -stable.
- (2) Scheme-theoretic intersection $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable.

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbf{M} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ be the action of \mathbf{M} on X . Fix open subset U of X . If U is \mathbf{M} -stable, then $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable. So suppose that $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable. Since ideal of Z has nilpotent sections and \mathbf{M} is affine, we derive that closed immersions $U \cap Z \hookrightarrow U$ and $\mathbf{M} \times_k (U \cap Z) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times_k U$ induce homeomorphisms on topological spaces. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{M} \times_k U & \xrightarrow{\alpha|_{U \cap Z}} & X \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathbf{M} \times_k (U \cap Z) & \longrightarrow & U \cap Z
 \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal arrow is the induced action on $U \cap Z$ and vertical morphisms are homeomorphisms. The commutativity of the diagram implies that $\alpha(\mathbf{M} \times_k U)$ is contained set-theoretically in U . Since U is open in X , we derive that morphism of schemes $\alpha|_{\mathbf{M} \times_k U}$ factors through U . Hence U is \mathbf{M} -stable. \square

Corollary 4.3. Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that Z is a closed \mathbf{M} -stable subscheme of X defined by the nilpotent ideal. Consider an open subset U of X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) U is \mathbf{M} -stable and affine.

(2) $U \cap Z$ is \mathbf{M} -stable and affine.

Proof. Since ideal of Z is nilpotent, we derive that U is affine if and only if $U \cap Z$ is affine. Combining this with Proposition 4.2, we deduce the result. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that Z is a closed \mathbf{M} -stable subscheme of X defined by the nilpotent ideal. Then X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme if and only if Z is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Corollary 4.3. \square

5. SOME RESULTS ON FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

Corollary 5.1. *Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{G} -scheme. Then Z_n is locally linear \mathbf{G} -scheme for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{I}_n be an ideal defining Z_0 in Z_n . Since \mathcal{Z} is a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme, we derive that $\mathcal{I}_n^{n+1} = 0$ and Z_0 is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Thus we apply Corollary 4.4 and derive that Z_n is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. \square

We are particularly interested in formal \mathbf{M} -schemes for monoid \mathbf{M} with zero. For this we need the following elementary result.

Proposition 5.2. *Let \mathbf{M} be a monoid k -scheme with zero \mathbf{o} and let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then the following results hold.*

- (1) *The multiplication by zero $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : X \rightarrow X$ factors through $X^{\mathbf{M}}$ inducing a \mathbf{M} -equivariant retraction $\pi_{\mathbf{M}} : X \twoheadrightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$.*
- (2) *If \mathbf{N} is a submonoid k -scheme of \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{o} is a k -point of \mathbf{N} , then $\pi_{\mathbf{M}} = \pi_{\mathbf{N}}$.*
- (3) *If \mathbf{M} is affine and X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme, then $\pi_{\mathbf{M}}$ is affine.*

Proof. The multiplication $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : \mathfrak{P}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$ factors as an $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}$ -equivariant epimorphism $\mathfrak{P}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$ composed with a closed immersion $\mathfrak{P}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$. The $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{M}}$ -equivariant epimorphism $\mathfrak{P}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$ corresponds to a \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphism $\pi_{\mathbf{M}} : X \rightarrow X^{\mathbf{M}}$ of k -schemes such that $\pi_{\mathbf{M}}$ restricted to $X^{\mathbf{M}}$ is the identity $1_{X^{\mathbf{M}}}$. This proves (1).

For the proof of (2) note that $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : \mathfrak{P}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_X$ is defined similarly for \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} (provided that \mathbf{o} is a k -point of \mathbf{N}). Thus $\pi_{\mathbf{M}} = \pi_{\mathbf{N}}$.

Suppose now that \mathbf{M} is affine and X is locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Consider the action $\alpha : \mathbf{M} \times_k X \rightarrow X$ of \mathbf{M} on X . Since X is locally linear and \mathbf{M} is affine, we derive that α is an affine morphism of k -schemes. Now $\mathbf{o} \cdot (-) : X \rightarrow X$ is given as a composition

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{o} \times_k X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M} \times_k X \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$$

The morphism above is affine (as a composition of affine morphisms). Since the composition of π with a closed immersion $X^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow X$ is $\mathbf{o} \times_k (-)$ and hence an affine morphism, we derive that π is affine. This proves (3). \square

Let us note the immediate consequence of this result.

Corollary 5.3. *Let \mathbf{M} be an affine monoid k -scheme with zero and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then \mathcal{Z} is a part of the commutative diagram*

Definition 6.5. Let T be a torus over k and let \bar{T} be a linearly reductive monoid having T as the group of units. Then \bar{T} is a toric monoid over k

Theorem 6.6. Let \bar{T} be a toric monoid over k with group of units T and let K be an algebraically closed extension of k . Suppose that N is a dimension of T .

- (1) The group of characters of T_K is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^N and there exists an abstract submonoid S of \mathbb{Z}^N such that the open immersion

$$T_K = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m \right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in S} K \cdot \chi^m \right) = \bar{T}_K$$

is induced by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$.

- (2) Let $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)}$ be a set of irreducible representation of T such that V_λ is in isomorphism class λ . For every λ there exists a finite subset A_λ of \mathbb{Z}^N such that

$$K \otimes_k V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{m \in A_\lambda} K \cdot \chi^m$$

If λ is in $\mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$, then A_λ is a subset of S . Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}^N = \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} A_\lambda$$

and $A_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$, where λ_0 is the class of the trivial representation of T .

- (3) If \bar{T} has a zero, then there exists a homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of abelian groups such that $f|_{S \setminus \{0\}} > 0$. In particular, f induces a closed immersion

$$\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k \mathbb{G}_m = \operatorname{Spec} K[\mathbb{Z}] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m \right) = T_K$$

of group K -schemes that extends to a zero preserving closed immersion $\mathbb{A}_K^1 \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_K$ of monoid K -schemes.

Proof. Since T is a torus, we derive that

$$T_K = \operatorname{Spec} K \times_k \underbrace{\mathbb{G}_m \times_k \mathbb{G}_m \times_k \dots \times_k \mathbb{G}_m}_{N \text{ times}} = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m \right)$$

and hence

$$\bar{T}_K = \operatorname{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{s \in S} K \cdot \chi^s \right)$$

for some abstract submonoid S of \mathbb{Z}^N . Moreover, the open immersion $T_K \hookrightarrow \bar{T}_K$ is induced by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$. This proves (1).

We have identification

$$k[T] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} V_\lambda^{n_\lambda}$$

of T -representations, where $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for each λ . Thus

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m = K \otimes_k k[T] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} (K \otimes_k V_\lambda)^{n_\lambda}$$

This implies that $n_\lambda = 1$ for every λ and moreover, we derive that

$$K \otimes_k V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{m \in A_\lambda} K \cdot \chi^m$$

for some finite set $A_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$. We also have $A_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$ and $A_\lambda \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ for $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\bar{T})$. This proves (2).

Since \bar{T} admits a zero, we derive that

$$\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{m \in S \setminus \{0\}} K \cdot \chi^s \subseteq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N} K \cdot \chi^m$$

is an ideal. This implies that $S \setminus \{0\}$ is closed under addition. In particular, there exists a homomorphism of abelian groups $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_{|S \setminus \{0\}} > 0$. This implies (3). \square

7. COMMUTING ACTIONS

Proposition 7.1. *Let \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{H} be monoid k -functors. Denote by Λ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible \mathfrak{H} -representations. Suppose that V is a representation of both \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{H} and assume that their actions on V commute. Assume that V is completely reducible as a \mathfrak{H} -representation and consider the decomposition*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V[\lambda]$$

onto isotypic components with respect to the action of \mathfrak{H} . Then for every λ in Λ the subspace $V[\lambda]$ is a \mathfrak{G} -subrepresentation of V .

Proof. Consider morphisms $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_V$ determining the structure of V as the \mathfrak{G} -representation and \mathfrak{H} -representation, respectively. Fix k -algebra A and $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$. Consider $A \otimes_k V$ as a tensor product of \mathfrak{H} -representation V with A as a trivial \mathfrak{H} -representation. We claim that $\rho(g) : A \otimes_k V \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$ is an endomorphism of this \mathfrak{H} -representation. For this consider k -algebra B and $h \in \mathfrak{H}(B)$. Since actions of \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{H} on V commute, we derive that

$$(1_B \otimes_k \rho(g)) \cdot (1_A \otimes_k \delta(h)) = (1_A \otimes_k \delta(h)) \cdot (1_B \otimes_k \rho(g))$$

Since this holds for every k -algebra B and every $h \in \mathfrak{H}(B)$, we deduce that indeed $\rho(g)$ is a \mathfrak{H} -endomorphism of $A \otimes_k V$. Next we have

$$(A \otimes_k V)[\lambda] = A \otimes_k V[\lambda]$$

for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Thus

$$\rho(g)(A \otimes_k V[\lambda]) \subseteq A \otimes_k V[\lambda]$$

for every λ in Λ . This holds for every k -algebra A and $g \in \mathfrak{G}(A)$. Hence $V[\lambda]$ is a \mathfrak{G} -subrepresentation of V . \square

8. ALGEBRAIZATION OF FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

This section proves some results in equivariant formal geometry.

Theorem 8.1. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid and let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Then there exists a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme Z equipped with an action of \mathbf{M} such that \widehat{Z} is isomorphic to \mathcal{Z} .*

Setup. Monoid \mathbf{M} is affine and admits zero \mathbf{o} . Hence by Corollary 5.3 formal \mathbf{M} -scheme \mathcal{Z} corresponds to a sequence of surjections

$$\dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$$

of quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebras on Z_0 such that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\mathbf{M}} = \mathcal{A}_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and if \mathcal{I}_n is the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ in \mathcal{A}_n , then \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} is the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$ for $m \leq n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbf{M} is a Kempf monoid, there exists a closed subgroup T of the center $Z(\mathbf{G})$ of the unit group \mathbf{G} of \mathbf{M} such that T is a torus and the scheme-theoretic closure \bar{T} of T in \mathbf{M} contains the zero \mathbf{o} of \mathbf{M} . We derive by Corollary 5.3 that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\bar{T}} = \mathcal{A}_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition \bar{T} is a toric monoid k -scheme with

T as a group of units. Let $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)}$ be a set of irreducible representations of T such that V_λ is contained in λ . \square

Lemma 8.1.1. *Let λ be in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$. Then there exists $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n > n_\lambda$ and any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$ the representation*

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i}$$

has trivial isotypic component of type λ . We have $n_{\lambda_0} = 0$, where λ_0 is an isomorphism type of the trivial representation of T .

Proof of the lemma. Let K be an algebraically closed extension of k . Pick A_λ and f as in Theorem 6.6 and define

$$n_\lambda = \sup_{m \in A_\lambda} f(m)$$

We have

$$K \otimes_k V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k \dots \otimes_k V_{\lambda_n} = \bigoplus_{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in A_{\lambda_1} \times_k \dots \times_k A_{\lambda_n}} K \cdot \chi^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}$$

and since $m_1, \dots, m_n \in A_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{\lambda_n} \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ we derive that

$$f(m_1 + \dots + m_n) = f(m_1) + \dots + f(m_n) \geq n > n_\lambda = \sup_{m \in A_\lambda} f(m)$$

This implies that isotypic component of $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k \dots \otimes_k V_{\lambda_n}$ corresponding to λ is trivial. \square

Lemma 8.1.2. *Fix λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}[\lambda] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq n_\lambda$.*

Proof of the lemma. For $\lambda \notin \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$ we have $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}[\lambda] = \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] = 0$, because \mathcal{A}_{n+1} and \mathcal{A}_n are quasi-coherent \bar{T} -algebras. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$. Consider an affine open subset U of Z_0 . By Lemma 8.1.1 we derive that

$$\underbrace{\left(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}) \otimes_k \Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}) \otimes_k \dots \otimes_k \Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}) \right)}_{n+1 \text{ times}} [\lambda] = 0$$

for every $n \geq n_\lambda$. We have canonical surjection

$$\underbrace{\left(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}) \otimes_k \Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}) \otimes_k \dots \otimes_k \Gamma(U, \mathcal{I}_{n+1}) \right)}_{n+1 \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(U, \underbrace{(\mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1})}_{n+1 \text{ times}}\right)$$

of T -representations. This implies that

$$\underbrace{(\mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1})}_{n+1 \text{ times}} [\lambda] = 0$$

for every $n \geq n_\lambda$. Next the multiplication

$$\underbrace{(\mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{I}_{n+1})}_{n+1 \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$$

is an morphism of quasi-coherent T -sheaves with image \mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{n+1} . Thus we derive that $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{n+1}[\lambda] = 0$ for $n \geq n_\lambda$. Hence the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}[\lambda] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$ is trivial. \square

Proof of Theorem. According to Proposition 7.1 and the fact that T is central in \mathbf{M} we derive that $\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$ is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -sheaf. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)$ we define

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda] = \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$$

where $n \geq n_\lambda$ as in Lemma 8.1.2. Note that $\mathcal{A}[\lambda] = 0$ for $\lambda \notin \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$. We set

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})} \mathcal{A}[\lambda]$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A}[\lambda_0] = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ canonically (where λ_0 is the trivial T -representation), hence \mathcal{A} is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -sheaf on Z_0 . Actually $\mathcal{A} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$ in the category of quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -sheaves on Z_0 , but this will not be used in argument. We construct the \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebra structure on \mathcal{A} . For this pick $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$. Consider the irreducible representations V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} in classes λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively. Suppose that η_1, \dots, η_s are finitely many classes in $\mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$ such that $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k V_{\lambda_2}$ can be completely decomposed onto irreducible representation in these classes. Since the image of the multiplication $\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ on \mathcal{A}_n is also the image of a morphism

$$\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_1] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_2] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$$

we deduce that it is contained in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_i]$. By Lemma 8.1.2 all these multiplications for $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$ can be identified. Now we define

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \mathcal{A}[\lambda_2] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}[\eta_i] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

as a morphism induced by the multiplication morphism for any $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$. This gives an \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebra structure on \mathcal{A} (so \mathcal{A} is in fact the limit of $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the category of quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebras on Z_0). Note that from the description of \mathcal{A} it follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a surjective morphism $p_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ of algebras. We denote its kernel by \mathcal{J}_n and we put $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_0$. We have

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}} \mathcal{A}[\lambda]$$

Recall that we denote by \mathcal{I}_n the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}_n$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq m$. Since \mathcal{Z} is a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme, the sheaf \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} is the kernel of the morphism $\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_m/\mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} = (\mathcal{J}^{m+1} + \mathcal{J}_n)/\mathcal{J}_n$$

Both \mathcal{J}_m and \mathcal{J}^{m+1} are $\mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$ -graded by their isotypic \bar{T} -components and for given $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(\bar{T})$ and for $n \geq n_\lambda$ the isotypic component $\mathcal{J}_n[\lambda]$ is zero by Lemma 8.1.2. Hence $\mathcal{J}_m = \mathcal{J}^{m+1}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$Z = \text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}$$

and we denote by $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z_0$ the structural morphism. The scheme Z inherits a \mathbf{M} -action from \mathcal{A} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the zero-set of \mathcal{J}^{n+1} in \mathcal{A} is a \mathbf{M} -scheme isomorphic to $Z_n = \text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}_n$. Hence Z is isomorphic to \widehat{Z} and this proves the theorem. \square

Theorem 8.2. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid and let Z be a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is the canonical retraction. If the formal \mathbf{M} -scheme \widehat{Z} is locally noetherian, then $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is of finite type.*

Proof. Since π is affine (Proposition 5.2), we derive that $\mathcal{A} = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z$ is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebra on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. We denote by \mathcal{J} the ideal of \mathcal{A} that corresponds to the closed immersion $Z^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow Z$. We know that the formal \mathbf{M} -scheme

$$Z^{\mathbf{M}} = \operatorname{Spec} {}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} {}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} {}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+2} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

is locally noetherian. Hence $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ is $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ -module of finite type. Thus $\{\mathcal{J}^i/\mathcal{J}^{i+1}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are finite type \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} -modules. The series

$$0 \subseteq \mathcal{J}^n/\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$$

has subquotients that are of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -algebra for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The claim that π is of finite type is local on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$, hence we may assume that $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is quasi-compact. This reduces the question to the noetherian $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^2$ is coherent over $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$. Since $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is noetherian, there exists coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -subsheaf $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that the morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ is surjective. Fix an algebraically closed extension K of k and denote

$$\mathcal{A}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{J}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{M}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$$

Since \mathbf{M} is a Kempf monoid and by (3) Theorem 6.6 there exists a closed immersion $\mathbb{A}_K^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_K$ of monoid K -schemes that preserve zero. This implies that we have \mathbb{N} -grading $\mathcal{A}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$ that gives rise to the action of \mathbb{A}_K^1 . Moreover, by Proposition 5.2 we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k Z^{\mathbf{M}} = (\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k Z)^{\mathbf{M}_K} = (\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k Z)^{\mathbb{A}_K^1}$$

as K -schemes. This shows that $\mathcal{J}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$ is an ideal with positive grading. We have surjection $\mathcal{M}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_K/\mathcal{J}_K^2$. By graded version of Nakayama's lemma, the ideal \mathcal{J}_K is generated by \mathcal{M}_K . Then by induction on degrees we deduce that \mathcal{A}_K is generated by \mathcal{M}_K as a $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -algebra. Thus $1_{\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k \pi}$ is of finite type and by faithfully flat descent also π is of finite type. \square

Theorem 8.3. *Let \mathbf{M} be a Kempf monoid with group of unit \mathbf{G} and let Z be a locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ is the canonical retraction. If Z is locally noetherian, then the comparison functor*

$$\mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$$

is an equivalence of monoidal categories.

Setup. Since \mathbf{M} is a Kempf torus, there exists a central closed torus T in \mathbf{G} such that the scheme-theoretic closure \overline{T} of T in \mathbf{M} contains the zero. As above we note that π is affine (Proposition 5.2) and we pick a quasi-coherent \mathbf{M} -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z$ on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$. We denote by \mathcal{J} the ideal of \mathcal{A} that corresponds to the closed immersion $Z^{\mathbf{M}} \hookrightarrow Z$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ and since π is a retraction, we derive that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}} \oplus \mathcal{J}$. Next \widehat{Z} is locally noetherian (this follows from the fact that Z is locally noetherian). Hence an object of $\mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ corresponds to a sequence of surjections

$$\dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$$

of coherent \mathbf{G} -modules on $Z^{\mathbf{M}}$ such that the following assertions hold.

- (1) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sheaf \mathcal{M}_n is a module over $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$.
- (2) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{M}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ is $\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$.

We fix an algebraically closed field K containing k . By (3) of Theorem 6.6 there exists a closed immersion $\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k \mathbf{G}_m \hookrightarrow T_K$ of group K -schemes that induces zero preserving closed immersion $\mathbb{A}_K^1 \hookrightarrow \overline{T}_K$ of monoid K -schemes. By Proposition 5.2 we have

$$\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k Z^{\mathbf{M}} = (\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k Z)^{\mathbf{M}_K} = (\operatorname{Spec} K \times_k Z)^{\mathbb{A}_K^1}$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{A}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_K[i], \quad \mathcal{J}_K = K \otimes_k \mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$$

where gradation is induced by the action of \mathbb{A}_K^1 . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the action of $\mathrm{Spec} K \times_k \mathbb{G}_m$ on $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ induced by the closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec} K \times_k \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \overline{T}_K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_K$ of group K -schemes gives rise to a gradation

$$K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$$

□

Lemma 8.3.1. *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = 0$ for $i < i_0$.*
- (2) *For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_i$ the surjection $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \twoheadrightarrow (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ is an isomorphism.*
- (3) *For every λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ there exists $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_\lambda$ the surjection $\mathcal{M}_{n+1}[\lambda] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof of the lemma. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the decomposition $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$. Since $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ is a coherent $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -module and the decomposition consists of modules over $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$, we derive that there are only finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] \neq 0$. Hence we may write $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_n} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ for some $i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i_n] \neq 0$. Moreover, we know that the kernel of the surjection

$$K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_{n+1}} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq i_n} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$$

is $\mathcal{J}_K^{n+1}(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})$ and hence is contained in $\bigoplus_{i \geq (i_{n+1}+n+1)} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i]$. This implies that $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i]$ for $i_{n+1} \leq i \leq i_{n+1} + n$. In particular, we have $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i_{n+1}] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i_{n+1}] \neq 0$ and thus $i_{n+1} \geq i_n$. This shows that $i_n \geq i_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (1) is proved. Now the surjection

$$K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i] = K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$$

induces an isomorphism for i -th graded component, where $i_0 \leq i \leq i_0 + n$. Hence for fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_i$ the surjection $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \twoheadrightarrow (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ is an isomorphism. Thus we proved (2). Fix now λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ and let V_λ be an irreducible representation in class λ . There exists finite subset $B_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $(K \otimes_k V_\lambda)[i] \neq 0$ if $i \in B_\lambda$. Now define $n_\lambda = \sup_{i \in B_\lambda} n_i$; the surjection $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n$ induces an isomorphism $(K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_{n+1})[i] \cong (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$ for every i in B_λ . Thus for $n \geq n_\lambda$ the surjection $\mathcal{M}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{n+1}[\lambda] \cong \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$. This completes the proof of (3). □

Proof of the theorem. For fixed λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ we define $\mathcal{M}[\lambda] = \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$ for any $n \geq n_\lambda$, where $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ is as in (3) of Lemma 8.3.1 (in particular, $\mathcal{M}[\lambda]$ does not depend on $n \geq n_\lambda$). Next we define

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}} \mathcal{M}[\lambda]$$

Since by Proposition 7.1 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)$ sheaf $\mathcal{M}_n[\lambda]$ admits structure of a \mathbf{G} -sheaf. Therefore, \mathcal{M} is a quasi-coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}$ -modules. We now show that \mathcal{M} admits a canonical structure of \mathcal{A} -module. For this pick λ_1 and λ_2 in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$. Consider the irreducible representations V_{λ_1} and V_{λ_2} in classes λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively. Suppose that η_1, \dots, η_s are finitely many classes in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ such that $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes_k V_{\lambda_2}$ can be completely decomposed into irreducible representations contained in classes η_1, \dots, η_s . Since the image of the multiplication $\mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\mathbf{M}}}} \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ is also the image of a morphism

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda_2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{M}_n[\lambda_2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$$

we deduce that it is contained in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{M}_n[\eta_i]$. By (3) of Lemma 8.3.1 all these multiplications for $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$ can be identified. Now we define

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}} \mathcal{M}[\lambda_2] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{M}[\eta_i] \subseteq \mathcal{M}$$

as a morphism induced by the multiplication morphism for any $n \geq \sup\{n_{\lambda_1}, n_{\lambda_2}, n_{\eta_1}, \dots, n_{\eta_s}\}$. This gives an \mathcal{A} -module structure on \mathcal{M} . Next we prove that \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{A} -module of finite type. Denote $K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$ by \mathcal{M}_K . Note that the combination of (2) and (3) of Lemma 8.3.1 show that

$$\mathcal{M}_K[i] = (K \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_n)[i]$$

for $n \geq n_i$. Hence by (1) of Lemma 8.3.1 we have

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Irr}(T)} \mathcal{M}[\lambda]_K = \mathcal{M}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} \mathcal{M}_K[i]$$

Since each \mathcal{M}_n is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}$ -module, we derive that $\mathcal{M}_K[i]$ is a coherent $K \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}$ -module for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now we may pick $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ such that we have a surjection

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{M}[\lambda_j]_K \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 \leq i \leq 1} \mathcal{M}_K[i]$$

induced by the projection $\mathcal{M}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq i_0} \mathcal{M}_K[i] \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 \leq i \leq 1} \mathcal{M}_K[i]$. Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{M}[\lambda_j]$$

be a $\mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}$ -submodule of \mathcal{M} . Clearly each $\mathcal{M}[\lambda]$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}$ -module. Hence \mathcal{G} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}$ -module. Since $\mathcal{J}_K = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_K[i]$, we derive that

$$\mathcal{M}_K = \sum_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{J}_K^j \cdot \mathcal{G}_K$$

and hence \mathcal{G}_K generates \mathcal{M}_K as an \mathcal{A}_K -module. By faithfully flat descent we deduce that \mathcal{G} generates \mathcal{M} as an \mathcal{A} -module. Since \mathcal{G} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Z\mathbf{M}}$ -module, we derive that \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{A} -module of finite type. Moreover, by construction of \mathcal{M} we have $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

All these facts imply that \mathcal{M} corresponds to a coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf on Z such that its image under the comparison functor $\mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$ is a coherent \mathbf{G} -sheaf on \widehat{Z} with \mathbf{G} -structure described by $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence the comparison functor is essentially surjective. We now prove that it is full and faithful. For this let

$$\dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N}_n \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N}_0$$

represents some other object of $\mathfrak{Coh}_{\mathbf{G}}(\widehat{Z})$. As for $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we can construct finite type \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{N} with \mathbf{G} -linearization such that $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick a morphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ of \mathcal{A} -modules with \mathbf{G} -linearization. For every λ in $\mathbf{Irr}(T)$ morphism $f[\lambda] : \mathcal{M}[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}[\lambda]$ is equal (by virtue of constructions of \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M}) to a morphism $(1_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{n+1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} f)[\lambda]$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that the comparison functor is full and faithful. \square

REFERENCES

- [Lang, 2005] Lang, S. (2005). *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York.
 [Mac Lane, 1998] Mac Lane, S. (1998). *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition.