

LEBESGUE SPACES AND THEIR DUALS

1. INTRODUCTION

In these notes

2. LOCALIZABLE MEASURE SPACES

We start with a series of definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. We define relation \sqsubseteq_μ on Σ as follows

$$A \sqsubseteq_\mu B \Leftrightarrow \mu(A \setminus B) = 0$$

Clearly Σ together with \sqsubseteq_μ is a preorder.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. If $(\Sigma, \sqsubseteq_\mu)$ admits least upper bounds for arbitrary subfamilies of Σ , then μ is a *Dedekind complete measure*.

Proposition 2.3. *Each σ -finite measure is Dedekind complete.*

Proof. Left for the reader as an exercise. □

Definition 2.4. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let \mathcal{F} be a family of \mathbb{C} -valued functions defined on some subsets of X . For each f in \mathcal{F} we denote by D_f the domain of f . Suppose that the following assertions hold.

- (1) $D_f \in \Sigma$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (2) Functions in \mathcal{F} are measurable.
- (3) If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $f_1|_{D_{f_1} \cap D_{f_2}}$ and $f_2|_{D_{f_1} \cap D_{f_2}}$ are equal μ -almost everywhere.

Then \mathcal{F} is a μ -local family.

The next theorem is an important result concerning Dedekind complete measures.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with Dedekind complete measure and let \mathcal{F} be a μ -local family. Then there exists a measurable \mathbb{C} -valued function F on (X, Σ) such that $F|_{D_f}$ and f are equal μ -almost everywhere for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

For the proof we need the following special case of our result.

Lemma 2.5.1. *Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with Dedekind complete measure and let \mathcal{F} be a μ -local family. If \mathcal{F} consists of functions with values in $\{0, 1\}$, then there exists a measurable and $\{0, 1\}$ -valued function F on (X, Σ) such that $F|_{D_f}$ and f are equal μ -almost everywhere for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof of the lemma. We define $A_f = f^{-1}(1)$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Clearly $\{A_f\}_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$ is a family of sets in Σ . Let A be a least upper bound of $\{A_f\}_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$ with respect to \sqsubseteq_μ . We claim for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ sets $A \cap D_f$ and A_f differ by the set of measure μ equal to zero. In order to prove the claim note that $(A \setminus D_f) \cup A_f$ is an upper bound of $\{A_f\}_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$ with respect to \sqsubseteq_μ . Hence

$$A \sqsubseteq_\mu (A \setminus D_f) \cup A_f$$

It follows $A \cap D_f \sqsubseteq_\mu A_f$. On the other hand $A_f \sqsubseteq_\mu A \cap D_f$. Thus $A \cap D_f$ and A_f are equivalent in $(\Sigma, \sqsubseteq_\mu)$. This proves the claim. Now it follows from that claim that $F = \mathbb{1}_A$ satisfies the assertion. \square

Proof of the theorem. It suffices to prove the result under the additional assumption that all functions in \mathcal{F} take values in nonnegative reals. Indeed, the theorem for \mathbb{C} -valued μ -local families can be reduced to the case of \mathbb{R} -valued families by means of decomposing each function in the family on its real and imaginary parts and the statement for \mathbb{R} -valued μ -local families in turn reduces to the result for nonnegative μ -local families.

Let us then assume that all functions in \mathcal{F} take values in nonnegative real numbers. For each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we define

$$A_{k,n,f} = \left\{ x \in X \mid \frac{k}{2^n} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right\}$$

For fixed $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ family $\left\{ \mathbb{1}_{A_{k,n,f}|D_f} \right\}_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$ is μ -local. By Lemma 2.5.1 it follows that there exist $A_{k,n} \in \Sigma$ such that functions $\mathbb{1}_{A_{k,n}|D_f}$ and $\mathbb{1}_{A_{k,n,f}|D_f}$ are equal μ -almost everywhere for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define a function

$$s_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{k}{2^n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_{k,n}}(x) & \text{if the series is finite} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then s_n is nonnegative valued and measurable function on (X, Σ) . Similarly, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ consider a function

$$s_{n,f} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{k}{2^n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_{k,n,f}|D_f}$$

Note that $s_{n,f}$ is measurable and defined on D_f for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, $s_n|_{D_f}$ and $s_{n,f}$ are equal μ -almost everywhere for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Next we set

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} s_n(x) & \text{if the limit exists and is finite} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are measurable and nonnegative valued functions on (X, Σ) , we deduce that F is measurable and nonnegative valued function on (X, Σ) . Observe that

$$f = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} s_{n,f}$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that $F|_{D_f}$ and f are equal μ -almost everywhere for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

The converse of Theorem 2.5 may be proved under some additional and mild assumption. We introduce it now as a separate notion, since it plays important role in taxonomy.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure. Suppose that for every $B \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(B) > 0$ there exists $A \in \Sigma$, $A \subseteq B$ such that $\mu(A) \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then μ is a *semifinite measure*.

Now we prove the aforementioned converse of Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with semifinite measure. Assume that for each μ -local family \mathcal{F} there exists a measurable \mathbb{C} -valued function F on (X, Σ) such that $F|_{D_f}$ and f are equal μ -almost everywhere for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then μ is a Dedekind complete measure.

We first prove the following result, which extracts semifiniteness assumption.

Lemma 2.7.1. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with semifinite measure and let $A, B \in \Sigma$ be sets. Then $A \sqsubseteq_\mu B$ if and only if $A \cap E \sqsubseteq_\mu B \cap E$ for every $E \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(E)$ is finite.

Proof of the lemma. Suppose that $A \not\sqsubseteq_\mu B$. Then $\mu(A \setminus B) > 0$. By semifiniteness of μ there exists $E \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(E) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $E \subseteq A \setminus B$. Then

$$E \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cap E = (A \cap E) \setminus (B \cap E)$$

and hence $A \cap E \not\sqsubseteq_\mu B \cap E$. This proves if part. The only if part is left for the reader as an exercise. \square

Proof of the theorem. Suppose that \mathcal{I} is an arbitrary subfamily in Σ . According to Proposition 2.3 for each set $E \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E) \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists a set $S_E \in \Sigma$ such that S_E is a least upper bound of

$$\{A \cap E \mid A \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

with respect to \sqsubseteq_μ . Let \mathcal{E} be a family of all sets in Σ with finite measure. Then $\{\mathbb{1}_{S_E|E}\}_{E \in \mathcal{E}}$ is a μ -local family of functions. Hence there exists a measurable \mathbb{C} -valued function F on (X, Σ) such that $F|_E$ and $\mathbb{1}_{S_E|E}$ are equal μ -almost everywhere for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Pick $S = F^{-1}(1)$. Since $S \cap E$ and S_E differ by the set of measure μ equal to zero, we derive that $S \cap E$ is a least upper bound of

$$\{A \cap E \mid A \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

with respect to \sqsubseteq_μ for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Lemma 2.7.1 implies that S is a least upper bound of \mathcal{I} with respect to \sqsubseteq_μ . \square

Definition 2.8. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with a semifinite and Dedekind complete measure. Then μ is *localizable*.

3. DUAL SPACES TO L^p FOR $p \in (1, +\infty)$

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a space with measure and let p be a real in $(1, +\infty)$. Define $q \in (1, +\infty)$ to be the unique number which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Assume that \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} with usual absolute value. We start by proving the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let g be a function in $L^q(\mu, \mathbb{K})$. Then*

$$\|g\|_q = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu \right| \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \mid f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{K}) \text{ such that } \|f\|_p = 1 \right\}$$

Proof. According to Hölder inequality

$$\left| \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |g| \cdot |f| \, d\mu \leq \|g\|_q \cdot \|f\|_p$$

Thus for $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ such that $\|f\|_p = 1$ we have

$$\left| \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu \right| \leq \|g\|_q$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$\|g\|_q \leq \sup \left\{ \left| \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu \right| \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \mid f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{K}) \text{ such that } \|f\|_p = 1 \right\}$$

under the assumption that $\|g\|_q \neq 0$. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \|g\|_q^{1-q} \cdot \frac{|g(x)|^q}{g(x)} & \text{if } g(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ and even more precisely we have

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X \|g\|_q^{(1-q) \cdot p} \cdot |g|^{(q-1) \cdot p} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int_X \|g\|_q^{-q} \cdot |g|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\|g\|_q^{-q} \cdot \int_X |g|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1$$

Note that

$$\left| \int_X g \cdot f d\mu \right| = \int_X \|g\|_q^{(1-q)} \cdot |g|^q d\mu = \|g\|_q^{(1-q)} \cdot \int_X |g|^q d\mu = \|g\|_q^{(1-q)} \cdot \|g\|_q^{(q)} = \|g\|_q$$

and this completes the proof. \square

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Lambda : L^p(\mu, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a continuous \mathbb{K} -linear map. Then there exists $g \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ such that*

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{K})$. Moreover, g is uniquely defined up to a set of measure μ equal to zero.

We start by the following observation.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let $\Lambda : L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous \mathbb{R} -linear map. For each set $S \in \Sigma$ we define*

$$\Lambda_S(f) = \Lambda(\mathbb{1}_S \cdot f)$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) $\Lambda_S : L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous \mathbb{R} -linear map.
- (2) Now if $S \subseteq T$ are two sets in Σ , then

$$\|\Lambda_S\| \leq \|\Lambda_T\| \leq \|\Lambda\|$$

- (3) There exists a σ -finite subset S in Σ such that $\|\Lambda_S\| = \|\Lambda\|$.

Proof of the lemma. Assertions (1) and (2) are left for the reader as an exercises.

We prove (3). Suppose that $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\|f\|_p \leq 1$. Then there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets in Σ such that $\mu(S_n)$ is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\mathbb{1}_{S_n} \cdot f\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Hence

$$\Lambda(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_{S_n}(f)$$

It follows that

$$\|\Lambda\| = \sup \{ \|\Lambda_S\| \mid S \in \Sigma \text{ such that } \mu(S) \text{ is finite} \}$$

Hence there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets in Σ such that $\mu(S_n)$ is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|\Lambda\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\Lambda_{S_n}\|$$

Then the union

$$S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$$

is in Σ is σ -finite and satisfies $\|\Lambda\| = \|\Lambda_S\|$. \square

We prove the theorem by gradually considering more general cases.

Proof for finite μ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Assume that μ is finite measure and \mathbb{K} is equal to \mathbb{R} . We have finite signed measure

$$\Sigma \ni A \mapsto \Lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \in \mathbb{R}$$

According to Radon-Nikodym there exists $g \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) = \int_X g \cdot \mathbb{1}_A d\mu$$

for every A in Σ . It follows that

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ define $A_n = \{x \in X \mid |g(x)| \leq n\}$ and consider a measurable and bounded function $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by formula

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{1}_{A_n}(x) \cdot \frac{|g(x)|^q}{g(x)} & \text{if } g(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \mathbb{1}_{A_n} \cdot |g|^q d\mu &= \int_X g \cdot f_n d\mu = \Lambda(f_n) \leq \|\Lambda\| \cdot \|f_n\|_p = \\ &= \|\Lambda\| \cdot \|\mathbb{1}_{A_n} \cdot |g|^{q-1}\|_p = \|\Lambda\| \cdot \left(\int_X \mathbb{1}_{A_n} \cdot (|g|^{q-1})^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|\Lambda\| \cdot \left(\int_X \mathbb{1}_{A_n} \cdot |g|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left(\int_X \mathbb{1}_{A_n} \cdot |g|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|\Lambda\|$$

By monotone convergence we have

$$\|g\|_q = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\int_X \mathbb{1}_{A_n} \cdot |g|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|\Lambda\|$$

Hence $g \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. It follows that

$$L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto \int_X g \cdot f d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

is continuous \mathbb{R} -linear map, which coincides with Λ on the space of μ -simple functions. Since μ -simple functions are dense in $L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$, we derive that

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof for σ -finite μ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Assume that μ is σ -finite measure and \mathbb{K} is equal to \mathbb{R} . Since μ is σ -finite, there exist a nondecreasing sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets in Σ such that

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$$

and $\mu(X_n)$ is finite for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to the case considered above and Lemma 3.2.1 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $g_n \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Lambda_{X_n}(f) = \int_X g_n \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. We may also assume that $g_n|_{X \setminus X_n} = 0$ and $g_{n+1}|_{X_n} = g_n|_{X_n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let g be a pointwise limit of a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then g is a measurable real valued function on X . Moreover, we have $g_n = \mathbb{1}_{X_n} \cdot g$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 3.1, Lemma 3.2.1 and monotone convergence we have

$$\|g\|_q = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|g_n\|_q = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\Lambda_{X_n}\| \leq \|\Lambda\|$$

This implies that $g \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Fix $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Then sequence $\{\mathbb{1}_{X_n} \cdot f\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ and hence

$$\Lambda(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda(\mathbb{1}_{X_n} \cdot f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_{X_n}(f)$$

On the other hand by dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X g_n \cdot f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_{X_n}(f)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. According to Lemma 3.2.1 there exists a σ -finite set S in Σ such that $\|\Lambda_S\| = \|\Lambda\|$. According to previous case there exists $g \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. We may also assume that $g|_{X \setminus S} = 0$. Suppose now that T is a σ -finite set in Σ such that $S \subseteq T$. Then there exists $g_T \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Lambda_T(f) = \int_X g_T \cdot f \, d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. We may assume that $\mathbb{1}_S \cdot g_T = g$. Proposition 3.1 implies that

$$\|\Lambda\| = \|\Lambda_S\| = \|g\|_q \leq \|g\|_q \leq \|g_T\|_q \leq \|\Lambda_{S \cup T}\| \leq \|\Lambda\|$$

Thus $\|g\|_q = \|g_T\|_q$ and this proves that we may assume that $g_T = g$. Fix now $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ and consider

$$T = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\} \cup S$$

Then T is σ -finite set in Σ and $S \subseteq T$. Hence

$$\Lambda(f) = \Lambda_T(f) = \int_X g_T \cdot f \, d\mu = \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu$$

Since $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ is arbitrary, the proof is completed. \square

Proof for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. According to already proved case there exist $g_r, g_i \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \Lambda(f) = \int_X g_r \cdot f \, d\mu, \operatorname{Im} \Lambda(f) = \int_X g_i \cdot f \, d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Then $g = g_r + i \cdot g_i$ is a function in $L^q(\mu, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{C})$. \square

4. DUAL TO L^1

In this section we fix a space with measure (X, Σ, μ) . Assume that \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} with usual absolute value. We start by proving the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let g be a function in $L^1(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ and let $\Lambda : L^1(\mu, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a continuous map. Assume that*

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ and

$$L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu \in \mathbb{K}$$

is continuous. Then

$$\|g\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_X g \cdot f \, d\mu \right| \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \mid f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \|f\|_1 = 1 \right\}$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|g(x)|}{g(x)} & \text{if } g(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then h is a bounded and measurable function. For $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we define

$$A_r = \{x \in X \mid |g(x)| \geq r\}$$

If $\mu(A_r) > 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then we also define

$$m_r = \int_X \mathbb{1}_{A_r} \cdot |g| d\mu, \quad f_r = h \cdot \frac{1}{m_r} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_r} \cdot |g|$$

Then $f_r : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is μ -integrable and $\|f_r\|_1 = 1$. Let L be a norm of a continuous \mathbb{K} -linear map

$$L^1(\mu, \mathbb{K}) \ni f \mapsto \int_X g \cdot f d\mu \in \mathbb{K}$$

Thus if $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies $\mu(A_r) > 0$, then

$$\left| \int_X g \cdot f_r d\mu \right| \leq L$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X g \cdot f_r d\mu \right| &= \left| \int_X (g \cdot h) \cdot \frac{1}{m_r} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_r} \cdot |g| d\mu \right| = \\ &= \int_X (\mathbb{1}_{A_r} \cdot |g|) \cdot \frac{1}{m_r} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_r} \cdot |g| d\mu \geq r \cdot \int_X \frac{1}{m_r} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_r} \cdot |g| d\mu = r \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $r \leq L$. We derive that g is essentially bounded and $\|g\|_\infty \leq L$. \square

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Lambda : L^1(\mu, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a continuous \mathbb{K} -linear map. Then there exists $g \in L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ such that*

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ and $\|g\|_\infty = \|\Lambda\|$. Moreover, g is uniquely defined up to a set of measure μ equal to zero.

We prove the theorem by gradually considering more general cases.

Proof for finite μ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Assume that μ is finite measure and \mathbb{K} is equal to \mathbb{R} . We have finite signed measure

$$\Sigma \ni A \mapsto \Lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \in \mathbb{R}$$

According to Radon-Nikodym there exists $g \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) = \int_X g \cdot \mathbb{1}_A d\mu$$

for every A in Σ . It follows that

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. For every $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ define

$$A_r = \{x \in X \mid |g(x)| \geq r\}, \quad B_r = \{x \in X \mid |g(x)| \leq r\}$$

Fix $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mu(A_r) > 0$. We define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu(A_r)} \cdot \frac{|g(x)|}{g(x)} & \text{if } x \in A_r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $\|f\|_1 = 1$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Note that

$$r = \int_X r \cdot |f| d\mu \leq \int_X |g| \cdot |f| d\mu = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu = \Lambda(f) \leq \|\Lambda\|$$

It follows that $\|g\|_\infty \leq \|\Lambda\|$. Therefore, $g \in L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. It follows that

$$L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto \int_X g \cdot f d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

is continuous \mathbb{R} -linear map, which coincides with Λ on the space of μ -simple functions. Since μ -simple functions are dense in $L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$, we derive that

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. It remains to prove that $\|\Lambda\| \leq \|g\|_\infty$. For this pick $f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f\|_1 = 1$. Then

$$|\Lambda(f)| = \left| \int_X g \cdot f d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |g| \cdot |f| d\mu \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot \int_X |f| d\mu = \|g\|_\infty$$

and hence $\|\Lambda\| \leq \|g\|_\infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. For each set S in Σ such that $\mu(S) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ we define

$$\Lambda_S(f) = \Lambda(\mathbb{1}_S \cdot f)$$

for every $f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\Lambda_S : L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous \mathbb{R} -linear map. Now if $S \subseteq T$ are two sets in Σ such that $\mu(S), \mu(T) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$, then

$$\|\Lambda_S\| \leq \|\Lambda_T\| \leq \|\Lambda\|$$

Suppose now that f is a function in $L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f\|_1 \leq 1$. Then there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets in Σ such that $\mu(S_n)$ is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\mathbb{1}_{S_n} \cdot f\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f in $L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Hence

$$\Lambda(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_{S_n}(f)$$

It follows that

$$\|\Lambda\| = \sup \{ \|\Lambda_S\| \mid S \in \Sigma \text{ such that } \mu(S) \text{ is finite} \}$$

It follows that there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets in Σ such that $\mu(S_n)$ is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|\Lambda\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\Lambda_{S_n}\|$$

According to already proved case there exists $g_n \in L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that $g_n = 0$ outside S_n and

$$\Lambda_{S_n}(f) = \int_X g_n \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $\|g_n\|_\infty = \|\Lambda_{S_n}\|$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may assume that $g_{n+1}(x) = g_n(x)$ for $x \in S_n$. Define $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a pointwise limit of $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. According to Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\|g_n\|_q = \|\Lambda_{S_n}\|$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking limits of both sides and using monotone convergence we obtain $\|g\|_q = \|\Lambda\|$. In particular, we have $g \in L^q(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Fix $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. Then $g \cdot f \in L^1(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ which follows from Hölder inequality. By dominated convergence theorem

$$\Lambda(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_{S_n}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X g_n \cdot f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X g \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_n} \cdot f d\mu = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

Thus

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X g \cdot f d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$. \square