

# CONSTRUCTIBLE AND LOCALLY CONSTRUCTIBLE SETS

## 1. CONSTRUCTIBLE SETS

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Suppose that  $Z$  is a subset of  $X$  such that the inclusion  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  is quasi-compact. Then we say that  $Z$  is *retro-compact*.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We define *constructible subsets* of  $X$  by the following induction.

- (1) Each retro-compact open subset of  $X$  is constructible.
- (2) If  $E$  is constructible subset of  $X$ , then  $X \setminus E$  is constructible.
- (3) If  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  are constructible subsets of  $X$ , then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$$

is constructible.

Rephrasing the definition above one can say that constructible subsets of a topological space  $X$  form an algebra of sets generated by retro-compact open subsets.

**Fact 1.3.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes and  $E$  be a constructible subset of  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(E)$  is a constructible subset of  $X$ .

*Proof.* We set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{E \subseteq Y \mid f^{-1}(E) \text{ is constructible}\}$$

Obviously  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra of subsets of  $Y$ . By the base change for quasi-compact morphisms, we derive that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all retro-compact open subsets of  $Y$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all constructible subsets of  $Y$ .  $\square$

Now we characterize constructible subsets of affine schemes.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $E$  be a subset of  $\text{Spec } A$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $E$  is a constructible subset of  $\text{Spec } A$ .
- (ii) There exists elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and finitely generated ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}_i)$$

*Proof of the lemma.* Consider the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(a_i) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}_i) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \text{ and } \mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n \text{ are finitely generated ideals of } A \right\}$$

Since every retro-compact open subset of  $\text{Spec } A$  is quasi-compact, it belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  because it is a finite union of distinguished open subsets. Moreover, subsets in  $\mathcal{F}$  are closed under complements and finite unions. Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all constructible subsets of  $\text{Spec } A$ . On the other hand each element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is constructible in  $\text{Spec } A$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.** Let  $X$  be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme and let  $E$  be a constructible subset of  $X$ . Then there exists an affine scheme  $Z$  together with a morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  of finite presentation such that  $E = f(Z)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is quasi-compact, there exists an open cover

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_j$$

by open affines. Each  $E \cap U_j$  is constructible in  $U_j$ . Write  $U_j = \text{Spec } A_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Fix  $j$ . By Proposition 1.4 there exists  $a_{ji} \in A$  and finitely generated ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_{ji} \subseteq A_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n_j$  such that

$$U_j \cap E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_j} D(a_{ji}) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}_{ji})$$

Consider a scheme  $Z_j = \coprod_{i=1}^{n_j} \text{Spec } (A_j/\mathfrak{a}_{ji})_{a_{ji}}$  together with a canonical morphism  $f_j : Z_j \rightarrow U_j$ . Next let  $Z$  be an affine scheme  $\coprod_{j=1}^m Z_j$  with a morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $f|_{Z_j}$  is defined as  $f_j$  composed with the inclusion  $U_j \hookrightarrow X$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Then  $f$  is a finitely presented morphism (this uses the fact that  $X$  is quasi-separated) and  $E = f(Z)$ .  $\square$

Finally we discuss constructibility for noetherian and locally noetherian topological spaces.

**Fact 1.6.** *Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian topological space. Then the algebra of constructible sets of  $X$  is generated by open subsets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Every open subset of a locally noetherian topological space is retro-compact.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian topological space. Suppose that  $E$  is a subset of  $X$  such that for every irreducible closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  either  $E \cap F$  contains open nonempty subset of  $F$  or  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then  $E$  is constructible.*

*Proof.* Note that by Fact 1.6 every closed subset of  $X$  is constructible. Assume that  $E$  is not constructible. We set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \subseteq X \mid F \text{ is closed subset of } X \text{ and } E \cap F \text{ is not constructible in } X\}$$

First note that  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $X$  is noetherian, there exists the minimal (with respect to inclusion) subset  $F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $F$  is not irreducible, then  $F = F' \cup F''$  for some nonempty closed proper subsets  $F', F''$  of  $F$ . Since  $F$  is minimal in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we deduce that both  $E \cap F'$  and  $E \cap F''$  are constructible and hence  $E \cap F = (E \cap F') \cup (E \cap F'')$  is constructible. This is a contradiction. Hence  $F$  must be irreducible. Since  $E \cap F$  is not constructible, it is nonempty. Hence there exists nonempty subset  $U \subseteq E \cap F$  open in  $F$ . According to  $F \setminus U \subset F$  we infer that  $E \cap (F \setminus U)$  is constructible. Thus

$$E \cap F = U \cup (E \cap (F \setminus U))$$

is constructible as a union of constructible sets. This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $E$  is constructible.  $\square$

## 2. NOETHER NORMALIZATION LEMMA

In this section we prove important theorem on the structure of commutative and finitely generated  $k$ -algebras.

**Theorem 2.1** (Noether normalization lemma). *Let  $k$  be a field and  $A$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Then there exist elements  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $A$  algebraically independent over  $k$  such that*

$$k[z_1, \dots, z_n] \subseteq A$$

*is a finite extension of rings.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of finitely generated  $k$ -subalgebras of  $A$  such that for every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  extension  $B \subseteq A$  is finite. Clearly  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  so  $\mathcal{A}$  is nonempty. Now suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a minimal number of  $k$ -algebra generators of any element in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exist  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A$  such that  $k[z_1, \dots, z_n] \subseteq A$  is finite. We show now that  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are algebraically independent over  $k$ . Let  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial  $k$ -algebra and assume that there exists nonzero  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0$ . Write

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in F} a_{d_1, \dots, d_n} \cdot x_1^{d_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{d_n}$$

where  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$  is a finite subset and  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n} \in k$  are nonzero. Since  $f$  is nonzero, we derive that  $F$  is nonempty. Define

$$m = 1 + \max_{(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in F} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_i$$

Next define  $g \in k[z_2, \dots, z_n][x]$  by formula

$$g(x) = f(x, z_2 - z_1^m + x^m, z_3 - z_1^{m^2} + x^{m^2}, \dots, z_n - z_1^{m^{n-1}} + x^{m^{n-1}})$$

Now we prove that  $g$  is a monic polynomial of variable  $x$ . Let  $\leq$  be the lexicographical order on  $\mathbb{N}^n$  that is

$$(d_1, \dots, d_n) \leq (e_1, \dots, e_n) \text{ if } d_i \leq e_i \text{ for } i = \max \{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } d_j \neq e_j\}$$

Since  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$  is finite, there exists  $(M_1, \dots, M_n)$  in  $F$  that is the greatest with respect to lexicographical order  $\leq$  restricted to  $F$ . This implies that

$$d_1 + d_2 \cdot m + d_3 \cdot m^2 + \dots + d_n \cdot m^{n-1} < M_1 + M_2 \cdot m + M_3 \cdot m^2 + \dots + M_n \cdot m^{n-1}$$

for every  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in F$ . This fact and a precise investigation of how coefficients of powers of  $x$  in  $g$  are calculated show that  $g$  is monic. Note also that  $g(z_1) = f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = 0$ . This implies that  $z_1$  is integral over  $k[z_2, \dots, z_n]$  and hence  $k[z_2, \dots, z_n] \subseteq A$  is a finite extension of rings. This proves that  $k[z_2, \dots, z_n] \in \mathcal{A}$  and contradicts the definition of  $n$ . Therefore, such  $f$  does not exist and this proves that  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are algebraically independent over  $k$ .  $\square$

### 3. LOCALLY CONSTRUCTIBLE SETS AND CHEVALLEY'S THEOREM

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $E$  of  $X$  is called *locally constructible* in  $X$  if for every point  $x$  in  $X$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $E \cap U$  is constructible in  $U$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $E$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $E$  is locally constructible.
- (ii)  $E \cap U$  is constructible in  $U$  for every open quasi-compact and quasi-separated subset  $U$  of  $X$ .
- (iii)  $E \cap U$  is constructible in  $U$  for every affine open subset  $U$  of  $X$ .

The proof is based on the following result.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** Let  $U$  be a quasi-separated scheme and  $W$  be its open affine subset. Then every constructible subset  $E$  of  $W$  is constructible in  $U$ .

*Proof of the lemma.* For every  $f \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_U)$  nonvanishing set  $W_f$  of  $f$  in  $W$  is affine. Since  $U$  is quasi-separated, we derive that  $W_f$  is retro-compact in  $U$  and hence constructible. Suppose now that  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_U)$  is an ideal generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_U)$  and  $V(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq W$  is a vanishing set of this ideal in  $W$ . Then

$$V(\mathcal{I}) = \left( U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{f_i} \right) \setminus (U \setminus W)$$

Since  $U, W_{f_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $W$  are constructible in  $U$ , we derive that  $V(\mathcal{I})$  is constructible in  $U$ . Since constructible sets of  $U$  form an algebra of sets, the assertion follows from Proposition

1.4.  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* Suppose that  $E$  is a locally constructible subset of  $X$  and  $U$  is an open quasi-compact and quasi-separated subset of  $X$ . There exists a finite open cover  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^m W_j$  such that each  $W_j$  is affine and  $E \cap W_j$  is constructible in  $W_j$ . According to Lemma 3.2.1 we deduce that each  $E \cap W_j$  is constructible in  $U$ . Hence

$$E \cap U = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (E \cap W_j)$$

is constructible in  $U$ . This proves that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

Implications (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follow from definition.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** (Chevalley's theorem on images). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes of finite presentation and  $E$  be a locally constructible subset of  $X$ . Then  $f(E)$  is locally constructible in  $Y$ .*

We start by a sequence of reductions. Since the question is local on  $Y$ , one can assume that  $Y$  is affine. Then  $X$  is quasi-compact and quasi-separated. By Theorem 3.2 we deduce that  $E$  is constructible on  $X$ . Next by Corollary 1.5 we may assume that  $E = X$  and  $X$  is affine. Now since  $f$  is of finite presentation, there exists a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

with  $Y'$  the spectrum of a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra,  $f'$  is of finite type and affine  $X'$ . We have

$$f(X) = g^{-1}(f'(X'))$$

Since a preimage of a constructible subset is constructible by Fact 1.3, it suffices to prove that  $f'(X')$  is constructible. Hence we may assume that the base is noetherian. Thus our goal is to prove that  $f(X)$  is constructible in  $Y$  under assumptions that  $Y$  is a noetherian affine scheme and  $f$  is of finite type. For the proof of this statement we need the following interesting application of Theorem 2.1

**Lemma 3.3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a domain and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an injective morphism of finite type. Then there exists nonzero  $s \in A$  such that the image of  $\text{Spec } f : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  contains the distinguished set  $D(s)$  of  $\text{Spec } A$ .*

*Proof of the lemma.* Let  $S = A \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $K = S^{-1}A$  is a field of fractions of  $A$  and  $S^{-1}B$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. By Theorem 2.1 we derive that there exists  $\frac{b_1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{b_n}{s_n} \in S^{-1}B$  algebraically independent over  $K$  such that

$$K \left[ \frac{b_1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{b_n}{s_n} \right] \subseteq S^{-1}B$$

is a finite extension of rings. Here  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ . It follows that

$$K[b_1, \dots, b_n] \subseteq S^{-1}B$$

is a finite extension of rings and  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are algebraically independent over  $K$ . There exists a finite set  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  that generates  $B$  as an  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ -algebra and all these elements are integral over  $K[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ . This implies that for every  $1 \leq i \leq m$  there exists a monic polynomial  $f_i \in K[b_1, \dots, b_n][x]$  such that  $f_i(c_i) = 0$ . Now there are finitely many coefficients of each  $f_i$  and each of them is some algebraic expression in  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  having coefficients in  $K = S^{-1}A$ . This implies that there exists nonzero  $s \in A$  such that  $f_i$  is a monic polynomial in  $A_s[b_1, \dots, b_n][x]$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Hence the extension

$$A_s[b_1, \dots, b_n] \subseteq B_s$$

is finite. We also know that  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are algebraically independent over  $K$ . Thus  $A_s \subseteq B_s$  can be decomposed as a polynomial extension followed by a finite extension

$$A_s \subseteq A_s[b_1, \dots, b_n] \subseteq B_s$$

Both polynomial extension and finite extension induce surjective morphism on prime spectra. Thus the morphism  $\text{Spec } B_s \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_s$  induced by  $\text{Spec } f$  is surjective. Hence  $D(s) \subseteq \text{Spec } A$  is in the image of  $\text{Spec } f$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite type morphism with  $Y$  affine and noetherian. As we explained above it suffices to prove that  $f(X)$  is constructible. Suppose that  $F$  is an irreducible closed subset of  $Y$ . We consider it as a subscheme of  $Y$  with integral structure. By Lemma 3.3.1 we deduce that either the image of a morphism  $f^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$  induced by  $f$  contains nonempty open subset of  $F$  or this image is empty. Thus for every irreducible closed subset  $F$  of  $Y$  either  $f(X) \cap F$  contains nonempty open subset of  $F$  or  $f(X) \cap F = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 1.7 we derive that  $f(X)$  is constructible in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** (Characterization of locally constructible sets on qcqs schemes). *Let  $X$  be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E$  is locally constructible.
- (ii)  $E$  is constructible.
- (iii) There exists an affine scheme  $Z$  and a morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  of finite presentation such that  $E = f(Z)$ .

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from Theorem 3.2. The assertion (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is a consequence of Corollary 1.5 and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

Next result is simple but worth noted.

**Fact 3.5.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes and  $E$  be a locally constructible subset of  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(E)$  is a locally constructible subset of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Fact 1.3 and the definition of locally constructible sets.  $\square$

## REFERENCES