

# PRO-CONSTRUCTIBLE SETS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This is a continuation of [Monygham, 2018].

## 2. PRIME SPECTRUM AND COLIMITS OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a filtered diagram of  $A$ -algebras. Then the image of*

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$$

*is equal to the intersection of images  $\{\mathrm{Spec} B_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A\}_{i \in I}$ .*

**Lemma 2.1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a filtered diagram of  $A$ -algebras. Then  $\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i = 0$  if and only if there exists  $i_0$  in  $I$  such that  $B_{i_0} = 0$ .*

*Proof of the lemma.* For every  $i \in I$  let  $f_i : B_i \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i$  be the canonical morphism. If  $\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i = 0$ , then  $f_i(1) = 0$  for every  $i \in I$ . Since  $I$  is filtered category, this implies that there exists  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $1 = 0$  in  $B_{i_0}$ . Hence  $B_{i_0} = 0$ . The converse holds, because if  $B_{i_0} = 0$  for some  $i_0 \in I$ , then

$$0 = f_{i_0}(0) = f_{i_0}(1) = 1$$

in  $\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i$ . □

*Proof of the proposition.* Consider  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} A$  and let  $k(\mathfrak{p}) = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be its residue field. For every  $A$ -algebra  $B$  we denote  $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A B$  by  $B(\mathfrak{p})$ . We have

$$k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A (\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i) \cong \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} (k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A B_i) \cong \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i(\mathfrak{p})$$

According to Lemma 2.1.1 we have

$$k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A (\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists_{i \in I} B_i(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$$

This implies that

$$\mathrm{Spec} \left( k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A (\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i) \right) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \exists_{i \in I} B_i(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$$

Since the prime spectrum on the left hand side is the fiber of  $\mathfrak{p}$  under the morphism

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$$

we deduce that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not in the image of this map if and only if there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $B_i(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not in the image of

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} B_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$$

if and only if it is not in the image of some  $\mathrm{Spec} B_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$ . This finishes the proof. □

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $A$ -algebras. We set*

$$\bigotimes_{i \in I} B_i = \mathrm{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq I} (B_{i_1} \otimes_A \dots \otimes_A B_{i_n})$$

*Then the image of the map*

$$\mathrm{Spec} \left( \bigotimes_{i \in I} B_i \right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$$

*is the intersection of images of maps  $\{\mathrm{Spec} B_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A\}_{i \in I}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq I$  the image of the map

$$\mathrm{Spec} (B_{i_1} \otimes_A \dots \otimes_A B_{i_n}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$$

is the intersection of images of maps  $\{\mathrm{Spec} B_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A\}_{i \in I}$ . Hence the assertion is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a quasi-compact scheme and  $E$  be a subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $E$  is an intersection of constructible subsets of  $X$ . Then there exists an affine scheme  $Z$  and a morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(Z) = E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_j$  be an affine open cover. By [Monygham, 2018, Corollary 3.4] and Corollary 2.2 for every  $1 \leq j \leq m$  there exists an affine scheme  $Z_j$  and a morphism  $f_j : Z_j \rightarrow U_j$  such that  $f_j(Z_j) = E \cap U_j$ . Define affine scheme  $Z = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m Z_j$  and let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism such that  $f|_{Z_j}$  is the composition of  $f_j$  with the inclusion  $U_j \hookrightarrow X$ . Then

$$f(Z) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m f_j(Z_j) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (E \cap U_j) = E$$

$\square$

### 3. PRO-CONSTRUCTIBLE SETS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $E$  of  $X$  is called *pro-constructible* in  $X$  if for every point  $x$  in  $X$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $U \cap E$  is an intersection of locally constructible subsets of  $U$ .

**Fact 3.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes and  $E$  be a pro-constructible subset of  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(E)$  is a pro-constructible subset of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of [Monygham, 2018, Fact 3.5] and the definition of pro-constructible sets.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $E$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E$  is pro-constructible.
- (ii)  $E \cap U$  is an intersection of constructible sets in  $U$  for every open quasi-compact and quasi-separated subset  $U$  of  $X$ .
- (iii)  $E \cap U$  is an intersection of constructible sets in  $U$  for every affine open subset  $U$  of  $X$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of [Monygham, 2018, Theorem 3.2] and the fact that union of sets is distributive over (arbitrary) intersection.  $\square$

The next theorem is a version of Chevalley's theorem on images for pro-constructible sets.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-compact morphism of schemes and  $E$  be a pro-constructible subset of  $X$ . Then  $f(E)$  is pro-constructible in  $Y$ .*

**Lemma 3.4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a ring and  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra. Then  $B$  is a filtered colimit of finitely presented  $A$ -algebras.*

*Proof of the lemma.* Left as an exercise.  $\square$

The next result is very simple but useful.

**Lemma 3.4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a quasi-compact scheme. Then there exists an affine scheme  $W$  and a surjective morphism  $W \rightarrow X$ .*

*Proof of the lemma.* Let  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_j$  be an open affine cover of  $X$ . Pick  $W = \coprod_{j=1}^m U_j$  with the canonical morphism  $W \rightarrow X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* According to Corollary 3.3, we may assume that  $Y$  is affine. Then  $X$  is quasi-compact. Lemma 3.4.2 yields affine scheme  $W$  and a surjective morphism  $g : W \rightarrow X$ . By Fact 3.2 we derive that  $g^{-1}(E)$  is pro-constructible subset of  $W$ . Thus replacing  $f$  by  $f \cdot g$  we may assume that  $X$  is affine. In this case  $E$  is an intersection of constructible subsets of  $X$  according to Corollary 3.3. Corollary 2.3 implies that we can further assume that  $E = X$ . Hence it suffices to show that the image of a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of affine schemes is an intersection of constructible sets. By Lemma 3.4.1 there exists a filtered diagram  $\{f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y\}_{i \in I}$  of morphisms of finite presentation such that

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \Gamma(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_i}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

in the category of  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -algebras. By [Monygham, 2018, Corollary 3.4] we deduce that  $f_i(X_i)$  is constructible in  $Y$  for each  $i \in I$ . Proposition 2.1 implies that

$$f(X) = \bigcap_{i \in I} f_i(X_i)$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** (Characterization of pro-constructible sets on qcqs schemes). *Let  $X$  be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated scheme. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E$  is pro-constructible.
- (ii)  $E$  is an intersection constructible subsets in  $X$ .
- (iii) There exists an affine scheme  $Z$  and a morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $E = f(Z)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $E$  is pro-constructible subset of  $X$ . Corollary 3.3 implies  $E$  is an intersection of constructible subsets of  $X$ . Thus (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is true.

If (i) holds, then Corollary 2.3 gives an affine scheme  $Z$  and a morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $E = f(Z)$ . This implies that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

For the proof of (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) note that such  $f$  is quasi-compact (this follows because  $X$  is quasi-separated) and hence the implication follows from Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

#### 4. OPEN AND CLOSED SUBSETS OF SCHEMES

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\eta$  be a point of  $X$ . Every point  $x$  in  $\operatorname{cl}(\{\eta\})$  is called a *specialization* of  $\eta$ . If  $x$  is a specialization of  $\eta$ , then  $\eta$  is called a *generization* of  $x$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Z$  be its subset. We say that  $Z$  is *closed under specialization* (*generization*) if  $Z$  contains all specializations (generizations) of its points.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a quasi-compact morphism of schemes. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $f(Z)$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .
- (ii)  $f(Z)$  is closed under specialization.

For the proof we need the following result.

**Lemma 4.3.1.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of rings. If the image of  $\operatorname{Spec} f : \operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$  is closed under specialization, then it is closed.

*Proof of the lemma.* The image of  $\operatorname{Spec} f$  is equal to the image of its factor  $\operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} (A/\ker(f))$ . Therefore, we may additionally assume that  $f$  is injective. We prove that under this extra assumption  $\operatorname{Spec} f$  is surjective. For this assume that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$  is a prime ideal. Then  $f$  induces

an injective map  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is nonzero. Hence  $\text{Spec } B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is nonempty. We also have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset \neq \text{Spec } B_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } B & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec } f} & \text{Spec } A \end{array}$$

of topological spaces. This imply that there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } B$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a specialization of  $(\text{Spec } f)(\mathfrak{q})$ . Since the image of  $\text{Spec } f$  is closed under specialization, we derive that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is contained in the image of  $\text{Spec } f$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* Closed subsets are closed under specialization. Hence (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) holds.

Now assume (ii) i.e.  $f(Z)$  is closed under specialization. Fix open affine  $U$  in  $X$ . Since  $f$  is quasi-compact, we derive that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is quasi-compact. Write  $f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m W_j$  for open affine subsets  $W_j$  of  $f^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $W = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m W_j$  and consider a morphism  $g : W \rightarrow U$  given as the composition

$$\bigsqcup_{j=1}^m W_j \longrightarrow f^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$$

where the first arrow is induced by inclusions  $\{W_j \hookrightarrow f^{-1}(U)\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$  and the second is the restriction of  $f$ . Note that  $g(W) = f(Z) \cap U$  and hence  $g(W)$  is closed under specialization in  $U$ . By Lemma 4.3.1 we deduce that  $g(W)$  is closed in  $U$  and hence  $f(X) \cap U$  is closed in  $U$ . Since this holds for every open affine  $U$  in  $X$ , we infer that  $f(X)$  is closed in  $X$ . This proves (i).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $E$  be its subset. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .
- (ii)  $E$  is pro-constructible and closed under specialization.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E$  is closed subset of  $X$  and let  $U$  be an open affine subset of  $X$ . Then  $E \cap U$  is the image of some closed affine subscheme of  $U$ . By Corollary 3.5 we deduce that  $E \cap U$  is an intersection of constructible subsets of  $U$ . Thus  $E$  is pro-constructible. Since  $E$  is closed, it is also closed under specialization. Hence (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

Assume that (ii) holds. Then for every open affine subset  $U$  of  $X$  set  $E \cap U$  is pro-constructible and closed under specialization in  $U$ . By Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 4.3 we derive that  $E \cap U$  is closed subset of  $U$ . Since  $U$  is arbitrary, we derive that  $E$  is closed. This is (i).  $\square$

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $E$  of  $X$  is called *ind-constructible* in  $X$  if  $X \setminus E$  is pro-constructible in  $X$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $E$  be its subset. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E$  is an open subset of  $X$ .
- (ii)  $E$  is ind-constructible and closed under generization.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Corollary 4.4. Details are left to the reader.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

[Monygham, 2018] Monygham (2018). Constructible and locally constructible sets. *github repository: "Monygham/Pedomellon-a-mimo"*.