

MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

1. INTRODUCTION

First we need to explain some conventions concerning mathematical notation that we use in this notes. There are two ways of denoting values of functions. In *prefix notation* a function symbol f precedes its arguments x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and the expression is $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ (parentheses are standard part of the prefix notation since it was introduced by Euler). On the other hand *infix notation* is used when a symbol f of a function is placed between each pair of arguments x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and the expression is $x_1 f x_2 f \dots f x_n$. For real life example note that the well known expression $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$ is written in infix notation. Infix notation can be also used in the case of functors. For example let $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor and let \mathcal{C} be a category. Then using infix notation we can write the value of \otimes on objects X, Y of \mathcal{C} as $X \otimes Y$. We can also consider the composition $\otimes \cdot \langle \otimes, 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rangle : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and we can write its value on objects X, Y and Z of \mathcal{C} as $(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z$ in this notation. We hope that now the distinction between these two notations is clear.

2. MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Suppose that $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor (we use infix notation for values of this functor), I is an object of \mathcal{C} , $\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) \rightarrow (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z$ is an isomorphism natural in objects X, Y, Z of \mathcal{C} and $l_X : I \otimes X \rightarrow X$, $r_X : X \otimes I \rightarrow X$ are isomorphisms natural in object X of \mathcal{C} . Assume that *Mac Lane's pentagon*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \otimes (Y \otimes (Z \otimes T)) & \xrightarrow{1_X \otimes \alpha_{Y,Z,T}} & X \otimes ((Y \otimes Z) \otimes T) \\
 \downarrow \alpha_{X,Y,Z \otimes T} & & \downarrow \alpha_{X,Y \otimes Z, T} \\
 (X \otimes Y) \otimes (Z \otimes T) & & (X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)) \otimes T \\
 \searrow \alpha_{X \otimes Y, Z, T} & & \swarrow \alpha_{X,Y,Z} \otimes 1_T \\
 & ((X \otimes Y) \otimes Z) \otimes T &
 \end{array}$$

is commutative for any objects X, Y, Z, T in \mathcal{C} and that *unit triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \otimes (I \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X,I,Y}} & (X \otimes I) \otimes Y \\
 \downarrow 1_X \otimes l_Y & & \downarrow r_X \otimes 1_Y \\
 & X \otimes Y &
 \end{array}$$

is commutative for any objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} . Then $(\otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$ is called a *monoidal structure on \mathcal{C}* and $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \alpha, r, l)$ is called a *monoidal category*. If α, l, r are identities, then we say that $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \alpha, r, l)$ is a *strict monoidal category*.

Proposition 2.2. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$ be a monoidal category. Then triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
I \otimes (X \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{I,X,Y}} & (I \otimes X) \otimes Y \\
\searrow l_{X \otimes Y} & & \swarrow l_X \otimes 1_Y \\
& X \otimes Y &
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \otimes (Y \otimes I) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X,Y,I}} & (X \otimes Y) \otimes I \\
\searrow 1_X \otimes r_Y & & \swarrow r_{X \otimes Y} \\
& X \otimes Y &
\end{array}$$

are commutative for any pair X, Y of objects of \mathcal{C} .

Proof. We prove that the first triangle commutes (commutativity of the second can be proved by the similar method). Pick objects X, Y and consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(I \otimes I) \otimes (X \otimes Y) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{I,I,X \otimes Y}} & I \otimes (I \otimes (X \otimes Y)) & \xrightarrow{1_I \otimes \alpha_{I,X,Y}} & I \otimes ((I \otimes X) \otimes Y) \\
\downarrow \alpha_{I \otimes I, X, Y} & \searrow r_I \otimes 1_{X \otimes Y} & \downarrow 1_I \otimes l_{X \otimes Y} & \swarrow 1_I \otimes (l_X \otimes 1_Y) & \downarrow \alpha_{I, I \otimes X, Y} \\
& & I \otimes (X \otimes Y) & & \\
& \searrow (r_I \otimes 1_X) \otimes 1_Y & \downarrow \alpha_{I, X, Y} & \swarrow (1_I \otimes l_X) \otimes 1_Y & \\
& & (I \otimes X) \otimes Y & & \\
& \swarrow (r_I \otimes 1_X) \otimes 1_Y & \downarrow \alpha_{I, I, X} \otimes 1_Y & \searrow (1_I \otimes l_X) \otimes 1_Y & \\
((I \otimes I) \otimes X) \otimes Y & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{I, I, X} \otimes 1_Y} & (I \otimes (I \otimes X)) \otimes Y & &
\end{array}$$

First note that all morphism in the diagram are isomorphisms. The outer pentagon in the diagram commutes, since it is an instance of the Mac Lane's pentagon. Moreover, the two triangles denoted by \circlearrowleft commute, since one is an instance of the unit triangle and the other is an image of an instance of the unit triangle under the functor $(-) \otimes Y$. Finally, the two squares denoted by \circlearrowleft are commutative according to the naturality of α . This implies that the triangle denoted by \circlearrowright is commutative. This triangle is precisely the image under the functor $I \otimes (-)$ of the first triangle in the statement. Since this $I \otimes (-)$ is an equivalence of categories, it follows that the first triangle in the statement is commutative. \square

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. By abuse of language we say that \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category when we have certain monoidal structure on \mathcal{C} in mind. Also when we deal with two monoidal categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} we often use the same symbols to denote their monoidal structures by the same symbols. In these cases it should be clear from the context how to distinguish these monoidal structures.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be monoidal categories. Suppose that $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor, $\tau_{X,Y} : F(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow F(X) \otimes F(Y)$ is an isomorphism natural in objects X, Y of \mathcal{C} and $\phi : F(I) \rightarrow I$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{D} . Assume that the following diagrams are commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)) & \xrightarrow{F(\alpha_{X,Y,Z})} & F((X \otimes Y) \otimes Z) \\
\downarrow \tau_{X,Y \otimes Z} & & \downarrow \tau_{X \otimes Y, Z} \\
F(X) \otimes F(Y \otimes Z) & & F(X \otimes Y) \otimes F(Z) \\
\downarrow 1_{F(X)} \otimes \tau_{Y,Z} & & \downarrow \tau_{X,Y} \otimes 1_{F(Z)} \\
F(X) \otimes (F(Y) \otimes F(Z)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{F(X), F(Y), F(Z)}} & (F(X) \otimes F(Y)) \otimes F(Z)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(I \otimes X) & & F(X \otimes I) \\
\downarrow \tau_{I,X} & \searrow F(l_X) & \downarrow \tau_{X,I} \\
F(I) \otimes F(X) & & F(X) \otimes F(I) \\
\downarrow \phi \otimes 1_{F(X)} & \nearrow l_{F(X)} & \downarrow 1_{F(X)} \otimes \phi \\
I \otimes F(X) & & F(X) \otimes I
\end{array}$$

Then a triple (F, τ, ϕ) is a *monoidal functor*.

If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are monoidal categories and (F, τ, ϕ) is a monoidal functor with $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, then by the usual abuse of language we say that $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a monoidal functor.

3. COHERENCE FOR MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

The idea of coherence originated in algebraic topology. We refer the reader to interesting and enlightening article [Mac63] for history and explanation of this important concept. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$ be a monoidal category. Coherence theorem states that appropriate diagrams involving α , l , r and identities commute. To make this precise one needs to put a considerable amount of effort in constructing these diagrams in a formal way. This and the proof of aforementioned theorem is the content of this section.

Recall that a magma is a set S equipped with binary operation (we use infix notation for it)

$$\square : S \times S \rightarrow S$$

and with distinguished element $e \in S$. The notion of morphism of magmas is evident. Let **Magma** be the category of all magmas and $|-| : \mathbf{Magma} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be the forgetful functor. It is a consequence of [BDR94, Corollary 3.7.8] that this functor admits a left adjoint. Hence for any set there exists a free magma generated by this set.

Let S be a set and \mathbf{M}_S be a free magma generated by S . We denote by \square binary operation of \mathbf{M}_S and by e its distinguished element. Next we define a magma \mathbf{A}_S and two parallel morphisms of magmas

$$\mathbf{A}_S \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{src}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{trg}} \end{array} \mathbf{M}_S$$

The magma \mathbf{A}_S is a free magma generated by the set of symbols

$$\{1_v \mid v \in \mathbf{M}_S\} \cup \{l_v \mid v \in \mathbf{M}_S\} \cup \{r_v \mid v \in \mathbf{M}_S\} \cup \{\alpha_{v,w,t} \mid v, w, t \in \mathbf{M}_S\}$$

By abuse of notation we denote binary operation in \mathbf{A}_S by \square . Its distinguished element is 1_e . Now it remains to define maps src and trg . For this we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{src}(1_v) &= v = \text{trg}(1_v), \text{src}(l_v) = e \square v, \text{trg}(l_v) = v, \\
\text{src}(r_v) &= v \square e, \text{trg}(r_v) = v, \text{src}(\alpha_{v,w,t}) = v \square (w \square t), \text{trg}(\alpha_{v,w,t}) = (v \square w) \square t
\end{aligned}$$

for every $v, w, t \in \mathbf{M}_S$ and we extend this maps of sets to morphisms of magmas according to the fact that \mathbf{A}_S is free. Note that the quadruple $(\mathbf{M}_S, \mathbf{A}_S, \text{src}, \text{trg})$ is a directed graph internal to category **Magma** i.e. the definition of directed graph from [ML98, page 10] can be expressed internally for any category with products – in particular for **Magma**. Next let \mathbf{Syn}_S be the free category generated by the directed graph $(\mathbf{M}_S, \mathbf{A}_S, \text{src}, \text{trg})$. It exists according to [ML98, page 49, Theorem 1].

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be a set and let $(\mathbf{M}_S, \mathbf{A}_S, \text{src}, \text{trg})$ and \mathbf{Syn}_S be as defined above. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category. Then every function f that assigns to element of S an object of \mathcal{C} can be uniquely extended to a functor $F_f : \mathbf{Syn}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that*

$$F_f(e) = I, F_f(v \square w) = F_f(v) \otimes F_f(w), F_f(l_v) = l_{F_f(v)}, F_f(r_v) = r_{F_f(v)}, F_f(\alpha_{v,w,t}) = \alpha_{F_f(v), F_f(w), F_f(t)}$$

for any $v, w, t \in \mathbf{M}_S$.

Proof. Note that \otimes and I give rise to a magma structure on the class of objects of \mathcal{C} . This implies that f can be uniquely extended to a morphism $F_f : \mathbf{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of magmas. This is uniquely defined so that $F_f(e) = I$ and $F_f(v \square w) = F_f(v) \otimes F_f(w)$ for every $v, w \in \mathbf{M}_S$. One can also view the class of morphisms of \mathcal{C} as a magma with respect to binary operation \otimes and 1_I . Hence we may assign

$$F_f(1_v) = 1_{F_f(v)}, F_f(l_v) = l_{F_f(v)}, F_f(r_v) = r_{F_f(v)}, F_f(\alpha_{v,w,t}) = \alpha_{F_f(v), F_f(w), F_f(t)}$$

for any $v, w, t \in \mathbf{M}_S$. These equations give rise to a unique morphism of magmas $F_f : \mathbf{A}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Now F_f is a morphism of directed graphs

$$\mathbf{A}_S \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{src}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{trg}} \end{array} \mathbf{M}_S$$

and

$$\mathbf{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{dom}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{cod}} \end{array} \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$$

Since \mathbf{Syn}_S is a free category on $(\mathbf{M}_S, \mathbf{A}_S, \text{src}, \text{trg})$ we deduce that F_f can be uniquely extended to a functor $\mathbf{Syn}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ having all properties expressed in the statement. \square

Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category and S be a set of its objects. We denote by $F_S : \mathbf{Syn}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the unique functor corresponding to the inclusion of S into the class of objects in \mathcal{C} by means of Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 (Mac Lane's coherence result). *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category and S be a set of its objects. Then the functor $F_S : \mathbf{Syn}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sends any two parallel arrows in \mathbf{Syn}_S to the same arrow in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a monoidal category and suppose that a triple $(F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \tau, \phi)$ is a monoidal functor. Let f be a function given by the restriction of the functor F to a set S . Then f maps S into a class of objects of \mathcal{D} . There exists a unique functor $F_f : \mathbf{Syn}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that extends f and satisfies properties described in Proposition 3.1. Next for every $v \in \mathbf{M}_S$ we define an isomorphism $\sigma_v : F(F_S(v)) \rightarrow F_f(v)$. This is done by induction. We define $\sigma_e = \phi$ and $\sigma_s = 1_{F(s)}$ for every $s \in S$. Next if σ_v and σ_w are defined for some $v, w \in \mathbf{M}_S$, then we define

$$\sigma_{v \square w} = (\sigma_v \otimes \sigma_w) \cdot \tau_{F_S(v), F_S(w)}$$

Now we prove that for any $v, w \in \mathbf{M}_S$ and morphism $\eta : v \rightarrow w$ in \mathbf{Syn}_S the square

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(F_S(v)) & \xrightarrow{F(F_S(\eta))} & F(F_S(w)) \\ \sigma_v \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_w \\ F_f(v) & \xrightarrow{F_f(\eta)} & F_f(w) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since each morphism in \mathbf{Syn}_S can be uniquely decomposed into arrows in \mathbf{A}_S , we derive that it suffices to check commutativity of $(*)$ for an arrow in \mathbf{A}_S . Now the proof goes by induction. If η is 1_v for some $v \in \mathbf{M}_S$ then the commutativity of $(*)$ boils down to the fact that $\sigma_v = \sigma_v$. Next assume that $\eta = l_v$ for some $v \in \mathbf{M}_S$, then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(F_S(e \square v)) & \xrightarrow{F(F_S(l_v))} & F(F_S(v)) \\ = \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ F(I \otimes F_S(v)) & \xrightarrow{F(l_{F_S(v)})} & F(F_S(v)) \\ (\phi \otimes 1_{F(F_S(v))}) \cdot \tau_{I, F_S(v)} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_{F(F_S(v))} \\ I \otimes F(F_S(v)) & \xrightarrow{l_{F_S(v)}} & F(F_S(v)) \\ 1_I \otimes \sigma_v \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_v \\ I \otimes F_f(v) & \xrightarrow{l_{F_f(v)}} & F_f(v) \\ = \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ F_f(e \square v) & \xrightarrow{F_f(l_v)} & F_f(v) \end{array}$$

Indeed, the commutativity of the top square follows by definition of F_S , the second square from the top commutes as F is monoidal, the second square from the bottom commutes, since $l_X : I \otimes X \rightarrow X$ is natural and finally the bottom square is commutative according to definition of F_f . Now the outer square in the diagram is an instance of $(*)$ for $\eta = l_v$. Similarly one can prove the commutativity of $(*)$ for $\eta = r_v$. Now suppose that $\eta = \alpha_{v,w,t}$ for some $v, w, t \in \mathbf{M}_S$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(F_S(v \sqcap (w \sqcap t))) & \xrightarrow{F(F_S(\alpha_{v,w,t}))} & F(F_S((v \sqcap w) \sqcap t)) \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
F(F_S(v) \otimes (F_S(w) \otimes F_S(t))) & \xrightarrow{F(\alpha_{F_S(v), F_S(w), F_S(t)})} & F((F_S(v) \otimes F_S(w)) \otimes F_S(t)) \\
\downarrow \tau_{F_S(v), F_S(w) \otimes F_S(t)} & & \downarrow \tau_{F_S(v) \otimes F_S(w), F_S(t)} \\
F(F_S(v)) \otimes F(F_S(w) \otimes F_S(t)) & & F(F_S(v) \otimes F_S(w)) \otimes F(F_S(t)) \\
\downarrow 1_{F(F_S(v))} \otimes \tau_{F_S(w), F_S(t)} & & \downarrow \tau_{F_S(v), F_S(w)} \otimes 1_{F(F_S(t))} \\
F(F_S(v)) \otimes (F(F_S(w)) \otimes F(F_S(t))) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{F(F_S(v)), F(F_S(w)), F(F_S(t))}} & (F(F_S(v)) \otimes F(F_S(w))) \otimes F(F_S(t)) \\
\downarrow \sigma_v \otimes (\sigma_w \otimes \sigma_t) & & \downarrow (\sigma_v \otimes \sigma_w) \otimes \sigma_t \\
F_f(v) \otimes (F_f(w) \otimes F_f(t)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{F_f(v), F_f(w), F_f(t)}} & (F_f(v) \otimes F_f(w)) \otimes F_f(t) \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
F_f(v \sqcap (w \sqcap t)) & \xrightarrow{F_f(\alpha_{v,w,t})} & F_f((v \sqcap w) \sqcap t)
\end{array}$$

Indeed, the first square from the top commutes by definition of F_S , the second from the top commutes according to the fact that F is monoidal, the second square from the bottom is commutative, since $\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) \rightarrow (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z$ is natural and finally the bottom square is commutative by definition of F_f . Now the outer square is an instance of (*) for $\eta = \alpha_{v,w,t}$. Thus we know that (*) is commutative for η in the generating set

$$\{1_v \mid v \in \mathbf{M}_S\} \cup \{l_v \mid v \in \mathbf{M}_S\} \cup \{r_v \mid v \in \mathbf{M}_S\} \cup \{\alpha_{v,w,t} \mid v, w, t \in \mathbf{M}_S\}$$

of \mathbf{A}_S . It remains to check that if $\eta = \beta \sqcap \gamma$ and instances of (*) commute both for β and γ , then the instance of (*) for η is commutative. Suppose that $\beta : v \rightarrow t, \gamma : w \rightarrow u$ for some $v, w, t, u \in \mathbf{M}_S$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(F_S(v \sqcap w)) & \xrightarrow{F(F_S(\beta \sqcap \gamma))} & F(F_S(t \sqcap u)) \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
F(F_S(v) \otimes F_S(w)) & \xrightarrow{F(F_S(\beta) \otimes F_S(\gamma))} & F(F_S(t) \otimes F_S(u)) \\
\downarrow \tau_{F_S(v), F_S(w)} & & \downarrow \tau_{F_S(t), F_S(u)} \\
F(F_S(v)) \otimes F(F_S(w)) & \xrightarrow{F(F_S(\beta)) \otimes F(F_S(\gamma))} & F(F_S(t)) \otimes F(F_S(u)) \\
\downarrow \sigma_v \otimes \sigma_w & & \downarrow \sigma_t \otimes \sigma_u \\
F_f(v) \otimes F_f(w) & \xrightarrow{F_f(\beta) \otimes F_f(\gamma)} & F_f(t) \otimes F_f(u) \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
F_f(v \sqcap w) & \xrightarrow{F_f(\beta \sqcap \gamma)} & F_f(t \sqcap u)
\end{array}$$

Indeed, the first square from the top commutes by definition of F_S , the second square from the top is commutative according to the fact that $\tau_{X,Y} : F(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow F(X) \otimes F(Y)$ is natural, the second

square from the bottom is commutative, since instances of (*) for β and γ are commutative and finally the bottom square is commutative by definition of F_f . This proves that (*) is commutative for every morphism in \mathbf{Syn}_S .

Let $\eta, \xi : v \rightarrow w$ be parallel morphisms in \mathbf{Syn}_S . Then commutativity of (*) for both η and ξ imply that

$$F(F_S(\eta)) = \sigma_w^{-1} \cdot F_f(\eta) \cdot \sigma_v, \quad F(F_S(\xi)) = \sigma_w^{-1} \cdot F_f(\xi) \cdot \sigma_v$$

If \mathcal{D} is a strict monoidal category, then $F_f(v) = F_f(w)$ and

$$F_f(\eta) = 1_{F_f(v)} = 1_{F_f(w)} = F_f(\xi)$$

This last equality follows by decomposing each morphism in \mathbf{Syn}_S into the composition of arrows in \mathbf{A}_S and then by induction on complexity of arrow in \mathbf{A}_S . Thus if \mathcal{D} is strict, we derive that $F(F_S(\eta)) = F(F_S(\xi))$. Therefore, in order to prove theorem it suffices to construct a faithful monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ into a strict monoidal category. For this consider the category $\mathbf{End}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ of endofunctors of \mathcal{C} . The functor (in infix notation)

$$\circ : \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{C})$$

that sends endofunctors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to their composition $F \circ G$ makes $\mathbf{End}(\mathcal{C})$ a strict monoidal category with $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ serving as the unit. We define a functor $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\mathcal{C})$ by formula $\Phi(X) = X \otimes (-)$ for object X in \mathcal{C} and $\Phi(f) = f \otimes (-)$ for every morphism f in \mathcal{C} . Next we define $\tau_{X,Y} : \Phi(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow \Phi(X) \circ \Phi(Y)$ for objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} by formula $\tau_{X,Y} = \alpha_{X,Y,-}$. Finally we define $\phi : \Phi(I) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ by formula $\phi = l$. A triple (Φ, τ, ϕ) is a monoidal functor. Indeed, commutative diagrams asserting the fact that (Φ, τ, ϕ) is monoidal are Mac Lane's pentagon, unit triangle and the first triangle in 2.2. The functor Φ is faithful. Indeed, if we have $\Phi(f) = \Phi(g)$ for some parallel morphisms f, g in \mathcal{C} , then this implies that $f \otimes 1_I = g \otimes 1_I$ which implies that $f = g$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$ be a monoidal category. Then $l_I = r_I$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.2. We have $l_I = F_{\emptyset}(l_e) = F_{\emptyset}(r_e) = r_I$. \square

4. ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES IN CATEGORIES OF PRESHEAVES

Notions like monoid, group, ring, actions of monoid etc. make sense in arbitrary category with finite products. The idea is that each of these algebraic structures can be described in terms of commutativity of certain sets of diagrams involving finite products. For reader's convenience and self-containment we discuss the case of a monoid in detail below. We indicate that our discussion can be effortlessly adapted to arbitrary finitary algebraic theory as defined in BOURCAUX.

Remark 4.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite products and (M, μ, η) be a monoid in \mathcal{C} . Then actions of (M, μ, η) and their morphisms constitute a category.

Remark 4.2. By imposing commutativity of certain diagrams we can similarly define modules over a ring in a category \mathcal{C} with finite products.

Let (M, μ, η) be a monoid in a category \mathcal{C} with finite products. By the usual abuse of notation we often omit part of the data and say that M is a monoid in \mathcal{C} . Similar notational convention for groups, rings etc. in \mathcal{C} .

The category $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ of presheaves on a locally small category \mathcal{C} is an example of a category with finite products by Corollary . However, for such categories the notion of a monoid can be rephrased differently. This is the content of the next result.

Fact 4.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category. Then there exists an isomorphism (identification) of categories*

$$\mathbf{Mon}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Mon})$$

that sends each monoid (M, μ, η) in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ to a contravariant functor given by formula

$$\mathcal{C} \ni X \mapsto (M(X), \mu_X, \eta_X) \in \mathbf{Mon}$$

Proof. Note that in order for triple (M, μ, η) to be a monoid in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ certain diagrams (specified in the definition above) have to commute. This is equivalent with the fact that M is a presheaf, μ, η are morphisms of presheaves and for every object X in \mathcal{C} the corresponding diagrams in **Set** for $(M(X), \mu_X, \eta_X)$ commutes. But these conditions are equivalent with the fact that

$$\mathcal{C} \ni X \mapsto (M(X), \mu_X, \eta_X) \in \mathbf{Mon}$$

defines a contravariant functor. Next if (M_1, μ_1, η_1) and (M_2, μ_2, η_2) are monoids in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ and $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a morphism of presheaves, then f is a morphism of monoids in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ if and only if for every object X of \mathcal{C} map $f_X : M_1(X) \rightarrow M_2(X)$ is a morphism of monoids $(M_1(X), \mu_{1X}, \eta_{1X})$ and $(M_2(X), \mu_{2X}, \eta_{2X})$. \square

Remark 4.4. Actually the proof of Fact 4.3 works without any substantial modifications for any finitary algebraic theory and hence analogical identifications yields isomorphisms of categories

$$\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$$

for $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Grp}, \mathbf{Ab}, \mathbf{Ring}, \mathbf{CRing}$. By virtue of this identifications we interchangeably use terms: monoid (group, ring etc.) in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ and a presheaf of monoids (groups, rings etc.) on \mathcal{C} .

5. MONOIDS AND ACTIONS

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. A triple (M, μ, η) consisting of an object M of \mathcal{C} and morphisms $\mu : M \otimes M \rightarrow M$, $\eta : I \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes \mu} & M \otimes M \\ \mu \otimes 1_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} M \otimes I & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes \eta} & M \otimes M & \xleftarrow{\eta \otimes 1_M} & I \otimes M \\ & \searrow = & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow = & \\ & & M & & \end{array}$$

is called a *monoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C}* . A monoid object (M, μ, η) in a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} is a *commutative monoid in \mathcal{C}* if the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes M & \xrightarrow{s} & M \otimes M \\ & \searrow \mu & \swarrow \mu \\ & M & \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $s : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$ is the symmetry of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category and let $(M_1, \mu_1, \eta_1), (M_2, \mu_2, \eta_2)$ be monoids in \mathcal{C} . Then an arrow $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ in \mathcal{C} is a *morphism of monoids* if the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 \otimes M_1 & \xrightarrow{f \otimes f} & M_2 \otimes M_2 \\ \mu_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_2 \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M_2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & f & \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_2 \\ & \eta_1 \swarrow \quad \searrow \eta_2 & \\ & I & \end{array}$$

are commutative.

Definition 5.3. Let (M, μ, η) be a monoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} . A (left) *action of M on object X of \mathcal{C}* consists of a morphism $a : M \otimes X \rightarrow X$ that makes the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M \otimes M \otimes X & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes a} & M \otimes X \\
\downarrow a & & \downarrow \mu \otimes 1_X \\
M \otimes X & \xrightarrow{a} & X
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
I \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes 1_X} & M \otimes X \\
\searrow = & & \downarrow a \\
& & X
\end{array}$$

commutative.

Definition 5.4. Let (M, μ, η) be a monoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Suppose that (X, a) and (Y, b) are object of \mathcal{C} equipped with actions of (M, μ, η) . Then morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *morphism of actions* of (M, μ, η) if the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M \otimes X & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes f} & M \otimes Y \\
\downarrow b & & \downarrow a \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

6. COMONOIDS AND COACTIONS

Definition 6.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. A triple (C, δ, ξ) consisting of an object C of \mathcal{C} and morphisms $\delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, $\xi : C \rightarrow I$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C \otimes C \otimes C & \xleftarrow{1_C \otimes \delta} & C \otimes C \\
\uparrow \delta \otimes 1_C & & \uparrow \delta \\
C \otimes C & \xleftarrow{\delta} & C
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
C \otimes I & \xleftarrow{1_C \otimes \xi} & C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\xi \otimes 1_C} I \otimes C \\
\searrow = & & \uparrow \delta \\
& & C
\end{array}$$

is called a *comonoid* in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} . A comonoid object (C, δ, ξ) in a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} is a *cocommutative comonoid* in \mathcal{C} if the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{s} & C \otimes C \\
\delta \swarrow & & \searrow \delta \\
& C &
\end{array}$$

is commutative, where $s : C \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ is the symmetry of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 6.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category and let $(C_1, \delta_1, \xi_1), (C_2, \delta_2, \xi_2)$ be comonoids in \mathcal{C} . An arrow $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ in \mathcal{C} is a *morphism of comonoids* if the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C_1 \otimes C_1 & \xrightarrow{f \otimes f} & C_2 \otimes C_2 \\
\uparrow \delta_1 & & \uparrow \delta_2 \\
C_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & C_2
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & C_2 \\
\searrow \xi_1 & & \swarrow \xi_2 \\
& I &
\end{array}$$

are commutative.

Definition 6.3. Let (C, δ, ξ) be a comonoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} . A (left) coaction of C on X in \mathcal{C} consists of a morphism $c : X \rightarrow C \otimes X$ that makes the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes C \otimes X & \xleftarrow{1_C \otimes c} & C \otimes X \\ \uparrow c & & \uparrow \delta \otimes 1_X \\ C \otimes X & \xleftarrow{c} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I \otimes X & \xleftarrow{\xi \otimes 1_X} & C \otimes X \\ & \searrow = & \uparrow c \\ & & X \end{array}$$

commutative.

Definition 6.4. Let (C, δ, ξ) be a comonoid in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Suppose that (X, c) and (Y, d) are object of \mathcal{C} equipped with coactions of (C, δ, ξ) . Then morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *morphism of coactions* of (C, δ, ξ) if the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes X & \xrightarrow{1_C \otimes f} & C \otimes Y \\ \uparrow d & & \uparrow c \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

is commutative.

7. BIALGEBRAS AND HOPF ALGEBRAS

Definition 7.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category. Suppose that $(B, \mu, \eta, \delta, \xi)$ is a quintuple consisting of an object B and morphisms of \mathcal{C} such that the following assertions hold.

- (1) (B, μ, η) is a monoid in \mathcal{C} .
- (2) (B, δ, ξ) is a comonoid in \mathcal{C} .
- (3) The following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes B \otimes B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{1_B \otimes s \otimes 1_B} & B \otimes B \otimes B \otimes B \\ \uparrow \delta \otimes \delta & & \downarrow \mu \otimes \mu \\ B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\mu} B \xrightarrow{\delta} B \otimes B & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{\delta} & I \\ \eta \searrow & & \nearrow \xi \\ & B & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B \\ \downarrow \xi \otimes \xi & & \downarrow \xi \\ I \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\cong} & I \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\delta} & B \otimes B \\ \uparrow \eta & & \uparrow \eta \otimes \eta \\ I & \xrightarrow{\cong} & I \otimes I \end{array}$$

are commutative, where $s : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ is a symmetry.

Then we say that $(B, \mu, \eta, \delta, \xi)$ is a *bialgebra in a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C}* .

Definition 7.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category and let $(B_1, \mu_1, \eta_1, \delta_1, \xi_1), (B_2, \mu_2, \eta_2, \delta_2, \xi_2)$ be bialgebras in \mathcal{C} . An arrow $f : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ in \mathcal{C} is a *morphism of bialgebras* if it is both a morphism of monoids and comonoids in \mathcal{C} .

REFERENCES

- [BDR94] F. Borceux, B. Doran, and G.C. Rota. *Handbook of Categorical Algebra: Volume 2, Categories and Structures*. Cambridge Studies in Philosophy. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [Mac63] Saunders MacLane. Natural associativity and commutativity. *Rice Institute Pamphlet-Rice University Studies*, 49(4), 1963.
- [ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.