

1. FORMAL FUNCTORS AND REPRESENTABILITY

Example 1.1 (Formal schemes from algebraic ones). Let Z be a \mathbf{G} -scheme and \mathcal{I} be the ideal of $Z^{\mathbf{G}}$. Then $Z_n = V(\mathcal{I}^{n+1})$ is a closed \mathbf{G} -stable subscheme of Z for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and this yields to a formal \mathbf{G} -scheme $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We denote this formal \mathbf{G} -scheme by \widehat{Z} .

Now we define morphisms of formal \mathbf{G} -schemes.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}$ be formal \mathbf{G} -schemes. A morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ of formal \mathbf{G} -schemes is a family of \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphisms $\varphi = \{\varphi_n : W_n \rightarrow Z_n\}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n+1}} & Z_{n+1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & Z_n \end{array}$$

Remark 1.3 (Morphisms of formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes are $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant). Let \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{Z} be formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes and consider their morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ (as formal \mathbf{G} -schemes). Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the morphism $\varphi_n : W_n \rightarrow Z_n$ is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant. To see this, consider Diagram (1).

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \varphi_n & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ W_n & \xrightarrow{r_n} & W_0 \times_{Z_0} Z_n & \xrightarrow{q_n} & Z_n \\ & \searrow \pi_{W_n} & \downarrow p_n & & \downarrow \pi_{Z_n} \\ & & W_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & Z_0 \end{array}$$

Since W_0 and Z_0 are equipped with trivial $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -actions, also the pullback $W_0 \times_{Z_0} Z_n$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme and q_n is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant. Recall that π_{Z_n}, π_{W_n} are affine morphisms. Therefore, p_n is affine. Hence r_n is a \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphism between $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes separated (even affine) over W_0 . Thus r_n is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant.

Definition 1.4. A locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme is a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme which admits an open cover by affine $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -stable subschemes. The category of locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes consists of those schemes and $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant morphisms.

Let Z be a locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme. By Proposition ??, the map $\mathcal{D}_Z \rightarrow Z$ is an isomorphism. In particular, there is a canonical morphism $\pi_Z : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{G}}$, which is the multiplication by zero. For an affine open $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -stable cover $\{V_i\}_i$ of Z , we have $V_i = \pi_Z^{-1}(\pi_Z(V_i))$ by Proposition ??, hence the canonical morphism $\pi_Z : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{G}}$ is affine.

Definition 1.5. Let \mathcal{Z} be a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme. An algebraization of \mathcal{Z} is a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme Z such that

- (1) Z is a locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme.
- (2) \mathcal{Z} and \widehat{Z} are isomorphic formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes.

By the above discussion, the morphism $\pi_Z : Z \rightarrow Z^{\mathbf{G}}$ is affine for any algebraization Z .

Theorem 1.6 (Algebraization of a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme). Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$ be a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme. Then there exists a colimit

$$Z = \operatorname{colim}_n Z_n$$

in the category of locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes and Z is the unique algebraization of \mathcal{Z} . If in addition \mathcal{Z} is locally Noetherian, then π_Z is of finite type. If \mathcal{Z} is locally Noetherian and Z_0 is of finite type, then also Z is of finite type.

Now we spell out the main idea of the proof: the $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme Z required in Theorem 1.6 is equal to $\text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is the limit of \mathcal{A}_n in the category of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras; in other words each isotypic component of \mathcal{A} is the limit of isotypic components of \mathcal{A}_n . Our first goal is to prove a stabilization result. We denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ the set of isomorphism types of irreducible \mathbf{G} -representations and by $\text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}}) \subset \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ the subset of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -representations. For $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G})$ and a quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -module \mathcal{C} on Z_0 we denote by $\mathcal{C}[\lambda] \subset \mathcal{C}$ the $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -submodule such that $H^0(U, \mathcal{C}[\lambda]) \subset H^0(U, \mathcal{C})$ is the union of all \mathbf{G} -subrepresentations of $H^0(U, \mathcal{C})$ isomorphic to λ (i.e., the isotypic component of λ).

Lemma 1.6.1 (stabilization on an isotypic component). *Let $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$. Then there exists a number $n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$ be a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme and $\{\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n\}$ be the associated sequence of quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras. Then for every $n > n_\lambda$ the surjection*

$$\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1}[\lambda]$$

is an isomorphism. If $\lambda_0 \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ is the trivial representation, then we may take $n_{\lambda_0} = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 1.6.1. The claims are preserved under field extension, so we may assume our field is algebraically closed (hence perfect) so we may use the Kempf's torus. Fix a grading on $k[\overline{\mathbf{G}}]$ induced by a Kempf's torus for k as in Corollary ?? . Denote by $A_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ the set of weights which appear in $k[\mathbf{G}]_\lambda$. Since $\dim_k k[\mathbf{G}]_\lambda$ is finite by Proposition ?? , the set A_λ is finite. Put

$$n_\lambda = \sup A_\lambda.$$

Fix $n > n_\lambda$ and let $\mathcal{I}_n = \ker(\mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0)$. Then we have a decomposition with respect to the chosen torus

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i],$$

By Corollary ?? , we have $\mathcal{I}_n = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i]$. Since $n > n_\lambda$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}_n^n \subset \bigoplus_{i \geq n} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i] \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \notin A_\lambda} (\mathcal{A}_n)[i]$$

Hence, $\mathcal{I}_n^n[\lambda] = 0$. But $\mathcal{I}_n^n[\lambda] = \ker(\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1}[\lambda])$, thus $\mathcal{A}_n[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n-1}[\lambda]$ is an isomorphism. Finally note that $A_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$. This implies that $n_{\lambda_0} = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let \mathcal{A}_n be the quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras as in (??). For $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ we define $\mathcal{A}[\lambda] := \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda]$, where $n \geq n_\lambda$ as in Lemma 1.6.1.

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})} \mathcal{A}[\lambda] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})} \mathcal{A}_{n_\lambda}[\lambda].$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A}[\lambda_0] = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ canonically (where λ_0 is the trivial representation), hence \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -module. Actually $\mathcal{A} = \lim_n \mathcal{A}_n$ in the category of quasi-coherent $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -modules on Z_0 . We construct the algebra structure on \mathcal{A} . For this pick $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$. Fix the finite set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ of representations which appear in $k[\mathbf{G}]_{\eta_1} \otimes_k k[\mathbf{G}]_{\eta_2}$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the multiplication

$$\mathcal{A}_n[\eta_1] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_1] \cdot \mathcal{A}_n[\eta_2] \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_n[\lambda_i]$$

and by Lemma 1.6.1 these morphisms can be identified for $n \geq \sup\{n_{\eta_1}, n_{\eta_2}, n_{\lambda_1}, \dots, n_{\lambda_s}\}$. We define

$$\mathcal{A}[\eta_1] \otimes_k \mathcal{A}[\eta_2] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}[\lambda_i] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

as a morphism induced by the multiplication morphism for any $n \geq \sup\{n_{\eta_1}, n_{\eta_2}, n_{\lambda_1}, \dots, n_{\lambda_s}\}$. This gives an \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -algebra structure on \mathcal{A} , so \mathcal{A} is in fact the limit of \mathcal{A}_n is the category of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras. Note that from the description of \mathcal{A} it follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a surjective morphism $p_n : \mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -algebras. We denote its kernel by \mathcal{J}_n and we put $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_0$. The natural injection $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0} = \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a section of p_0 , so that we have

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}} \mathcal{A}[\lambda].$$

We also denote by \mathcal{I}_n the kernel of $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}_n$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq m$. Since \mathcal{Z} is a formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme, the sheaf \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} is the kernel of the morphism $\mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$. Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_m/\mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} = (\mathcal{J}^{m+1} + \mathcal{J}_n)/\mathcal{J}_n.$$

Both \mathcal{J}_m and \mathcal{J}^{m+1} are $\text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ -graded and for given $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$ and $n \gg 0$ the isotypic component $\mathcal{J}_n[\lambda]$ is zero by Lemma 1.6.1. Hence $\mathcal{J}_m = \mathcal{J}^{m+1}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$Z = \text{Spec}_{Z_0}(\mathcal{A})$$

and we denote by $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z_0$ the structural morphism. The scheme Z inherits a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -action from \mathcal{A} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the zero-set of $\mathcal{J}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -scheme isomorphic to Z_n . Hence \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to \widehat{Z} . Thus Z is an algebraization of \mathcal{Z} . Since $\mathcal{A} = \lim \mathcal{A}_n$, we have $Z = \text{colim } Z_n$ in the category of locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes.

It remains to prove uniqueness of algebraization. Let $Z' = \text{Spec}_{Z_0} \mathcal{A}'$ be an algebraization of $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$. Then $Z_n \hookrightarrow Z'$, so by the universal property of colimit, we obtain a $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -morphism $Z \rightarrow Z'$, corresponding to $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. It induces epimorphisms $\mathcal{A}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ for all n . For each $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$, the composition

$$\mathcal{A}'[\lambda] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[\lambda] \simeq \mathcal{A}_{n_\lambda}[\lambda]$$

is an epimorphism, hence $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an epimorphism. The kernel of $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is equal to

$$\bigcap_n \ker(\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n) = \bigcap_n \ker(\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0)^n.$$

To prove that this kernel is zero, we may enlarge the field to an algebraically closed field, so the result follows from Corollary ??.

Assume that each scheme Z_n is locally Noetherian over k . Then \mathcal{I}_n is a coherent \mathcal{A}_n -module, thus $\mathcal{I}_n^i/\mathcal{I}_n^{i+1}$ is a coherent \mathcal{A}_0 -module for all i . The series

$$0 = \mathcal{I}_n^{n+1} \subset \mathcal{I}_n^n \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_n$$

has coherent subquotients, hence \mathcal{A}_n is a coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} -algebra. Thus $\mathcal{A}[\lambda]$ is a coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -module for every $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})$. The claim that π is of finite type is local on $Z^{\mathbf{G}}$, hence we may assume that $Z^{\mathbf{G}}$ is quasi-compact. The sheaf $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$ is coherent so there exists a finite set $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \text{Irr}(\overline{\mathbf{G}}) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$ such that the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}[\lambda_i] \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$$

induced by $\mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is surjective. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} -subalgebra generated by the coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}[\lambda_i] \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k and let $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \otimes \bar{k}$. Fix a Kempf's torus over \bar{k} and the associated grading $\mathcal{A}' = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}'[i]$ as in Corollary ??. Then $\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{A}'[i]$ is a graded ideal and $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$ is generated by the graded (coherent) subsheaf $\mathcal{M}' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}'[\lambda_i]$. By graded Nakayama's lemma, the ideal \mathcal{J} itself is generated by (the elements of) \mathcal{M}' . Then by induction on the degree, \mathcal{A}' is generated by \mathcal{M}' as an algebra. In other words, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{B} \otimes \bar{k}$. Thus also $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and so \mathcal{A} is of finite type over \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} . \square

With the proof of Theorem 1.6 in hand, we can easily algebraize also equivariant mappings between formal schemes.

Proposition 1.7 (Algebraization of morphisms of formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes). *Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}$ be formal $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes. Let W and Z be algebraizations of \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{Z} respectively (see Theorem 1.6). Then every $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -morphism $\widehat{\varphi}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is the formalization of a unique $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant morphism $\varphi: W \rightarrow Z$.*

Proof. The map $\widehat{\varphi}$ induces maps $W_n \rightarrow Z_n \hookrightarrow Z$. By Theorem 1.6, the scheme W is a colimit of W_n in the category of locally linear $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -schemes. By the universal property of the colimit, we obtain a unique $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant morphism $W \rightarrow Z$. \square

It turns out that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the functor P_n admits a right adjoint. We construct this right adjoint now. Let X be an object of \mathcal{C}_n . For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$X_m = \begin{cases} G_{m-1} \dots G_{n+1} G_n(X) & \text{if } m > n \\ X & \text{if } m = n \\ F_m \dots F_{n-2} F_{n-1}(X) & \text{if } m < n \end{cases}$$

and

$$u_m = \begin{cases} \xi_{G_{m-1} \dots G_{n+1} G_n(X)} & \text{if } m \geq n \\ 1_{F_m \dots F_{n-2} F_{n-1}(X)} & \text{if } m < n \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_{G_{m-1} \dots G_{n+1} G_n(X)} : F_m G_m G_{m-1} \dots G_{n+1} G_n(X) \rightarrow G_{m-1} \dots G_{n+1} G_n(X)$ is a counit of the adjoint functors F_m and G_m , which is an isomorphism as G_m is full and faithful. We define $Q_n(X) = (\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$.

Proposition 1.8. *Let $Q_n : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ be a that sends X*

2. THICK SUBCATEGORIES

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category and let \mathcal{S} be its full subcategory. Suppose that for every exact sequence in \mathcal{C}

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X'' \longrightarrow 0$$

we have $X \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $X', X'' \in \mathcal{S}$. Then \mathcal{S} is called a *thick subcategory* of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 2.2. A category \mathcal{C} is called *well-powered* if the class of subobjects of X is a set for every object X in \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $\mathbf{Ab3}$ -category and let \mathcal{S} be a thick subcategory. Assume that \mathcal{S} is closed under small direct sums. For every object X in \mathcal{C} there exists a unique subobject $S(X)$ such that for every morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} with Y in \mathcal{S} we have $f(Y) \subseteq S(X)$.*

Proof. One can prove the result invoking general adjoint functor theorems [Mac Lane, 1998, Chapter V, Sections 5 and 6]. For self-containment we present the complete proof below.

Fix an object X of \mathcal{C} . Since \mathcal{C} is well-powered, the class $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ of subobjects of X that belong to \mathcal{S} is a set. Since \mathcal{S} is closed under small direct sums we derive that $\sum_{i \in I} Y_i \subseteq X$ is in \mathcal{S} . Indeed, this is the image of the canonical morphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} Y_i \longrightarrow X$$

and since \mathcal{S} is a thick subcategory closed under small direct sums, we deduce that this image is an object of \mathcal{S} . Thus $S(X) = \sum_{i \in I} Y_i$ is the largest subobject of X contained in \mathcal{S} . This implies the statement. \square

Fact 2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be an $\mathbf{Ab}3$ -category and let \mathcal{S} be a thick subcategory. Assume that \mathcal{S} is closed under small direct sums. For every X in \mathcal{C} let $S(X)$ be the largest subobject of X contained in \mathcal{S} . Then $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a left exact functor.

Proof. Left to the reader. □

3. EXISTENCE OF THE ALGEBRAIZATION

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{M} be a affine monoid k -scheme. Let $\mathcal{K} : \mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$ be an exact functor such that the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}} & \mathbf{Rep}(\mathbb{A}_k^1) \\ & \searrow \scriptstyle |-| & \swarrow \scriptstyle |-| \\ & \mathbf{Vect}_k & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then we say that \mathcal{K} is a *Kempf functor* for \mathbf{M} .

4. FORMAL \mathbf{M} -SCHEMES

Let \mathbf{M} be a affine monoid k -scheme.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a \mathbf{M} -scheme. We say that X is a *locally linear \mathbf{M} -scheme* if there exists an open cover of X consisting of affine and \mathbf{M} -stable subchemes of X .

Definition 4.2. A *formal \mathbf{M} -scheme* consists of a sequence $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbf{M} -schemes together with \mathbf{M} -equivariant closed immersions

$$Z_0 \hookrightarrow Z_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow Z_n \hookrightarrow Z_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \dots$$

satisfying the following assertions.

- (1) \mathbf{M} -scheme Z_0 is locally linear.
- (2) Let \mathcal{I}_n be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} defining Z_0 . Then for every $m \leq n$ the subscheme $Z_m \subset Z_n$ is defined by \mathcal{I}_n^{m+1} .

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are formal \mathbf{M} -schemes. Then a *morphism $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes* consists of a family of \mathbf{M} -equivariant morphisms $f = \{f_n : Z_n \rightarrow W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z_0 & \hookrightarrow & Z_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & Z_n & \hookrightarrow & Z_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \\ f_0 \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow & & & & f_n \downarrow & & f_{n+1} \downarrow & & \\ W_0 & \hookrightarrow & W_1 & \hookrightarrow & \dots & \hookrightarrow & W_n & \hookrightarrow & W_{n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. A *quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{Z}* consists of a family $(\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\phi_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n})$ such that the following are satisfied.

- (1) \mathcal{F}_n is a quasi-coherent sheaf on Z_n with \mathbf{M} -linearization.
- (2) $\phi_{n,m} : \mathcal{F}_n|_{Z_m} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m$ is an isomorphism of quasi-coherent sheaves with \mathbf{M} -linearizations for any pair $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \leq n$.

(3) The composition

$$\phi_{m,l} \cdot \phi_{n,m}|_{Z_l} : (\mathcal{F}_n|_{Z_m})|_{Z_l} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_l$$

and the morphism

$$\phi_{n,l} : \mathcal{F}_n|_{Z_l} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_l$$

are canonically isomorphic for any $n, m, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l \leq m \leq n$.

Definition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = (\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\phi_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n})$ and $\mathcal{G} = (\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\psi_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq n})$ are quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathcal{Z} . A morphism $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathcal{Z} consists of a family $\{\theta_n : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of morphisms of quasi-coherent sheaves with \mathbf{M} -linearizations such that squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_n|_{Z_m} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,m}} & \mathcal{F}_m \\ \theta_n|_{Z_m} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_m \\ \mathcal{G}_n|_{Z_m} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{n,m}} & \mathcal{G}_m \end{array}$$

are commutative for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \leq n$.

If \mathcal{Z} is a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme, then we denote by $\Omega\text{coh}(\mathcal{Z})$ its category of quasi-coherent sheaves.

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a formal \mathbf{M} -scheme. A pair (Z, \mathcal{I}) consisting of a \mathbf{M} -scheme Z together with a quasi-coherent ideal \mathcal{I} equipped with \mathbf{M} -linearization is called an *algebraization* of \mathcal{Z} if the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\mathcal{I}} = \{V(\mathcal{I}^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the category of formal \mathbf{M} -schemes.
- (2) The canonical functor $\Omega\text{coh}_{\mathbf{M}}(Z) \rightarrow \Omega\text{coh}(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\mathcal{I}})$ is an equivalence of categories.

5. REFLECTIVE TELESCOPES OF CATEGORIES AND THEIR 2-LIMITS

Definition 5.1. A diagram

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

of categories and functors is called a *telescope of categories*.

We fix a telescope \mathbb{T} of categories

$$\dots \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathcal{C}_n \xrightarrow{F_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0$$

Our goal is to construct 2-categorical limit of this diagram. Consider pairs $\mathcal{X} = (\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ such that the following assertions hold.

- (1) X_n is an object of \mathcal{C}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $u_n : F_n(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow X_n$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\mathcal{X} = (\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ are two such pairs, then a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ consists of a family $\{f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of morphisms such that squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F_n(X_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{u_n} & X_n \\
F_n(f_{n+1}) \downarrow & & \downarrow f_n \\
F_n(Y_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{w_n} & Y_n
\end{array}$$

are commutative for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This data gives rise to a category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$. Next for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a functor $\pi_n : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$ that sends a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ to $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$. Finally we define a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}} & \\
\pi_n \swarrow & \xRightarrow{\sigma_n} & \searrow \pi_{n+1} \\
\mathcal{C}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{F_n} & \mathcal{C}_n
\end{array}$$

by setting its component on $\mathcal{X} = (\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ to be $u_n : F_n(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow X_n$. Moreover, assume that \mathbb{T} consists of monoidal categories and that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ functor F_n is monoidal. Then there exists a canonical monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$. We define $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}} (-)$ by formula

$$\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}} \mathcal{Y} = (\{X_n \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_n} Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{(u_n \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_n} w_n) \cdot m_{X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

where

$$m_{X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}} : F_n(X_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1}} Y_{n+1}) \rightarrow F_n(X_{n+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_n} F_n(Y_{n+1})$$

is the tensor preserving isomorphism of F_n . We also define the unit

$$I_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}} = (\{I_{\mathcal{C}_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{F_n(I_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1}}) \cong I_{\mathcal{C}_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

where isomorphisms $F_n(I_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1}}) \cong I_{\mathcal{C}_n}$ are precisely the unit preserving isomorphisms of monoidal functors F_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The associativity natural isomorphism for $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}} (-)$ and right, left units for $I_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$ are defined as tuples of the corresponding natural isomorphisms of \mathcal{C}_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. With respect to this monoidal structure functors $\{\pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are (even strict) monoidal functors and $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are monoidal natural isomorphisms.

REFERENCES

[Mac Lane, 1998] Mac Lane, S. (1998). *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition.