

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this notes k denote a field and \mathbf{G} denote a group scheme over k . We also fix a k -scheme X equipped with an action of \mathbf{G} determined by morphism $a : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X$.

2. CATEGORICAL AND GEOMETRIC QUOTIENTS

Definition 2.1. Let $q : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of k -schemes such that the diagram

$$\mathbf{G} \times_k X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{q} Y$$

is a cokernel in the category of k -schemes. Then $q : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *categorical quotient* of X .

Definition 2.2. Consider a cokernel

$$\mathbf{G} \times_k X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{q} Y$$

in the category of locally ringed spaces over k . If Y is a scheme, then $q : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *geometric quotient* of X .

Fact 2.3. Every geometric quotient is categorical.

Proof. Categorical quotient is a cokernel in the category of k -schemes. On the other hand geometric quotient is a cokernel in the category of locally ringed spaces and hence it also satisfies cokernel property in its full subcategory of k -schemes. Thus every geometric quotient is categorical. \square

Corollary 2.4. Let $q : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) The diagram

$$\mathbf{G} \times_k X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{q} Y$$

is a cokernel diagram of underlying topological spaces and the diagram

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{q^\#} q_* \mathcal{O}_X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{q_* a^\#} \\ \xrightarrow{q_* \text{pr}_X^\#} \end{array} q_* (\text{pr}_X)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G} \times_k X} = q_* a_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G} \times_k X}$$

is a kernel diagram in the category of sheaves on Y .

(ii) q is a geometric quotient of X .

Proof. This is a consequence of [Monygham, 2019, Theorem 2.9]. \square

Let $q : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of k -schemes such that $q \cdot \text{pr}_X = q \cdot a$. For a morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ of k -schemes consider the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

Then there exists a unique action $a' : \mathbf{G} \times_k X' \rightarrow X'$ of \mathbf{G} on X' such that the square above consists of \mathbf{G} -equivariant morphism (we consider Y, Y' as \mathbf{G} -schemes equipped with trivial \mathbf{G} -actions). Keeping this in mind we have the following.

Definition 2.5. A morphism $q : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *uniform categorical (geometric) quotient* of X if for every flat morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ its base change $q' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ is a categorical (geometric) quotient of X' .

Definition 2.6. A morphism $q : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *universal categorical (geometric) quotient* of X if for every morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ its base change $q' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ is a categorical (geometric) quotient of X' .

3. TYPES OF ACTIONS AND CRITERION FOR SMOOTHNESS OF UNIVERSAL GEOMETRIC QUOTIENTS

Definition 3.1. The action of \mathbf{G} on X is *separated* if the morphism $\langle a, \text{pr}_X \rangle : \mathbf{G} \times_k X \rightarrow X \times_k X$ has closed set-theoretic image.

Theorem 3.2. Let $q : X \rightarrow Y$ be a geometric quotient of X . Assume that q is universally submersive. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) The action of \mathbf{G} on X is separated.
- (ii) Y is separated.

Proof. We have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Y X & \hookrightarrow & X \times_k X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q \times_k q \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta_Y} & Y \times_k Y \end{array}$$

It follows that $X \times_Y X \hookrightarrow X \times_k X$ is a locally closed immersion. Since q is a geometric quotient, we derive that $\langle a, \text{pr}_X \rangle$ factors as a surjective morphism $\mathbf{G} \times_k X \twoheadrightarrow X \times_Y X$ followed by the immersion $X \times_Y X \hookrightarrow X \times_k X$. Thus the action of \mathbf{G} on X is separated if and only if $X \times_Y X$ is a closed subscheme of $X \times_k X$. Since q is universally submersive, we derive that $q \times_k q$ is submersive. As the square above is cartesian we derive that $\Delta_Y(Y) \subseteq Y \times_k Y$ is closed if and only if $X \times_Y X \subseteq X \times_k X$ is closed. Therefore, Y is separated if and only if the action of \mathbf{G} on X is separated. \square

The following result which concerns complete local rings is very useful.

Definition 3.3. Let x be a k -point of X . Suppose that the morphism $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow X$ given by the composition

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G} \times_k \text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{\text{induced by } x} \mathbf{G} \times_k X \longrightarrow X$$

is a closed immersion. Then the action of \mathbf{G} on X has a *closed free orbit* at x .

Proposition 3.4. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete local noetherian k -algebra and let $\sigma : A \rightarrow A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a local morphism into a ring of formal power series over A . Assume that the composition

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma} A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f \bmod (x_1, \dots, x_n)} A$$

is the identity and the composition

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma} A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f \bmod \mathfrak{m}} (A/\mathfrak{m})[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

is surjective. Consider elements y_1, \dots, y_n of A such that $\sigma(y_i) \bmod \mathfrak{m} = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the composition

$$A \xrightarrow{\sigma} A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f \bmod (y_1, \dots, y_n)} (A/(y_1, \dots, y_n))[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. For convenience let ϕ denote the morphism given by the rule $a \mapsto \sigma(a) \bmod (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. According to assumptions we have

$$\sigma(y_i) = x_i + y_i + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot \mathfrak{m}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

for each i . Thus $\phi(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij} \cdot x_j$ where $f_{ij} \in A/(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ are elements such that the matrix $[f_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is invertible in $A/(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Hence

$$(A/(y_1, \dots, y_n))[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] = (A/(y_1, \dots, y_n))[[\phi(y_1), \dots, \phi(y_n)]]$$

and ϕ composed with $(A/(y_1, \dots, y_n))[[\phi(y_1), \dots, \phi(y_n)]] \rightarrow A/(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the quotient morphism $A \rightarrow A/(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. From this observations we derive that ϕ is surjective. It remains to prove that it is injective. Consider z in A such that $\phi(z) = 0$. Suppose that $z \in (y_1, \dots, y_n)^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Write

$$z = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} c_\alpha \cdot y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n}$$

for some $c_\alpha \in A$ where $\Lambda = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = m\}$. Hence

$$0 = \phi(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \phi(c_\alpha) \cdot \phi(y_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots \phi(y_n)^{\alpha_n}$$

Thus $\phi(c_\alpha) \in (\phi(y_1), \dots, \phi(y_n))$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since ϕ composed with $(A/(y_1, \dots, y_n))[[\phi(y_1), \dots, \phi(y_n)]] \rightarrow A/(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the quotient morphism $A \rightarrow A/(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, we derive that

$$c_\alpha \bmod (y_1, \dots, y_n) = \phi(c_\alpha) \bmod (\phi(y_1), \dots, \phi(y_n)) = 0$$

for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus $c_\alpha \in (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, which implies that $z \in (y_1, \dots, y_n)^{m+1}$. Thus we proved that

$$\phi(z) = 0 \text{ and } z \in (y_1, \dots, y_n)^m \Rightarrow z \in (y_1, \dots, y_n)^{m+1}$$

By \mathfrak{m} -adic completeness of A this implies that $\phi(z) = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$. Hence ϕ is also injective. \square

REFERENCES

[Monygham, 2019] Monygham (2019). Locally ringed spaces. *github repository*: "Monygham/Pedo-mellon-a-minno".