

# MAST20005/MAST90058: Week 9 Problems

Some useful information for many of the problems is shown at end of this problem sheet.

1. In a one-way ANOVA with  $I$  treatments and  $J$  observations per treatment, let  $\mu = I^{-1} \sum \mu_i$ .

- (a) Express  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_{..})$  in terms of  $\mu$ . (Hint:  $\bar{X}_{..} = I^{-1} \sum \bar{X}_{i.}$ )
- (b) Compute  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_{i.}^2)$
- (c) Compute  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_{..}^2)$
- (d) Compute  $\mathbb{E}(SS(T))$  and then show that

$$\mathbb{E}(MS(T)) = \sigma^2 + \frac{J}{I-1} \sum (\mu_i - \mu)^2$$

- (e) Using the result of (d), what is  $\mathbb{E}(MS(T))$  when  $H_0$  is true?  
When  $H_0$  is false, how does  $\mathbb{E}(MS(T))$  compare with  $\sigma^2$ ?
2. In an experiment to compare the tensile strengths of five different types of copper wire, four samples of each type were used. In an ANOVA, the between-groups and within-groups mean squares statistics were computed as  $MS(T) = 2573.3$  and  $MS(E) = 1394.2$  respectively. Use the F-test at a 5% significance level to test  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$  against the alternative  $H_1: \bar{H}_0$ , where  $\mu_i$  is the mean tensile strength of copper wire of type  $i$ .
  3. Consider the following partial output from a regression of the average brain and body weights for 62 species of mammals (variables are transformed on the log-scale).

```
lm(formula = brain ~ body)
```

|             | Estimate | Std. Error |
|-------------|----------|------------|
| (Intercept) | 2.13479  | 0.09604    |
| Body        | 0.75169  | 0.02846    |

```
---
```

```
Residual standard error: 0.6943 on 60 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9208, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9195
F-statistic: 697.4 on 1 and 60 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- (a) Test the null hypothesis of no association between body and brain weights at the  $\alpha = 0.01$  level of significance.
- (b) Use the following approximate distribution to obtain a test of size  $\alpha$  for the null hypothesis  $H_0: \rho = 0$  against  $H_1: \rho \neq 0$  based on  $R$ , the sample correlation coefficient.

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1+R}{1-R} \right) \approx N \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right), \frac{1}{n-3} \right)$$

- (c) What is the sample correlation coefficient for these data?
- (d) Apply the procedure in (b) to the mammals data using the significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$ .
- (e) Based on the above results, state your conclusion about the relationship between body and brain weight of mammals.

4. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. We wish to test  $H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$  against  $H_1: \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$ .
- (a) Find  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ , the maximised likelihoods under  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , that are required in order to write a likelihood ratio.
- (b) Show that the likelihood ratio test rejects  $H_0$  if  $w > c_1$  or  $w < c_2$  (for some constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ ), where  $w = \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / \sigma_0^2$ .

Some potentially helpful R output:

```
> p <- c(0.95, 0.975, 0.99, 0.995)
> qnorm(p)
[1] 1.644854 1.959964 2.326348 2.575829
> qt(p, 60)
[1] 1.670649 2.000298 2.390119 2.660283
> qchisq(p, 60)
[1] 79.08194 83.29767 88.37942 91.95170
> qf(p, 4, 15)
[1] 3.055568 3.804271 4.893210 5.802907
> qf(p, 5, 20)
[1] 2.710890 3.289056 4.102685 4.761574
> qf(p, 15, 4)
[1] 5.857805 8.656541 14.198202 20.438268
> qf(p, 20, 5)
[1] 4.558131 6.328555 9.552646 12.903488
```