MAST20005/MAST90058: Week 4 Solutions

- 1. (a) We use the sample mean and sample median as estimators for the population mean. From the R output, $\bar{x} = 10.29$ and $\hat{\pi}_{0.5} = 10.52$.
 - (b) From problem 7 in week 3, we know that:

$$\operatorname{sd}(\bar{X}) = \sqrt{\operatorname{var}(\bar{X})} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$
$$\operatorname{sd}(\hat{\pi}_{0.5}) = \sqrt{\operatorname{var}(\hat{\pi}_{0.5})} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

We can approximate both of these by substituing s = 1.159 for σ (recall that S is an estimator for σ). This gives standard errors for each of the two estimates:

$$se(\bar{x}) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.367$$
$$se(\hat{\pi}_{0.5}) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.459$$

- 2. $73.8 \pm 1.96 \times 5/4 = [71.35, 76.25]$
- 3. \bar{X} is approximately normally distributed. Using this gives: $2.09 \pm 1.96 \times 0.12/4 = [2.03, 2.15]$
- 4. \bar{X} is approximately normally distributed. Using this gives:

 $11.95 \pm 1.96 \times 11.8/\sqrt{37} = [8.148, 15.75]$ Some people prefer to use the t-distribution approximation, which is more conservative.

Since the necessary quantiles were provided, let's try it: $11.95 \pm 2.028 \times 11.8/\sqrt{37} = [8.016, 15.88]$

Note that the two are fairly similar.

5. $20.9 \pm 2.306 \times 1.858/3 = [19.47, 22.33].$

One sensible interpretation of the claim is that the average weight of a '22 kg' wheel is 22 kg (rather than claiming that every wheel is exactly 22 kg in weight). Since 22 is within our confidence interval for the mean, and the interval is relatively narrow, this claim seems to be reasonable given our data.

6. $937.4 - 988.9 \pm 1.96\sqrt{784/56 + 627/57} = [-61.3, -41.7].$

This confidence interval is very far from zero, meaning that our data show fairly strong evidence that the mean lifetimes are *not* the same.

1