## Using Neural Networks to Forecast Stock

Market Prices, Ramon Lawrence

Possible approaches:

· Technical analysis - detects trends based on previous trends and market psychology. Low predictive accuracy and time lag

· Fundamental analysis - tends to give superior long-term returns

· Time series forerasting - either univariate (e.g Box-Jenkins), which involves analysing patterns in autocorrelation, or multivoriate, to discover comsal relationships

· Efficient Mouket Hypothesis - i.e., buy and hold

· Chaos theory - the stock market is not purely random; it is massively complex and nonlinear.

Neural networks can capture nonlinearity, and can extract rules

- · Input data can take many forms. Most commonly:
  - technical indicators e.g moving owerages, RSI
  - fundamentals e.g DCF value
  - sentiment by parsing reports/media
- · Performance increases with the amount of data (generally).
- · However, in the case of time series, very old data may just add noise

## Network organisation

· Back propagation is the most common architecture

- node weights change in proportion to their error contribution

- different activations can be used. The sigmoid function is best at learning average behaviour; tanh is better for deviations.
- overfitting can be dealt with by pruning, or cross validation

backprop only occurs if this tolerance is exceeded.

Moving simulation involves constantly changing the target, learning, and prediction periods. In each iteration, the train-test-pred window moves forward in time.

· Genetic algorithms may be useful for large input dimensionalities, or for hyperpourameter optimisation (e.g deciding on NN architecture).

· Modular NNs are often made of smaller backprop nets which act

as interchangeable sutunits.

· RNNs tend to be good at dealing with temporal data.

· Self-organising systems require a lot of data but are often difficult to train and prione to overfitting.

· Hybrid systems pass NN output through an expert system

Comments

· Poorly organised: a lot of repetition within a few lines, and the ideas are presented in an illogical order

· Presents many individual results without linking ideas or adding value

· Some of the networks discussed appear to have disappeared from the literature.