

# Q1

June 17, 2020

```
[1]: import numpy as np
import tensorly as tl
from tensorly.decomposition import tucker

from IPython.core.display import display, HTML
display(HTML("<style>.container { width:100% !important; }</style>"))
```

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

## Question 1. Tensor Decomposition Reconstructions (15 points)

**Part 1.** Kruskal tensors are a way of representing tensor decompositions as a weighted sum of outer products.

$\chi = \sum_r \lambda_r U_{1r} \circ U_{2r} \circ \dots \circ U_{nr}$  for each rank of the decomposition,  $r$ , and rank of the original tensor,  $n$ .

a) Given the following rank-2 CP decomposition:

$$\lambda = (39.288 \ 10.676) \quad U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5719 & 0.1469 \\ 0.5885 & 0.9817 \\ 0.5715 & -0.1210 \end{pmatrix} \quad U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5121 & -0.4042 \\ 0.6284 & 0.5877 \\ 0.5856 & 0.7009 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5605 & -0.3179 \\ 0.4921 & -0.3682 \\ 0.6661 & 0.8737 \end{pmatrix} \quad U_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7502 & -0.9201 \\ 0.6612 & 0.3917 \end{pmatrix}$$

Write out the calculation of the first outer product  $U_{1,1} \circ U_{2,1}$

**Answer:**

$$U_{1,1} \circ U_{2,1} = U_{1,1} * U_{2,1}^T$$

$$\text{Where } U_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5719 \\ 0.5885 \\ 0.5715 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } U_{2,1}^T = (0.5121 \quad 0.6284 \quad 0.5856)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (0.5719 * 0.5121) & (0.5719 * 0.6284) & (0.5719 * 0.5856) \\ (0.5885 * 0.5121) & (0.5885 * 0.6284) & (0.5885 * 0.5856) \\ (0.5715 * 0.5121) & (0.5715 * 0.6284) & (0.5715 * 0.5856) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2929 & 0.3594 & 0.3349 \\ 0.3014 & 0.3698 & 0.3446 \\ 0.2927 & 0.3591 & 0.3347 \end{pmatrix}$$

### 0.0.1 Confirm written solution programmatically

```
[2]: u11 = np.array([0.5719, 0.5885, 0.5715])
      u21 = np.array([0.5121, 0.6284, 0.5856])
      np.outer(u11, u21)
```

```
[2]: array([[0.29286999, 0.35938196, 0.33490464],
            [0.30137085, 0.3698134 , 0.3446256 ],
            [0.29266515, 0.3591306 , 0.3346704 ]])
```

### 0.1 b) Either by hand or in code, calculate:

$$0.1.1 \quad \lambda_1 U_{1,1} \circ U_{2,1} \circ U_{3,1} \circ U_{4,1}$$

```
[3]: lam = np.array([39.288 , 10.676])

      u1 = np.array([[0.5719, 0.1469], [0.5885, 0.9817], [0.5715, -0.1210]])
      u2 = np.array([[0.5121, -0.4042], [0.6284, 0.5877], [0.5856, 0.7009]])
      u3 = np.array([[0.5605, -0.3179], [0.4921, -0.3682], [0.6661, 0.8737]])
      u4 = np.array([[0.7502, -0.9201], [0.6612, 0.3917]])
```

```
[4]: #initialize empty placeholder for outer product of the first rank
      prod1 = np.zeros((3,3,3,2))

      #extract first column from each U matrix
      u11 = u1[:,0]
      u21 = u2[:,0]
```

```

u31 = u3[:,0]
u41 = u4[:,0]

#perform outer product
for i in range(len(u41)):           #length should be 2 since there's 2
    ↪values in the columns of U4
    for j in range(len(u31)):       #length should be 3 since there's 3
        ↪values in the columns of U3
        for k in range(len(u21)):   #length should be 3 since there's 3
            ↪values in the columns of U2
            for l in range(len(u11)): #length should be 3 since there's 3
                ↪values in the columns of U1

                prod1[l][k][j][i] = u11[l] * u21[k] * u31[j] * u41[i]

#multiply outer product by the first lambda value
prod1 = prod1 * lam[0]
prod1

```

```

[4]: array([[[[4.8382407 , 4.26425586],
              [4.24781132, 3.7438721 ],
              [5.74978078, 5.06765536]],

            [[5.93702491, 5.23268577],
              [5.21250661, 4.59412073],
              [7.05557946, 6.21854058]],

            [[5.5326572 , 4.87629024],
              [4.85748547, 4.28121754],
              [6.57502758, 5.79499899]]],

          [[4.97867573, 4.38803038],
            [4.37110852, 3.85254193],
            [5.91667423, 5.2147494 ]],

          [[6.10935331, 5.38456999],
            [5.36380511, 4.72746992],
            [7.26037509, 6.39904027]],

          [[5.6932484 , 5.01782971],
            [4.99847911, 4.40548439],
            [6.76587469, 5.96320494]]],

          [[4.83485672, 4.26127335],
            [4.24484031, 3.74125355],

```

```

[5.74575925, 5.06411093]],

[[5.93287241, 5.22902591],
 [5.20886087, 4.5909075 ],
 [7.05064463, 6.21419119]],

[[5.52878753, 4.87287965],
 [4.85408804, 4.27822315],
 [6.57042886, 5.79094583]]]])

```

**0.1.2**  $\lambda_1 U_{1,2} \circ U_{2,2} \circ U_{3,2} \circ U_{4,2}$

```

[5]: #initialize empty placeholder for outer product fo the second rank
prod2 = np.zeros((3,3,3,2))

#extract second column from each U matrix
u12 = u1[:,1]
u22 = u2[:,1]
u32 = u3[:,1]
u42 = u4[:,1]

#perform outer product
for i in range(len(u42)):           #length should be 2 since there's 2
    ↪ values in the columns of U4
    for j in range(len(u32)):       #length should be 3 since there's 3
    ↪ values in the columns of U3
        for k in range(len(u22)):   #length should be 3 since there's 3
        ↪ values in the columns of U2
            for l in range(len(u12)): #length should be 3 since there's 3
            ↪ values in the columns of U1

                prod2[l][k][j][i] = u12[l] * u22[k] * u32[j] * u42[i]

#multiply outer product by the second lambda value
prod2 = prod2 * lam[1]
prod2

```

```

[5]: array([[[[-0.18541814,  0.07893521],
               [-0.21475609,  0.0914248 ],
               [ 0.50959368, -0.21694147]],

             [[ 0.26959486, -0.11477047],
               [ 0.31225174, -0.13293012],
               [-0.74094064,  0.31542925]],

```

```

[[ 0.32152295, -0.13687701],
 [ 0.3723962 , -0.1585345 ],
 [-0.88365713,  0.37618574]]],

[[[-1.23910818,  0.52750644],
 [-1.43516713,  0.6109716 ],
 [ 3.40550115, -1.44977155]],

[[ 1.80164245, -0.76698549],
 [ 2.08670887, -0.88834242],
 [-4.95154139,  2.10794344]],

[[ 2.14866631, -0.91471861],
 [ 2.48864088, -1.05945075],
 [-5.90528391,  2.51396556]]],

[[[ 0.15272699, -0.06501811],
 [ 0.17689235, -0.07530566],
 [-0.41974701,  0.17869243]],

[[-0.22206248,  0.09453524],
 [-0.25719851,  0.10949316],
 [ 0.61030509, -0.25981579]],

[[-0.26483511,  0.11274417],
 [-0.30673887,  0.13058321],
 [ 0.72785918, -0.30986028]]]])

```

### 0.1.3 $\chi$ the full reconstruction

```
[6]: X = prod1 + prod2
     X.shape
```

```
[6]: (3, 3, 3, 2)
```

```
[7]: X
```

```
[7]: array([[[[4.65282255, 4.34319107],
 [4.03305524, 3.8352969 ],
 [6.25937447, 4.85071389]],

 [6.20661977, 5.11791531],
 [5.52475835, 4.46119061],
 [6.31463882, 6.53396982]],
```

```

[[5.85418015, 4.73941323],
 [5.22988167, 4.12268304],
 [5.69137045, 6.17118473]]],

[[[3.73956755, 4.91553682],
 [2.93594139, 4.46351353],
 [9.32217538, 3.76497785]],

[[7.91099576, 4.6175845 ],
 [7.45051398, 3.8391275 ],
 [2.30883371, 8.50698371]]],

[[7.84191472, 4.10311109],
 [7.48711999, 3.34603364],
 [0.86059077, 8.47717049]]],

[[[4.98758371, 4.19625523],
 [4.42173266, 3.66594789],
 [5.32601224, 5.24280336]],

[[5.71080993, 5.32356115],
 [4.95166236, 4.70040065],
 [7.66094972, 5.9543754 ]],

[[5.26395243, 4.98562382],
 [4.54734917, 4.40880637],
 [7.29828804, 5.48108555]]]]))

```

#### 0.1.4 Use tensorly and compare results of manual calculation from library implementation

```

[8]: X_t1 = tl.krskal_to_tensor((lam, [u1,u2,u3,u4]))
      X_t1

```

```

[8]: array([[[[4.65282255, 4.34319107],
 [4.03305524, 3.8352969 ],
 [6.25937447, 4.85071389]],

[[6.20661977, 5.11791531],
 [5.52475835, 4.46119061],
 [6.31463882, 6.53396982]],

[[5.85418015, 4.73941323],

```

[5.22988167, 4.12268304],  
[5.69137045, 6.17118473]]],

[[[3.73956755, 4.91553682],  
[2.93594139, 4.46351353],  
[9.32217538, 3.76497785]]],

[7.91099576, 4.6175845 ],  
[7.45051398, 3.8391275 ],  
[2.30883371, 8.50698371]]],

[7.84191472, 4.10311109],  
[7.48711999, 3.34603364],  
[0.86059077, 8.47717049]]],

[[[4.98758371, 4.19625523],  
[4.42173266, 3.66594789],  
[5.32601224, 5.24280336]]],

[5.71080993, 5.32356115],  
[4.95166236, 4.70040065],  
[7.66094972, 5.9543754 ]],

[5.26395243, 4.98562382],  
[4.54734917, 4.40880637],  
[7.29828804, 5.48108555]]]]))

**Part 2.** A Tucker decomposition of the same original tensor is:

$$G_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 38.946 & 0.8653 \\ 0.9666 & -4.8832 \end{pmatrix} G_{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.4799 & -0.0792 \\ -1.7302 & -4.3675 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7059 & -1.6496 \\ 0.7553 & -1.1648 \end{pmatrix} G_{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 5.7493 & -3.3204 \\ -2.0019 & 7.6587 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5661 & -0.1945 \\ 0.6005 & -0.5685 \\ 0.5648 & 0.7994 \end{pmatrix} U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5031 & 0.8331 \\ 0.6345 & -0.1755 \\ 0.5867 & -0.5246 \end{pmatrix} U_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5773 & -0.3364 \\ 0.5013 & -0.5733 \\ 0.6445 & 0.7471 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7524 & -0.6587 \\ 0.6587 & 0.7524 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute the reconstruction of the Tucker decomposition.

```
[9]: g11 = np.array([[38.946, 0.8653],
                    [0.9666, -4.8832]])

g12 = np.array([[0.7059, -1.6496],
                [0.7553, -1.1648]])

g21 = np.array([[-0.4799, -0.0792],
                [-1.7302, -4.3675]])

g22 = np.array([[5.7493, -3.3204],
                [-2.0019, 7.6587]])

g = np.zeros((2,2,2,2))

g[:, :, 0, 0] = g11
g[:, :, 1, 0] = g12
g[:, :, 0, 1] = g21
g[:, :, 1, 1] = g22

g = tl.tensor(g, dtype=tl.float32)
```

```
[10]: u1 = np.array([[0.5661, -0.1945],
                     [0.6005, -0.5685],
                     [0.5648, 0.7994]])

u2 = np.array([[0.5031, 0.8331],
```



```

        [0.6345, -0.1755],
        [0.5867, -0.5246]])

u3 = np.array([[0.5773, -0.3364],
               [0.5013, -0.5733],
               [0.6445, 0.7471]])

u4 = np.array([[0.7524, -0.6587],
               [0.6587, 0.7524]])

```

### 0.1.5 Manual Calculation

```

[11]: prod = []
      for p in range(2):
          for q in range(2):
              for r in range(2):
                  for s in range(2):

                      prod.append(np.outer(np.outer(np.outer((g[p][q][r][s] * u1[:
→,p]), u2[:,q]), u3[:,r]) , u4[:,s]))

```

```

[12]: result = np.zeros(prod[0].shape)

      for i in prod:
          result += i

      result = result.reshape((3,3,3,2))
      result

```

```

[12]: array([[[[ 4.93169791,  5.29221616],
                [ 4.1998829 ,  4.88708718],
                [ 5.83543511,  4.74410601]],

               [[ 6.52414397,  4.33674962],
                [ 6.14284537,  3.09887053],
                [ 5.37677372,  7.50444614]],

               [[ 6.1225886 ,  3.3192871 ],
                [ 5.93006553,  1.95986379],
                [ 4.38583683,  7.388613  ]]],

            [[[ 4.68851114,  7.26166459],
                [ 3.57800094,  6.98710315],
                [ 7.20373333,  5.38633794]],

```

```

[[ 6.91614031,  4.26511325],
 [ 6.74001646,  2.84167726],
 [ 4.7891852 ,  8.20297477]],

[[ 6.69150931,  2.49794405],
 [ 6.89045511,  0.863456  ],
 [ 3.15897946,  8.00158859]]],

[[[ 6.40945058,  0.76002819],
 [ 6.5960878 , -0.0698415 ],
 [ 3.04148456,  3.76233945]],

[[ 6.52137912,  5.24614314],
 [ 5.51461142,  4.31375332],
 [ 7.87237581,  6.82201766]],

[[ 5.56851484,  6.11785216],
 [ 4.27055427,  5.28945591],
 [ 8.47204259,  6.92181322]]]])

```

### 0.1.6 Tensorly Calculation

```
[13]: result_t1 = t1.tucker_to_tensor((g, [u1,u2,u3,u4]))
```

```
[14]: result_t1
```

```
[14]: array([[[[ 4.93169791,  5.29221616],
 [ 4.1998829 ,  4.88708718],
 [ 5.83543511,  4.74410601]],

[[ 6.52414397,  4.33674962],
 [ 6.14284537,  3.09887053],
 [ 5.37677372,  7.50444614]],

[[ 6.1225886 ,  3.3192871 ],
 [ 5.93006553,  1.95986379],
 [ 4.38583683,  7.388613  ]]],

[[[ 4.68851114,  7.26166459],
 [ 3.57800094,  6.98710315],
 [ 7.20373333,  5.38633794]],

[[ 6.91614031,  4.26511325],
 [ 6.74001646,  2.84167726],

```

```

[ 4.7891852 ,  8.20297477]],

[[ 6.69150931,  2.49794405],
 [ 6.89045511,  0.863456  ],
 [ 3.15897946,  8.00158859]]],

[[[ 6.40945058,  0.76002819],
 [ 6.5960878 , -0.0698415 ],
 [ 3.04148456,  3.76233945]],

[[ 6.52137912,  5.24614314],
 [ 5.51461142,  4.31375332],
 [ 7.87237581,  6.82201766]],

[[ 5.56851484,  6.11785216],
 [ 4.27055427,  5.28945591],
 [ 8.47204259,  6.92181322]]]])

```

**Part 3.** The actual original tensor was:

$$X_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 9 \\ 7 & 9 & 9 \\ 4 & 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix} X_{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & 8 \\ 7 & 9 & 2 \end{pmatrix} X_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 9 & 4 \\ 10 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & 8 \end{pmatrix} X_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 8 & 7 \end{pmatrix} X_{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X_{3,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 6 & 8 \\ 5 & 9 & 8 \\ 3 & 9 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Calculate the MSE for both the CP and Tucker decompositions. Briefly discuss (2-3 sentences should be sufficient) the difference, especially regarding the relative reduction of features for each method.

```

[15]: x11 = np.array([[4,0,9],
                    [7,9,9],
                    [4,8,5]])

x21 = np.array([[7,8,2],
                [1,5,8],
                [7,9,2]])

x31 = np.array([[7,9,4],
                [10,1,2],
                [1,5,8]])

x12 = np.array([[6,5,1],
                [3,3,5],
                [1,8,7]])

```

```

x22 = np.array([[8,2,3],
                [4,3,3],
                [2,4,6]])

x32 = np.array([[6,6,8],
                [5,9,8],
                [3,9,5]])

x = np.zeros((3,3,3,2))

x[:, :, 0, 0] = x11
x[:, :, 1, 0] = x21
x[:, :, 2, 0] = x31
x[:, :, 0, 1] = x12
x[:, :, 1, 1] = x22
x[:, :, 2, 1] = x32

```

### 0.1.7 CP MSE

```
[16]: ((x - X_t1)**2).mean()
```

```
[16]: 5.033737515123824
```

### 0.2 Tucker MSE

```
[17]: ((x - result_t1)**2).mean()
```

```
[17]: 4.927798012475143
```

## 1 Result

The original Tensor had 54 parameters. After CP Decomposition, the tensor was reduced to 26 parameters from the original 54. From these parameters, we achieved a reconstruction MSE of ~5. Conversely after Tucker decomposition, we were left with 38 parameters, a reduction of 16 parameters. The reconstruction MSE was ~4.9. With ~0.1 difference in MSE, CP would be a better choice due to the fewer amount of parameters

```
[ ]:
```