Discrete Mathematics, Section 001, Fall 2016 Lecture 18: First steps in number theory.

Zsolt Pajor-Gyulai

zsolt@cims.nyu.edu

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences

November 16, 2016



Outline



Division with remainder

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b \neq 0$. There exist integers q and r such that

$$a = qb + r$$
 $0 \le r < |b|$

Moreover, there is only one such pair of integers (q, r).

q : quotient *r* : remainder

Example

Let
$$a = -37$$
 and $b = 5$. Then $q = -8$ and $r = 3$ because

$$-37 = -8 \times 5 + 3$$
 and $0 \le 3 < 5$.



Division with remainder

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b \neq 0$. There exist integers q and r such that

$$a = qb + r$$
 $0 \le r < |b|$

Moreover, there is only one such pair of integers (q, r).

Things to prove:

- There is such a pair (q, r):
 - a = qb + r
 - $0 \le r < |b|$
- There is at most one such pair.

Idea of the proof for b > 0: Keep substracting multiples of b from a, then the smallest natural number we can get like this will be r:

$$a - qb = r$$

Existence proof when b > 0

There is a pair (q, r):

- a = qb + r
- 0 < r < b

Let

$$B = \{a - bk : k \in \mathbb{Z}, a - bk \ge 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$$

and note that $B \neq \emptyset$ as

- \bullet if $a \ge 0$, then $a \in B$ (choose k = 0),
- ② if a < 0, then choose $k < \frac{a}{b}$.

Thus, the Well-Ordering Principle states that there is a least element $r \in B$. Since $r \in B$, there is a $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$r = a - bq$$

Thus a = qb + r and $r \ge 0$. It remains to show that r < b.



Existence proof when b > 0

There is a pair (q, r):

- a = qb + r
- 0 < r < b

[...]

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $r \ge b$. Then

$$a - qb = r \ge b$$

and therefore

$$r' = r - b = (a - qb) - b = a - (q + 1)b \ge 0.$$

This implies $r' \in B$, but r' < r and r was the least element of B. $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$. This finishes the existence proof.



Uniqueness proof

There is at most one pair (q, r) such that

- a = qb + r
- $0 \le r < |b|$

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there are two different pairs of numbers (q, r) and (q', r') that satisfies the conditions; that is

$$a = qb + r$$
 $0 \le r < |b|$
 $a = q'b + r'$ $0 \le r' < |b|$

Combining these

$$qb+r=q'b+r'$$
 \Rightarrow $r-r'=(q'-q)b$.

and therefore b|r-r'. But $0 \le r, r' < |b|$ and therefore

$$r = r'$$
.





Uniqueness proof

There is at most one pair (q, r) such that

- \bullet a = ab + r
- 0 < r < |b|

[...]

Thus

$$qb+r=a=q'b+r'=q'b+r \Rightarrow qb=q'b$$

and since b > 0, this implies q = q'. This means that

$$(q,r)=(q',r')$$

and therefore the two pairs weren't different. $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$. Therefore, the quotient and remainder are unique. This finishes the proof of the theorem. 4 □ > 4 □ > 4 □ > 4 □ > 4 □ > 9 Q (>

A simple corollary

Corollary

Every integer is either even or odd, but not both

Proof.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can find $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that n = 2q + r where r = 0, 1. If r = 0 then n is even and if r = 1 then n is odd.



Div and Mod

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with b > 0 and let $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the unique integers such that a = qb + r and $0 \le r < b$. Then we say

$$a \operatorname{div} b = q,$$
 $a \operatorname{mod} b = r.$

For example,

$$11 \text{ div } 3 = 3$$
 $11 \text{ mod } 3 = 2$ $23 \text{ div } 10 = 2$ $23 \text{ mod } 10 = 3$ $-37 \text{ div } 5 = -8$ $-37 \text{ mod } 5 = 3$

Q: What is the connection to the earlier definition of mod?

Proposition

Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with n > 0. Then

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow a \mod n = b \mod n.$$

Outline



Definitions

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ a **common divisor** of a and b provided d|a and d|b.

For example, if a = 30 and b = 24, then the common divisors are

$$-6, -3, -2, -1, 1, 2, 3, 6$$

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ the greatest common divisor of a and b, provided

- (1) d is a common divisor of a and b,
- (2) if e is a common divisor of a and b, then $e \le d$.

Notation: gcd(a, b)

For example, gcd(30, 24) = 6.



We assume for simplicity that *a* and *b* are positive integers. Alternative 1: Brute force

- For every positive integer k from 1 to min(a, b), check whether k|a and k|b. If so, save that number k on a list.
- Choose the largest number on the list, that is gcd(a, b). This is terribly slow.

Alternative 2: Euclidean algorithm.

- If b|a then gcd(a,b) = |b|.
- If b ∤ a, then write

$$a = bq_1 + r_1,$$
 $0 < r_1 < |b|$
 $b = r_1q_2 + r_2,$ $0 < r_2 < r_1$
 $r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3$ $0 < r_3 < r_2$
 \vdots \vdots
 $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n$ $0 < r_n < r_{n-1}$
 $r_{n-1} = r_nq_{n+1}$

This finishes in finite steps as the sequence of remainders decreases:

$$|b| > r_1 > r_2 > \cdots > r_{n-1} > r_n > 0$$

Then $gcd(a,b) = r_n$.



For example, find gcd(689, 234).

$$689 = 2 \cdot 234 + 221$$

 $234 = 1 \cdot 221 + 13$
 $221 = 17 \cdot 13$

and therefore gcd(689, 234) = 13.

Another example, find gcd(431, 29).

$$431 = 14 \cdot 29 + 25$$

$$29 = 1 \cdot 25 + 4$$

$$25 = 6 \cdot 4 + 1$$

$$4 = 4 \cdot 1$$

and therefore gcd(431, 29) = 1.



$$a = bq_1 + r_1,$$
 $0 < r_1 < |b|$
 $b = r_1q_2 + r_2,$ $0 < r_2 < r_1$
 $r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3$ $0 < r_3 < r_2$
 \vdots \vdots
 $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n$ $0 < r_n < r_{n-1}$
 $r_{n-1} = r_nq_{n+1}$

• r_n is a common divisor:

$$r_n|r_{n-1} \rightarrow r_n|r_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow r_n|b \rightarrow r_n|a$$

• r_n is a gcd: Let c|a, c|b. Then

$$c|(a-bq_1) = r_1 \to c|(b-r_1q_2) = r_2 \to \cdots \to c|(r_{n-2}-r_{n-1}q_n) = r_n$$

Both argument can be made precise by induction.

$$a = bq_1 + r_1,$$
 $0 < r_1 < |b|$
 $b = r_1q_2 + r_2,$ $0 < r_2 < r_1$
 $r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3$ $0 < r_3 < r_2$
 \vdots \vdots
 $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n$ $0 < r_n < r_{n-1}$
 $r_{n-1} = r_nq_{n+1}$

By starting the algorithm from the second line, we also proved

Proposition

Let a and b be positive integers and let $c = a \mod b$. Then

$$gcd(a, b) = gcd(a, a \mod b)$$

The Euclidean algorithm can be written as

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r_1) = gcd(r_1,r_2) = \cdots = gcd(r_n,0) = r_n$$



Recursive version of the Euclidean algorithm

Input: Positive integers a and b.

Output: gcd(a, b)

- (1) Let $c = a \mod b$.
- (2) If c = 0, then we return b and stop.
- (3) Otherwise, return gcd(b, c).

Q: What about when *a* or *b* is not a positive integer?

- Note that the list of divisors for a and -a are the same.
- Same for b and -b.

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(|a|,|b|)$$

There is only one exception when this does not help: a = b = 0.



Theorem

Let *a* and *b* be positive integers. There are $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$gcd(a,b) = ua + vb$$

First:

$$a = bq_1 + r_1 \rightarrow r_1 = a - bq_1$$

Second:

$$b = r_1 q_2 + r_2$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$r_2 = b - r_1 q_2 = b - q_2 (a - bq_1) = a(-q_2) + b(1 + q_1 q_2)$$

and one can proceed similarly until reaching $r_n = ua + vb$.

For example, find x and y integers such that

$$431x + 29y = gcd(431, 29)(= 1)$$

Write

$$431 = 14 \cdot 29 + 25$$

$$29 = 1 \cdot 25 + 4$$

$$25 = 6 \cdot 4 + 1$$

$$4 = 4 \cdot 1$$

Therefore

$$25 = 431 - 14 \cdot 29$$

$$4 = 29 - 1 \cdot 25 = 29 - 431 + 14 \cdot 29 = 15 \cdot 29 - 431$$

$$1 = 25 - 6 \cdot 4 = (431 - 14 \cdot 29) - 6(15 \cdot 29 - 431) = 7 \cdot 431 - (6 \cdot 15 + 14) \cdot 29$$
and so $x = 7$ and $y = -104$.

Relative primes

Proposition

For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ positive, gcd(a, b) is the smallest integer of the form ax + by.

Proof.

Note gcd(a, b)|(ax + by) and therefore $gcd(a, b) \le ax + by$.

Definition

Let a and b be integers. We call a and b relatively prime provided gcd(a, b) = 1.

Corollary

Let a and b be integers. There exist integers x and y such that ax + by = 1 if and only if a and b are relatively prime.

Diophantine equations

Algebraic equations involving only integers are usually called Diophantine equations.

Theorem

Let a, b, c be integers. The equation ax + by = c has integer solution if and only if gcd(a, b)|c.

Proof

 \Rightarrow Assume that the pair of integers x_0, y_0 is a solution. Then

$$gcd(a,b)|ax_0+by_0=c.$$

Diophantine equations

Algebraic equations involving only integers are usually called Diophantine equations.

Theorem

Let a, b, c be integers. The equation ax + by = c has integer solution if and only if gcd(a, b)|c.

Proof

 \Leftarrow Assume gcd(a,b)|c, i.e. there is a $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that gcd(a,b)t=c. Take $u,v\in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$gcd(a,b) = au + bv$$

and multiply by t to get

$$c = t \cdot gcd(a, b) = a(ut) + b(vt).$$

Thus x = ut and y = vt is a solution.

