

**Esercizio 1.** Let  $p(x) \subseteq L(A)$ , with  $|x| < \omega$ . Prove that if  $p(\mathcal{U})$  is infinite then it has cardinality  $\kappa$ . Show that this may not be true for all  $p(x) \subseteq L(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Esercizio 2.** Let  $\varphi(x, y) \in L(\mathcal{U})$ . Prove that the following are equivalent

1. there is a sequence  $\langle a_i : i \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_i) \subset \varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_{i+1})$  for every  $i < \omega$ ;
2. there is a sequence  $\langle a_i : i \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_{i+1}) \subset \varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_i)$  for every  $i < \omega$ .

**Esercizio 3.** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ . Prove that if the set  $\{\varphi(a; \mathcal{U}) : a \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}\}$  is infinite then it has cardinality  $\kappa$ .

**Esercizio 1.** Let  $a \in \mathcal{U}$  be such that  $\mathcal{O}(a/A) = \{a\}$ . Prove that there is a formula  $\varphi(x) \in L(A)$  such that  $\varphi(a) \wedge \exists^=1 x \varphi(x)$ .

Suggerimento: si ricordi che  $\mathcal{O}(a/A) = p(\mathcal{U})$  per  $p(x) = \text{tp}(a/A)$ .

**Esercizio 2.** Let  $\varphi(x) \subseteq L(\mathcal{U})$  be such that  $\{f[\varphi(\mathcal{U})] : f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/A)\}$  contains exactly 2 elements. Prove  $\varphi(\mathcal{U})$  is definable over *any* model  $M$  containing  $A$ .

**Esercizio 3.** Let  $p(x) \subseteq L(A)$ , with  $|x| < \omega$ . Prove that if  $p(\mathcal{U})$  is infinite then it has cardinality  $\kappa$ . Show that this may not be true if  $x$  is an infinite tuple.

Suggerimento: è sufficiente ci sia un insieme definibile con esattamente due elementi.

**Esercizio 1.** Let  $p(x) \subseteq L$  be such that  $p(\mathcal{U})$  contains just one element. Prove that there is a formula  $\varphi(x)$ , a conjunctions of formulas in  $p(x)$ , such that  $p(\mathcal{U}) = \varphi(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Esercizio 2.** Let  $p(x) \subseteq L(A)$  be such that  $\neg p(x) \leftrightarrow q(x)$  for some  $q(x) \subseteq L(B)$ . Prove that  $p(x)$  is equivalent to some conjunction of formulas in  $p(x)$ .



**Esercizio 3.** Let  $\varphi(x, y) \in L(\mathcal{U})$ . Prove that the following are equivalent

1. there is a sequence  $\langle a_i : i \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_i) \subset \varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_{i+1})$  for every  $i < \omega$ ;
2. there is a sequence  $\langle a_i : i \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_{i+1}) \subset \varphi(\mathcal{U}, a_i)$  for every  $i < \omega$ .

**Esercizio 1.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be elementarily homogeneous structures of the same cardinality  $\lambda$ . Suppose that  $M \models \exists x p(x) \Leftrightarrow N \models \exists x p(x)$  for every  $p(x) \subseteq L$  such that  $|x| < \lambda$ . Prove that the two structures are isomorphic.

Suggerimento: vedi dimostrazione di *universale + homogneo  $\Rightarrow$  ricco*

**Esercizio 2.** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ . Prove that if the set  $\{\varphi(a; \mathcal{U}) : a \in \mathcal{U}^{[x]}\}$  is infinite then it has cardinality  $\kappa$ . Does the claim remains true with a type  $p(x; z) \subseteq L$  for  $\varphi(x; z)$ ?

Suggerimento: potrebbe esserci un controesempio in  $\mathcal{U} \equiv \mathbb{N}$  nel linguaggio degli ordini.

**Esercizio 3.** Let  $\varphi(x) \subseteq L(\mathcal{U})$  be such that  $\{f[\varphi(\mathcal{U})] : f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/A)\}$  contains exactly 2 elements. Prove  $\varphi(\mathcal{U})$  is definable over *any* model  $M$  containing  $A$ .