Development of a BiFactor Engagement Measure

- ² Morgan Russell¹, Casey Osorio-Duffoo², Renata Garcia Prieto Palacios Roji¹, & John Kulas¹
- ¹ Montclair State University
- ² Harver

1

5

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein
- 7 must be indented, like this line.
- Enter author note here.
- ⁹ Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Morgan Russell, Postal
- address. E-mail: my@email.com

BIFACTOR ENGAGEMENT

2

Abstract

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a 12

scientist in any discipline. 13

Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to scientists 14

in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular 16

study. 17

11

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or their 18

equivalent). 19

Two or three sentences explaining what the main result reveals in direct comparison 20

to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous

knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**. 23

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to

a scientist in any discipline. 25

26

Keywords: keywords

Word count: X 27

28

Development of a BiFactor Engagement Measure

The roots of employee (aka work; e.g., W. Schaufeli & Bakker, 2010) engagement research likely started with theoretical expansions of forms of employee participation (see, for example, Ferris & Hellier, 1984) and job involvement (e.g., Elloy, Everett, & Flynn, 1991). This exploration extended into broader considerations of attitudes and emotions (Staw, Sutton, & Pelled, 1994) and were informed by further exploration of the dimensionality of constructs such as organizational commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1991). The 1990's saw focused development and refinement (for example, a dissertation; Leone (1995) or actual semantic reference; Kahn (1990)). Staw, Sutton, and Pelled (1994) investigated the relationships between positive emotions and favorable work outcomes, and although they do not use the word, "engagement," their distinction between felt and expressed emotion likely held influence upon the burgeoning interest in the engagement construct.

Kahn (1990) described engaged employees as being physically involved, cognitively vigilant, and emotionally connected. Although occasionally referred to as residing on the opposing pole to burnout (Christina Maslach & Leiter, 2008), these two constructs are currently most commonly conceptualized as being distinct (Timms, Brough, & Graham, 2012; kim_burnout_2009?; goering2017not?; schaufeli2008workaholism?), although certainly not universally (Cole, Walter, Bedeian, & O'Boyle, 2012; Taris, Ybema, & Beek, 2017). (goering2017not?) explore nomological networks, concluding that these two constructs have a moderate (negative) association, but also distinct nomological networks. (schaufeli2008workaholism?) investigated both internal and external association indicators, concluding that engagement and burnout (as well as workaholism) should be considered three distinct constructs.

Burnout can be defined as a psychological syndrome characterized by exhaustion (low energy), cynicism (low involvement), and inefficacy (low efficacy), which is experienced in response to chronic job stressors (e.g., Leiter & Maslach, 2004; C. Maslach & Leiter, 1997).

- Alternatively, engagement refers to an individual worker's involvement and satisfaction as well as enthusiasm for work (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002). W. B. Schaufeli and Bakker (2003) further specify a "positive, fulfilling, work-related state of mind that is characterized by vigor, dedication, and absorption" (p. 74). Via their conceptualization, vigor is described as high levels of energy and mental resilience while working. Dedication refers to being strongly involved in one's work and experiencing a sense of significance, enthusiasm, inspiration, pride, and challenge. Absorption is characterized by being fully concentrated and happily engrossed in one's work, whereby time passes quickly and one has difficulties with detaching oneself from work (Wilmar B. Schaufeli, Salanova, González-Romá, & Bakker, 2002). This absorption element has been noted as being influenced in conceptual specification by (csikszentmihalyi1990flow?)'s concept of "flow."
- Regarding measurement, Gallup is widely acknowledged as an early pioneer in the measurement of the construct (see, for example, Coffman & Harter, 1999). The Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES) is another self-report questionnaire developed by W. B. Schaufeli and Bakker (2003) that directly assesses the vigor, dedication, and absorption elements.
- we need to do some market research on the Q12: 1. what's the feedback report look like? (google images show one overall "satsifaction" score and/or one overall "engagement" score), 2. how much does it cost, 3. what are the 200 pulse items Gallup refers to? (6/7/21)
- Our conceptualization of work engagement is a mental state wherein employees...
- ...feel energized (Vigor)

77

- ...are enthusiastic about the content of their work and the things they do

 (Dedication)
 - ... are so immersed in their work activities that time seems compressed (Absorption)

78 Methods

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study.

81 Participants

82 Material

83 Procedure

Looking into the specification of polychoric covariances (Jöreskog, 1994). This seems to be not very commonly leveraged (only package that seems to estimate these is semPlot)

86 Data analysis

We used R [Version 4.0.5; R Core Team (2021)] and the R-packages dplyr [Version 1.0.6; Wickham, François, Henry, and Müller (2021)], kableExtra [Version 1.3.4; Zhu (2021)], lavaan [Version 0.6.8; Rosseel (2012)], papaja [Version 0.1.0.9997; Aust and Barth (2020)], sem [Version 3.1.11; Fox, Nie, and Byrnes (2020); Epskamp (2019)], and semPlot [Version 1.1.2; Epskamp (2019)] for all our analyses.

92 Results

93 Discussion

94 References

- Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). papaja: Create APA manuscripts with R Markdown.

 Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja
- Coffman, C., & Harter, J. (1999). A hard look at soft numbers. *Position Paper*, *Gallup Organization*.
- Cole, M. S., Walter, F., Bedeian, A. G., & O'Boyle, E. H. (2012). Job burnout and employee engagement: A meta-analytic examination of construct proliferation.

 Journal of Management, 38(5), 1550–1581.
- Elloy, D. F., Everett, J. E., & Flynn, W. R. (1991). An examination of the correlates
 of job involvement. *Group & Organization Studies*, 16(2), 160–177.

 https://doi.org/10.1177/105960119101600204
- Epskamp, S. (2019). semPlot: Path diagrams and visual analysis of various SEM

 packages' output. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semPlot
- Ferris, R., & Hellier, P. (1984). Added value productivity schemes and employee participation. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources, 22(4), 35–44.

 https://doi.org/10.1177/103841118402200406
- Fox, J., Nie, Z., & Byrnes, J. (2020). Sem: Structural equation models. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=sem
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F., & Hayes, T. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship
 between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A
 meta-analysis. The Journal of Applied Psychology.
- https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.2.268

- Jöreskog, K. G. (1994). On the estimation of polychoric correlations and their asymptotic covariance matrix. *Psychometrika*, 59(3), 381–389.
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692–724.
- Leiter, M., & Maslach, C. (2004). Areas of worklife: A structured approach to
 organizational predictors of job burnout. In Research in occupational stress and
 well-being (Vol. 3, pp. 91–134). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1479-3555(03)03003-8
- Leone, D. R. (1995). The relation of work climate, higher order need satisfaction,

 need salience, and causality orientations to work engagement, psychological

 adjustment, and job satisfaction (PhD thesis). ProQuest Information & Learning.
- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. (1997). What causes burnout. Maslach C, Leiter MP. The

 Truth About Burnout: How Organizations Cause Personal Stress and What to Do

 about It. San Francisco, CA: Josey-Bass Publishers, 38–60.
- Maslach, Christina, & Leiter, M. P. (2008). Early predictors of job burnout and engagement. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(3), 498–512.
- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61–89.
- R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from

 https://www.R-project.org/
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal*of Statistical Software, 48(2), 1–36. Retrieved from
 https://www.jstatsoft.org/v48/i02/

- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2003). UWES-utrecht work engagement scale:

 Test manual. Unpublished Manuscript: Department of Psychology, Utrecht

 University, 8.
- Schaufeli, Wilmar B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002).

 The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor
 analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3(1), 71–92.
- Schaufeli, W., & Bakker, A. (2010). The conceptualization and measurement of work engagement. In W. Schaufeli, A. Bakker, & M. Leiter (Eds.), Work engagement:

 A handbook of essential theory and research (pp. 10–24). New York: Psychology Press.
- Staw, B. M., Sutton, R. I., & Pelled, L. H. (1994). Employee positive emotion and favorable outcomes at the workplace. *Organization Science*, 5(1), 51–71.
- Taris, T. W., Ybema, J. F., & Beek, I. van. (2017). Burnout and engagement:

 Identical twins or just close relatives? *Burnout Research*, 5, 3–11.
- Timms, C., Brough, P., & Graham, D. (2012). Burnt-out but engaged: The

 co-existence of psychological burnout and engagement. *Journal of Educational*Administration, 50(3), 327–345.
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., & Müller, K. (2021). *Dplyr: A grammar of*data manipulation. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr
- Zhu, H. (2021). kableExtra: Construct complex table with 'kable' and pipe syntax.

 Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=kableExtra