

PROJECT DELIVERABLE I REPORT

Cyber Attack Detection - Project 4

UG Team 6


Aliya Flanigan, Morgan Harrison, Linda Valencia, Michelle Yin

Project Description

Data analytics plays an important role in Cyber Security. Data is collected from computers, networks, cloud systems, etc., which needs to be evaluated when attempting to identify impending attacks. With the assistance of data analytics, early detection of this is possible and provides a chance to subside threats before illegal breaches have occurred or can occur. The data that was provided displayed numerical features that were extracted from network flows and used to classify each network activity as either a benign activity or a type of attack, with a total of 8 distinct attack types and 1 benign activity type. There were a total of 760,000 samples and 78 predictors. It was necessary to remove 10 of the 78 predictor variables due to the values being NAN or zero. This is further described in the data visualization and data pre-processing sections. The objective of this project is to appropriately predict the type(s) of attack(s) based on a series of relevant predictor and response variables. In order to successfully accomplish this objective, one must initially visualize the data to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the raw information and data pre-processing and splitting techniques should be implemented. Following this, it was appropriate to implement and execute multinomial logistic regression to determine the variables that may or may not be threatening to the overall system and assist in the classification of said variables. The results of the model and its analysis need to be visually presented for complete understanding and further use in assistance in preventing possible attacks.

Data Visualization

Following the project interpretation and preceding the data pre-processing and splitting techniques; it was necessary to gain a better understanding of the raw data that was first collected and then analyzed. This was first initialized by establishing a bar chart (*Figure 1*) to comprehend and evaluate the flexibility of the data and also gain a visualization across the categories. Following the bar chart, a pie chart (*Figure 2*) was set up to determine the composition of the data as a whole and more easily identify the different predictor variables (proportionally) compared to other predictor variables. From this, it was easy to determine that most of the significant predictor variables were benign, but further visualization was still necessary. In order to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the data set and its correlational interactions, a correlation matrix (*Figure 3*) was applied. After initial analysis, it was more appropriate to first remove all columns with "NAN" and zero values to better correlate the data. This was then followed by a second correlation matrix (*Figure 4*) that helped identify predictor variables that were highly correlated (positive linear relationship), thus implying that when certain variables interact with one another they result in consistent specific outcomes. Following the implementation of two correlation matrices, a heat map (*Figure 5*) was utilized to represent the frequency of all the different predictor variables in two dimensions. This provided an easier illustration of the relationship interactions in conjunction with the correlation matrices previously established. Lastly, a pair plot (*Figure 6*) was established to further understand these correlations by allowing the interpretation of trends and patterns within the correlated data set. Additionally, it provided some insight for outliers within the dataset that may need to be normalized, further scaled, or dropped in the model.



Data Pre-Processing

To make sure the raw data was suitable for analysis, it was necessary to perform feature extraction and scale the data. In order to perform feature extraction, it was necessary to remove the "NAN" and zero values from the data, in order to create a structured dataset that would be suitable for statistical analysis. If a predictor indicates that 700,000 plus rows are zero, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the data. Furthermore, min-max scaling was used to scale the data, which involved bringing the values of variables into a common range and scale of zero to one. This method helped preserve the shape of the distribution and maintain the relative distance between values. By implementing this approach, the analysis was able to keep important information, such as the presence of outliers, skewness, and the distance between values.

Data Splitting

In this study, a test set size of 0.25 was chosen for data splitting using the `train_test_split` function in order to allocate 25% of the data for model evaluation and to ensure a sufficient amount of training data to avoid overfitting. However, the model was executed using various testing sizes

to verify the accuracy of the model. On the following page, there is a visual representation of the results for the test size and accuracy of the data splitting.

Test Size	Accuracy
15%	0.9546102890345389
20%	0.9537569978145161
25%	0.9549986611432262

Model Selection

As the training data set is classified as a classification model, it could be associated as a binary logistic regression, multinomial logistic regression, or ordinal logistic regression. As the target variable has more than three nominal categories, the best fit is multinomial logistic regression. To account for the large dataset, the penalty on L2 and solver on SAG were specified. This penalty provides a smoother and more stable solution for large numbers of training samples. Moreover, L2 handles correlated predictors better than L1 which could lead the model to have a more accurate prediction. SAG is useful for large data sets, as it maintains a running average of past gradient values and uses them to update the model parameters, providing faster convergence and better generalization performance than other solvers. Furthermore, it was defined as the maximum number of iterations by creating a balance between the performance of the model and the computational time.

Resampling Method Utilized during Training

After validating the model through a data splitting of 25%, the model resulted in an accuracy of 96%. Then, stratified K-fold cross-validation was performed, as it was noticed that a larger percentage of the target values belonged to “BENIGN” (76.6%) and “DoS Hulk” (20.2%). Given that six classes accounted for 3.8% of the target values for all of the samples, the goal was to preserve the proportion of each class in the original dataset. Therefore, after executing the stratified K-fold cross-validation, the following scores were obtained:

```
Cross Validation Scores are [0.94350594  0.94198333  0.94645857
0.93984301 0.94329518 0.96255119 0.96232805 0.96161924 0.96133046
0.96190801]
Average Cross Validation score :0.952482298790731
```


Performance Metric for Prediction Accuracy of the Model

Accuracy was chosen as one of the performance metrics for this project to evaluate the prediction accuracy of the classification model. It measures the proportion of correctly classified instances out of the total number of instances, providing an intuitive and easily interpretable measure of the model's performance. The accuracy obtained for the data without scaling was 87%, when performing standard scaler the accuracy resulted in 95%, and then executing min-max scaler the final accuracy resulted in 96%.

The report presents the results of precision and recall. The precision value obtained was 0.96 using average=micro and 0.70 using average=macro, whereas the recall value obtained was 0.96 using average=micro and 0.57 using average=macro. Micro averaging calculates the metrics globally by counting the total true positives, false negatives, and false positives and then computing precision and recall from these counts. This method might be useful when having imbalanced datasets (one class is more dominant than others), which is the case for this dataset. On the other hand, macro averaging calculates the metrics for each class separately and then

averages them, giving equal weight to each class. This method is useful when having a balanced evaluation of the model's performance across all classes.

Visual Representation of Results



Conclusion

In conclusion, the use of a min-max scaler proved to be helpful in increasing the accuracy of the model. The scaling technique allowed the model to learn the patterns in the data more effectively by bringing all the features to the same scale. As a result, the model was able to make better predictions, leading to an increase in accuracy. The results also revealed that the precision and recall values with micro averaging are equivalent, indicating a satisfactory model performance across all classes. However, using macro averaging demonstrates lower precision and recall for some classes. Additionally, it was recognized that the model was unable to predict any value for the class 'Heartbleed', implying that the dimensions of the dummy variables needed for the augmentation of the ROC curve were not consistent. Therefore, further enhancement in the model's performance on those classes is necessary and appropriate for attaining a more advanced model.