Database Storage

Resources

Architecture of a Database System (Chapter 5)
 https://dsf.berkeley.edu/papers/fntdb07-architecture.pdf

Postgres documentation

https://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/storage-page-layout.html

Oracle documentation

https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E11882_01/server.112/e40540/physical.htm#CNCPT 1389

Destiny of Data: Queries

What happens when we run a query?

Are all queries "equal" ?

Are all systems good at answering queries?


What happens when we run a query?

- Well, the data is read and the query evaluated
- Where is data read from ?
 - Disk
 - Data is persistent
 - It may not fit in memory

(but there are exceptions)

- Where is the query computed?
 - CPU
 - At query time, data moves "up" from disk to CPU registers

What happens when we run a query?




Memory: the state of affairs

SOURCES: https://jcmit.net/diskprice.htm

	Speed (Read/Write)	Cost/MB	
Cache	L1 read 3TB/s	~1000\$/GB	fastest and most costly storage; volatile; managed by computer hardware
RAM	DDR4 read ~25GB/s	~10\$/GB	~100x slower & cheaper than cache
Disk	SSD read ~0.5GB/s	~0.2\$/GB	Primary medium for the long-term storage of data

Memory: the state of affairs



 Data may not fit in memory, and DBMS architecture is specifically designed to account for this

The Query-Evaluation "Game"

- Compute answers to queries on :
 - (Possibly large) volumes of data stored on disk
 - Limited (but fast) memory

Within a useful time

(useful for the user/application)

- To "win the game", one needs to devise a <u>strategy</u> for :
 - Organizing data
 - Moving data from disk to memory
 - Optimizing query computation

Data Layout : Postgres Demo

So what is Postgres doing?

https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/bbm%3A978-1-4302-0018-5%2F1.pdf

- Postgres stores table data in multiple files.
 - each file can grow up to 1GB (design choice of the Postgres system)
- A file stores a set of database records.
- Records are partitioned into <u>fixed-length storage units</u> called <u>blocks</u>.


default size (tunable): 8KB (maximum Postgres 32K)


each block-id have a 32-bit integer ID (allows ~2 billion blocks)

max table size : #blocks x block size (16TB to 64TB)

- Blocks are units of both storage allocation and data transfer.
 - Neither single records (as one may think at first), nor files are transferred from disk to memory: blocks!


What happens when we run a query?






(2018) 4th european company 100+ million passengers

300+ destinations




```
SELECT * #user profile data
FROM users_table
WHERE user_ID = 2309
```



```
SELECT * #user profile data
FROM users_table
WHERE user_ID = 2309
```

Mem



```
SELECT * #user profile data
FROM users_table
WHERE user_ID = 2309
```

Mem


block 1

Assume client record 100 Bytes
Assume block size 8K => 80 clients per block
Assume 30M registered accounts / 80 => 375K blocks
1 file maximum 125K blocks => 3 files

block 125 000

Disk

```
SELECT * #user profile data
FROM users_table
WHERE user_ID = 2309
```



```
SELECT *
                         #user profile data
   FROM users table
   WHERE user ID = 2309
        block 1
                          block 2
Mem
                 user_table_fi 1 ~ 107 rows
        block 1
                          block 2
                       2309@Alice@...
                       2311@Bob@...
                                         block 125 000
                       2321@Charles@..
Disk
```

```
SELECT *
                         #user profile data
   FROM users table
   WHERE user ID = 2309
Mem
                 user_table_file_1 ~ 107 rows
        block 1
                          block 2
                      2309@Alice@...
                      2311@Bob@...
                                         block 125 000
                      2321@Charles@..
Disk
```

```
SELECT *
                           #user profile data
   FROM users table
   WHERE user ID = 2309
                        2309@Alice@...
                        2311@Bob@...
Mem
                        2321@Charles@..
                                 1 \sim 10^7 \text{ rows}
                  user_table_fi
         block 1
                            blo
                        2309@Alice@...
                        2311@Bob@...
                                            block 125 000
                        2321@Charles@..
Disk
```

```
SELECT *
                         #user profile data
   FROM users table
   WHERE user ID = 2309
                       2309@Alice@...
                       2311@Bob@...
Mem
                       2321@Charles@..
                 user_table_file_1 ~ 107 rows
        block 1
                           block 2
                       2309@Alice@...
                       2311@Bob@...
                                          block 125 000
                       2321@Charles@..
Disk
```

SELECT * #user profile data

FROM users_table

WHERE user_ID = 2309



```
SELECT * #user profile data
FROM users_table
WHERE user_ID = 2309 #PK
```

In reality DB use indexes!!

index

ROW for user ID = 2309

block 7459 offset 45

```
Mem
```

```
block 1 block 2

2309@Alice@...
2311@Bob@...
2321@Charles@..
```

Disk

```
SELECT * #user profile data
FROM users_table
WHERE user_ID = 2309 #PK
```

In reality DB use indexes!!

```
index
                                        ROW for user ID = 2309
Mem
                                         block 7459 offset 45
                   user_table_file_1 ~ 107 rows
         block 1
                             block 2
                          2309@Alice@...
                          2311@Bob@...
                                                  block n
                          2321@Charles@..
Disk
```

```
SELECT * #user profile data
FROM users_table
WHERE user_ID = 2309 #PK
```

In reality DB use indexes!!

```
index
                           2309@Alice@...
                                               for user ID = 2309
                           2311@Bob@...
Mem
                                              ock 7459 offset 45
                           2321@Charles@..
                                      1 \sim 10^7 \text{ rows}
                    user table fi
          block 1
                                blo
                           2309@Alice@...
                           2311@Bob@...
                                                  block 125 000
                           2321@Charles@..
Disk
```




SELECT SUM (price)

FROM tickets table

WHERE year = NOW.year

SELECT SUM (price) Analytics FROM tickets table case WHERE year = NOW.year index on PK each block i is useless here Mem tickets_table_file block 1 block 2 block n Disk



Quiz: how many files and blocks/year with a 40 Byte ticket record in Postgres? Assume 100M tickets/year.

The Query-Evaluation "Game"

- Blocks are units of both storage allocation and data transfer.
 - Neither single records (as one may think at first),
 nor files are transferred from disk to memory :
 blocks!

The Query-Evaluation "Game"

- To "win the game" the DB seeks to minimize the number of block transferred from disk to memory
 - avoid loading a block twice
 - avoid loading useless blocks
 - keep as many blocks as possible in main memory
 - Locality principle
 - reduce the number of disk accesses

Is Postgres showing us the universal strategy?

https://dsf.berkeley.edu/papers/fntdb07-architecture.pdf

Spatial control of data: where data is placed on disk

- Use the typical OS file system facilities (like Postgres)
- Interact directly with the device drivers for the disks (raw disk acces)

Crux: sequential access to disk blocks is between 10 and 100 times faster than random access.

Current solution: allocate 1 large file controlled via OS

Is Postgres showing us the universal strategy?

https://dsf.berkeley.edu/papers/fntdb07-architecture.pdf

Temporal control of data: when data gets physically written to disk

- Use the typical OS file system facilities (like Postgres)
- Interact directly with the device drivers for the disks (raw disk acces)

Crux: OS buffering can confound the intention of the DBMS by silently **postponing or reordering writes**

Current solution: use specific APIs provided by OS


Header

Free space

Records

number of record entries: N Header Free space Records Record 1 Record N

number of record entries: N Header end of free space in the block Free space Records Record N Record 1




- Records are stored sequentially (row-oriented)
 - but in the last 10 years some type of OLAP systems turned to column-oriented

- Records can be moved around within a page to keep them contiguous with no empty space between them
 - if this happens, entry in the header must be updated.

Record organization

https://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.0/storage-page-layout.html




 Postgres: fixed-size header (~23 bytes), followed by optional null bitmap, optional object ID field, user data

Record organization


- Most of records are variable length
 - they occur as soon as one uses the varchar type
- Attributes are stored in order
 - Following the CREATE TABLE statement
- Variable length attributes can be represented by fixed size (offset, length), with actual data stored after all fixed length attributes
 - Efficient for searching a field in the middle of the row

Record organization




 Variable length attributes represented by fixed size (offset, length)
 with actual data stored after all fixed length attributes

Summing up





Summing up


https://www.sqlite.org/fileformat.html#:~:text=The%20first%20100%20bytes%20of%20the%20database%20file%20comprise%20the,first%20(big%2Dendian).



What happens when we run a query?







Oracle block size recommendations

http://www.dba-oracle.com/s_oracle_blockk_size.htm

2 KB or 4 KB: for online transaction processing (OLTP) or mixed workload 8 KB, 16 KB, or 32 KB: for decision support system / OLAP workload environments

Smaller block size	Larger block size
 Good for small rows with lots of random access. Reduces block contention 	 Has lower overhead, so there is more room to store data. Permits reading several rows into the buffer cache with a single I/O (depending on row size and block size). Good for sequential access or very large rows (such as LOB data).
 Has relatively large space overhead due to metadata (that is, block header). Not recommended for large rows. There might only be a few rows stored for each block, or worse, row chaining if a single row does not fit into a block 	 Wastes space in the buffer cache, if you are doing random access to small rows and have a large block size. For example, with an 8 KB block size and 50 byte row size, you waste 7,950 bytes in the buffer cache when doing random access. Not good for index blocks used in an OLTP environment, because they increase block contention on the index leaf blocks.

Buffer Management

Things we did not cover

- Buffering
 - part of memory holding blocks

- Buffer management
 - block-replacement policies (LRU/MRU, etc..)

Summing up

- Databases physical organization store records in blocks that are moved from disk to memory
- Performances depend on block movement
- Factors that impact block movement are :

```
Of course, DBMS architecure (system)
```

- The type of query (user)
- The relational schema design (user)
 - We will see the importance of "star-schemas"
- Tuning (eg., indexes) (DB admin)
- Optimizations (system)

Types of Queries

- Not all queries are equal. They may differ by:
 - Result cardinality (number of answers)
 - Selectivity (fraction of data really needed for evaluation)
 - Complexity (number of joins / conditions / nesting...)

 Different applications, different types of queries, different DBMS (relational, datawarehouse, NOSQL, Hadoop, etc)