Document number: DXXXX	
<b>Date:</b> 2015-07-28	
<b>Project:</b> The C++ Programming Language, Core Working Grow	up
<b>Title:</b> Unary Folds and Empty Parameter Packs, version 2	
$\textbf{Reply-to:} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{Thibaut Le Jehan } \langle \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{lejehan.thibaut@gmail.com} \hspace{0.1cm} \rangle$	
Table of Contents	
I Introduction	
II Motivation and Scope	
III Alternative solutions 4	
IV Wording	
V Acknowledgements	
Bibliography 9	

#### I Introduction

This document revises N4358 [1]. While the proposed resolution is exactly the same, this paper explores other solutions and explain why they wouldn't work in practice. N4358 aimed to remove from the standard some of the operators from the table "Value of folding empty sequences" proposed in N4295, Folding expressions [2]. We still propose to remove operator+, operator\*, operator\* and operator from the aforementioned table. The overall goal is to reduce an unexpected and silent behaviour of unary folds while keeping the design space open for later additions.

### II Motivation and Scope

The purpose of allowing empty parameter packs in *unary folds* is to allow users not to have to write binary folds for the simplest cases. However, whatever is the true intent of the users, there is only one specific type which will always be returned for a given operator when the parameter pack in the unary fold is empty. Let us consider the following sum function:

```
template<typename... Args>
auto sum(Args... args)
{
    return (args + ...);
}
```

Writing such a function is easy, and it does what it is expected to do most of the time. However, it will always return the integer 0 when args is empty. While generally not a problem, if a function has an overload taking a parameter of the expected return type of sum and another overload taking an int parameter, it may be a problem. Let us demonstrate it with the following piece of code:

```
VectorType vec = { 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 };
// do things with vec
```

```
// ...

vec = sum(some_vecs...);
```

It is common for container classes to overload operator+ for concatenation. That is for example what std::string does. However, some container classes such as Eigen's [3] Array may also overload operator= to fill container with a given scalar value. With such a class, the piece of code above will do what it is expected to do almost everytime, but will silently fill vec with 0 when some\_vecs is empty instead of assigning an empty vector to it, which would be the expected behaviour.

This unexpected behaviour being silent, finding errors linked to it might be rather difficult. On the other hand, if we decide that the program above is ill-formed when some\_vecs is empty, the potential problem will be obvious when it arises. Note that, even with that change, simple things remain rather simple:

Since the fix is *that* simple, we consider that removing the special behaviour of operator+ with regards to *unary folds* and empty parameter packs may help to catch silent errors while it won't remove any expressive power to fold expressions. We also propose to remove this special behaviour from operator\*, operator& and operator to avoid potential surprises.

That said, we feel that it is worth keeping the special behaviour of operator&&, operator|| and operator, with unary folds and empty parameter packs. You can find the rationale about this choice in the discussion about alternative design solutions to the problem.

#### III Alternative solutions

Before chossing the resolution proposed above, we explored a range of alternative solutions. Some were based on thought that it would be possible to have the cake and eat it too, and other were even less flexible than the proposed resolution.

#### A generic solution based on identity elements

First of all, we analyzed the rationale behind the default values provided when an empty parameter pack is given to an *unary fold*. It seems that the chosen value for an operation represents the identity element [6] for the groupoid whose set is the most commonly used together with the operation. That's why addition and multiplication return an integer (0 is the identity element for the integer addition and 1 is the identity element for the integer multiplication), the bitwise operations return unsigned integers and logicial operations return boolean values.

Therefore, our first thought was to try to generalize the idea of identity elements to user-defined types for a given operation. The problem is that an empty unary fold does not know the type of the elements it is supposed to perform operations on, it only knows about the operation it has to perform. A solution would have been to have a fold expression return an empty\_fold object when given an empty parameter pack that could have been contextually converted to the identity element for a given type. Here is how such an object could be implemented:

```
template<typename BinaryFunction>
struct empty_fold
{
   template<typename T>
   constexpr operator T() const
   {
```

```
return identity_element<T, BinaryFunction>;
}
```

In this piece of code, identity\_element is a variable template which can be specialized for any type/operation pair for which an ientity element makes sense. It would allow to write code like this:

```
int a = (integers + ...); // 0
double b = (... * reals); // 1.0
std::complex<float> c = (numbers * ...); // 1.0f + 0.0if
std::string d = (... + strings); // ""s
```

However, this solution adds more problems than it solves: it means that empty folds are not typed but return a type that is only contextually convertible to other types. Moreover, it would require a way to represent functions as objects for the sole purpose of template specialization and would require a mapping between the supported operators and the equivalent function objects. The behavior would still be surprising with auto.

In the end, it does not solve any problems, adds many rules, require implementers and users to care about identity elements and still lets many cases undefined. The whole thing is too complex and not really useful outside of the mathematical realm. In order to test the thing, we still a developed a library [7] to emulate unary folds with this identity elements mechanism. It also adds support for left and right identity elements but only highlights how complex the solution is for a mere folding mechanism.

## Deducing the return type when possible

Another solution was to deduce to return type from the empty fold when possible and to make the program ill-formed when it is not possible. For example, the return type of such an empty fold would have been known:

```
// res is an std::string
auto res = (std::string(args) + ...);
```

This solution would also have worked nice with N4072, Fixed Size Parameter Packs [8]:

```
template<std::size_t N>
int sum_ints(int...[N] ints)
{
    return (ints + ...);
}
int a = sum_ints(1, 2, 3); // 6
int b = sum_ints(); // 0, identity element of int
    // with addition
```

However, even though we don't have data about it, we think that the cases where the type of an empty fold would be known wouldn't be the majority of the cases. And it would still only work for types that have an identity element, requiring users to know what it means and which type/operation pairs actually have an identity element. More rules, little benefit; we didn't think this solution was appropriate either.

### Removing more operators from the table

The previous sections explain why we didn't choose a generic or clever solution. Another question is: why didn't choose a more radical solution, namely deleting the whole table instead of letting three of its lines live.

There are few well-known uses for an overloaded operator; the most common one is for assigning a sequence of values (see Boost.Assign [4] and OpenCV's Mat [5]). This kind of assignment should know be achieved with initializer lists, making thi use case obsolete. Another use was to bypass the fact that operator[] can only take on parameter; people nowadays also use initializer

lists to "pass" several parameters to operator[]. If not implicitly defaulted to void(), the default value for operator, in a fold expression is rather awkward to write and not pretty either; people will probably expect (args , ...) not to do anything but still be valid when args is an empty parameter pack, and we think that it's how it should be. We wouldn't consider this to be a surprising behavior.

The story for operator&& and operator|| is a little bit different: these operators are known as boolean operators and are generally expected to work with bool and only bool. Moreover, it is generally considered bad practice to overload these operators since lazy evaluation does not happen anymore when these operators are overloaded; overloading operator bool instead is the preferred way to do things. Some compilers such as g++ can even produce a warning (-Weffc++) when these operators are overloaded for this very reason. We believe that having default values for these operators wouldn't surprise any user and wouldn't mak the code unclear.

For these reasons, we decided that the default values chosen for operator&&, operator|| and operator, were reasonable and not surprising and should therefore be kept in the standard instead of being removed altogether with the other operators.

# IV Wording

#### 14.5.3 Variadic templates [temp.variadic]

Delete the following lines from Table N (deleted lines in blue):

Table N. Value of folding empty sequences

Operator	Value when parameter pack is empty
*	4
+	int()
<del>&amp;</del>	<del>-1</del>
+	<del>int()</del>
&&	true
11	false
,	void()

## V Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Jens Maurer, Andrew Sutton and Richard Smith for the feedback about the proposal and the helpful advice.

# Bibliography

- [1] T. Le Jehan. N4358, unary folds and empty parameter packs. [Online]. Available: http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2015/n4358.pdf
- [2] A. Sutton and R. Smith. N4295, folding expressions. [Online]. Available: https://isocpp.org/files/papers/n4295.html
- [3] Eigen c++ template library. [Online]. Available: http://eigen.tuxfamily.org/index.php?title=Main\_Page
- [4] T. Ottosen. Boost assignment library. [Online]. Available: http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1\_57\_0/libs/assign/doc/index.html
- [5] Opencv mat class documentation. [Online]. Available: http://docs.opencv.org/modules/core/doc/basic\_structures.html#Mat
- [6] Wikipedia. Identity element. [Online]. Available: http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Identity\_element&oldid=626639404
- [7] Morwenn. cpp-fold library. [Online]. Available: https://github.com/Morwenn/cpp-fold

[8] B. Maurice. N4072, fixed size parameter packs. [Online]. Available: http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2014/n4072.html