

Conformal Modeling of Space-Charge-Limited Emission from Curved Boundaries in Particle Simulations



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Peter Förster

Jacopo Corno, M.Sc. and Prof. Dr. Sebastian Schöps

Proseminar ETIT



Institut für Theorie
Elektromagnetischer Felder
Computational Electromagnetics
Research Group at GSCE

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Problem Setting
- 3 Conformal Method
- 4 Results

Motivation

- electromagnetic Particle-In-Cell simulations are used to investigate particle physics in accelerators (e.g. FD, FDTD, FIT on orthogonal grids)
- modeling curved material boundaries on such grids presents difficulties
- low accuracy of boundary fields at curved emission surfaces greatly affects overall performance
- boundary-conformal approach retains advantages and provides accurate solutions for curved emission boundaries

Mathematical Model

- the model equations read

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathbf{r}_i}{dt} &= \mathbf{v}_i \\ \frac{dm_e \mathbf{v}_i}{dt} &= e(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}), \quad i = 1 \dots N\end{aligned}$$

where e is the electron charge, m_e is the relativistic electron mass; \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{v}_i denote particle positions and velocities respectively

Mathematical Model

- only taking into account electrostatic particle-particle interactions the space-charge field \mathbf{E} is determined by

$$\nabla(\varepsilon \mathbf{E}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \sum_i^N q_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$$
$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \varphi,$$

where ε is the permittivity and q_i the charge of the i -th particle

Space Charge Limited Emission

- the Child-Langmuir diode equation reads

$$J_{\text{CL}} = \left(\frac{4\epsilon_0}{9} \right) \sqrt{\frac{2e}{m_e}} \frac{\delta\varphi_b^{3/2}}{\delta d^2},$$

where J_{CL} is the current at the emission surface and $\delta\varphi_b$ the local potential difference at a distance δd from the surface

Discrete Field Equations

- using the Finite Integration Technique the discrete formulation of the equations reads

$$\mathbf{S}\widehat{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{q}$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{d}}_i = \iint_{\Delta A_i} \varepsilon(\nabla\varphi) dA$$

- $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$ denotes the vector of electrostatic fluxes, \mathbf{S} the discrete div-operator, \mathbf{q} the total charge contained in each dual cell and ΔA_i the area element of the i -th dual cell face
- discretization error stems only from approximating the flux integrals $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}_i$ using potential grid values ϕ_i
- conformal approach takes into account the geometry of the boundary and minimizes error

Particle Injection

- emitted particles are assigned to predetermined positions
- local currents associated to the individual particles are calculated using Child's law
- consistency between the currents and the field solution is enforced through iteration until constant emission current is established

Results

- steady state emission current is observed on the source surface for different mesh resolutions
- using a staircase approximation 1.5 mio. mesh nodes are needed for convergence
- staircase model also introduces numerical oscillations caused by low order field approximation at the emission surface
- the relative error for fine to moderate mesh sizes varies between 10-25%
- using the conformal method only 130k nodes are needed for convergence
- computational effort can be reduced since grid-field-equations contain fewer nodes

Conclusion

- boundary conformal discretization of electrostatic field equations and boundary conformal particle injection technique
- method enforces field-space-charge consistency from Child's law
- solution of a Pierce gun model shows advantages over staircase approximation

List of References

- [1] E. Gjonaj, T. Lau and T. Weiland. Conformal Modeling of Space-Charge-Limited Emission from Curved Boundaries in Particle Simulations. In Proceedings of the 2003 Particle Accelerator Conference May 12-16, 2003 in Portland, OR, USA.
- [2] B. Krietenstein, R. Schuhmann, P. Thoma and T. Weiland. The Perfect Boundary Approximation Technique Facing the Big Challenge of High Precision Field Computation. In Proceedings of the XIX International Linear Accelerator Conference, 1998.