$$P(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$$

$$z = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + \cdots + b_p x_p$$

I do not understand these constructs and similar ones Like these ones; but I use them.

DO WE shore a similar feeling ???

DO PLOTS
(FOR INDUSTRY PEOPLE)

DO STATS, DISCUSS THREATS
(FOR NASTY REVIEWERS)

THEN

DIG INTO YOUR DATA,
SOURCE CODE, EMAILS, LOGS

..... OTHERWISE YOU COULD

PUBLISH MANY IROP

PAPERS :)

Industry is starting to believe some of our ~~results~~ proofs.
Now this is a time to be very very careful,
because our models will be used to <u>Judge</u> & <u>Predict</u>.

* Just because you can fit a plot with a power
function, does not mean you have a "scale-free"
exponential model. You can fit it with anything...
     [Clauset et al.]

* Just because <u>least squares</u> produces a great linear
fit with high certainty, does not mean ^{always} you have a linear relation.

    ✸ <u>ALWAYS</u> <u>SHOW</u> THE <u>PLOTS</u> ✸

In defense of McCabe

Facts about $v(P)$ MCC

McCabe did not define
    $v(switch)$ 1976 Fortran

McCabe does not claim
    prediction

Only use $v(P) < 10$

             JC

~~Structural dependencies are~~

Analysis of program structure is not useful for
capturing dependencies between UIC given results
of Domain and conceptual coupling.