📝 Final Report Guidelines

Format: PDF file + ZIP file containing code and data
Deadline: May 6th, the end of the day
Submission: Submit on bb, include report and code package

📄 Report Structure

Please organize your report with the following sections:

1. **Introduction**
    - Clearly state the problem you are solving.
    - Explain **why this problem matters** (societal, theoretical, or practical importance).
    - Summarize **what others have done** (existing literature, models, or tools).
    - Highlight **gaps or limitations** in the current literature.
    - Clearly state **your innovation or contribution** in this project.
2. **Methodology**
    - Describe the approach you used to solve the problem.
    - Include any models, algorithms, frameworks, or data sources.
    - Justify your choices where appropriate.
3. **Results**
    - Present the key results of your work.
    - Use clear tables, graphs, or visuals to illustrate your findings.
    - Explain what the results mean.
4. **Discussion**
    - Reflect on the **potential applications** of your work.
    - Discuss the **limitations** of your approach.
    - Suggest possible **improvements** or **next steps**.
5. **References**
    - Include any references cited in your work using a consistent citation format.

💾 Code Submission

- Submit your code and data as a **ZIP file**.
- Include a **README** file that explains:
    - How to run your code
    - Any dependencies or environments required
    - Descriptions of key files or folders

🎯 Final Project Report Evaluation Rubric (Total: 15 Points)

Category	Points	Description
1. Contribution & Innovation	5 pts	• Is the project tackling a meaningful or under-explored problem? • Does the approach offer a novel solution, perspective, or method? • Does the student clearly articulate what is new compared to existing work?
2. Methodological Soundness	3 pts	• Is the method technically sound and appropriate? • Are assumptions clearly stated? • Are tools, data, or algorithms used correctly?
3. Results & Analysis	4 pts	• Are the results presented clearly and interpreted thoughtfully? • Are findings supported by data or evidence? • Are limitations discussed honestly?
4. Code Quality & Reproducibility	3 pts	• Is the code well-organized, documented, and functional? • Does the submission include a README with clear instructions? • Can the results be reproduced with the provided materials?