

Table of Contents

- Page 2: Supplementary File 2 - Review characteristics
- Page 4: Supplementary File 3 - Effect Characteristics
- Page 10: Supplementary File 4 - Education Outcomes
- Page 12: Supplementary File 5 - Health-related Outcomes
- Page 14: Supplementary File 7 - Search Strategy
- Page 23: Supplementary File 9 - Included Studies
- Page 36: Supplementary File 10 - Effect Size Codebook
- Page 47: Supplementary File 11 - PRISMA Checklist
- Page 50: Supplementary File 12 - PRISMA Abstract Checklist

Supplementary File 2 - Review characteristics

Description: Table of included meta-analyses and their characteristics.

Review characteristics for studies providing unique effects							
Review Characteristics							
Review characteristics for meta-analyses providing unique effects							
First Author	Year	Design Restrictions	Sample Restrictions	Year Range Earliest to Latest	Sample Age Restrictions (Age Range) ¹	Outcomes Assessed	Exposures Assessed
Abrami	2020	Include: Experimental designs	None specified	2009–2019	School-age Children (Early Primary, Elementary)	• Literacy: Reading comprehension • Literacy: Phonics • Literacy: Phonemic awareness • Literacy: Reading comprehension • Literacy: Vocabulary knowledge	• Intervention: Literacy (Abracadabra)
Adelantado-Renau	2019	Include: Cross-sectional studies	None specified	1992–2019	Children; Adolescents (5.7–18.0)	• Learning: General • Literacy: General • Numeracy: General	• Screen use: General • TV programs and movies: General • Video games: General
Andrade	2019	Include: Interventions	Include: Overweight and obese	2010–2017	Children; Adolescents	• Healthy behavior: Self-efficacy • Psychological health: Depression • Psychological health: Environment • Self-perception: General self-perceptions • Self-perception: Self-esteem	• Video games: Physically active
Arztmann	2022	None specified	None specified	2008–2020	School-age Children (Primary, Middle School)	• Learning: Behavior • Learning: Motivation	• Video games: Educational (with competition)
Aspiranti	2020	Include: Interventions	Include: Autism	2013–2015	School-age Children (Primary, Elementary)	• Learning: General	• Intervention: Education (touch screen)
Bartel	2015	None	Exclude: Atypical population (except for delayed sleep phase disorder or insomnia)	2004–2014	Adolescents (12.2–17.7)	• Sleep: Bedtime • Sleep: Duration • Sleep: Time to fall asleep	• Computer use: General • Screen use: General (mobile phone) • TV programs and movies: General • Video games: General
Beck Silva	2022	Include: Randomised controlled trials and quasi-RCTs	Exclude: Any disease Mental disorders	1999–2019	Adolescents	• Diet: Fat consumption	• Intervention: Nutrition (in schools)
Benavides-Vélez	2020	Include: Randomised controlled trials	Include: Math difficulties	2006–2018	Children	• Numeracy: Mathematics	• Intervention: Mathematics
Blok	2002	None	Include: Regular students, poor readers or dyslexics Exclude: Severe or multiple disabilities	1990–2000	All (5.4–11.5)	• Literacy: Reading fluency • Body composition • Diet: Fat and vegetable intake	• Intervention: Literacy
Bossen	2020	Include: Randomised controlled trials	Include: Chronic disease	2011–2018	Children (10.0–15.7)	• Physical activity: General • Physical health: Muscular fitness	• Video games: Health promoting content
Boyland	2016	Include: Experimental	None specified	2004–2015	Children; Adolescents (6.0–14.4)	• Diet: Food intake	• Advertising: Unhealthy food
Byun	2018	Include: All quantitative designs	None specified	2006–2014	School-age Children	• Numeracy: General • Cognition: Executive functioning • Cognition: Executive functioning (cognitive flexibility) • Cognition: Executive functioning (inhibition) • Cognition: Executive functioning (working memory) • Body composition • Diet: Fat intake • Diet: Sugary drinks and snacks • Physical activity: General • Physical activity: Moderate-to-vigorous intensity • Risky behavior: alcohol consumption • Risky behavior: Smoking • Screen time: General	• Video games: Numeracy • Computer use: Executive functioning training • Intervention: Lifestyle risk behaviour (at school)
Cao	2020	Include: designs with control groups	Exclude: Brain damage	2002–2019	Children (3.4–14.3)	• Numeracy: General • Cognition: Executive functioning • Cognition: Executive functioning (cognitive flexibility) • Cognition: Executive functioning (inhibition) • Cognition: Executive functioning (working memory) • Body composition • Diet: Fat intake • Diet: Sugary drinks and snacks • Physical activity: General • Physical activity: Moderate-to-vigorous intensity • Risky behavior: alcohol consumption • Risky behavior: Smoking • Screen time: General	• Computer use: Executive functioning training • Intervention: Dynamic geometry software
Chauhan	2017	Include: pre-post designs with or without control group	None specified	2001–2016	School-age Children (Primary, Elementary)	• Learning: General	• Screen use: General (in schools)
Chen	2020	Include: Experimental designs	None specified	2008–2019	All	• Learning: General	• Video games: Educational (with competition)
Cheung	2012	Include: Randomised controlled trials	None specified	1982–2010	School-age Children	• Literacy: Reading	• Intervention: Reading (in schools)
Cheung	2013	Include: Experimental; Quasi-experimental	None specified	1980–2010	School-age Children	• Numeracy: General	• Intervention: Mathematics (in schools)
Cho	2018	Include: experimental designs with control group	None specified	2008–2013	All	• Learning: Second language • Psychological health: ADHD • Psychological health: ADHD Symptoms (inattention) • Physical activity: General • Physical activity: Moderate-to-vigorous intensity • Risky behavior: alcohol consumption • Risky behavior: Smoking • Screen time: General	• Screen use: General (mobile phone for language learning)
Claussen	2022	Include: Longitudinal; Retrospective	None specified	2004–2018	All	• Literacy: Reading performance	• Screen use: Reading (vs paper)
Clinton	2019	Include: randomised experimental designs	Exclude: Disabilities	2011–2016	All	• Body composition: BMI • Body composition: BMI z-score • Diet: Food intake (calories)	• Screen use: General (meeting guidelines)
Comeras-Chueca	2021	include: randomized and non-randomized controlled trials (control group with no intervention or traditional exercise intervention)	Exclude: Disabilities, diseases or obesity	2008–2019	All (4.5–11.6)	• Body composition: BMI • Body composition: BMI z-score • Diet: Food intake (calories)	• Video games: Physically active
Comeras-Chueca	2021	Include: randomized and non-randomized controlled trials with control group with no intervention or traditional exercise intervention	Include: Overweight and obese Exclude: Disabilities, diseases or disorders other than obesity	2010–2020	All (8.0–14.0)	• Body composition: BMI • Body composition: BMI z-score • Diet: Fruit and vegetable intake • Diet: Fruit intake • Diet: Sugary drinks and snacks • Physical activity: General • Physical activity: Moderate-to-vigorous intensity • Risky behavior: alcohol consumption • Risky behavior: smoking • Screen time: General	• Video games: Physically active
Coyne	2018	None	None specified	1975–2017	Children; Adolescents	• Presocial Behavior: General	• Screen use: Presocial content
Cunningham	2021	Include: Quantitative designs	None specified	2014–2018	All (11.2–16.8)	• Psychological health: Depression	• Social Media: General (duration)
Cushing	2010	Include: All quantitative designs; Experimental	None specified	1989–2009	Children; Adolescents	• Healthy behavior: General	• Intervention: Health behaviours
Darling	2017	Include: Intervention	None specified	2006–2016	Children; Adolescents (8.7–16.0)	• Psychological health: Externalizing • Psychological health: Internalizing • Physical activity: General	• Intervention: To promote health (via mobile phone)
Eirich	2022	Include: experimental or observational	Exclude: Atypically developing	1978–2021	Children (0.5–11.0)	• Risky behavior: Sexual activity (initiation)	• Screen use: General
Feng	2021	Include: Quantitative designs	Include: Healthy children	2017–2019	Early childhood; Pre-school	• Body composition: BMI z-score	• Screen use: General (meeting guidelines)
Ferguson	2017	None	None specified	2005–2017	Children; Adolescents	• Risky behavior: sexual activity • Risky behavior: sexual activity (initiation)	• Screen use: Sexual content
Ferguson	2020	Include: Experimental, correlational, or longitudinal	None specified	2009–2013	All (7.8–17.5)	• Aggression: General	• Video games: Violent content
Folkvord	2018	Include: Interventions	None specified	2007–2018	Children; Adolescents	• Diet: Food intake (calories)	• Advertising: Advergames
Furennes	2021	Include: experimental or quasi-experimental	Exclude: Cochlear implants or autism	2002–2019	Early childhood; Pre-school; School-age Children (Early Primary, Elementary)	• Literacy: Reading comprehension • Literacy: Vocabulary learning	• eBooks: General
Gardella	2017	Include: Cross-sectional	None specified	2006–2014	Adolescents (12.5–16.2)	• Learning: Educational achievement problems • Learning: School attendance problems	• Internet use: Cyberbullying victimization
Garzón	2019	Include: Experimental with control group	None specified	NA	All	• Learning: General	• Intervention: Augmented reality
Graham	2015	Include: Experimental; Quasi-experimental	None specified	2004–2011	School-age Children (Primary, Elementary, Middle School)	• Literacy: Writing	• Intervention: Writing feedback
Hammersley	2016	Include: Randomised controlled trials	Exclude: Those with special needs, require a special diet, or have a condition that would limit physical activity	2003–2013	Children; Adolescents	• Body composition	• Intervention: To promote healthy weight (obesity prevention)
Hao	2021	Include: Experimental with control group	Exclude: Disabilities	2012–2018	School-age Children	• Learning: Second language • Physical activity: General • Physical activity: Moderate-to-vigorous intensity • Risky behavior: alcohol consumption • Risky behavior: smoking • Screen time: General	• Screen use: English as foreign language
Hassan-Saleh	2019	Include: Experimental; Quasi-experimental	None specified	2008–2016	Children; Adolescents	• Physical activity: General	• Intervention: Pronunciation
He	2021	Include: Randomised controlled trials	None specified	2009–2018	Children; Adolescents (6.9–16.6)	• Physical activity: General	• Intervention: To promote physical activity (via mobile phone)
Hernandez-Jimenez	2019	Include: Experimental; Quasi-experimental	None specified	2009–2017	Children; Adolescents	• Body composition	• Video games: Physically active
Hurwitz	2018	None	None specified	1997–2018	Early childhood; Pre-school; School-age Children (Early Primary, Elementary)	• Literacy: General	• Intervention: Literacy videos
Ivie	2020	Include: Correlational studies	None specified	2012–2019	Adolescents (14.0–18.0)	• Psychological health: Depression	• Social Media: General
Janssen	2020	Include: Experimental; Cross-sectional; Longitudinal	Include: Healthy children	2007–2019	Children	• Sleep: Duration	• Screen use: General
Kates	2018	None	None specified	2008–2016	School-age Children	• Learning: General	• Screen use: General (mobile phone)
Kim	2021	Include: experimental or quasi-experimental	None specified	2010–2018	School-age Children (Early Primary, Elementary)	• Learning: Literacy and numeracy • Literacy: Reading comprehension • Literacy: Writing	• Screen use: Educational apps
Kroesbergen	2003	Include: Within subject design; between subject design	Include: Math difficulties	1985–1999	School-age Children (Primary, Elementary) (7.0–11.3)	• Numeracy: General	• Intervention: Mathematics (via computer in classrooms)
Kucukkalan	2019	Include: Experimental	Include: Dyscalculia	2007–2016	School-age Children (Primary, Elementary)	• Numeracy: General	• Intervention: Mathematics
Li	2010	Include: Experimental; Quasi-experimental	None specified	1991–2005	School-age Children	• Developmental: Gross motor (locomotor) • Developmental: Gross motor (non-locomotor) • Developmental: Object control (object skills)	• Intervention: Mathematics
Li	2022	Include: Randomised controlled trials	Include: Atypically developing	2012–2020	Children; Adolescents	• Learning: General	• Intervention: Active video games for motor skills
Li	2022	Include: experimental or quasi-experimental	None specified	2014–2021	All (6.0–12.2)	• Body composition	• Intervention: English as foreign language
Liao	2008	Include: All quantitative designs	None specified	1990–2003	School-age Children (Primary, Elementary)	• Learning: General	• Intervention: Education (via computer)
Liao	2014	Include: Randomised controlled trials	None specified	1999–2012	Children; Adolescents (4.0–14.7)	• Body composition	• Intervention: Screen time reduction
Liu	2022	Include: studies with control group	None specified	NA	All	• Cognition: Creativity	• Screen use: General (mobile phone addiction)
Lu	2021	Include: Cross-sectional only	Include: Healthy only	2014–2018	Adolescents	• Psychological health: Negative coping style • Psychological health: Positive coping style	• Screen use: General (mobile phone addiction)
Madigan	2020	Include: Observational	Exclude: Qualitative	1973–2019	Children (6.5–10.6)	• Literacy: General	• Intervention: Education (general) • Screen use: General
Major	2021	Include: Randomised controlled trials	None specified	2007–2020	Children; Adolescents	• Learning: General	• Intervention: Literacy (Abracadabra)
Mallawaarachchi	2022	Include: Cross-sectional or longitudinal	Include: Non-clinical	2014–2020	Early childhood; Pre-school (1.4–5.4)	• Learning: General	• Screen use: General (mobile or tablet)
Mares	2005	None	None specified	1989–1999	Children	• Learning: General	• Video games and movies: General
Mares	2013	None	None specified	1973–2010	Children	• Learning: General	• Intervention: Sesame Street
Marker	2022	None specified	None specified	2001–2015	All	• Body composition	• Video games: General
Marshall	2004	None	None specified	1985–2002	Children; Adolescents	• Body composition: BMI • Body composition: BMI z-score	• TV programs and movies: General
Martins	2019	Include: All quantitative designs; Experimental	None specified	2003–2018	All	• Learning: General	• Intervention: To improve emotional regulation
Martins	2022	Include: Cross-over or parallel randomized controlled trials	None specified	2006–2017	Children; Adolescents	• Diet: Food intake (calories)	• Intervention: To improve emotional regulation (via digital games)
Mazeas	2022	Include: Randomised controlled trials	Exclude: Contraindications to physical activity; Intellectual and cognitive impairments	2015–2019	All (10.3–17.8)	• Physical activity: General	• Intervention: To promote healthy eating (via gamification)
McArthur	2012	Include: Randomised controlled trials and quasi-RCTs	Include: Poor readers	1994–2009	All (6.7–16.2)	• Literacy: Phonics	• Intervention: Literacy (phonics; via computer)
McArthur	2018	Include: Randomised controlled trials and quasi-RCTs	Include: Poor readers	1994–2015	Children; Adolescents	• Literacy: General	• Intervention: Literacy
Mei	2018	Include: cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies	None specified	2004–2018	Nov–20 (13.5–16.8)	• Sleep: Duration • Sleep: Problems • Sleep: Time to fall asleep	• Screen use: General (excessive)
Merchant	2014	Include: Experimental with control group	None specified	NA	School-age Children	• Learning: General	• Screen use: Virtual reality simulations (Educational) • Screen use: Virtual reality worlds (Educational) • Video games: Virtual reality (Educational)
Neitzel	2022	Include: random assignment or quasi-experimental	Include: Both typically developing and atypically developing with neurodevelopmental disorder	2004–2020	School-age Children (Primary, Elementary)	• Learning: Reading • Learning: Writing	• Intervention: Reading
Oldrati	2020	Include: Group-control experimental design	None specified	2006–2018	School-age Children	• Learning: General • Literacy: Reading • Literacy: Writing • Literacy: Phonics • Literacy: General • Numeracy: General	• Intervention: Cognitive training
Paiik	1994	None	None specified	NA	Children; Adolescents	• Antisocial Behaviour: General	• TV programs and movies: Violent content
Pearce	2016	Include: All quantitative designs	None specified	1986–2012	Children; Adolescents	• Psychological health: Internalizing	• TV programs and movies: Scary content
Peng	2011	None	Include: Healthy populations only	2001–2010	Children; Adolescents</td		

Supplementary File 3 - Effect Characteristics

Description: Descriptive table for the included effects.

Effect Size Characteristics

Characteristics of included and excluded effect sizes

Variable	Effect Size Used	
	Not Used, N = 199¹	Used, N = 252¹
Review Year		
1982	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
1994	0 (0%)	3 (1.2%)
2002	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
2003	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
2004	6 (3.0%)	11 (4.4%)
2005	0 (0%)	4 (1.6%)
2008	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
2009	0 (0%)	2 (0.8%)
2010	0 (0%)	2 (0.8%)
2011	3 (1.5%)	3 (1.2%)
2012	1 (0.5%)	2 (0.8%)
2013	4 (2.0%)	12 (4.8%)
2014	1 (0.5%)	7 (2.8%)
2015	8 (4.0%)	16 (6.3%)
2016	16 (8.0%)	9 (3.6%)
2017	10 (5.0%)	8 (3.2%)
2018	6 (3.0%)	15 (6.0%)
2019	38 (19%)	50 (20%)
2020	25 (13%)	49 (19%)
2021	28 (14%)	24 (9.5%)
2022	53 (27%)	31 (12%)

¹ n (%); Median (IQR)

Effect Size Characteristics

Characteristics of included and excluded effect sizes

Variable	Effect Size Used	
	Not Used, N = 199¹	Used, N = 252¹
Outcome Category		
Education	41 (21%)	88 (35%)
Health Behaviour	56 (28%)	63 (25%)
Physical Health	63 (32%)	31 (12%)
Psychology	39 (20%)	69 (27%)
(missing)	0	1
Broad Outcome		
Aggression	0 (0%)	4 (1.6%)
Antisocial Behaviour	0 (0%)	3 (1.2%)
Body composition	46 (23%)	25 (9.9%)
Cardiometabolic health	4 (2.0%)	3 (1.2%)
Cognition	10 (5.0%)	21 (8.3%)
Developmental	0 (0%)	5 (2.0%)
Diet	17 (8.5%)	15 (6.0%)
Eye health	10 (5.0%)	0 (0%)
Healthy behavior	1 (0.5%)	4 (1.6%)
Learning	29 (15%)	43 (17%)
Literacy	10 (5.0%)	33 (13%)
Numeracy	2 (1.0%)	11 (4.4%)
Physical activity	19 (9.5%)	20 (7.9%)
Physical health	3 (1.5%)	1 (0.4%)
Prosocial Behavior	0 (0%)	3 (1.2%)

¹ n (%); Median (IQR)

Effect Size Characteristics

Characteristics of included and excluded effect sizes

Variable	Effect Size Used	
	Not Used, N = 199¹	Used, N = 252¹
Psychological health	23 (12%)	26 (10%)
Risky behavior	10 (5.0%)	7 (2.8%)
Science	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
Screen time	3 (1.5%)	4 (1.6%)
Self-perceptions	1 (0.5%)	2 (0.8%)
Sleep	11 (5.5%)	20 (7.9%)
Social interactions	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
Broad Exposure		
Advertising	14 (7.0%)	5 (2.0%)
Computer use	9 (4.5%)	11 (4.4%)
e-Books	0 (0%)	5 (2.0%)
Internet use	1 (0.5%)	7 (2.8%)
Screen use	77 (39%)	47 (19%)
Screen-based intervention	56 (28%)	92 (37%)
Social Media	6 (3.0%)	10 (4.0%)
TV advertising	1 (0.5%)	0 (0%)
TV programs and movies	12 (6.0%)	30 (12%)
Video games	23 (12%)	45 (18%)
Number of Contributing Studies	5 (3, 10)	8 (4, 16)
(missing)	9	3
Pooled Sample Size	1,894 (672, 9,752)	2,029 (737, 5,923)
Age Group		

¹ n (%); Median (IQR)

Effect Size Characteristics

Characteristics of included and excluded effect sizes

Variable	Effect Size Used	
	Not Used, N = 199 ¹	Used, N = 252 ¹
Adolescents	21 (11%)	57 (23%)
Children	47 (24%)	74 (29%)
Mixed	116 (58%)	102 (40%)
Young	15 (7.5%)	19 (7.5%)
Sample Type		
Atypically developing	1 (0.5%)	3 (1.2%)
Autism	6 (3.0%)	1 (0.4%)
Chronic disease	1 (0.5%)	4 (1.6%)
Dyscalculia	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
General	186 (93%)	228 (90%)
Math difficulties	1 (0.5%)	2 (0.8%)
Overweight and obese	4 (2.0%)	10 (4.0%)
Poor readers	0 (0%)	3 (1.2%)
Study Design		
Cross-sectional	4 (2.0%)	16 (6.3%)
Experimental	108 (54%)	129 (51%)
Longitudinal	8 (4.0%)	12 (4.8%)
Mixed or unclear	50 (25%)	79 (31%)
Observational	29 (15%)	16 (6.3%)
Study-level Data Available	150 (75%)	187 (74%)
Meets Statistical Certainty Criteria		
Meets Criteria	8 (4.0%)	43 (17%)

¹ n (%); Median (IQR)

Effect Size Characteristics


Characteristics of included and excluded effect sizes

Variable	Effect Size Used	
	Not Used, N = 199 ¹	Used, N = 252 ¹
Unclear	191 (96%)	209 (83%)

¹ n (%); Median (IQR)

Supplementary File 4 - Education Outcomes

Description: Additional education outcomes which did not meet certainty criteria.



Supplementary File 5 - Health-related Outcomes

Description: Additional health-related outcomes which did not meet certainty criteria.

Associations Between Exposures and Health-related Outcomes						
Study Design	Lead Author, Date	r with 95% CI	I ²	K	N	Indiv. Data

Associations Between Exposures and Health-related Outcomes													
Outcome	Specific Outcome	Exposure	Age Group	Pop.	Study Design	Lead Author, Date	n with 95% CI	P	K	N	Indiv. Data	Eggers	Excess Signif.
Aggression	Towards peers	Screen use: General	Mixed	General	Mixed or unclear	Martins, 2019	0.01 [0.02, 0.05]	3	707	x	-	-	
	Towards peers	TV programs and movies: General	Children	General	Mixed or unclear	Mares, 2005	0.26 [0.20, 0.33]	15	747	x	-	-	
	Towards peers	Video games: Violent content	Children	General	Longitudinal	Prescott, 2010	0.10 [0.07, 0.12]	7	3,583	x	-	-	
Antisocial Behaviour	General	TV programs and movies: Violent content	Young	General	Mixed or unclear	Palk, 1994	0.46 [0.41, 0.51]	1,117	x	-	-	-	
	General	TV programs and movies: Violent content	Children	General	Mixed or unclear	Palk, 1994	0.31 [0.21, 0.40]	351	x	-	-	-	
	General	TV programs and movies: Violent content	Adolescents	General	Mixed or unclear	Palk, 1994	0.22 [0.12, 0.32]	334	x	-	-	-	
Body composition	BMI z-score	Screen use: General (meeting guidelines)	Young	General	Mixed or unclear	Feng, 2021	0.07 [0.09, 0.24]	86%	4	1,275	v	x	v
	BMI z-score	Video games: Physically active	Mixed	Overweight and obese	Experimental	Comerma-Chueca, 2020	-0.03 [0.11, 0.05]	0%	6	597	v	x	v
	Body fat percentage	Video games: Physically active	Mixed	Overweight and obese	Experimental	Comerma-Chueca, 2020	-0.30 [0.46, -0.14]	44%	3	408	v	x	v
	Body composition	Computer use: General	Mixed	General	Longitudinal	van Eekh, 2016	0.08 [0.10, 0.27]	98%	5	7,888	v	x	v
	Body composition	Screen-based intervention: Lifestyle risk behaviour (at school)	Mixed	General	Experimental	Champion, 2019	-0.06 [0.21, 0.08]	30%	5	3,480	x	-	-
	Body composition	Screen-based intervention: Screen-time reduction	Mixed	General	Experimental	Liao, 2014	-0.09 [0.18, 0.01]	0%	5	541	v	x	v
	Body composition	Screen-based intervention: To promote health (via mobile phone and screen)	Adolescents	General	Experimental	Shin, 2019	-0.02 [0.09, 0.05]	0%	6	863	v	x	v
	Body composition	Screen-based intervention: To promote health (via mobile phone and screen)	Mixed	General	Experimental	Darling, 2017	-0.21 [0.31, -0.09]	9	565	x	-	-	
	Body composition	Screen-based intervention: To promote health (via mobile phone and screen)	Adolescents	General	Experimental	Shin, 2019	-0.02 [0.07, 0.03]	0%	9	1,356	v	x	v
	Body composition	Screen-based intervention: To promote health (via mobile phone and screen)	Adolescents	General	Experimental	Shin, 2019	-0.01 [0.10, 0.07]	0%	3	505	v	x	v
	Body composition	Screen-based intervention: To promote health (via mobile phone and screen)	Mixed	General	Experimental	Hammesley, 2016	0.07 [0.12, 0.27]	88%	4	1,102	v	x	v
	Body composition	TV programs and movies: General	Mixed	General	Cross-sectional	Marshall, 2004	0.05 [0.04, 0.06]	43	28,718	x	-	-	
	Body composition	TV programs and movies: General	Mixed	General	Longitudinal	van Eekh, 2016	0.11 [0.02, 0.19]	98%	9	25,716	v	x	v
	Body composition	TV programs and movies: General	Mixed	General	Mixed or unclear	Marshall, 2004	0.07 [0.06, 0.08]	70%	52	44,707	x	-	-
	Body composition	TV programs and movies: General	Young	General	Mixed or unclear	Marshall, 2004	0.15 [0.04, 0.26]	78%	4	2,047	v	x	v
Cardiometabolic health	Fitness	Video games: Health promoting content	Children	Chronic disease	Experimental	Bosser, 2020	0.16 [0.00, 0.32]	10%	2	161	v	x	v
	Fitness	Video games: Physically active	Children	General	Experimental	Comerma-Chueca, 2021	0.21 [0.01, 0.43]	90%	4	892	v	x	v
	Maximum oxygen consumption	Video games: Physically active	Children	General	Mixed or unclear	Peng, 2011	0.82 [0.74, 0.87]	9	172	x	-	-	
Cognition	Cognitive Functioning	Screen-based intervention: Cognitive training	Mixed	General	Experimental	Oldrati, 2020	0.21 [0.13, 0.28]	88%	68	3,540	v	x	v
	Creativity	Screen use: General	Children	General	Experimental	Liu, 2022	0.29 [0.13, 0.27]	84%	60	3,648	v	x	v
	Creativity	Screen use: General	Adolescents	General	Experimental	Liu, 2022	0.29 [0.15, 0.42]	98%	46	9,620	v	x	v
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Education (via computer)	Children	General	Experimental	Takacs, 2019	0.21 [0.12, 0.28]	58%	28	1,563	x	-	-
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Computer use: Executive functioning training	Children	General	Experimental	Cao, 2020	0.06 [0.02, 0.14]	46%	12	1,015	x	-	-
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Education (via computer)	Children	General	Experimental	Takacs, 2019	0.12 [0.04, 0.22]	12	617	x	-	-	
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Computer use: Executive functioning training	Children	General	Experimental	Cao, 2020	0.11 [0.07, 0.17]	22%	22	1,512	x	-	-
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Education (via computer)	Children	General	Experimental	Takacs, 2019	0.09 [0.02, 0.16]	15	894	x	-	-	
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Computer use: Executive functioning training	Children	General	Experimental	Cao, 2020	0.20 [0.14, 0.26]	56%	28	2,170	x	-	-
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Education (via computer)	Children	General	Experimental	Takacs, 2019	0.23 [0.14, 0.32]	21	1,220	x	-	-	
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Computer use: Executive functioning training	Children	General	Experimental	Cao, 2020	0.20 [0.15, 0.25]	54%	36	2,975	v	x	v
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Computer use: Executive functioning training	Children	General	Experimental	Cao, 2020	0.18 [0.13, 0.23]	48%	34	2,585	x	-	-
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Lifestyle risk behaviour (at school)	Young	General	Observational	Mallawarachchi, 2022	-0.10 [0.20, 0.00]	40%	5	610	v	x	v
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Cognitive training	Mixed	General	Experimental	Oldrati, 2020	0.18 [0.03, 0.40]	92%	13	571	v	x	v
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Cognitive training	Young	General	Experimental	Scionti, 2019	0.13 [0.06, 0.18]	38%	57	2,605	v	x	v
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	Screen-based intervention: Sesame Street	Children	General	Mixed or unclear	Mares, 2013	0.09 [0.06, 0.13]	17	5,837	x	-	-	
	Executive functioning (Executive functioning (improvement))	TV programs and movies: General	Children	General	Mixed or unclear	Mares, 2006	0.22 [0.18, 0.26]	37	1,814	x	-	-	
Developmental	Gross motor (kinaesthetic)	Screen-based intervention: Active video games for mirror skills	Mixed	Atypically developing	Experimental	Li, 2022	0.31 [0.22, 0.40]	18%	14	472	v	x	v
	Gross motor (kinaesthetic)	Screen-based intervention: Active video games for mirror skills	Mixed	Atypically developing	Experimental	Li, 2022	0.27 [0.12, 0.41]	82%	17	556	v	x	v
	Gross motor (object control)	Screen-based intervention: Active video games for motor skills	Mixed	Atypically developing	Experimental	Li, 2022	0.16 [0.08, 0.40]	74%	5	214	v	x	v
Diet	Fat intake	Screen-based intervention: Lifestyle risk behaviour (at school)	Mixed	General	Experimental	Champion, 2019	-0.03 [0.00, 0.01]	46%	5	5,240	v	x	v
	Fat intake	Screen-based intervention: Nutrition (in schools)	Mixed	General	Experimental	Beck Silva, 2022	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.02]	0%	2	2,840	x	-	-
	Fruit and vegetable intake	TV programs and movies: Mealtime	Mixed	General	Experimental	Marinis, 2022	0.03 [0.06, 0.12]	0%	4	496	v	x	v
	Fruit and vegetable intake	Screen-based intervention: Fruit and vegetable intake	Children	General	Experimental	Hodriguez-Rocha, 2019	0.12 [0.05, 0.28]	82%	4	955	v	x	v
	Fruit and vegetable intake	Screen-based intervention: Lifestyle risk behaviour (at school)	Adolescents	General	Experimental	Champion, 2019	0.16 [0.09, 0.23]	56%	4	1,666	v	x	v
	Fruit intake	Screen-based intervention: Lifestyle risk behaviour (at school)	Mixed	General	Experimental	Champion, 2019	0.03 [0.01, 0.07]	58%	6	6,034	v	x	v
	Healthy dietary behaviour	Screen-based intervention: To promote health (via mobile phones)	Mixed	General	Experimental	Champion, 2019	0.03 [0.00, 0.07]	0%	3	2,739	v	x	v
	Sugary drinks	Screen-based intervention: To promote health (via mobile phones)	Adolescents	General	Experimental	Darling, 2017	0.05 [0.00, 0.10]	8	1,806	x	-	-	
	Sugary drinks	Advertising: Unhealthy food	Mixed	General	Experimental	Sadeghirad, 2016	0.11 [0.02, 0.24]	88%	12	2,053	x	-	-
	Unhealthy food choice	Advertising: Unhealthy food	Young	General	Experimental	Sadeghirad, 2016	0.22 [0.12, 0.32]	48%	8	710	v	x	v
	Unhealthy food choice	Advertising: Unhealthy food	Children	General	Experimental	Sadeghirad, 2016	-0.20 [0.64, 0.24]	100%	4	2,298	v	x	v
	Unhealthy food choice	Screen-based intervention: Cognitive training	Mixed	General	Experimental	He, 2021	0.21 [0.06, 0.24]	0%	7	439	v	x	v
	Unhealthy food choice	Screen-based intervention: To promote physical activity (via mobile phones)	Children	General	Experimental	He, 2021	0.21 [0.02, 0.39]	82%	9	528	v	x	v
	Unhealthy food choice	Screen-based intervention: TV programs and movies: General	Mixed	General	Cross-sectional	Marezaei, 2022	0.32 [0.23, 0.40]	0%	3	438	v	x	v

Supplementary File 7 - Search Strategy

Description: The search strategies used in each database

PubMed Search Strategy

((infant[MeSH Terms] OR child[MeSH Terms] OR minors[MeSH Terms] OR school age population[MeSH Terms] OR pediatrics[MeSH Terms] OR adolescen*[MeSH Terms]) **OR** (boy*[Title/Abstract] OR child*[Title/Abstract] OR girl*[Title/Abstract] OR kindergarten[Title/Abstract] OR paediatric[Title/Abstract] OR pediatric[Title/Abstract] OR infan* OR baby[Title/Abstract] OR babies[Title/Abstract] OR toddler*[Title/Abstract] OR "young child*" [Title/Abstract] OR "early childhood" [Title/Abstract] OR "early years" [Title/Abstract] OR pre-school*[Title/Abstract] OR preschool*[Title/Abstract] OR "pre school*" [Title/Abstract] OR "school age*" [Title/Abstract] OR school-age*[Title/Abstract] OR youth*[Title/Abstract] OR adolescen*[Title/Abstract] OR "young pe*" [Title/Abstract] OR teen*[Title/Abstract] OR preadolescen*[Title/Abstract])) **AND** ((television[MeSH Terms] OR computers[MeSH Terms] OR video games[MeSH Terms] OR sedentary lifestyle[MeSH Terms] OR smartphone[MeSH Terms] OR cell phones[MeSH Terms] OR computers, handheld[MeSH Terms]) **OR** (Television[Title/Abstract] OR TV[Title/Abstract] OR "Screen viewing" [Title/Abstract] OR "Screen time" [Title/Abstract] OR "Screen exposure" [Title/Abstract] OR Computer*[Title/Abstract] OR "Video gam*" [Title/Abstract] OR Sedentary[Title/Abstract] OR Inactivity[Title/Abstract] OR "E gam*" [Title/Abstract] OR e-gam*[Title/Abstract] OR Tablet*[Title/Abstract] OR "Cell phone*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Mobile Phone*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Mobile us*" [Title/Abstract] OR "Media time" [Title/Abstract] OR "Media us*" [Title/Abstract] OR "handheld device*" [Title/Abstract] OR "game device*" [Title/Abstract] OR "gaming device*" [Title/Abstract] OR "game console*" [Title/Abstract] OR "gaming console*" [Title/Abstract] OR "electronic media" [Title/Abstract] OR smartphone*[Title/Abstract] OR "smart phone*" [Title/Abstract])) **AND** ((Review[Title] OR meta-analysis[Title] OR meta-regression[Title] OR synthesis [Title] OR meta-synthesis[Title] OR "meta analysis" [Title] OR "meta regression" [Title] OR "meta synthesis" [Title]) **OR** (Review[Publication Type] OR Meta-Analysis[Publication Type])))

Version 1= Above

Version 2 = delete terms following final "AND", limit results "Review" after search

MEDLINE Search Strategy

(MH ("child" OR "minors" OR Infant OR "school age population" OR "pediatrics" OR "Adolescen*") **OR** TI (boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child*" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR "pre school*" OR "school age*" OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR preadolescen*) **OR** AB (boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child*" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR "pre school*" OR "school age*" OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **AND** (MH ("television" OR "computers" OR "video games" OR "sedentary lifestyle" OR "smartphone" OR "cell phones" OR "computers, handheld") **OR** TI (Television OR TV OR "Screen viewing" OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR

Sedentary OR Inactivity OR "E gam*" OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us**" OR "Media time" OR "Media us**" OR "handheld device**" OR "game device**" OR "gaming device**" OR "game console**" OR "gaming console**" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone**") **OR AB** (Television OR TV OR "Screen viewing" OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR "E gam*" OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us**" OR "Media time" OR "Media us**" OR "handheld device**" OR "game device**" OR "gaming device**" OR "game console**" OR "gaming console**" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone**")) **AND** (TI (Review OR meta-analysis OR "meta analysis" OR meta-regression OR "meta regression" OR synthesis OR meta-synthesis OR "meta synthesis"))

Version 1 = above

Version 2 = delete terms following final "AND". Restrict results to review articles.

CINAHL Search Strategy

((MH ("child" OR "Minors (Legal)" OR "pediatrics" OR "Infant")) **OR** TI ("boy*" OR "child*" OR "girl*" OR "kindergarten" OR "paediatric" OR "pediatric" OR "infan*" OR "baby" OR "babies" OR "toddler*" OR "young child**" OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR "pre-school**" OR "preschool*" OR "pre school*" OR "school age**" OR "school-age**" OR "adolescen**" OR "youth*" OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR "preadolescen**") **OR AB** ("boy*" OR "child*" OR "girl*" OR "kindergarten" OR "paediatric" OR "pediatric" OR "infan*" OR "baby" OR "babies" OR "toddler*" OR "young child**" OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR "pre-school**" OR "preschool*" OR "pre school*" OR "school age**" OR "school-age**" OR "adolescen**" OR "youth*" OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR "preadolescen**")) **AND** (MH ("television" OR "computers" OR "video games" OR "lifestyle, sedentary" OR "smartphone" OR "cellular phone" OR "computers, hand-held") **OR** TI ("Television" OR "TV" OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR "Computer*" OR "Video gam*" OR "Sedentary" OR "Inactivity" OR "E gam*" OR "e-gam*" OR "Tablet**" OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device**" OR "game device**" OR "gaming device**" OR "game console**" OR "gaming console**" OR "electronic media" OR "smartphone**" OR "smart phone**") **OR AB** ("Television" OR "TV" OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR "Computer**" OR "Video gam*" OR "Sedentary" OR "Inactivity" OR "E gam*" OR "e-gam**" OR "Tablet**" OR "Cell phone**" OR "Mobile Phone**" OR "Mobile us**" OR "Media time" OR "Media us**" OR "handheld device**" OR "game device**" OR "gaming device**" OR "game console**" OR "gaming console**" OR "electronic media" OR "smartphone**" OR "smart phone**")) **AND** (TI("Review" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta analysis" OR "meta-regression" OR "meta regression" OR "synthesis" OR "meta-synthesis" OR "meta synthesis"))

PsycINFO Search Strategy

((MA("pediatrics")) **OR** (TI (boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child**" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR

pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **OR** (AB (boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child**" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **OR** (KW (boy* OR OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child**" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **AND** ((MA("Television" OR "Television Viewing" OR "Screen Time" OR "Mobile Devices" OR "Sedentary behavior" OR "computers" OR "computer games" OR "cellular phones")) **OR** (TI(Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*)) **OR** (AB(Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*)) **OR** (KW(Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*)) **AND** (TI(Review OR systematic review OR meta-analysis OR meta-regression OR synthesis OR meta-synthesis OR "meta analysis" OR "meta regression" OR "meta synthesis")))

SPORTDiscus search strategy

((SU ("infant" OR "children" OR "school children" OR "pediatrics" OR "youth" OR "teenagers**"))**OR** (TI (boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child**" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **OR** (AB (boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child**" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **OR** (KW (boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child**" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **AND** ((SU ("Video games" OR "SEDENTARY behavior in children" OR "SEDENTARY lifestyles" OR "COMPUTER games")) **OR** (TI (Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR

game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*)) **OR** (AB (Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*)) **OR** (KW (Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*)) **AND** (TI(Review OR Systematic review OR meta-analysis OR meta-regression OR synthesis OR meta-synthesis OR meta analysis OR meta regression OR meta synthesis))

Education Source Search Strategy

((SU (Children OR Youth OR adolescence)) **OR** (TI (boy* OR child* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child*" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR "pre school*" OR "school age*" OR "school-age*" OR adolescen* OR youth* OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR preadolescen*))) **OR** (AB (boy* OR child* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child*" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR "pre school*" OR "school age*" OR "school-age*" OR adolescen* OR youth* OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR preadolescen*))) **OR** (KW (boy* OR child* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child*" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR "pre school*" OR "school age*" OR "school-age*" OR adolescen* OR youth* OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR preadolescen*))) **AND** ((SU (computers OR video games)) **OR** (TI (Television OR TV OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR Computer* OR "Video gam*" OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR "E gam*" OR "e-gam*" OR Tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device*" OR "game device*" OR "gaming device*" OR "game console*" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone*")) **OR** (AB (Television OR TV OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR Computer* OR "Video gam*" OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR "E gam*" OR "e-gam*" OR Tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device*" OR "game device*" OR "gaming device*" OR "game console*" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone*")) **OR** (KW (Television OR TV OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR Computer* OR "Video gam*" OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR "E gam*" OR "e-gam*" OR Tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device*" OR "game device*" OR "gaming device*" OR "game console*" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone*"))) **AND** (TI(Review OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta analysis" OR "meta-regression" OR "meta regression" OR synthesis OR "meta-synthesis" OR "meta synthesis"))

Version 1= as above

Version 2 = delete terms following final "AND", limit results "Review" after search

Embase Search Strategy

(child or pediatrics or adolescent or "minor (person)").sh. **OR** (boy* or girl* or kindergarten or paediatric or pediatric or infan* or baby or babies or toddler* or young child* or child or early childhood or early

years or pre-school* or preschool* or pre school* or school age* or school-age* or adolescen* or youth* or young pe* or teen* or preadolescen*).ti,ab,kw.

AND

(television or computer or video game or sedentary lifestyle or smartphone or mobile phone or television viewing).sh. **OR** (Television or TV or Screen viewing or Screen time or Screen exposure or Computer* or Video gam* or Sedentary or Inactivity or E gam* or e-gam* or Tablet* or Cell phone* or Mobile Phone* or Mobile us* or Media time or Media us* or handheld device* or game device* or gaming device* or game console* or gaming console* or electronic media or smartphone* or smart phone*).ti,ab,kw.

AND

(Review or meta?analysis or meta?regression or synthesis or meta?synthesis).ti. **OR** (review).pt.

Note: Run each block of searches separately and then combine with AND afterwards. A single, combined search generates an error message.

Cochrane Search Strategy

((minor* OR "school age population" OR boy* OR child* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR "young child*" OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR school-age* OR toddler* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR preadolescen*):ti,ab,kw **OR** MESH(child OR minors OR school age population OR pediatrics OR adolescent)) **AND** ((television OR computers OR "video games" OR "sedentary lifestyle" OR smartphone OR "cell phones" OR TV OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR Computer* OR "Video gam*" OR "Sedentary" OR Inactivity OR "E gam*" OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device*" OR "game device*" OR "gaming device*" OR "game console*" OR "gaming console*" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone*"):ti,ab,kw **OR** MESH (television OR computers OR video games OR sedentary lifestyle OR smartphone OR cell phones OR computers, handheld)) **AND** ((Review OR "meta analysis" OR "meta regression" OR synthesis OR "meta synthesis"):ti)

Version 1: as above

Version 2: Delete terms after final 'AND' and restrict results to reviews using Cochrane's tagging of studies.

Scopus Search Strategy

Version 1:

(TITLE-ABS-KEY(minor* OR "school age population" OR boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child*" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre school* OR school age* OR "school-age*" OR adolescen* OR youth* OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **AND** (TITLE-ABS-KEY(television OR computers OR "video games" OR "sedentary lifestyle" OR smartphone OR "cell phones" OR TV OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR Computer* OR "Video gam*" OR "Sedentary" OR Inactivity OR "E gam*" OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device*" OR "game device*" OR "gaming device*" OR "game console*" OR "gaming console*" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone*")) **AND** (TITLE(Review OR "meta analysis" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta-regression" OR "meta regression" OR synthesis OR "meta synthesis" OR "meta-synthesis"))

Version 2:

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (minor* OR "school age population" OR boy* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR "young child*" OR child* OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR pre AND school* OR school AND age* OR "school-age*" OR adolescen* OR youth* OR "young pe*" OR teen* OR preadolescen*)) **AND** (TITLE-ABS-KEY (television OR computers OR "video games" OR "sedentary lifestyle" OR smartphone OR "cell phones" OR tv OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR computer* OR "Video gam*" OR "Sedentary" OR inactivity OR "E gam*" OR e-gam* OR tablet* OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device*" OR "game device*" OR "gaming device*" OR "game console*" OR "gaming console*" OR "electronic media" OR smartphone* OR "smart phone*")) **AND** (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE , "re"))

Web of Science Search Strategy

(TS= ("boy*" OR "girl*" OR "kindergarten" OR "paediatric" OR "pediatric" OR "infan*" OR "baby" OR "babies" OR "toddler*" OR "young child*" OR "child*" OR "early childhood" OR "early years" OR "pre-school*" OR "preschool*" OR "pre school*" OR "school age*" OR "school-age*" OR "adolescen*" OR "youth*" OR "young pe*" OR "teen*" OR "pre#adolescen*")) **AND** (TS= ("Television" OR "TV" OR "Screen viewing" OR "Screen time" OR "Screen exposure" OR "Computer*" OR "Video gam*" OR "Sedentary" OR "Inactivity" OR "E gam*" OR "e-gam*" OR "Tablet*" OR "Cell phone*" OR "Mobile Phone*" OR "Mobile us*" OR "Media time" OR "Media us*" OR "handheld device*" OR "game device*" OR "gaming device*" OR "game console*" OR "gaming console*" OR "electronic media" OR "smartphone*" OR "smart phone*")) **AND** (TI= ("Review" OR "systematic review" OR "meta-analysis" OR "meta analysis" OR "meta-regression" OR "meta-regression" OR "synthesis" OR "meta-synthesis" OR "meta synthesis"))

ProQuest Social Science Premium Collection Search Strategy

((su(Children OR babies OR boys OR girls OR preschool children OR teenagers OR adolescents OR pediatrics)) **OR** (ab(boy* OR child* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR young child* OR early childhood OR early years OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR pre-adolescen*)) **OR** (ti(boy* OR child* OR girl* OR kindergarten OR paediatric OR pediatric OR infan* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR young child* OR early childhood OR early years OR pre-school* OR preschool* OR school age* OR school-age* OR adolescen* OR youth* OR young pe* OR teen* OR pre-adolescen*))) **AND** ((SU(television OR computers OR video games OR mobile phone)) **OR** (ab(Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*)) **OR** (ti(Television OR TV OR Screen viewing OR Screen time OR Screen exposure OR Computer* OR Video gam* OR Sedentary OR Inactivity OR E gam* OR e-gam* OR Tablet* OR Cell phone* OR Mobile Phone* OR Mobile us* OR Media time OR Media us* OR handheld device* OR game device* OR gaming device* OR game console* OR gaming console* OR electronic media OR smartphone* OR smart phone*))) **AND** (TI(review OR meta-analysis OR meta-regression OR synthesis OR meta-synthesis OR meta analysis OR meta regression OR meta synthesis))

ERIC Search Strategy

((SU(child) OR SU(youth) OR SU(minor) OR SU(adolescent) OR SU(school) OR SU(pediatrics)) **OR** (AB, TI(minor*) OR AB, TI("school age population") OR AB, TI(boy*) OR AB, TI(child*) OR AB, TI(girl*) OR AB, TI(kindergarten) OR AB, TI(paediatric) OR AB, TI(pediatric) OR AB, TI(infan*) OR AB, TI(baby) OR AB, TI(babies) OR AB, TI(toddler*) OR AB, TI("young child*") OR AB, TI("early childhood") OR AB, TI("early years") OR AB, TI(pre-school*) OR AB, TI(preschool*) OR AB, TI("pre school*") OR AB, TI("school age*") OR AB, TI(school-age*) OR AB, TI(adolescen*) OR AB, TI(youth*) OR AB, TI("young pe*") OR AB, TI(teen*) OR AB, TI(preadolescen*))) **AND** ((SU(television) OR SU(computers) OR SU(video games) OR SU(sedentary lifestyle) OR SU(cell phone) OR SU(mobile phone)) **OR** (AB, TI(television) OR AB, TI(computers) OR AB, TI("video games") OR AB, TI("sedentary lifestyle") OR AB, TI(smartphone) OR AB, TI("cell phones") OR AB, TI(TV) OR AB, TI("Screen viewing") OR AB, TI("Screen time") OR AB, TI("Screen exposure") OR AB, TI(Computer*) OR AB, TI("Video gam*") OR AB, TI("Sedentary") OR AB, TI(Inactivity) OR AB, TI("E gam*") OR AB, TI(e-gam*) OR AB, TI(Tablet*) OR AB, TI("Cell phone*") OR AB, TI("Mobile Phone*") OR AB, TI("Mobile us*") OR AB, TI("Media time") OR AB, TI("Media us*") OR AB, TI("handheld device*") OR AB, TI("game device*") OR AB, TI("gaming device*") OR AB, TI("game console*") OR AB, TI("gaming console*") OR AB, TI("electronic media") OR AB, TI(smartphone*) OR AB, TI("smart phone*"))) **AND**

(TI(Review) OR TI("meta analysis") OR TI("meta regression") OR TI(synthesis) OR TI("meta synthesis") OR
TI("meta-analysis") OR TI("meta-regression") OR TI("meta-synthesis"))

Supplementary File 9 - Included Studies

Description: References for the included studies.

Included Studies

1. Öztop, F. & Nayci, Ö. Does the Digital Generation Comprehend Better from the Screen or from the Paper?: A Meta-Analysis. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching* **8**, 1206–1224 (2021).
2. Abrami, P., Borokhovski, E. & Lysenko, L. The effects of ABRACADABRA on reading outcomes: A meta-analysis of applied field research. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research* **26**, 337–367 (2015).
3. Abrami, P. C., Lysenko, L. & Borokhovski, E. The effects of ABRACADABRA on reading outcomes: An updated meta-analysis and landscape review of applied field research. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* **36**, 260–279 (2020).
4. Adelantado-Renau, M. *et al.* Association Between Screen Media Use and Academic Performance Among Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics* **173**, 1058 (2019).
5. Aghasi, M., Matinfar, A., Golzarand, M., Salari-Moghaddam, A. & Ebrahimpour-Koujan, S. Internet Use in Relation to Overweight and Obesity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cross-Sectional Studies. *Advances in Nutrition* **11**, 349–356 (2019).
6. Alimoradi, Z. *et al.* Internet addiction and sleep problems: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* **47**, 51–61 (2019).
7. Ameryoun, A., Sanaeinab, H., Saffari, M. & Koenig, H. G. Impact of Game-Based Health Promotion Programs on Body Mass Index in Overweight/Obese Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Childhood Obesity* **14**, 67–80 (2018).
8. Anderson, C. A. *et al.* Violent video game effects on aggression, empathy, and prosocial behavior in Eastern and Western countries: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin* **136**, 151–173 (2010).
9. Andrade, A., Correia, C. K. & Coimbra, D. R. The Psychological Effects of Exergames for Children and Adolescents with Obesity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* **22**, 724–735 (2019).
10. Arztmann, M., Hornstra, L., Jeuring, J. & Kester, L. Effects of games in STEM education: A meta-analysis on the moderating role of student background characteristics. *Studies in Science Education* **59**, 109–145 (2022).
11. Aspiranti, K. B., Larwin, K. H. & Schade, B. P. iPads/tablets and students with autism: A meta-analysis of academic effects. *Assistive Technology* **32**, 23–30 (2020).
12. Baradaran Mahdavi, S., Riahi, R., Vahdatpour, B. & Kelishadi, R. Association between sedentary behavior and low back pain; A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Promotion Perspectives* **11**, 393–410 (2021).
13. Barnett, A., Cerin, E. & Baranowski, T. Active Video Games for Youth: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* **8**, 724–737 (2011).
14. Bartel, K. A., Gradisar, M. & Williamson, P. Protective and risk factors for adolescent sleep: A meta-analytic review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* **21**, 72–85 (2015).
15. Beck Silva, K. B., Miranda Pereira, E., Santana, M. L. P. de, Costa, P. R. F. & Silva, R. de C. R. Effects of computer-based interventions on food consumption and anthropometric parameters of adolescents: A systematic review and metanalysis. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **1–13** (2022) doi:10.1080/10408398.2022.2118227.
16. Benavides-Varela, S. *et al.* Effectiveness of digital-based interventions for children with mathematical learning difficulties: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education* **157**, 103953 (2020).
17. Beneria, A. *et al.* Online interventions for cannabis use among adolescents and young adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry* **16**, 821–844 (2022).
18. Blok, H., Oostdam, R., Otter, M. E. & Overmaat, M. Computer-assisted instruction in support of beginning reading instruction: A review. *Review of Educational Research* **72**, 101–130 (2002).

19. Bochner, R. E., Sorensen, K. M. & Belamarich, P. F. The Impact of Active Video Gaming on Weight in Youth: A Meta-Analysis. *Clinical Pediatrics* **54**, 620–628 (2015).
20. Bossen, D. *et al.* Effectiveness of Serious Games to Increase Physical Activity in Children With a Chronic Disease: Systematic Review With Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* **22**, e14549 (2020).
21. Boyland, E. J. *et al.* Advertising as a cue to consume: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of acute exposure to unhealthy food and nonalcoholic beverage advertising on intake in children and adults. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **103**, 519–533 (2016).
22. Boyland, E. *et al.* Association of Food and Nonalcoholic Beverage Marketing With Children and Adolescents' Eating Behaviors and Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics* **176**, e221037 (2022).
23. Burkhardt, J. & Lenhard, W. A Meta-Analysis on the Longitudinal, Age-Dependent Effects of Violent Video Games on Aggression. *Media Psychology* **25**, 499–512 (2022).
24. Byun, J. & Joung, E. Digital game-based learning for K-12 mathematics education: A meta-analysis. *School Science and Mathematics* **118**, 113–126 (2018).
25. Cai, Y., Pan, Z. & Liu, M. Augmented reality technology in language learning: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* **38**, 929–945 (2022).
26. Cao, Y., Huang, T., Huang, J., Xie, X. & Wang, Y. Effects and Moderators of Computer-Based Training on Children's Executive Functions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology* **11**, 580329 (2020).
27. Cao, X. *et al.* Risk of Accidents or Chronic Disorders From Improper Use of Mobile Phones: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* **24**, e21313 (2022).
28. Casale, S. *et al.* A meta-analysis on the association between self-esteem and problematic smartphone use. *Computers in Human Behavior* **134**, 107302 (2022).
29. Champion, K. E. *et al.* Effectiveness of school-based eHealth interventions to prevent multiple lifestyle risk behaviours among adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health* **1**, e206–e221 (2019).
30. Chan, K. K. & Leung, S. W. Dynamic Geometry Software Improves Mathematical Achievement: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* **51**, 311–325 (2014).
31. Chan, G. *et al.* The impact of eSports and online video gaming on lifestyle behaviours in youth: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior* **126**, 106974 (2022).
32. Chen, L., Ho, S. S. & Lwin, M. O. A meta-analysis of factors predicting cyberbullying perpetration and victimization: From the social cognitive and media effects approach. *New Media & Society* **19**, 1194–1213 (2017).
33. Chen, C.-H., Shih, C.-C. & Law, V. The Effects of Competition in Digital Game-Based Learning (DGBL): A Meta-Analysis. *Educational Technology Research and Development* **68**, 1855–1873 (2020).
34. Cheung, A. C. K. & Slavin, R. E. How features of educational technology applications affect student reading outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review* **7**, 198–215 (2012).
35. Cheung, A. C. K. & Slavin, R. E. The effectiveness of educational technology applications for enhancing mathematics achievement in K-12 classrooms: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review* **9**, 88–113 (2013).
36. Cheung, A. C. K. & Slavin, R. E. Effects of Educational Technology Applications on Reading Outcomes for Struggling Readers: A Best-Evidence Synthesis. *Reading Research Quarterly* **48**, 277–299 (2013).
37. Cho, K., Lee, S., Joo, M.-H. & Becker, B. The Effects of Using Mobile Devices on Student Achievement in Language Learning: A Meta-Analysis. *Education Sciences* **8**, 105 (2018).

38. Chodura, S., Kuhn, J.-T. & Holling, H. Interventions for Children With Mathematical Difficulties: A Meta-Analysis. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie* **223**, 129–144 (2015).
39. Claussen, A. H. *et al.* All in the Family? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Parenting and Family Environment as Risk Factors for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Children. *Prevention Science* (2022) doi:10.1007/s11121-022-01358-4.
40. Clinton, V. Reading from paper compared to screens: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Research in Reading* **42**, 288–325 (2019).
41. Comeras-Chueca, C. *et al.* The Effects of Active Video Games on Health-Related Physical Fitness and Motor Competence in Children and Adolescents with Healthy Weight: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **18**, 6965 (2021).
42. Comeras-Chueca, C. *et al.* Effects of Active Video Games on Health-Related Physical Fitness and Motor Competence in Children and Adolescents With Overweight or Obesity: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *JMIR Serious Games* **9**, e29981 (2021).
43. Cox, R., Skouteris, H., Rutherford, L. & Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M. The Association between Television Viewing and Preschool Child Body Mass Index: A systematic review of English papers published from 1995 to 2010. *Journal of Children and Media* **6**, 198–220 (2012).
44. Coyne, S. M. *et al.* A meta-analysis of prosocial media on prosocial behavior, aggression, and empathic concern: A multidimensional approach. *Developmental Psychology* **54**, 331–347 (2018).
45. Cunningham, S., Hudson, C. C. & Harkness, K. Social Media and Depression Symptoms: A Meta-Analysis. *Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology* **49**, 241–253 (2021).
46. Cushing, C. C. & Steele, R. G. A Meta-Analytic Review of eHealth Interventions for Pediatric Health Promoting and Maintaining Behaviors. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* **35**, 937–949 (2010).
47. Darling, K. E. & Sato, A. F. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Examining the Effectiveness of Mobile Health Technologies in Using Self-Monitoring for Pediatric Weight Management. *Childhood Obesity* **13**, 347–355 (2017).
48. Davey, S. & Davey, A. Assessment of Smartphone Addiction in Indian Adolescents: A Mixed Method Study by Systematic-review and Meta-analysis Approach. *International Journal of Preventive Medicine* **5**, 1500–1511 (2014).
49. David, O. A., Costescu, C., Cardos, R. & Mogoăse, C. How Effective are Serious Games for Promoting Mental Health and Health Behavioral Change in Children and Adolescents? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Child & Youth Care Forum* **49**, 817–838 (2020).
50. Oliveira, R. G. de & Guedes, D. P. Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior, Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Metabolic Syndrome in Adolescents: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Evidence. *PLOS ONE* **11**, e0168503 (2016).
51. de Ribera, O. S., Trajtenberg, N., Shenderovich, Y. & Murray, J. Correlates of youth violence in low- and middle-income countries: A meta-analysis. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* **49**, 101306 (2019).
52. Di, X. & Zheng, X. A meta-analysis of the impact of virtual technologies on students' spatial ability. *Educational technology research and development* **70**, 73–98 (2022).
53. Eirich, R. *et al.* Association of Screen Time With Internalizing and Externalizing Behavior Problems in Children 12 Years or Younger: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* **79**, 393 (2022).
54. Erçelik, Z. E. & Çağlar, S. Effectiveness of active video games in overweight and obese adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Annals of Pediatric Endocrinology & Metabolism* **27**, 98–104 (2022).
55. Fang, K., Mu, M., Liu, K. & He, Y. Screen time and childhood overweight/obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Child: Care, Health and Development* **45**, 744–753 (2019).

56. Fedele, D. A., Cushing, C. C., Fritz, A., Amaro, C. M. & Ortega, A. Mobile Health Interventions for Improving Health Outcomes in Youth: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics* **171**, 461 (2017).
57. Feng, J., Zheng, C., Sit, C. H.-P., Reilly, J. J. & Huang, W. Y. Associations between meeting 24-hour movement guidelines and health in the early years: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Sports Sciences* **39**, 2545–2557 (2021).
58. Ferguson, C. J. & Kilburn, J. The Public Health Risks of Media Violence: A Meta-Analytic Review. *The Journal of Pediatrics* **154**, 759–763 (2009).
59. Ferguson, C. J. Do Angry Birds Make for Angry Children? A Meta-Analysis of Video Game Influences on Children's and Adolescents' Aggression, Mental Health, Prosocial Behavior, and Academic Performance. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **10**, 646–666 (2015).
60. Ferguson, C. J., Nielsen, R. K. L. & Markey, P. M. Does Sexy Media Promote Teen Sex? A Meta-Analytic and Methodological Review. *Psychiatric Quarterly* **88**, 349–358 (2017).
61. Ferguson, C. J. 13 Reasons Why Not: A Methodological and Meta-Analytic Review of Evidence Regarding Suicide Contagion by Fictional Media. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* **49**, 1178–1186 (2019).
62. Ferguson, C. J., Copenhaver, A. & Markey, P. Reexamining the Findings of the American Psychological Association's 2015 Task Force on Violent Media: A Meta-Analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **15**, 1423–1443 (2020).
63. Ferguson, C. J. *et al.* Like this meta-analysis: Screen media and mental health. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice* **53**, 205–214 (2022).
64. Fischer, P., Greitemeyer, T., Kastenmüller, A., Vogrincic, C. & Sauer, A. The effects of risk-glorifying media exposure on risk-positive cognitions, emotions, and behaviors: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin* **137**, 367–390 (2011).
65. Folkvord, F. & van 't Riet, J. The persuasive effect of advergames promoting unhealthy foods among children: A meta-analysis. *Appetite* **129**, 245–251 (2018).
66. Foreman, J. *et al.* Association between digital smart device use and myopia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health* **3**, e806–e818 (2021).
67. Fowler, L. A. *et al.* Harnessing technological solutions for childhood obesity prevention and treatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis of current applications. *International Journal of Obesity* **45**, 957–981 (2021).
68. Furenes, M. I., Kucirkova, N. & Bus, A. G. A Comparison of Children's Reading on Paper versus Screen: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research* **91**, 483–517 (2021).
69. Gao, Z., Chen, S., Pasco, D. & Pope, Z. A meta-analysis of active video games on health outcomes among children and adolescents: A meta-analysis of active video games. *Obesity Reviews* **16**, 783–794 (2015).
70. Gardella, J. H., Fisher, B. W. & Teurbe-Tolon, A. R. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cyber-Victimization and Educational Outcomes for Adolescents. *Review of Educational Research* **87**, 283–308 (2017).
71. Garzón, J., Pavón, J. & Baldiris, S. Systematic review and meta-analysis of augmented reality in educational settings. *Virtual Reality* **23**, 447–459 (2019).
72. Garzón, J. & Acevedo, J. Meta-analysis of the impact of Augmented Reality on students' learning gains. *Educational Research Review* **27**, 244–260 (2019).
73. Ghobadi, S. *et al.* Association of eating while television viewing and overweight/obesity among children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies: Television viewing, overweight, obesity, children. *Obesity Reviews* **19**, 313–320 (2018).
74. Grabe, S., Ward, L. M. & Hyde, J. S. The role of the media in body image concerns among women: A meta-analysis of experimental and correlational studies. *Psychological Bulletin* **134**, 460–476 (2008).

75. Graham, S., Hebert, M. & Harris, K. R. Formative Assessment and Writing: A Meta-Analysis. *The Elementary School Journal* **115**, 523–547 (2015).
76. Hao, T., Wang, Z. & Ardasheva, Y. Technology-Assisted Vocabulary Learning for EFL Learners: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness* **14**, 645–667 (2021).
77. Mahdi, H. S. & Al Khateeb, A. A. The effectiveness of computer-assisted pronunciation training: A meta-analysis. *Review of Education* **7**, 733–753 (2019).
78. He, Z. *et al.* Effects of Smartphone-Based Interventions on Physical Activity in Children and Adolescents: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* **9**, e22601 (2021).
79. Hernández-Jiménez, C. *et al.* Impact of Active Video Games on Body Mass Index in Children and Adolescents: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Evaluating the Quality of Primary Studies. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **16**, 2424 (2019).
80. Ho, R. S.-T., Chan, E. K.-Y., Liu, K. K.-Y. & Wong, S. H.-S. Active video game on children and adolescents' physical activity and weight management: A network meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports* **32**, 1268–1286 (2022).
81. Huang, Q., Peng, W. & Ahn, S. When media become the mirror: A meta-analysis on media and body image. *Media Psychology* **24**, 437–489 (2021).
82. Hurwitz, L. B. Getting a Read on Ready To Learn Media: A Meta-analytic Review of Effects on Literacy. *Child Development* **90**, 1754–1771 (2019).
83. Kristensen, J. H., Pallesen, S., King, D. L., Hysing, M. & Erevik, E. K. Problematic Gaming and Sleep: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **12**, 675237 (2021).
84. Ivie, E. J., Pettitt, A., Moses, L. J. & Allen, N. B. A meta-analysis of the association between adolescent social media use and depressive symptoms. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **275**, 165–174 (2020).
85. Janssen, X. *et al.* Associations of screen time, sedentary time and physical activity with sleep in under 5s: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* **49**, 101226 (2020).
86. Kates, A. W., Wu, H. & Coryn, C. L. S. The effects of mobile phone use on academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education* **127**, 107–112 (2018).
87. Kim, J., Gilbert, J., Yu, Q. & Gale, C. Measures Matter: A Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Educational Apps on Preschool to Grade 3 Children's Literacy and Math Skills. *AERA Open* **7**, 233285842110041 (2021).
88. Kong, Y., Seo, Y. S. & Zhai, L. Comparison of reading performance on screen and on paper: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education* **123**, 138–149 (2018).
89. Kroesbergen, E. H. & Van Luit, J. E. H. Mathematics Interventions for Children with Special Educational Needs: A Meta-Analysis. *Remedial and Special Education* **24**, 97–114 (2003).
90. Küçükalkan, K., Beyazsaçlı, M. & Öz, A. Ş. Examination of the effects of computer-based mathematics instruction methods in children with mathematical learning difficulties: A meta-analysis. *Behaviour & Information Technology* **38**, 913–923 (2019).
91. Lamb, R. L., Annetta, L., Firestone, J. & Etopio, E. A meta-analysis with examination of moderators of student cognition, affect, and learning outcomes while using serious educational games, serious games, and simulations. *Computers in Human Behavior* **80**, 158–167 (2018).
92. Lanca, C. & Saw, S.-M. The association between digital screen time and myopia: A systematic review. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics* **40**, 216–229 (2020).
93. Larwin, K. H. & Aspiranti, K. B. Measuring the Academic Outcomes of iPads for Students with Autism: A Meta-Analysis. *Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* **6**, 233–241 (2019).
94. Lee, J., Piao, M., Byun, A. & Kim, J. A systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention for pediatric obesity using mobile technology. *Nursing Informatics 2016* **225**, 491–494 (2016).

95. Li, Q. & Ma, X. A Meta-analysis of the Effects of Computer Technology on School Students' Mathematics Learning. *Educational Psychology Review* **22**, 215–243 (2010).
96. Li, C., Cheng, G., Sha, T., Cheng, W. & Yan, Y. The Relationships between Screen Use and Health Indicators among Infants, Toddlers, and Preschoolers: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **17**, 7324 (2020).
97. Li, S., Song, Y., Cai, Z. & Zhang, Q. Are active video games useful in the development of gross motor skills among non-typically developing children? A meta-analysis. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation* **14**, 140 (2022).
98. Li, F., Wang, X., He, X., Cheng, L. & Wang, Y. The effectiveness of unplugged activities and programming exercises in computational thinking education: A Meta-analysis. *Education and Information Technologies* **27**, 7993–8013 (2022).
99. Liao, Y.-K. Effects of Computer-Assisted Instruction on Cognitive Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education* **24**, 367–80 (1992).
100. Liao, Y.-k. C., Chang, H.-w. & Chen, Y.-w. Effects of Computer Application on Elementary School Student's Achievement: A Meta-Analysis of Students in Taiwan. *Computers in the Schools* **24**, 43–64 (2007).
101. Liao, Y., Liao, J., Durand, C. P. & Dunton, G. F. Which type of sedentary behaviour intervention is more effective at reducing body mass index in children? A meta-analytic review: Sedentary behaviour intervention effects. *Obesity Reviews* **15**, 159–168 (2014).
102. Liu, M., Wu, L. & Yao, S. DoseResponse association of screen time-based sedentary behaviour in children and adolescents and depression: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* **50**, 1252–1258 (2016).
103. Liu, D. & Baumeister, R. F. Social networking online and personality of self-worth: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Research in Personality* **64**, 79–89 (2016).
104. Liu, D., Ainsworth, S. E. & Baumeister, R. F. A Meta-Analysis of Social Networking Online and Social Capital. *Review of General Psychology* **20**, 369–391 (2016).
105. Liu, D., Baumeister, R. F., Yang, C.-c. & Hu, B. Digital Communication Media Use and Psychological Well-Being: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* **24**, 259–273 (2019).
106. Liu, M., Pang, W., Guo, J. & Zhang, Y. A Meta-analysis of the Effect of Multimedia Technology on Creative Performance. *Education and Information Technologies* **27**, 8603–8630 (2022).
107. Liu, M. *et al.* Time Spent on Social Media and Risk of Depression in Adolescents: A DoseResponse Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **19**, 5164 (2022).
108. Lu, G.-L. *et al.* The correlation between mobile phone addiction and coping style among Chinese adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health* **15**, 60 (2021).
109. Luckner, H., Moss, J. R. & Gericke, C. A. Effectiveness of interventions to promote healthy weight in general populations of children and adults: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Public Health* **22**, 491–497 (2012).
110. Luo, Y. *et al.* Is Increased Video Game Participation Associated With Reduced Sense of Loneliness? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health* **10**, 898338 (2022).
111. Madigan, S., McArthur, B. A., Anhorn, C., Eirich, R. & Christakis, D. A. Associations Between Screen Use and Child Language Skills: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics* **174**, 665 (2020).
112. Major, L., Francis, G. A. & Tsapali, M. The effectiveness of technology-supported personalised learning in low- and middle-income countries: A meta-analysis. *British Journal of Educational Technology* **52**, 1935–1964 (2021).

113. Mallawaarachchi, S. R., Anglim, J., Hooley, M. & Horwood, S. Associations of smartphone and tablet use in early childhood with psychosocial, cognitive and sleep factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* **60**, 13–33 (2022).
114. Marciano, L., Schulz, P. J. & Camerini, A.-L. Cyberbullying Perpetration and Victimization in Youth: A Meta-Analysis of Longitudinal Studies. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* **25**, 163–181 (2020).
115. Marciano, L., Ostroumova, M., Schulz, P. J. & Camerini, A.-L. Digital Media Use and Adolescents' Mental Health During the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health* **9**, 793868 (2022).
116. Mares, M.-L. & Woodard, E. Positive Effects of Television on Children's Social Interactions: A Meta-Analysis. *Media Psychology* **7**, 301–322 (2005).
117. Mares, M.-L. & Pan, Z. Effects of Sesame Street: A meta-analysis of children's learning in 15 countries. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* **34**, 140–151 (2013).
118. Marino, C., Gini, G., Vieno, A. & Spada, M. M. The associations between problematic Facebook use, psychological distress and well-being among adolescents and young adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **226**, 274–281 (2018).
119. Marker, C., Gnamb, T. & Appel, M. Exploring the myth of the chubby gamer: A meta-analysis on sedentary video gaming and body mass. *Social Science & Medicine* **301**, 112325 (2022).
120. Marshall, S. J., Biddle, S. J. H., Gorely, T., Cameron, N. & Murdey, I. Relationships between media use, body fatness and physical activity in children and youth: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Obesity* **28**, 1238–1246 (2004).
121. Martins, N. & Weaver, A. The role of media exposure on relational aggression: A meta-analysis. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* **47**, 90–99 (2019).
122. Martins, N. C. *et al.* Influence of eating with distractors on caloric intake of children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis of interventional controlled studies. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **1–10** (2022) doi:10.1080/10408398.2022.2055525.
123. Mazeas, A., Duclos, M., Pereira, B. & Chalabaev, A. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Gamification on Physical Activity: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* **24**, e26779 (2022).
124. McArthur, G. *et al.* Phonics training for English-speaking poor readers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (2012) doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009115.pub2.
125. McArthur, G. *et al.* Phonics training for English-speaking poor readers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* **2018**, (2018).
126. Mei, X. *et al.* Sleep problems in excessive technology use among adolescent: A systemic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Science and Practice* **2**, 9 (2018).
127. Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W. & Davis, T. J. Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction on students' learning outcomes in K-12 and higher education: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education* **70**, 29–40 (2014).
128. Moran, J., Ferdig, R. E., Pearson, P. D., Wardrop, J. & Blomeyer, R. L. Technology and Reading Performance in the Middle-School Grades: A Meta-Analysis with Recommendations for Policy and Practice. *Journal of Literacy Research* **40**, 6–58 (2008).
129. Mori, C., Temple, J. R., Browne, D. & Madigan, S. Association of Sexting With Sexual Behaviors and Mental Health Among Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics* **173**, 770 (2019).
130. Neitzel, A. J., Lake, C., Pellegrini, M. & Slavin, R. E. A Synthesis of Quantitative Research on Programs for Struggling Readers in Elementary Schools. *Reading Research Quarterly* **57**, 149–179 (2022).

131. Nesi, J. *et al.* Social media use and self-injurious thoughts and behaviors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review* **87**, 102038 (2021).
132. Nikkelen, S. W. C., Valkenburg, P. M., Huizinga, M. & Bushman, B. J. Media use and ADHD-related behaviors in children and adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Developmental Psychology* **50**, 2228–2241 (2014).
133. Oh, C., Carducci, B., Vaivada, T. & Bhutta, Z. A. Digital Interventions for Universal Health Promotion in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics* **149**, e2021053852H (2022).
134. Oldrati, V. *et al.* Effectiveness of Computerized Cognitive Training Programs (CCTP) with Game-like Features in Children with or without Neuropsychological Disorders: A Meta-Analytic Investigation. *Neuropsychology Review* **30**, 126–141 (2020).
135. Oliveira, C. B. *et al.* Effects of active video games on children and adolescents: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports* **30**, 4–12 (2020).
136. Ozdemir, M., Sahin, C., Arcagok, S. & Demir, M. K. The Effect of Augmented Reality Applications in the Learning Process: A MetaAnalysis Study. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research* **18**, 1–22 (2018).
137. Paik, H. & Comstock, G. The Effects of Television Violence on Antisocial Behavior: A Meta-Analysis. *Communication Research* **21**, 516–546 (1994).
138. Park, J., Park, M.-J. & Seo, Y.-G. Effectiveness of Information and Communication Technology on Obesity in Childhood and Adolescence: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* **23**, e29003 (2021).
139. Pearce, L. J. & Field, A. P. The Impact of ‘Scary’ TV and Film on Children’s Internalizing Emotions: A Meta-Analysis. *Human Communication Research* **42**, 98–121 (2016).
140. Peng, W., Lin, J.-H. & Crouse, J. Is Playing Exergames Really Exercising? A Meta-Analysis of Energy Expenditure in Active Video Games. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* **14**, 681–688 (2011).
141. Poorolajal, J., Sahraei, F., Mohammadi, Y., Doosti-Irani, A. & Moradi, L. Behavioral factors influencing childhood obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Research & Clinical Practice* **14**, 109–118 (2020).
142. Powers, K. L., Brooks, P. J., Aldrich, N. J., Palladino, M. A. & Alfieri, L. Effects of video-game play on information processing: A meta-analytic investigation. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* **20**, 1055–1079 (2013).
143. Prescott, A. T., Sargent, J. D. & Hull, J. G. Metaanalysis of the relationship between violent video game play and physical aggression over time. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 9882–9888 (2018).
144. Prizant-Passal, S., Shechner, T. & Aderka, I. M. Social anxiety and internet use A meta-analysis: What do we know? What are we missing? *Computers in Human Behavior* **62**, 221–229 (2016).
145. Reynard, S., Dias, J., Mitic, M., Schrank, B. & Woodcock, K. A. Digital Interventions for Emotion Regulation in Children and Early Adolescents: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JMIR Serious Games* **10**, e31456 (2022).
146. Rodriguez Rocha, N. P. & Kim, H. eHealth Interventions for Fruit and Vegetable Intake: A Meta-Analysis of Effectiveness. *Health Education & Behavior* **46**, 947–959 (2019).
147. Russell, S. J., Croker, H. & Viner, R. M. The effect of screen advertising on children’s dietary intake: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews* **20**, 554–568 (2019).
148. Ryan, A. W. Meta-Analysis of Achievement Effects of Microcomputer Applications in Elementary Schools. *Educational Administration Quarterly* **27**, 161–184 (1991).
149. Sadeghirad, B., Duhaney, T., Motaghpisheh, S., Campbell, N. R. C. & Johnston, B. C. Influence of unhealthy food and beverage marketing on children’s dietary intake and preference: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Obesity Reviews* **17**, 945–959 (2016).

150. Saiphoo, A. N., Dahoah Halevi, L. & Vahedi, Z. Social networking site use and self-esteem: A meta-analytic review. *Personality and Individual Differences* **153**, 109639 (2020).
151. Scherer, R., Siddiq, F. & Sánchez Viveros, B. A meta-analysis of teaching and learning computer programming: Effective instructional approaches and conditions. *Computers in Human Behavior* **109**, 106349 (2020).
152. Scherer, R., Siddiq, F. & Sánchez Viveros, B. The cognitive benefits of learning computer programming: A meta-analysis of transfer effects. *Journal of Educational Psychology* **111**, 764–792 (2019).
153. Schroeder, N. L., Adesope, O. O. & Gilbert, R. B. How Effective are Pedagogical Agents for Learning? A Meta-Analytic Review. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* **49**, 1–39 (2013).
154. Scionti, N., Cavallero, M., Zogmaister, C. & Marzocchi, G. M. Is Cognitive Training Effective for Improving Executive Functions in Preschoolers? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology* **10**, 2812 (2020).
155. Shahab, L. & McEwen, A. Online support for smoking cessation: A systematic review of the literature. *Addiction* **104**, 1792–1804 (2009).
156. Shannon, H., Bush, K., Villeneuve, P. J., Hellemans, K. G. & Guimond, S. Problematic Social Media Use in Adolescents and Young Adults: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JMIR Mental Health* **9**, e33450 (2022).
157. Shin, Y., Kim, S. K. & Lee, M. Mobile phone interventions to improve adolescents' physical health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health Nursing* **36**, 787–799 (2019).
158. Shin, M., Juventin, M., Wai Chu, J. T., Manor, Y. & Kemps, E. Online media consumption and depression in young people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior* **128**, 107129 (2022).
159. Slavin, R. E. Reading Effects of IBM's "Writing to Read" Program: A Review of Evaluations. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* **13**, 1 (1991).
160. Slavin, R. E. & Lake, C. Effective Programs in Elementary Mathematics: A Best-Evidence Synthesis. *Review of Educational Research* **78**, 427–515 (2008).
161. Slavin, R. E., Lake, C. & Groff, C. Effective Programs in Middle and High School Mathematics: A Best-Evidence Synthesis. *Review of Educational Research* **79**, 839–911 (2009).
162. Slavin, R. E., Lake, C., Hanley, P. & Thurston, A. Experimental evaluations of elementary science programs: A best-evidence synthesis. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* **51**, 870–901 (2014).
163. Soo Jung, K. & Yan Ping, X. A Synthesis of Computer-Assisted Mathematical Word Problem-Solving Instruction for Students with Learning Disabilities or Difficulties. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal* **20**, 27–45 (2022).
164. Stavrinou, D., Pope, C. N., Shen, J. & Schwebel, D. C. Distracted Walking, Bicycling, and Driving: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Mobile Technology and Youth Crash Risk. *Child Development* **89**, 118–128 (2018).
165. Steele, J. L., Bozick, R. & Davis, L. M. Education for Incarcerated Juveniles: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk (JESPAR)* **21**, 65–89 (2016).
166. Strong, G. K., Torgerson, C. J., Torgerson, D. & Hulme, C. A systematic meta-analytic review of evidence for the effectiveness of the 'Fast ForWord' language intervention program. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **52**, 224–235 (2011).
167. Strouse, G. A. & Samson, J. E. Learning From Video: A Meta-Analysis of the Video Deficit in Children Ages 0 to 6 Years. *Child Development* **92**, E20–E38 (2021).

168. Suleiman-Martos, N. *et al.* Gamification for the Improvement of Diet, Nutritional Habits, and Body Composition in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* **13**, 2478 (2021).
169. Sun, L., Hu, L. & Zhou, D. Which way of design programming activities is more effective to promote K-12 students' computational thinking skills? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* **37**, 1048–1062 (2021).
170. Sung, Y.-T., Chang, K.-E. & Yang, J.-M. How effective are mobile devices for language learning? A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review* **16**, 68–84 (2015).
171. Takacs, Z. K., Swart, E. K. & Bus, A. G. Can the computer replace the adult for storybook reading? A meta-analysis on the effects of multimedia stories as compared to sharing print stories with an adult. *Frontiers in Psychology* **5**, (2014).
172. Takacs, Z. K., Swart, E. K. & Bus, A. G. Benefits and Pitfalls of Multimedia and Interactive Features in Technology-Enhanced Storybooks: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research* **85**, 698–739 (2015).
173. Takacs, Z. K. & Kassai, R. The efficacy of different interventions to foster children's executive function skills: A series of meta-analyses. *Psychological Bulletin* **145**, 653–697 (2019).
174. Tamim, R. M., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Abrami, P. C. & Schmid, R. F. What Forty Years of Research Says About the Impact of Technology on Learning: A Second-Order Meta-Analysis and Validation Study. *Review of Educational Research* **81**, 4–28 (2011).
175. Tekedere, H. & Göke, H. Examining the Effectiveness of Augmented Reality Applications in Education: A Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education* **11**, 9469–9481 (2016).
176. Tingir, S., Cavlazoglu, B., Caliskan, O., Koklu, O. & Intepe-Tingir, S. Effects of mobile devices on K-12 students' achievement: A meta-analysis: Effects of mobile devices. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* **33**, 355–369 (2017).
177. Tokac, U., Novak, E. & Thompson, C. G. Effects of game-based learning on students' mathematics achievement: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* **35**, 407–420 (2019).
178. Tokunaga, R. S. A meta-analysis of the relationships between psychosocial problems and internet habits: Synthesizing internet addiction, problematic internet use, and deficient self-regulation research. *Communication Monographs* **84**, 423–446 (2017).
179. Tremblay, M. S. *et al.* Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* **8**, 98 (2011).
180. Tsai, Y.-L. & Tsai, C.-C. Digital game-based second-language vocabulary learning and conditions of research designs: A meta-analysis study. *Computers & Education* **125**, 345–357 (2018).
181. Vahedi, Z., Sibalis, A. & Sutherland, J. E. Are media literacy interventions effective at changing attitudes and intentions towards risky health behaviors in adolescents? A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Adolescence* **67**, 140–152 (2018).
182. Vahedi, Z. & Zannella, L. The association between self-reported depressive symptoms and the use of social networking sites (SNS): A meta-analysis. *Current Psychology* **40**, 2174–2189 (2021).
183. van 't Riet, J., Crutzen, R. & Lu, A. S. How Effective Are Active Videogames Among the Young and the Old? Adding Meta-analyses to Two Recent Systematic Reviews. *Games for Health Journal* **3**, 311–318 (2014).
184. van Ekris, E. *et al.* An evidence-update on the prospective relationship between childhood sedentary behaviour and biomedical health indicators: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews* **17**, 833–849 (2016).
185. van Grieken, A., Ezendam, N. P., Paulis, W. D., van der Wouden, J. C. & Raat, H. Primary prevention of overweight in children and adolescents: A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of interventions aiming to

decrease sedentary behaviour. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* **9**, 61 (2012).

186. Vannucci, A., Simpson, E. G., Gagnon, S. & Ohannessian, C. M. Social media use and risky behaviors in adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Adolescence* **79**, 258–274 (2020).
187. Villegas-Navas, V., Montero-Simo, M.-J. & Araque-Padilla, R. A. The Effects of Foods Embedded in Entertainment Media on Children's Food Choices and Food Intake: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. *Nutrients* **12**, 964 (2020).
188. Wahi, G. Effectiveness of Interventions Aimed at Reducing Screen Time in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine* **165**, 979 (2011).
189. Shudong Wang, Hong Jiao, Young, M. J., Brooks, T. & Olson, J. Comparability of Computer-Based and Paper-and-Pencil Testing in K Reading Assessments: A Meta-Analysis of Testing Mode Effects. *Educational and Psychological Measurement* **68**, 5–24 (2008).
190. Wang, J., Li, M., Zhu, D. & Cao, Y. Smartphone Overuse and Visual Impairment in Children and Young Adults: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* **22**, e21923 (2020).
191. Wang, C.-p., Lan, Y.-J., Tseng, W.-T., Lin, Y.-T. R. & Gupta, K. C.-L. On the effects of 3D virtual worlds in language learning a meta-analysis. *Computer Assisted Language Learning* **33**, 891–915 (2020).
192. Weng, P.-L., Maeda, Y. & Bouck, E. C. Effectiveness of Cognitive Skills-Based Computer-Assisted Instruction for Students With Disabilities: A Synthesis. *Remedial and Special Education* **35**, 167–180 (2014).
193. Williams, P. A., Haertel, E. H., Haertel, G. D. & Walberg, H. J. The Impact of Leisure-Time Television on School Learning: A Research Synthesis. *American Educational Research Journal* **19**, 19–50 (1982).
194. Wood, W., Wong, F. Y. & Chachere, J. G. Effects of media violence on viewers' aggression in unconstrained social interaction. *Psychological Bulletin* **109**, 371–383 (1991).
195. Wouters, P., van Nimwegen, C., van Oostendorp, H. & van der Spek, E. D. A meta-analysis of the cognitive and motivational effects of serious games. *Journal of Educational Psychology* **105**, 249–265 (2013).
196. Wouters, P. & van Oostendorp, H. A meta-analytic review of the role of instructional support in game-based learning. *Computers & Education* **60**, 412–425 (2013).
197. Wu, Y., Amirkhahraei, A., Ebrahimzadeh, F., Jahangiry, L. & Abbasalizad-Farhangi, M. Screen Time and Body Mass Index Among Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Pediatrics* **10**, 822108 (2022).
198. Xie, H. *et al.* Can Touchscreen Devices be Used to Facilitate Young Children's Learning? A Meta-Analysis of Touchscreen Learning Effect. *Frontiers in Psychology* **9**, 2580 (2018).
199. Yang, Q., Liu, J. & Rui, J. Association between social network sites use and mental illness: A meta-analysis. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace* **16**, (2022).
200. Yin, X.-Q., de Vries, D. A., Gentile, D. A. & Wang, J.-L. Cultural Background and Measurement of Usage Moderate the Association Between Social Networking Sites (SNSs) Usage and Mental Health: A Meta-Analysis. *Social Science Computer Review* **37**, 631–648 (2019).
201. Yoon, S., Kleinman, M., Mertz, J. & Brannick, M. Is social network site usage related to depression? A meta-analysis of FacebookDepression relations. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **248**, 65–72 (2019).
202. Zhang, G., Wu, L., Zhou, L., Lu, W. & Mao, C. Television watching and risk of childhood obesity: A meta-analysis. *The European Journal of Public Health* **26**, 13–18 (2016).
203. Zhang, Y., Li, S. & Yu, G. The relationship between social media use and fear of missing out: A meta-analysis. *Acta Psychologica Sinica* **53**, 273–290 (2021).

204. Zhang, J., Yang, S. X., Wang, L., Han, L. H. & Wu, X. Y. The influence of sedentary behaviour on mental health among children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **306**, 90–114 (2022).
205. Zhang, Y., Tian, S., Zou, D., Zhang, H. & Pan, C.-W. Screen time and health issues in Chinese school-aged children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* **22**, 810 (2022).
206. Zhang, J. *et al.* An updated of meta-analysis on the relationship between mobile phone addiction and sleep disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **305**, 94–101 (2022).
207. Zheng, B., Warschauer, M., Lin, C.-H. & Chang, C. Learning in One-to-One Laptop Environments: A Meta-Analysis and Research Synthesis. *Review of Educational Research* **86**, 1052–1084 (2016).
208. Zhou, C., Occa, A., Kim, S. & Morgan, S. A Meta-analysis of Narrative Game-based Interventions for Promoting Healthy Behaviors. *Journal of Health Communication* **25**, 54–65 (2020).
209. Zucker, T. A., Moody, A. K. & McKenna, M. C. The Effects of Electronic Books on Pre-Kindergarten-to-Grade 5 Students' Literacy and Language Outcomes: A Research Synthesis. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* **40**, 47–87 (2009).

Supplementary File 10 - Effect Size Codebook

Description: Generated codebook for the dataset.

Codebook for the Complete Effects Data

Autogenerated data summary from dataReporter

2023-07-20 11:51:25.935396

Data report overview

The dataset examined has the following dimensions:

Feature	Result
Number of observations	451
Number of variables	32

Variable list

author_year

First author and publication year of meta-analysis.

Feature	Result
Variable type	character
Number of missing obs.	0 (0 %)
Number of unique values	134
Mode	“Oh, 2022”

outcome_category

Category the outcome belongs to.

Feature	Result
Variable type	character
Number of missing obs.	1 (0.22 %)
Number of unique values	4
Mode	“education”

plain_language_outcome

Specific outcome for the effect.

Feature	Result
Variable type	character

Feature	Result
Number of missing obs.	0 (0 %)
Number of unique values	140
Mode	"Learning: General"

plain_language_exposure

Specific exposure for the effect.

Feature	Result
Variable type	character
Number of missing obs.	0 (0 %)
Number of unique values	92
Mode	"Screen use: General"

age_group

Broad age group of the participants, if specified.

Feature	Result
Variable type	character
Number of missing obs.	0 (0 %)
Number of unique values	4
Mode	"Mixed"

original_effect_size_metric

Type of effect size original_effect_size refers to.

Feature	Result
Variable type	character
Number of missing obs.	6 (1.33 %)
Number of unique values	7
Mode	"d"

original_effect_size

Effect size reported in the original meta-analysis.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	0 (0 %)

Feature	Result
Number of unique values	285
Median	0.22
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.01; 0.68
Min. and max.	-788.59; 1185

original_cilb

Lower bound for the 95% confidence interval of the reported effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	19 (4.21 %)
Number of unique values	265
Median	0.05
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.15; 0.35
Min. and max.	-2146.87; 303

original_ciub

Upper bound for the 95% confidence interval of the reported effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	19 (4.21 %)
Number of unique values	289
Median	0.44
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.12; 1.2
Min. and max.	-5.68; 2068

original_k

Number of studies reported as contributing to the reported effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	14 (3.1 %)
Number of unique values	52
Median	7
1st and 3rd quartiles	4; 12
Min. and max.	1; 274

original_n

Number of participants reported as contributing to the reported effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	0 (0 %)
Number of unique values	422
Median	1836
1st and 3rd quartiles	639.5; 7389
Min. and max.	3; 527696

original_i2

Reported heterogeneity (as I-Squared) for the reported effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	157 (34.81 %)
Number of unique values	198
Median	67.28
1st and 3rd quartiles	24.1; 82.85
Min. and max.	0; 99.8

converted_r

Effect size as converted to Pearson's r (where possible).

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	199 (44.12 %)
Number of unique values	177
Median	0.1
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.02; 0.2
Min. and max.	-0.26; 0.82

converted_cilb

Lower bound for the 95% confidence interval of the converted effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	208 (46.12 %)
Number of unique values	179
Median	0.02
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.11; 0.09

Feature	Result
Min. and max.	-0.54; 0.76

converted_ciub

Upper bound for the 95% confidence interval of the converted effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	208 (46.12 %)
Number of unique values	193
Median	0.19
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.06; 0.3
Min. and max.	-0.2; 0.87

reanalysis_estimate

Effect size from the reanalysis of the study-level data (where possible).

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Median	0.08
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.04; 0.18
Min. and max.	-0.47; 0.61

reanalysis_cilb

Lower bound for the 95% confidence interval of the reanalysed effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Median	-0.01
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.16; 0.06
Min. and max.	-0.67; 0.45

reanalysis_ciub

Upper bound for the 95% confidence interval of the reanalysed effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Median	0.16
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.05; 0.29
Min. and max.	-0.35; 0.79

reanalysis_cilb999

Lower bound for the 99.9% confidence interval of the reanalysed effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Median	-0.1
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.22; 0.01
Min. and max.	-1; 0.35

reanalysis_ciub999

Upper bound for the 99.9% confidence interval of the reanalysed effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Median	0.22
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.1; 0.39
Min. and max.	-0.27; 1

reanalysis_k

Number of studies contributing to the reanalysed effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	integer
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	48
Median	7
1st and 3rd quartiles	4; 12.5

Feature	Result
Min. and max.	1; 122

reanalysis_n

Number of participants contributing to the reanalysed effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	234
Median	1836
1st and 3rd quartiles	687.5; 5611.5
Min. and max.	26; 527696

reanalysis_i2

Heterogeneity (as I-Squared) for the reanalysed effect size.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	199
Median	73.94
1st and 3rd quartiles	26.23; 88.62
Min. and max.	0; 99.51

reanalysis_eggers_p

P-value for the Egger's test for publication bias.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	365 (80.93 %)
Number of unique values	86
Median	0.22
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.03; 0.51
Min. and max.	0; 0.98

reanalysis_eggers_cilb

Lower bound for the 95% confidence interval for the Egger's test for publication bias.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	365 (80.93 %)
Number of unique values	86
Median	-0.05
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.19; 0.1
Min. and max.	-2.05; 0.65

reanalysis_eggers_ciub

Upper bound for the 95% confidence interval for the Egger's test for publication bias.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	365 (80.93 %)
Number of unique values	86
Median	0.29
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.1; 0.65
Min. and max.	-0.96; 1.56

reanalysis_tes_obsr

Number of observed significant tests (from Test of Excess Significance).

Feature	Result
Variable type	integer
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	32
Median	3
1st and 3rd quartiles	1; 6
Min. and max.	0; 110

reanalysis_tes_expect

Number of expected significant tests (from Test of Excess Significance).

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Median	3.21
1st and 3rd quartiles	1.62; 6.67

Feature	Result
Min. and max.	0.05; 108.26

reanalysis_tes_ratio

Ratio of observed to expected significant tests (from Test of Excess Significance).

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	219
Median	0.91
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.57; 1.09
Min. and max.	0; 2.9

reanalysis_tes_p

P-value for the Test of Excess Significance.

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	222
Median	0.8
1st and 3rd quartiles	0.58; 0.95
Min. and max.	0.01; 1

reanalysis_tes_power

Power for each of the tests (from the Test of Excess Significance).

Feature	Result
Variable type	character
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Mode	"0.793; 0.52; 0.463"

reanalysis_tes_theta

Value of theta used to compute the tests (from the Test of Excess Significance).

Feature	Result
Variable type	numeric
Number of missing obs.	204 (45.23 %)
Number of unique values	244
Median	0.08
1st and 3rd quartiles	-0.04; 0.18
Min. and max.	-0.47; 0.61

Report generation information:

- Created by: Taren Sanders (username: taren).
- Report creation time: Thu Jul 20 2023 11:51:26
- Report was run from directory: /home/taren/GitHub/screen_umbrella
- dataReporter v1.0.2 [Pkg: 2021-11-11 from CRAN (R 4.3.0)]
- R version 4.3.0 (2023-04-21).
- Platform: x86_64-pc-linux-gnu (64-bit)(Australia/Sydney).
- Function call:

```
dataReporter::makeDataReport(data = out_effects, output = "pdf", mode = "summarize", smartNum = FALSE, file = "supplementary_files/codebook.Rmd", replace = TRUE, openResult = FALSE, checks = list(character = "showAllFactorLevels", factor = "showAllFactorLevels", labelled = "showAllFactorLevels", haven_labelled = "showAllFactorLevels", numeric = NULL, integer = NULL, logical = NULL, Date = NULL), listChecks = FALSE, maxProbVals = Inf, addSummaryTable = FALSE, codebook = TRUE, reportTitle = "Codebook for the Complete Effects Data")
```

Supplementary File 11 - PRISMA Checklist

Description: Completed PRISMA Checklist.



PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Title
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	Abstract
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	Pg 6-7
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Ln120-124
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Ln131-166
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	Ln167-174
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Supp File 1
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Ln177-180
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Ln181-182
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Ln183-187, Ln 193-199
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Ln183-187
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Ln188-192
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	Ln196
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	Ln 200-204
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Ln196, Ln205-207
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Ln200-204
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	Ln205-216
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	Ln209-211
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	N/A
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Ln211-213
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	Ln217-231



PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
RESULTS			
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Figure 1
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	N/A
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	Table 1 & Supp File 7
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	Available on OSF
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Figs2&3, Supp 5&6
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	See OSF and Figures
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	Figs2&3, Ln278-297, Ln307-322
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	N/A
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	N/A
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	Supp File 2
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	N/A
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Ln330-359
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	Ln360-373
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Ln419-430
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Ln374-396
OTHER INFORMATION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	Ln129
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Ln129
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	Ln232-243
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	N/A (unfunded)
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	Provided to editor
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	Provided to editor

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

For more information, visit: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>

Supplementary File 12 - PRISMA Abstract Checklist

Description: Completed PRISMA Abstract Checklist.



PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts Checklist

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Reported (Yes/No)
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Yes
BACKGROUND			
Objectives	2	Provide an explicit statement of the main objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Yes
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	3	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review.	Yes
Information sources	4	Specify the information sources (e.g. databases, registers) used to identify studies and the date when each was last searched.	No
Risk of bias	5	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies.	No
Synthesis of results	6	Specify the methods used to present and synthesise results.	No
RESULTS			
Included studies	7	Give the total number of included studies and participants and summarise relevant characteristics of studies.	Yes
Synthesis of results	8	Present results for main outcomes, preferably indicating the number of included studies and participants for each. If meta-analysis was done, report the summary estimate and confidence/credible interval. If comparing groups, indicate the direction of the effect (i.e. which group is favoured).	Yes
DISCUSSION			
Limitations of evidence	9	Provide a brief summary of the limitations of the evidence included in the review (e.g. study risk of bias, inconsistency and imprecision).	No
Interpretation	10	Provide a general interpretation of the results and important implications.	Yes
OTHER			
Funding	11	Specify the primary source of funding for the review.	N/A
Registration	12	Provide the register name and registration number.	Yes

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

For more information, visit: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>