

Quality Assessment

Quality assessment for meta-analyses providing unique effects

First Author	Year	Quality Assessment ¹						
		Elig. Crit. ²	Lit. Search ³	Dual Screen ⁴	Dual Qual. ⁵	Studies Listed ⁶	Pub. Bias ⁷	Hetero. ⁸
Abrami	2020	U	U	L	H	L	L	L
Adelantado-Renau	2019	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Andrade	2019	U	L	L	U	L	H	L
Arztmann	2022	U	H	H	H	H	L	L
Aspiranti	2020	U	L	L	H	L	H	L
Bartel	2015	L	L	U	U	L	U	U
Beck Silva	2022	L	L	L	L	L	H	L
Benavides-Varela	2020	U	H	L	H	L	L	L
Blok	2002	U	L	H	H	L	H	L
Bossen	2020	U	L	L	L	L	H	L
Boyland	2016	H	L	L	U	L	L	L
Byun	2018	U	U	U	H	H	H	H
Cao	2020	U	H	U	H	L	L	L
Champion	2019	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Chan	2014	U	H	H	H	L	L	L
Chauhan	2017	U	L	U	H	H	L	L
Chen	2020	U	H	U	H	H	H	L
Cheung	2012	U	L	L	H	H	L	L
Cheung	2013	L	H	H	U	L	L	L
Cho	2018	U	H	U	H	L	L	L
Claussen	2022	U	L	U	H	L	H	L
Clinton	2019	U	H	U	U	L	L	L
Comeras-Chueca	2021	L	U	L	U	L	H	L
Comeras-Chueca	2021	L	L	L	U	L	H	L
Coyne	2018	L	L	L	H	L	L	L
Cunningham	2021	U	L	L	H	L	L	L
Cushing	2010	U	L	H	H	L	L	L
Darling	2017	U	L	U	U	L	H	H
Eirich	2022	U	L	L	L	L	L	L
Feng	2021	L	L	L	L	L	H	L
Ferguson	2017	U	L	L	H	L	L	L
Ferguson	2020	L	U	L	L	L	L	L
Folkvord	2018	U	L	L	U	L	H	L
Furenes	2021	H	H	L	U	L	L	L
Gardella	2017	U	L	L	U	L	L	L
Garzón	2019	U	H	U	H	H	L	L
Graham	2015	U	L	H	H	L	L	L
Hammersley	2016	L	L	H	L	L	H	L
Hao	2021	U	L	L	L	L	H	L
Hassan-Saleh	2019	U	L	U	U	H	H	L
He	2021	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Hernandez-Jimenez	2019	U	L	H	L	L	L	L
Hurwitz	2018	L	L	H	H	L	L	L
Ivie	2020	U	L	L	L	L	L	L
Janssen	2020	U	L	L	L	L	U	L
Kates	2018	U	H	L	H	H	L	L
Kim	2021	U	L	U	L	L	L	L
Kroesbergen	2003	U	L	U	H	L	H	L
Kucukalkan	2019	U	L	U	U	H	L	L
Li	2010	U	L	L	U	L	H	L
Li	2022	L	H	L	L	L	H	L
Li	2022	U	H	L	H	L	L	L
Liao	2008	L	H	H	L	H	H	H
Liao	2014	U	L	H	L	L	L	L
Liu	2019	U	L	U	H	L	L	L
Liu	2022	U	H	U	H	H	L	L
Lu	2021	U	L	U	L	L	L	L
Madigan	2020	U	L	L	U	L	L	L
Major	2021	U	L	L	H	L	L	L
Mallawaarachchi	2022	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Mares	2005	U	L	H	H	L	H	H
Mares	2013	U	H	H	H	L	H	L
Marker	2022	U	L	H	L	L	L	L
Marshall	2004	U	L	H	H	H	H	L
Martins	2019	U	L	U	H	L	L	L
Martins	2022	L	L	L	L	L	H	L
Mazeas	2022	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
McArthur	2012	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
McArthur	2018	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Mei	2018	U	H	U	L	L	H	L
Merchant	2014	U	L	H	H	H	H	L
Neitzel	2022	U	L	H	H	L	H	H
Oldrati	2020	U	L	U	H	L	L	L
Paik	1994	U	H	U	H	H	L	H
Pearce	2016	U	L	H	H	H	L	L
Peng	2011	U	L	U	U	L	H	L
Powers	2013	U	L	U	H	L	L	L
Prescott	2018	U	L	U	H	L	L	L
Reynard	2022	H	L	L	L	L	L	L
Rodriguez-Rocha	2019	U	L	L	L	L	L	L
Sadeghirad	2016	H	L	L	L	L	L	L
Scherer	2020	U	H	U	H	L	L	L
Schroeder	2013	L	L	U	H	L	L	L
Scionti	2019	L	L	L	H	L	L	L
Shin	2019	U	L	L	L	L	H	L
Shin	2022	L	H	L	L	L	L	L
Slavin	2014	U	H	H	H	L	H	H
Strouse	2021	U	L	U	H	H	L	L
Takacs	2014	H	L	U	H	L	L	L
Takacs	2019	L	L	U	H	L	L	L
Tekedere	2016	U	H	U	U	L	L	L
Tokac	2019	U	H	L	H	L	L	L
Vahedi	2018	L	L	U	U	L	L	L
van Ekris	2016	U	L	L	L	L	H	L
Vannucci	2020	U	L	U	H	L	L	L
Williams	1982	U	U	H	U	L	H	H
Wouters	2013	U	H	U	H	L	L	L
Xie	2018	U	L	L	H	L	L	L
Yin	2019	U	H	U	H	L	L	L
Zhou	2020	U	L	U	H	L	L	L
Zucker	2009	L	L	U	H	L	H	L

¹ Items are from the National Health, Lung and Blood Institute's Quality Assessment of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses tool. Note that we excluded the first item of the tool. U = Unclear; L = Low; H = High ² Eligibility criteria predefined and specified ³ Literature search strategy comprehensive and systematic ⁴ Dual independent screening and review ⁵ Dual independent quality assessment ⁶ Included studies listed with important characteristics and results of each ⁷ Publication bias assessed ⁸ Heterogeneity assessed