# STATISTICAL AND BUSINESS INSIGHTS

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- Total records : 2500Failure distribution:
  - Machine Failure instances: 1265
  - o No\_Machine\_Failure instances: 1235

#### • Summary Statistics of Numerical Fields:

- 1. Hydraulic Pressure (bar):
  - a. Minimum value: -14.33
  - **b. Maximum value:** 191.00
  - c. Average value: 101.40
  - d. Standard deviation: 30.22
- 2. Coolant\_Pressure (bar):
  - a. Minimum value: 0.33
  - b. Maximum value: 11.35
  - c. Average value: 4.94
  - d. Standard deviation: 0.99
- 3. Air System Pressure (bar):
  - a. Minimum value: 5.06
  - b. Maximum value: 7.97
  - c. Average value: 6.499
  - d. Standard deviation: 0.406

#### 4. Coolant\_Temperature(°C):

a. Minimum value: 4.10

b. Maximum value: 98.20

c. Average value: 18.55

d. Standard deviation: 8.532

#### 5. Hydraulic\_Oil\_Temperature:

a. Minimum value: 35.20

**b. Maximum value:** 61.40

c. Average value: 47.61

d. Standard deviation: 3.755

## **6. Spindle\_Bearing\_Temperature:**

a. Minimum value: 22.60

**b. Maximum value:** 49.50

c. Average value: 35.06

d. Standard deviation: 3.758

#### 7. Spindle Vibration:

a. Minimum value: -0.46

**b. Maximum value:** 2.00

c. Average value: 1.00

d. Standard Deviation: 0.342

## **8.** Tool\_Vibration:

a. Minimum value: 2.16

**b. Maximum value:** 45.73

c. Average value: 25.41

d. Standard deviation: 6.42

#### 9. Spindle Speed:

a. Minimum value: 0.00

b. Maximum value: 27957.00c. Average value: 20274.79

d. Standard deviation: 3847.26

#### 10. Voltage:

a. Minimum value: 202.00

**b. Maximum value:** 479.00

c. Average value: 348.99

d. Standard deviation: 45.31

#### 11. Torque(Nm):

a. Minimum value: 0.00

b. Maximum value: 55.55

c. Average value: 25.23

d. Standard deviation: 6.111

### 12. Cutting:

a. Minimum value: 1.80

b. Maximum value: 3.93

c. Average value: 2.78

d. Standard deviation: 0.615

- Most Common Machine\_ID: Makino\_L1\_Unit1\_2013.
- Most Common Assembly\_Line\_No: Shopfloor-L1.

## **BUSINESS INSIGHTS**

#### 1. Failure Rate Analysis:

- The failure rate of the fuel pump manufacturing process is approximately 51.68%. This indicates that just over half of the manufacturing instances result in machine failure.
- Conversely, the success rate (no failure) is about 48.32%, suggesting that the manufacturing process can be improved to reduce machine failures and enhance production efficiency.
- The high failure rates highlights a critical need for process optimization to improve the reliability and performance of the manufacturing system.

#### 2. Benchmark parameters:

- We can use the average operational parameters as benchmarks.
- For example, if the hydraulic pressure exceeds 101.18 bar frequently in certain machines, it might be a red flag.

#### 3. Resource Allocation:

- The most common machine (Makino-L1-Unit-2013) and assembly line(Shopfloor-L1) indicate where most operations are focused.
- Ensure these are well-maintained and possibly consider expanding capacity.