

Q.1: Quantum computing poses a significant threat to traditional public key crypto systems based on integer (RSA) and the discrete logarithm problem.

Implications of Quantum computing

① Breaking Public-key cryptosystem.

② Impact on secure communication

③ Need for Post-Quantum cryptography.

## Post quantum Cryptographic

① Lattice Based

② code based

③ Multivariate

④ Hash - Based

⑤ Isogeny - Based

## Algorithms Resist Quantum

① Lattice Problem

② Error - correcting code problem

③ Multivariate equation

3723611

Q.1: Quantum computing poses a significant threat to traditional public key cryptosystems based on integer (RSA) and the discrete logarithm problem.

### Implications of Quantum computing

- ① Breaking Public-key cryptosystem.
- ② Impact on secure communication.
- ③ Need for Post-Quantum cryptography.

### Post quantum Cryptographic

- ① Lattice Based
- ② code based
- ③ multivariate
- ④ Hash - Based
- ⑤ Isogeny - Based

### Algorithms Resist Quantum

- ① Lattice problem
- ② Error - correcting code problem
- ③ Multivariate equation

### 3. Traditional ciphers (classical Encryption methods)

#### a) Caesar cipher

i) Description

ii) Key length

iii) Encryption speed

iv) Security

v) Vulnerability

#### b) Vigenere cipher

i) Description

ii) Key length

iii) Encryption speed

iv) Security

#### c) Playfair cipher

i) Description

ii) Key length

iii) Encryption speed

iv) Security

v) Vulnerability

### 2. Modern symmetric cipher DES

i) Description

ii) Key length

iii) Encryption speed

iv) Security

v) Key size is too small.

### AES (Advanced Encryption standard)

i) Description

ii) Key length

iii) Encryption speed

iv) Security

### General strengths of modern

i) Stronger key lengths

ii) Improved confusion and diffusion

iii) Resistant to statistical attacks

iv) Versatile and efficient

IT 23611

MEET

Q4. given that,  $S_4 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

For  $\sigma \in S_4$  and 2-element subset  $\{a, b\}$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,

$$\sigma \cdot \{a, b\} = \{\sigma(a), \sigma(b)\}$$

$\sigma \in S_4$  to a 2-element subset is still a 2-element of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

This ensures that  $\sigma \cdot \{a, b\} = \{\sigma(a), \sigma(b)\}$  is a valid 2 element subset of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

Thus, the action is well-defined.

(b) note orbit of  $\{1, 2\}$

The number of ways to choose a 2-element subset from  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\binom{4}{2} = 6$$

These subsets are:

$$\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}$$

By the orbit-stabilizer theory

$$|\text{Stab } \{1, 2\}| = |\text{orbit } (\{1, 2\})| \times |\text{stabilizer } (\{1, 2\})|$$

since  $|S_4| = 24$ , and we found

$$\text{orbit } (1, 1, 2) = 6 \quad \text{stabilizer } (\{1, 2\}) =$$

$$24 = 6 \times 4$$

172311

Q. 5 - we are given the finite field  $\text{GF}(2^4)$ , constructed over the irreducible polynomial  $x^4 + x + 1$  over  $\text{GF}(2)$ .

$$\text{GF}(2^4) = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha+1\}$$

$$\alpha^4 + \alpha + 1 = 0$$

$$\alpha^4 = \alpha + 1$$

we can use this identity for all calculation in  $\text{GF}(2^4)$

The nonzero elements of  $\text{GF}(2^4)$  are  $\{(1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (0, 0)\} \setminus \{0\}$

$\alpha = 1 + \alpha, \alpha + 1$  are to prove  $(\alpha)^4 = 1$  with

Closure.

$$1 \cdot \alpha = \alpha$$

$$1 \cdot (\alpha + 1) = \alpha + 1$$

$$\alpha \cdot (\alpha + 1) = \alpha^2 + \alpha = (\alpha + 1) + \alpha = 2\alpha = 0$$

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha = \alpha^2 = (\alpha + 1) + \alpha = (\alpha + 1) + (\alpha + 1) = 2(\alpha + 1) = 0$$

$$(\alpha + 1) \cdot (\alpha + 1) = \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 = \alpha^2 + 1 = (\alpha + 1) + 1 = \alpha$$

Inverse

$$\gamma^{-1} = 1$$

$$\gamma = \alpha + 1, \text{ so } \gamma^{-1} = \alpha + 1$$

for  $\alpha + 1$ , we solve  $(\alpha + 1) \cdot n = 1$

$$\text{or } (\gamma^0, \gamma^1, \gamma^2)$$

$$\cdot \alpha^2 = \alpha$$

$$\cdot \alpha^3 = \alpha + 1$$

$$\alpha^3 = \alpha(\alpha + 1)$$

thus  $\alpha$  is cyclic, generator by either  $\alpha$  or

2.6  $\Rightarrow$

The general linear group  $GL(2, R)$  consists of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $(s) \neq 0$  but start with  $GL(2, R)$  consist of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A \in M_{2 \times 2}(R)$  such that  $\det A \neq 0$ .

A scalar matrix is a

$$dI = d \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(3) a matrix form subgroup

$$\mathcal{Z}(GL(2, R)) = \{dI \mid d \in R^*\} = R^* I$$

where  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$  group of non-zero real numbers

A sub group  $H$  of  $GL$  is normal if for normal  $g \in GL$  and every  $h \in H$ ,

$$ghg^{-1} \in H$$

Let  $s = dI$  be a scalar matrix and  $A \in GL$ . Then,  $A(dI)A^{-1} = d(AIA^{-1}) = d(AA^{-1}) = dI$

$$A(dI)A^{-1} = d(AIA^{-1}) = d(AA^{-1}) = dI$$

IT23611

## Q7. Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol.

38

### Protocol steps

1. Public parameters
2. Key Exchange Process

$$A = g^a \mod p$$

$$B = g^b \mod p$$

3. Computing the shared secret key in SHA-1 format

$$S = B^a \mod p = (g^b)^a \mod p$$

$$S = A^b \mod p = (g^a)^b \mod p$$

### Common Attacks and Security Considerations.

1. Man-in-the-middle attack.

2. Mitigation

3. Small prime modulus attacks

4. Login attacks

JT23611

11/08/26

Q8.

Proof:

Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be subgroups of a group  $G$ . We have to show  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is also a subgroup of  $G$ .

1. Closure under the group

If  $a, b \in H_1 \cap H_2$ , then  $a \in H_1$  and  $a \in H_2$ , and similarly  $b \in H_1$  and  $b \in H_2$ .

Hence  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is closed under the operation.

2. Existence of the identity element.

Therefore,  $e \in H_1 \cap H_2$  ensuring that  $H_1 \cap H_2$  contains the identity elements.

3. Closure under inverses:

If  $a \in H_1 \cap H_2$ , then  $a \in H_1$  and  $a \in H_2$ .

since both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are subgroups (the inverse is

therefore  $a^{-1} \in H_1$  and  $a^{-1} \in H_2$  implying that  $a^{-1} \in H$

This confirms that  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is closed under under inverses.

QUESTION

IT23611

Q.9. Proof the  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is commutative:

The ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  consists of the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  along with addition and multiplication defined modulo  $n$ . To show that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is commutative, we check the commutativity of multiplication:

For any two elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  
 $a \cdot b = b \cdot a \pmod{n}$ .

Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a commutative ring.

Identifying zero division in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ :

An element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is a zero divisor if there exists a nonzero  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $a \cdot b = 0$ .

$$a \cdot b = 0 \pmod{n}$$

This occurs if  $a$  and  $b$  are not coprime &  $a$  is not invertible and  $b$  is a nontrivial multiple of  $a$  that is congruent to zero modulo  $n$ .

IT 23611

## 6.3. Vulnerabilities of the DES cipher

1. Short key length
2. Brute-force attacks
3. Vulnerable to cryptanalysis

## How AES overcomes DES shortcomings

1. Increasing key size
  2. Larger block size
  3. Stronger cryptographic structure
- AES is based on the Rijndael cipher which uses a substitution-permutation network (SPN) rather than the feistel structure of DES.
  - It is designed to resist modern cryptanalytic techniques like differential cryptanalysis, linear cryptanalysis, and related-key attacks.

DES has small key size and vulnerability to brute-force attacks. AES with its larger key sizes and stronger cryptographic design.

IT23631

Q.11.

Feistel structure of DES and different cryptanalysis

① Avalanche Effect: The feistel structure ensures that even a small change in the plaintext propagates rapidly across multiple rounds.

② S-box Non-linearity: The key defense of DES against differential cryptanalysis lies in the box used its feistel function.

③ Permutation (P-box): The permutation step in the feistel function (further diffuse difference across the cipher's state).

④ 16 Round of Encryption: The multi-rounds of DES

AES Resistance Against Differential Cryptanalysis

① SubBytes (S box Layer): AES employs a highly non-linear sbox that is based on the inverse in  $\text{GF}(2^8)$

② Shift Row (Row shuffling): This step shifts the bytes in the state matrix.

③ Mix Columns: Mix columns applies matrix multiplication in  $\text{GF}(2^8)$  which spreads small.

④ Add round key

⑤ More round and stronger non-linearity.

IT23611

Q12. Finding the modular inverse using the Extended Euclidean Algorithm

The modular inverse of an integer  $a$  modulo  $n-1$  is an integer  $x$  such that:

- $a \cdot x \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

This are coprime ( $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ ) The Extended Euclidean Algorithm is used to compute this inverse efficiently.

steps to find the modular inverse:

1. Compute  $\gcd(a, n)$  to express  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$  as a linear combination of  $a$  and  $n$ .

$$a + n \cdot j = 1$$

for some integers  $a$  and  $j$ .

$3^{-1} \pmod{26}$  we need to find  $x$  such that

$$3x \equiv 1 \pmod{26}$$

Applying Euclidean Algorithm to compute  $\gcd(3, 26)$

$$26 = 3(8) + 2$$

$$3 = 2(1) + 1$$

$$2 = 1(2) + 0$$

since  $\gcd(3, 26) = 1$

Back-substituting to express 1 as linear combination of 3 and 26

$$1 = 3 - 2(1)$$

$$1 = 3 - 2(3 - 2(1))$$

$$\text{from } 3 = 2(1) + 1$$

$$1 = 3 - 1(2)$$

$$\text{subtracting } 2 = 26 - 3(8)$$

$$1 = 3 - 1(26 - 3(8))$$

$$1 = 3 + 1(26) + 1(3(8))$$

$$1 = 3(9) - 26(-1)$$

so  $n=9$  and the modular inverse is  $3^{-1} = 9 \pmod{26}$

### RSA Key Generation

1. choosing Large prime Numbers
2. choosing a public key Exponent  $e$  to need
3. computing the private key Exponent  $d$

Important of Efficiency in Large-scale system.

1. RSA uses even by large numbers
2. key generation speed
3. security considerations.

Q.13 insecurity of ECB mode for highly = if redundant Data.

in electronic codebook (ECB) mode into fixed blocks (of size  $n$ ) and encrypted independently the same key.

IT23L4

$$c_i = E_k(p_i)$$

why ECB is insecure for redundant data

The identical plaintext blocks will always produce identical ciphertext blocks under the same key:

$$p_i = p_j \Rightarrow c_i = c_j$$

This leads to a significant security weakness.

- (i) Pattern Leakage.
- (ii) No Diffusion.
- (iii) Lack of Semantic Security.

- (iv) CBC mode Encryption and Decryption Recursion Relation:

In cipher block chaining (CBC) mode, each plaintext block is XORed with the previous encryption history.

Encryption process

Let  $p_i$  be the plaintext block,  $c_i$  be the ciphertext block and  $IV$  be the initial vector.

$$c_i = E_k(p_i \oplus c_{i-1})$$

Initial vector  $\rightarrow$  32 bits (or 256 bits for AES)

## Decryption process

To retrieve  $p_i$ , we use:

$$p_i = D_k(c_i) \oplus c_{i-1}$$

since XORing twice with the same value cancels out:

$$p_i = (p_i \oplus c_{i-1}) \oplus c_{i-1} = p_i$$

Thus, the original plaintext is recovered.

Error propagation in CBC Decryption.

i) Effect on current Block

ii) Effect on next Block  $p_{i+1}$

iii) Effect on subsequent Blocks

Q. 15.

i) Shannon's Definition of Perfect Secrecy:  
Shannon's definition of perfect secrecy starts by knowing the ciphertext provides no additional information about the plaintext.

$$P(C|P,C) = P(C)$$

$$(1) \leftarrow \frac{1}{2^k} \quad (2) \leftarrow \frac{1}{2^k}$$

ii) Definition of the one-time pad (OTP):  
The OTP encryption schema is defined as follows:

IT23L11

128812

- ① Each plaintext  $p$  is encrypted using key  $\kappa$   
 ② the ciphertext is computed as  
 $c = p \oplus \kappa$

$$\text{show that } Q^P(c|p) = P(c)$$

for OTR to achieve perfect we must prove

$$P(p|c) = P(p)$$

This can be rewritten using Bayes' Theorem:

$$P(p|c) = \frac{P(c|p)P(p)}{P(c)}$$

$$c = p \oplus \kappa$$

$$P(c) = \frac{N!}{(N-k)!}$$

$$P(c) = \frac{1}{\binom{N}{k}}$$

$$P(c|p) = P(\kappa = c \oplus p) = \frac{1}{\binom{N}{k}}$$

$$P(c) = \sum_p P(c|r) P(r)$$

$$\text{since } P(c|r) \leq \frac{1}{\binom{N}{k}}$$

$$P(c) \leq \frac{M}{\binom{N}{k}} \quad \text{since } M \leq \binom{N}{k}$$

$$\text{since } |V| \geq |M|.$$

$$P(p|c) = \frac{P(c|p)P(p)}{P(c)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\binom{N}{k}} P(r)}{\frac{M}{\binom{N}{k}}} = P(r)$$

$$\text{thus } P(p|c) = P(p), \text{ proving perfect secrecy}$$

(iii) Why perfect secrecy is impractical for large scale communication.

① Key length requirement

② Key distribution problem

③ Key Reuse Destroys security

④ Lack of Integrity Protection

⑤ High Entropy Requirement.

Q.16. Let's compute the first numbers of an LCG sequence using the recurrence relation:

$$x_{n+1} = (ax_n + c) \bmod m$$

$$a = 5, c = 3, m = 16, n_0 = 7 \quad (1-2) \times 7 = (1-5)(-1) = (-4) = 12 \bmod 16$$

$$x_1 = (5 \times 7 + 3) \bmod 16$$

$$n_1 = (35 + 3) \bmod 16 = 38 \bmod 16 = 6$$

$$x_2 = (5 \times 6 + 3) \bmod 16$$

$$n_2 = (30 + 3) \bmod 16 = 33 \bmod 16 = 1$$

$$n_3 = (5 \times 1 + 3) \bmod 16$$

$$= 8 \bmod 16 = 8$$

$$n_4 = (5 \times 8 + 3) \bmod 16 \quad ((12) \bmod 16) \times 5 = 9 \times 5$$

$$= (43 + 3) \bmod 16 = 46 \bmod 16 = 10$$

1723611

1128571

$$n_5 = (5 \times 11 + 3) \bmod 16$$

$$\Rightarrow (55 + 3) \bmod 16 = 58 \bmod 16 = 10$$

final LCM sequence

$$7, 6, 1, 8, 11, 10.$$

$$Q_{18}, P=5, Q=11$$

$$n = P \times Q$$

$$\phi(n) = (P-1)(Q-1)$$

$$M = 2^e \times n^{\phi} \bmod n$$

common term with step 1  
common term with step 2

$$C = c^d \bmod n$$

$$n = 5 \times 11 = 55$$

$$\phi(n) = (P-1)(Q-1) = (5-1)(11-1) = 4 \times 10 = 40$$

$$1 < e < \phi(n)$$

$$\gcd(e, \phi(n)) = 1$$

$$c = 3$$

$$\gcd(3, 40) = 1$$

$e = 3$  since 3 and 40 are coprime we select

$$e = 3$$

d satisfies

$$dx \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$

$$dx \equiv 1 \pmod{40}$$

$$d \equiv 3^{-1} \pmod{40}$$

1128571

1723511

$$40 = 3(13) + 1$$

Rearranging  $1 = 40 - 3(13)$ , so  $d = -13 \pmod{40}$

$$d = 27 \text{ (since } -13 + 40 = 27\text{)}$$

$$d = 27$$

$$M=2$$

$$c = m^e \pmod{n}$$

$$c = 2^3 \pmod{55} = 8 \pmod{55}$$

$$c = 8$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad M = c^d \pmod{n}$$

$$M = 8^{27} \pmod{55} \quad \text{as base } 8 + (8)(1) + 8^2 = 64$$

$$8^4 \pmod{55} = 64 \pmod{55} = 9 \quad \text{as base } 1 + 8 + 64 = 64$$

$$8^4 \pmod{55} = 81 \pmod{55} = 26 \quad \text{as base } 18 = 64$$

$$8^8 \pmod{55} = 256 \pmod{55} = 16$$

$$8^{16} \pmod{55} = 15 = 256 \pmod{55} = 36$$

$$8^{27} = 8^{16} \times 8^8 \times 8^1 \pmod{55}$$

$$\cdot M = (36 \times 15 \times 8) \pmod{55} \quad \text{as base } 0 + 45 + 36 = 81$$

$$= 168 \pmod{55} = 2$$

$$M = 2$$

$$e, n \neq (3, 55)$$

$$d = 27$$

$$m = 2, c = 8$$

$$c = 8, M = 2$$

LJESTE

1123611

## Q.19. Elliptic curve cryptography (ECC)

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$$

$$p = 23, a = 1, b = 1$$

The elliptic curve equation

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + x + 1 \pmod{23}$$

$$P(3, 10)$$

A point  $P(x, y)$  lies on the curve

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$$

$$P = (3, 10)$$

$$10^2 \equiv 3^3 + 1(3) + 1 \pmod{23}$$

$$100 \equiv 27 + 3 + 1 \pmod{23}$$

$$100 \equiv 31 \pmod{23}$$

$$100 \equiv 31 - 23 = 8 \pmod{23}$$

$$8 \equiv 8 \pmod{23}$$

$$8 \times 8 \times 8 \times 8 \times 8 \equiv 8$$

## Q.20. ECDSA calculation on the elliptic curve

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + 7x + 10 \pmod{31}$$

$$\alpha = (2, 5), n = 19, d = 3, H(m) = 8, k = 3$$

$$\theta = d\alpha$$

The public key

$$\theta = d\alpha = 9\alpha$$

1T23611

for two identical points  $P = (x_1, y_1)$  we add segments

$$\lambda = \frac{3x_1^2 + a}{2y_1} \pmod{p}$$

$$a=7, P=(2, 3)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{3(2^2) + 7}{2(3)} \pmod{37}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{3(4) + 7}{10} \pmod{37}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{12 + 7}{10} \pmod{37} = \frac{19}{10} \pmod{37}$$

$$16^{-1} \equiv 26 \pmod{37}$$

$$\lambda = (19 \times 26) \pmod{37} + 8 \pmod{37} = 15$$

$$\lambda = 494 \pmod{37} = 494 - (37 \times 13) = 494 - 481 =$$

$$x_3 = \lambda - 2x_1 \text{ and } 37 \text{ term present} =$$

$$n_3 = 15 - 2(2) \pmod{37}$$

$$x_3 = 169 - 4 \pmod{37} \equiv 165 \pmod{37} = 165 - (37)$$

$$= 2800 \equiv 165 - 148 = 17$$

$$y_3 = \lambda(n_1 - n_3) - j, \pmod{37} \text{ term present} = 15$$

$$= 15(2 - 17) - 5 \pmod{37} = 15(-15) - 5 = 15$$

$$= 19(-15) - 5 \pmod{37}$$

$$= -195 - 5 \pmod{37}$$

$$= -200 \pmod{37} = (-200 + 5) \pmod{37} = (-200 + 5) \pmod{37} = -15 \pmod{37} = 22 \pmod{37}$$

$$= -15 \pmod{37} = 22 \pmod{37}$$

$$\text{thus } 2Q = (17, 22)$$

1723611

compute  $44 = 2(22) \equiv 4 \pmod{37}$

$$d = \frac{3(17) + 2}{2(22)} \pmod{37}$$

$$= \frac{3(28) + 2}{44} \pmod{37} = h$$

$$= \frac{867 + 2}{44} \pmod{37} = h$$

$$= \frac{879}{44} \pmod{37} = h$$

computing modular inverse of 44 mod 37

$$44 \equiv 7 \pmod{37}$$

$$7^{-1} \equiv 16 \pmod{37}$$

$$c_1 = 184 - 44 \cdot 4 \quad d \equiv (824 \cdot 816) \pmod{37}$$

$$= 13984 \pmod{37} = 13984 - 37 \cdot 370 = 24$$

Computing modulo 37,

$$(13984 - 37 \cdot 370) \equiv 13984 - 13986 = -2 \equiv 35 \pmod{37}$$

$$n_2 = d - 2n_1 \pmod{37}$$

$$y_4 = d(n_2 - n_1) - j_2 \pmod{37}$$

prob

$$+ 8 \text{ bnm } \pi - 37 \equiv 0$$

$$781 + 0.5 \cdot (-) = (1723.614 \dots) \equiv + 8 \text{ bnm } 0.5 \equiv 0$$

$$+ 8 \text{ bnm } 32 \equiv 24 \equiv 0$$

$$(32, 37) = 1 \text{ GCD}$$

## ① Essential characteristics of a secure hash function.

1. Pre-image (one-way property)
2. Second Pre-image Resistance
3. Collision Resistance
4. Avalanche Effect
5. Deterministic and fast computation

## ② Impact of Hash output length on security.

The length of the hash output directly impacts the security level.

1. Resistance to Brute force Attacks
2. Collision Resistance and Attacks probability
3. Trade-off Between Security and Performance

## ③ Real-world Application of SHA

① Digital signatures

② Blockchain and cryptocurrencies

③ Password hashing & storage

④ Integrity verification

⑤ Message Authentication

JT23611

11/23/23

Q 23.

## ① Shortest vector problem (SVP) and its role in lattice-based cryptography

The shortest vector problem (SVP) is a fundamental problem in lattice-based cryptography.

1. Why is SVP hard?

Finding the shortest vector is computational. The best known classical algorithms for SVP.

2. Role in cryptographic security

Lattice-based cryptographic schemes derive their security from approximate versions of SVP.

## ② Lattice-based cryptography vs. traditional cryptography (RSA & ECC)

Cryptosystem  $\longrightarrow$  Security Assumption  $\xrightarrow{\text{Impulse}}$

RSA  $\longrightarrow$  Factoring large integers  $\xrightarrow{\text{shones}}$  in polynomial time

ECC  $\longrightarrow$  Solving the Discrete Logarithm problem  $\xrightarrow{\text{no known}}$  efficient algorithms

Lattice-Based - Hardness of SVP, LWE and other lattice problems  $\xrightarrow{\text{no known}}$  efficient algorithms

27/23/21

### ⑩ Quantum Cryptography vs. Lattice-Based Cryptography

Lattice-Based cryptography —— Quantum Cryptography

① Computational complexity lattice = ① Laws of quantum mechanics theorem.

② Develop classical cryptographic systems that resist quantum attacks ② Use quantum hardware photo, quantum key.

③ Post-quantum encryption ④ Quantum Key Distribution protocol.

Q2h.

① Process of signing message using and LwM-Based signature scheme

1. Key generation: generate a secret key  $sk$  and corresponding public key  $pk$ .

2. Signing: Given a message  $m$ , generate a sig  $s$  that proves knowledge of the key  $sk$  without revealing it.

3. Verification: The verifier uses the public key to check whether  $s$  is a valid signature on  $m$ .

IT 23/14

11/28/23

- ① signing a message  $M$  using and LWE + Damgård Scheme.

Step 1.5 Key Generation

public matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m \times m}$

secret key  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$

error vector  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$

public key  $\alpha$

$$\alpha = A \cdot s + e \pmod{2}$$

published. The public key  $(A, \alpha)$  is published.

Step 2: signing the message  $M$

① Hash the message

② Generate a random mask  $n$

③ Compute a commitment  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$

④ Generate a challenge  $c$

$$v = B \cdot c + H(t, M)$$

⑤ Compute the response  $r$ :

$$r = n + c \cdot s \pmod{2}$$

⑥ Output the signature

$$\sigma = (c, r)$$

Step 3 verification:  $v = B \cdot c + H(t, M)$

⑦ Recompute commitment if fine

IT23611

IDESE

$$t' = A \cdot z - c \cdot p \bar{u} \pmod{2}$$

- ⑩ check Hash consistency  
of  $H(t', m)$

Pole of LWE in Ensuring security

- ① Unforgeability
- ② zero-knowledge property.
- ③ collision resistance.

IT23611