

# **MobileNet and ShuffleNet: A Project on Lightweight Neural Networks**

**GOTTIPATI MOURYA**

**Date: December 3, 2023**

## **ABSTRACT**

This project delves into the effective utilization of two well-known CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) models, MobileNet and ShuffleNet, in the domain of recognizing handwritten digits within the Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology (MNIST) database. Handwritten digit recognition holds paramount importance in various applications, including automated document processing, signature verification, and numerical data extraction. The MNIST dataset used comprises 32,000 training samples and 10,000 test samples, with grayscale images of hand-drawn digits ranging from zero through nine, each with dimensions of 28 pixels in height and 28 pixels in width.

MobileNet and ShuffleNet, renowned for their lightweight architectures, represent two distinct approaches to Convolutional Neural Network Model design. The primary objective is to compare their performance on the MNIST dataset and discern the impact of network architecture on digit recognition tasks.

This project entails a comprehensive analysis of both training and testing phases. It includes an examination of each model's training convergence, model complexity, and computational efficiency. Performance metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, Average and Running Time on the test set will be employed to quantify their classification performance. Additionally, the study will investigate their robustness concerning overfitting and their ability to generalize to unseen data.

The outcomes of this project aim to provide valuable insights into MobileNet and ShuffleNet as Convolutional Neural Network Models for handwritten digit recognition. This comparative analysis is anticipated to benefit researchers, practitioners, and machine learning enthusiasts engaged in digit recognition tasks.

## Table Of Contents

S.No	Title	PageNumbers
1.	Introduction	4
1.1.	Background	4
1.2.	Objectives	4
1.3.	Scope of the Project	4
1.4.	Significance of Handwritten Digit Recognition	4
2.	Methodology	5
2.1.	Dataset Description (MNIST)	5
2.2.	Data Preprocessing	5
2.3.	Model Selection and Rationale	5
2.4.	Training Procedure	5
2.5.	Testing Procedure	5
3.	Model Architectures	6
3.1.	MobileNet	6
3.1.1.	Design Principles	6-7
3.1.2.	Key Features	6
3.1.3.	Network Architecture	6
3.1.4.	Architecture Summary	7
3.2.	ShuffleNet	7-9
3.2.1.	Design Principles	7-8
3.2.2.	Key Features	8
3.2.3.	Network Architecture	8
3.2.4.	Architecture Summary	9
4.	Experimental Results	10-11
4.1.	Training Convergence	10-11
4.2.	Computational Efficiency	11
5.	Evaluation	12-13
5.1.	Interpretation of Results	12-13
6.	Conclusion	14
7.	References	15

## **1. Introduction**

### **1.1. Background**

Handwritten digit recognition stands as a pivotal domain in the field of computer vision and machine learning. As digital data continues to permeate various facets of our lives, the ability to accurately decipher handwritten characters holds immense importance. This project aims to contribute to the ongoing efforts in enhancing the efficiency of digit recognition through the exploration of lightweight Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models, specifically MobileNet and ShuffleNet.

### **1.2. Objectives**

The primary objectives of this project are two-fold. Firstly, to comprehensively examine and understand the architectural principles and key features of MobileNet and ShuffleNet. Secondly, to evaluate and compare the performance of these models in the context of handwritten digit recognition using the widely recognized Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology (MNIST) dataset.

### **1.3. Scope of the Project**

The scope of this project encompasses a detailed exploration of the MobileNet and ShuffleNet architectures, their design principles, and their applicability to the MNIST dataset. The study extends to the training and testing phases, including the analysis of convergence, model complexity, and computational efficiency. Additionally, the project assesses the models' performance using various metrics, shedding light on their accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and computational time.

### **1.4. Significance of Handwritten Digit Recognition**

Handwritten digit recognition holds substantial significance in diverse real-world applications. From automated document processing to signature verification and numerical data extraction, accurate recognition of handwritten digits is crucial. By delving into the comparative analysis of MobileNet and ShuffleNet for this task, this project seeks to provide valuable insights that can inform researchers, practitioners, and machine learning enthusiasts working on digit recognition tasks. The results of this study are expected to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on optimal model selection for efficient handwritten digit recognition systems.

## **2. Methodology**

### **2.1. Dataset Description (MNIST)**

The project utilizes the Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology (MNIST) dataset, a benchmark dataset for handwritten digit recognition. It consists of 32,000 training samples and 10,000 test samples. Each grayscale image is 28 pixels in height and 28 pixels in width, amounting to 784 pixels in total. The training dataset, 'train.csv,' comprises 785 columns, with the first column representing the label of the digit, and the rest containing pixel values. The test dataset, 'test.csv,' is similar to train dataset.

### **2.2. Data Preprocessing**

Prior to model training, the dataset undergoes preprocessing steps. The pixel values of the images are normalized to a range between 0 and 1 by dividing by 255.0. This normalization ensures uniformity and aids in the convergence of the neural network during training. Additionally, data augmentation techniques are applied to enhance the diversity of the training set. Darkening, shifting, and moving operations are employed on the train set and added to the existing training dataset and the resulting samples will be 64000 and test datasets are applied with the same operations to make the test dataset diverse from the train dataset.

### **2.3. Model Selection and Rationale**

Two lightweight Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models, MobileNet and ShuffleNet, are chosen for this project. MobileNet is known for its efficiency and suitability for mobile and edge devices, while ShuffleNet is recognized for its computational efficiency and uniform architecture. The rationale behind selecting these models is to explore their performance in the context of handwritten digit recognition and to understand the impact of their design principles on the task.

### **2.4. Training Procedure**

#### **MobileNet:**

The MobileNet architecture is instantiated using the `mobile_net` function. The model is then trained on the augmented training dataset for 15 epochs using a batch size of 32. The training process is monitored, and the start and end times are recorded.

#### **ShuffleNet:**

Similarly, the ShuffleNet architecture is instantiated with the `shuffle_net` function and is trained on the augmented dataset for 15 epochs with a batch size of 32. The training process is monitored, and the start and end times are recorded.

### **2.5. Testing Procedure**

After training, both MobileNet and ShuffleNet models are tested on the original test dataset ('test.csv'). The predictions are used to calculate various evaluation metrics, including accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and average performance. The running time of each model during testing is also recorded.

### 3. Model Architectures

#### 3.1. MobileNet

##### 3.1.1. Design Principles

MobileNet is a lightweight Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture designed for efficient mobile and edge device applications. The key design principles include:

- **Depthwise Separable Convolution:** MobileNet employs depthwise separable convolutions to factorize standard convolutions into depthwise convolutions and pointwise convolutions. This reduces computational complexity and, consequently, the number of parameters.
- **Width Multiplier:** The width multiplier is introduced to control the number of channels throughout the network. It allows for a trade-off between model size and performance, enabling efficient deployment on resource-constrained devices.
- **Global Average Pooling:** The final layer of MobileNet employs global average pooling, which spatially averages the features, reducing the spatial dimensions to 1x1. This eliminates the need for fully connected layers and contributes to the model's efficiency.

##### 3.1.2. Key Features

- **Efficiency:** MobileNet is recognized for its efficiency in terms of model size, computational requirements, and memory footprint. This makes it particularly suitable for real-time applications on mobile devices.
- **Scalability:** The width multiplier parameter allows MobileNet to be scaled for different resource constraints, providing flexibility in deployment across a range of devices.
- **Versatility:** Due to its lightweight design, MobileNet is versatile and applicable to various computer vision tasks, including image classification and object detection.

##### 3.1.3 Network Architecture

The MobileNet architecture typically consists of:

- **Input Layer:**  
Accepts input images, usually with dimensions like (height, width, channels).
- **Convolutional Blocks:**  
Alternating layers of depth wise separable convolutions and pointwise convolutions. Each block is followed by batch normalization and ReLU activation.
- **Width Multiplier Adjustment:**  
The width multiplier parameter adjusts the number of channels in each layer.
- **Global Average Pooling Layer:**  
Computes the average value of each feature map across spatial dimensions.
- **Dense Classification Layer:**  
A fully connected layer responsible for the final classification, often followed by a softmax activation for probability distribution.

### 3.1.4. Architecture Summary

Layer	Output Shape	Param #
input_1 (InputLayer)	(None, 28, 28, 1)	0
conv2d	(None, 14, 14, 32)	320
batch_normalization	(None, 14, 14, 32)	128
re_lu	(None, 14, 14, 32)	0
depthwise_conv2d	(None, 14, 14, 32)	320
batch_normalization_1	(None, 14, 14, 32)	128
re_lu_1	(None, 14, 14, 32)	0
conv2d_1	(None, 14, 14, 64)	2112
batch_normalization_2	(None, 14, 14, 64)	256
re_lu_2	(None, 14, 14, 64)	0
depthwise_conv2d_1	(None, 7, 7, 64)	640
batch_normalization_3	(None, 7, 7, 64)	256
re_lu_3	(None, 7, 7, 64)	0
conv2d_2	(None, 7, 7, 128)	8320
batch_normalization_4	(None, 7, 7, 128)	512
re_lu_4	(None, 7, 7, 128)	0
depthwise_conv2d_2	(None, 7, 7, 128)	1280
batch_normalization_5	(None, 7, 7, 128)	512
re_lu_5	(None, 7, 7, 128)	0
conv2d_3	(None, 7, 7, 128)	16512
batch_normalization_6	(None, 7, 7, 128)	512
re_lu_6	(None, 7, 7, 128)	0
depthwise_conv2d_3	(None, 4, 4, 128)	1280
batch_normalization_7	(None, 4, 4, 128)	512
re_lu_7	(None, 4, 4, 128)	0
conv2d_4	(None, 4, 4, 256)	33024
batch_normalization_8	(None, 4, 4, 256)	1024
re_lu_8	(None, 4, 4, 256)	0
depthwise_conv2d_4	(None, 4, 4, 256)	2560
batch_normalization_9	(None, 4, 4, 256)	1024
re_lu_9	(None, 4, 4, 256)	0
conv2d_5	(None, 4, 4, 256)	65792
batch_normalization_10	(None, 4, 4, 256)	1024
re_lu_10	(None, 4, 4, 256)	0
depthwise_conv2d_5	(None, 2, 2, 256)	2560
batch_normalization_11	(None, 2, 2, 256)	1024
re_lu_11	(None, 2, 2, 256)	0
conv2d_6	(None, 2, 2, 512)	131584
batch_normalization_12	(None, 2, 2, 512)	2048
re_lu_12	(None, 2, 2, 512)	0
global_average_pooling2d	(None, 512)	0
dense (Dense)	(None, 1024)	525312
dropout (Dropout)	(None, 1024)	0
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 10)	10250

**Total Parameters – 810826**

## 3.2 ShuffleNet

### 3.2.1. Design Principles

- **Channel Shuffle:**

The key innovation in ShuffleNet is the introduction of channel shuffle operations. This operation enhances cross-group information flow by shuffling channels, allowing different groups to share information and improving model expressiveness.

- **Pointwise Group Convolution:**

ShuffleNet utilizes pointwise group convolution as part of its design principles. This involves applying a 1x1 convolution to groups of channels rather than the entire channel space. This reduces the computational cost associated with standard pointwise convolution.

- **Bottleneck Architecture:**

Similar to other efficient architectures, ShuffleNet adopts a bottleneck architecture. This involves using a combination of depthwise separable convolutions and pointwise convolutions, striking a balance between model complexity and performance.

### 3.2.2. Key Features

- **Channel Shuffling:**

The channel shuffle operation is a distinctive feature of ShuffleNet. It enhances information exchange between different groups of channels, promoting better learning and feature representation.

- **Efficient Group Convolution:**

The use of pointwise group convolution contributes to the overall efficiency of ShuffleNet. This design choice allows the model to maintain competitive accuracy while significantly reducing computational requirements.

- **Reduced Computational Cost:**

The bottleneck architecture and other efficient design choices in ShuffleNet result in a reduced computational cost. This makes ShuffleNet particularly suitable for deployment on devices with limited computational resources.

### 3.2.3. Network Architecture Overview

The architecture of ShuffleNet includes:

- **Input Layer:**

Accepts input images with specified dimensions.

- **Convolutional Blocks:**

Consists of depthwise separable convolutions and pointwise group convolutions. Channel shuffle operations are applied to enhance information flow.

- **Bottleneck Structures:**

Each block incorporates a bottleneck structure, combining depthwise separable convolutions and pointwise group convolutions.

- **Global Average Pooling Layer:**

Computes the average value of each feature map across spatial dimensions.

- **Dense Classification Layer:**

A fully connected layer responsible for the final classification, often followed by a softmax activation for probability distribution.



### 3.2.4. Architecture Summary

Layer	Output Shape	Param #
input_1	(None, 28, 28, 1)	0
conv2d	(None, 28, 28, 24)	240
batch_normalization	(None, 28, 28, 24)	96
re_lu	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
depthwise_conv2d	(None, 28, 28, 24)	240
batch_normalization_1	(None, 28, 28, 24)	96
re_lu_1	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
conv2d_1	(None, 28, 28, 24)	600
batch_normalization_2	(None, 28, 28, 24)	96
re_lu_2	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
depthwise_conv2d_1	(None, 28, 28, 24)	240
batch_normalization_3	(None, 28, 28, 24)	96
re_lu_3	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
conv2d_2	(None, 28, 28, 24)	600
batch_normalization_4	(None, 28, 28, 24)	96
re_lu_4	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
depthwise_conv2d_2	(None, 28, 28, 24)	240
batch_normalization_5	(None, 28, 28, 24)	96
re_lu_5	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
conv2d_3	(None, 28, 28, 24)	600
batch_normalization_6	(None, 28, 28, 24)	96
re_lu_6	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
tf.reshape	(None, 28, 28, 3, 8)	0
tf.compat.v1.transpose	(None, 28, 28, 8, 3)	0
tf.reshape_1	(None, 28, 28, 24)	0
global_average_pooling2d	(None, 24)	0
dense	(None, 1024)	25600
dropout	(None, 1024)	0
dense_1	(None, 10)	10250

**Total Parameters – 39282**

## 4.Experimental Results

### 4.1. Training Convergence

#### MobileNet

Epoch 1/15 - 125s 58ms/step - loss: 0.3670 - accuracy: 0.8787  
Epoch 2/15 - 114s 57ms/step - loss: 0.1247 - accuracy: 0.9621  
Epoch 3/15 - 105s 53ms/step - loss: 0.0904 - accuracy: 0.9726  
Epoch 4/15 - 94s 47ms/step - loss: 0.0723 - accuracy: 0.9784  
Epoch 5/15 - 84s 42ms/step - loss: 0.0616 - accuracy: 0.9815  
Epoch 6/15 - 105s 53ms/step - loss: 0.0499 - accuracy: 0.9853  
Epoch 7/15 - 105s 53ms/step - loss: 0.0454 - accuracy: 0.9871  
Epoch 8/15 - 95s 48ms/step - loss: 0.0386 - accuracy: 0.9884  
Epoch 9/15 - 106s 53ms/step - loss: 0.0359 - accuracy: 0.9896  
Epoch 10/15 - 95s 48ms/step - loss: 0.0296 - accuracy: 0.9915  
Epoch 11/15 - 121s 61ms/step - loss: 0.0301 - accuracy: 0.9910  
Epoch 12/15 - 121s 60ms/step - loss: 0.0265 - accuracy: 0.9922  
Epoch 13/15 - 97s 48ms/step - loss: 0.0233 - accuracy: 0.9932  
Epoch 14/15 - 78s 39ms/step - loss: 0.0227 - accuracy: 0.9932  
Epoch 15/15 - 95s 48ms/step - loss: 0.0201 - accuracy: 0.9942

#### ShuffleNet

Epoch 1/15 - 88s 42ms/step - loss: 0.7410 - accuracy: 0.7435  
Epoch 2/15 - 81s 40ms/step - loss: 0.3192 - accuracy: 0.9009  
Epoch 3/15 - 73s 37ms/step - loss: 0.2506 - accuracy: 0.9215  
Epoch 4/15 - 75s 38ms/step - loss: 0.2184 - accuracy: 0.9322  
Epoch 5/15 - 74s 37ms/step - loss: 0.1950 - accuracy: 0.9384  
Epoch 6/15 - 84s 42ms/step - loss: 0.1788 - accuracy: 0.9434  
Epoch 7/15 - 88s 44ms/step - loss: 0.1666 - accuracy: 0.9474  
Epoch 8/15 - 90s 45ms/step - loss: 0.1591 - accuracy: 0.9498  
Epoch 9/15 - 90s 45ms/step - loss: 0.1483 - accuracy: 0.9534  
Epoch 10/15 - 90s 45ms/step - loss: 0.1430 - accuracy: 0.9547  
Epoch 11/15 - 94s 47ms/step - loss: 0.1366 - accuracy: 0.9562  
Epoch 12/15 - 102s 51ms/step - loss: 0.1298 - accuracy: 0.9584  
Epoch 13/15 - 92s 46ms/step - loss: 0.1250 - accuracy: 0.9602

Epoch 14/15 - 93s 47ms/step - loss: 0.1197 - accuracy: 0.9610

Epoch 15/15 - 87s 44ms/step - loss: 0.1146 - accuracy: 0.9631

#### **4.2. Computational Efficiency**

For 15 epochs

Total Parameters:

MobileNet- 810826 ShuffleNet- 39282

Running time:

MobileNet-1548.18 seconds ShuffleNet-1309.48 seconds

## 5. Evaluation

### 5.1. Interpretation of Results

#### Result-1

Mobile net Train accuracy after 15 epochs and batch size 32-0.9942

Shuffle net Train accuracy after 15 epochs and batch size 32 -0.9631

Metrics:

MobileNet	ShuffleNet
Accuracy - 0.837	Accuracy -0.9149
Precision - 0.9623188405797102	Precision -0.9982142857142857
Recall - 0.9940119760479041	Recall -1.0
F1-score - 0.9779086892488954	F1-score-0.9991063449508489
Average - 0.9428098764691275	Average -0.9780551576662837
Running time - 1548.18 seconds	Running time -1309.48 seconds

#### Result-2

Mobile net Train accuracy after 20 epochs and batch size 32 - 0.9954

Shuffle net Train accuracy after 20 epochs and batch size 32 - 0.9713

Metrics:

MobileNet	ShuffleNet
Accuracy - 0.8253	Accuracy -0.8531
Precision - 0.9578577699736611	Precision -1.0
Recall - 0.9954379562043796	Recall -1.0
F1-score - 0.9762863534675617	F1-score -1.0
Average - 0.9387205199114006	Average -0.963275
Running time - 3988.45 seconds	Running time -3449.58 seconds

#### Result-3

Mobile net Train accuracy after 30 epochs and batch size 32 - 0.9971

Shuffle net Train accuracy after 30 epochs and batch size 32 - 0.9720

Metrics:

MobileNet	ShuffleNet
Accuracy -0.8418	Accuracy -0.5646
Precision -0.8302193338748984	Precision -1.0
Recall -0.9742612011439467	Recall -1.0
F1-score -0.8964912280701754	F1-score -1.0
Average -0.8856929407722551	Average -0.89115
Running time -5700.63 seconds	Running time -4927.34 seconds

#### Result-4

Mobile net Train accuracy after 10 epochs and batch size 32 - 0.9910

Shuffle net Train accuracy after 10 epochs and batch size 32 - 0.9547

Metrics:

MobileNet	ShuffleNet
Accuracy - 0.8173	Accuracy -0.4635
Precision -0.8641975308641975	Precision -1.0
Recall -0.9468599033816425	Recall -0.570957095709571
F1-score -0.9036422314430612	F1-score - 0.7268907563025211
Average -0.8829999164222253	Average -0.690336963003023
Running time -1977.17 seconds	Running time -1676.08 seconds

#### Result-5

Mobile net Train accuracy after 15 epochs and batch size 64 - 0.9947

Shuffle net Train accuracy after 15 epochs and batch size 64 - 0.9659

Metrics:

MobileNet	ShuffleNet
Accuracy-0.7963	Accuracy -0.6265
Precision -0.7829145728643216	Precision -0.9964476021314387
Recall -0.9307048984468339	Recall -1.0
F1-score -0.8504366812227075	F1-score -0.998220640569395
Average -0.8400890381334658	Average -0.9052920606752084
Running time -2469.23 seconds	Running time -2314.38 seconds

## 6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the comparative analysis between ShuffleNet and MobileNet reveals interesting insights into their performance on the MNIST dataset. ShuffleNet demonstrates a notable advantage in terms of parameter efficiency, boasting fewer parameters than MobileNet. This characteristic results in a lower time complexity during training, showcasing its efficiency in resource utilization.

However, it's crucial to note that while ShuffleNet's training accuracy is relatively lower than MobileNet, it excels in predicting true positive results. This is reflected in ShuffleNet's commendable precision, recall, and F1-score, outperforming MobileNet in these metrics. The model's ability to maintain a good balance between precision and recall is a key strength.

After a thorough training regimen with 15 epochs and a batch size of 32, ShuffleNet consistently outperforms MobileNet in various aspects. Despite a decrease in accuracy during extended training, ShuffleNet exhibits superior results on the test dataset, showcasing its robustness and generalization capability. On the other hand, MobileNet may demonstrate higher accuracy on the test set during prolonged training but experiences a more significant accuracy drop when trained further.

It's noteworthy that finding the optimal balance between training epochs is crucial for both models. While reducing epochs may lead to decreased accuracy on the test dataset for ShuffleNet, extending training beyond a certain point results in diminishing returns.

In summary, the choice between ShuffleNet and MobileNet depends on specific considerations. If resource efficiency and a good balance between precision and recall are paramount, ShuffleNet emerges as a compelling choice. However, careful consideration of the trade-offs between training time, parameter efficiency, and accuracy is essential in selecting the most suitable model for a given application.

## 7. References

- [1] A. G. Howard, M. Zhu, B. Chen, D. Kalenichenko, W. Wang, T. Weyand, M. Andreetto, H. Adam, "MobileNets: Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications," arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1704.04861.pdf>
- [2] X. Zhang, X. Zhou, M. Lin, J. Sun, "ShuffleNet: An Extremely Efficient Convolutional Neural Network for Mobile Devices," arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.01083, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.01083.pdf>