CS 510 - Midterm Project Assessment

Google Forms	<forms-rece< th=""><th>ipts-norepl</th><th>v@good</th><th>ale.com></th></forms-rece<>	ipts-norepl	v@good	ale.com>
CCCGIC I CITIIS	1011113 1000	וסטוטוו טוקו	, 6 9000	110.00111

Thu 11/19/2020 8:56 PM

To: Abdi, Moses (Student) <mabdi@chapman.edu>

External Message



Thanks for filling out CS 510 - Midterm Project Assessment

Here's what we got from you:

CS 510 - Midterm Project Assessment

Peer-review assessment form for use on the midterm project

Your email address (mabdi@chapman.edu) was recorded when you submitted this form.

Your name *
MOUSA ABDI
Student you are reviewing: *
Ryan Kassab
Are you assigned to this student? *
Yes

Link to version that you are reviewing: *		
https://github.com/kassabry/CS510-Midterm/releases/tag/v2.0		
Reproducibility		
This section aims to evaluate how reproducible the student's code is.		
Is the data available and included in the project? Restricted data should be noted in the README file. *		
Yes		
No, data are restricted		
No, unknown reason		
Is there an R Markdown document associated with the project? *		
Yes		
O No		
Is there an RStudio project associated with the code? *		
Yes		
O No		
Are you able to reproduce any associate figures, tables, or other analyses that are described? Attempts to reproduce should be restricted to the instructions as they appear in the README or source(filename). setwd() is allowed. *		
Yes, I reproduced the results according to instructions		

Yes, I reproduced the results with one or two changes
Yes, I reproduced the results with many changes
I was not able to reproduce the results
If you were not able to reproduce the code according to the instructions, what did you have to do in order to run the code? *
I run it in R line by line but i see some errors and no result.
Comments for the student regarding reproducibility: *
n/a
Documentation
This section aims to assess the documentation associated with the project.
Rate the ease of reproducing the results. *
Nate the ease of reproducing the results.
1 2 3 4 5
Not easy / I was unable to reproduce O O O Very easy
Rate the quality of the documentation of the code. *
1 2 3 4 5
Poor Excellent

Are you able to understand what each step of the analysis does (within reason)? *

Yes
O No
Maybe
Explain "no" or "maybe" from above.
it didnt win my computer, I think there is something missing.
Comments for student regarding documentation: *
You didnt mention how you get the data ?
Coding Practices
This section aims to evaluate the coding practices and standards used in the project.
Does the project use standard organization (as defined by Wilson et al 2017)? *
Yes
○ No
Other:
Does the code adhere to preferred style (as defined by Wickham's Advanced R style guide)? *
Yes
O No
Other:

Are there associated tests with the code? Are you able to run these? *		
Yes		
No		
Other:		
Rate the level of "code smells" (defined in the refactoring lecture) that you encounter reviewing the code. *		
1 2 3 4 5		
Many code smells detected OOOO No code smells detected		
Has there been evidence that the project has improved from the first commit to the release you are evaluating? *		
Yes		
O No		
Other:		
Specific comments for the student. Please include script names and line numbers.		
N/A		

Create your own Google Form

NOTE: This email originated from outside Chapman's network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know content is safe.