Assignment 5

Mousumi Akter

March 2020

1 Mixture Models

a.
$$P("the") = P(H) * P("the"|H) + P(T) * P("the"|T)$$

= $0.8 * 0.3 + 0.2 * 0.3$
= 0.3

b. As each word is written independently P("the") = 0.3 whether it appears first or second.

c.
$$P(H|"data") = \frac{P(H)*P("data"|H)}{P(H)*P("data"|H)+P(T)*P("data"|T)}$$

= $\frac{0.8*0.1}{0.8*0.1+0.2*0.1}$
= 0.8

d. I expect the word "data" to be less frequent as the p("data"|H) and p("data"|T) both are low.

e.
$$P("Computer" | H) = \frac{c("Computer")}{|D|} = \frac{3}{10} = 0.3$$

 $P("game" | H) = \frac{c("game")}{|D|} = \frac{2}{10} = 0.2$

2 PLSA

2.1 PLSA With and Without the Background Topic

- a. If we set $\lambda = 0$ then, the second model will be equivalent to the first
- b. If we assume that the background language model is generated from unigram language model then, the maximum likelihood estimate for this language model will be $\frac{c(w,D)}{|D|}$, where c(w,D) refers to count of word w in document D.
- c. If we set $\lambda < 0.5$ that means we are emphasizing on background topic. On the other hand, if we set $\lambda > 0.5$ that means we are emphasizing on mixture topic model. We can test our hypothesis by setting $\lambda = 0.5$ which equally emphasize both background topic and mixture topic model.

2.2 Deriving the EM Algorithm for PLSA with a Background Topic

a.

$$n_{d,k} = \sum_{w \in d} c(w, d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k)$$

$$n_{w,k} = \sum_{d \in D} c(w, d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k).$$

b.

$$p(z = k \mid \pi_d^{(n+1)}) = \frac{n_{d,k}}{\sum_{k'=1}^K n_{d,k'}} = \frac{\sum_{w \in d} c(w,d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k)}{\sum_{k'=1}^K \sum_{w \in d} c(w,d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k')}$$

and

$$p(w \mid \theta_k^{(n+1)}) = \frac{n_{w,k}}{\sum_{w' \in V} n_{w',k}} = \frac{\sum_{d \in D} c(w,d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k)}{\sum_{w' \in V} \sum_{d \in D} c(w',d) q_y(y_{d,w'} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w'} = k)}.$$

Derivation has been shown on next page and for the derivation I took help from the document Professor shares.

We thus have a log likelihood of

$$\log p(D \mid \Theta, \Pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{|d_i|} \log \left\{ \lambda p(d_{i,j} = w \mid D) + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(z_{i,j} = k \mid \pi_i) p(d_{i,j} = w \mid \theta_k) \right\}$$

where we can observe again the problematic summation occurring within the logarithm. We thus turn to EM again for finding the maximum likelihood estimates for the model parameters Θ and Π .

E-step: Our main computation in the E-step is to estimate the joint distribution over the latent variables Y and Z given the observations D and our current model parameters $\Theta^{(n)}$ and $\Pi^{(n)}$.

First, we can observe that

$$p(y_{i,j} = \ell, z_{i,j} = k \mid D, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)}) = p(y_{i,j} = \ell \mid D, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)}) p(z_{i,j} = k \mid y_{i,j} = \ell, D, \Theta^{n}, \Pi^{(n)})$$

and thus we can break this problem down into estimating two distributions: q_y and $q_{z|y}$ for the first and second term, respectively.

Focusing on the first term, and noting that since $y_{i,j}$ is binary random variable we can focus on only one specific case, we have

$$p(y_{i,j} = 1 \mid D, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)}) = p(y_{i,j} = 1 \mid d_{i,j} = w, \Theta^{(n)}, \pi_i)$$

based on our independence assumptions, and

$$= \frac{p(d_{i,j} = w \mid y_{i,j} = 1, \Theta^{(n)}, \pi_i^{(n)}) p(y_{i,j} = 1 \mid \Theta^{(n)}, \pi_i^{(n)})}{p(d_{i,j} = w \mid \Theta^{(n)}, \pi_i^{(n)})}$$

by Bayes' rule. Substituting in our model distributions, we have

$$= \frac{(1-\lambda)\sum_{k=1}^{K} p(z_{i,j} = k \mid \pi_{i}^{(n)}) p(w \mid \theta_{k})}{\lambda p(w \mid D) + (1-\lambda)\sum_{k=1}^{K} p(z_{i,j} = k \mid \pi_{i}^{(n)}) p(w \mid \theta_{k})}$$

and we can then set $q_y(y_{i,j}=1)=p(y_{i,j}=1\mid D,\Theta^{(n)},\Pi^{(n)}).^7$

Let's now focus on the second term. We know that $p(z_{i,j}=0 \mid y_{i,j}=0, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)})=1$ by our model definition, so we only need to concern ourselves with estimating $p(z_{i,j}=k \mid y_{i,j}=1, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)})$. Notice, however, that if $y_{i,j}=1$ then we know for certain that we are sampling from the PLSA mixture (and thus $p(z_{i,j}=0 \mid y_{i,j}=1, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)})=0$), so we will end up with the exact same estimate for $q_{z\mid y}$ as we had for q in the PLSA derivation. Specifically, we have, for k>0,

$$p(z_{i,j} = k \mid y_{i,j} = 1, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)}) = \frac{p(z_{i,j} = k \mid \pi_i^{(n)}) p(w_{i,j} \mid \theta_k^{(n)})}{\sum_{k'=1}^{K} p(z_{i,j} = k' \mid \pi_i^{(n)}) p(w_{i,j} \mid \theta_{k'}^{(n)})}$$

and we simply let $q_{z|y}(z_{i,j} = k) = p(z_{i,j} = k \mid y_{i,j} = 1, \Theta^{(n)}, \Pi^{(n)}).$

M-step: We now need to re-estimate the parameters for our model $\Theta^{(n+1)}$ and $\Pi^{(n+1)}$ using the distributions q_y and $q_{z|y}$ that we estimated in the E-step. We again will take an "expected counts" view. Let $n_{d,k}$ be the number of times we expect to see a word in document d assigned to topic k from the PLSA mixture model, and let $n_{w,k}$ be the number of times we expect to see a specific word type w assigned to topic k from the PLSA mixture model.

We have

$$n_{d,k} = \sum_{w \in d} c(w, d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k)$$

and

$$n_{w,k} = \sum_{d \in D} c(w, d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k).$$

Let's look at the product $q_y(y_{d,w}=1)q_{z|y}(z_{d,w}=k)$. We see that

$$q_{y}(y_{d,w} = 1)q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\lambda)\sum_{k'=1}^{K}p(z_{d,w} = k' \mid \pi_{d}^{(n)})p(w \mid \theta_{k'}^{(n)})}{\lambda p(w \mid D) + (1-\lambda)\sum_{k'=1}^{K}p(z_{d,w} = k' \mid \pi_{d}^{(n)})p(w \mid \theta_{k'}^{(n)})} \\ \times \left(\frac{p(z_{d,w} = k \mid \pi_{d}^{(n)})p(w \mid \theta_{k}^{(n)})}{\sum_{k'=1}^{K}p(z_{d,w} = k' \mid \pi_{d}^{(n)})p(w \mid \theta_{k'}^{(n)})} \right) \\ = \frac{(1-\lambda)p(z_{d,w} = k \mid \pi_{d}^{(n)})p(w \mid \theta_{k}^{(n)})}{\lambda p(w \mid D) + (1-\lambda)\sum_{k'=1}^{K}p(z_{d,w} = k' \mid \pi_{d}^{(n)})p(w \mid \theta_{k'}^{(n)})} \end{cases}$$

which can be used to simplify the computation of the expected counts⁸.

Finally, we can normalize the expected counts to come up with the new estimates of our model's parameters. Specifically,

$$p(z = k \mid \pi_d^{(n+1)}) = \frac{n_{d,k}}{\sum_{k'=1}^K n_{d,k'}} = \frac{\sum_{w \in d} c(w,d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k)}{\sum_{k'=1}^K \sum_{w \in d} c(w,d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k')}$$

and

$$p(w \mid \theta_k^{(n+1)}) = \frac{n_{w,k}}{\sum_{w' \in V} n_{w',k}} = \frac{\sum_{d \in D} c(w,d) q_y(y_{d,w} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w} = k)}{\sum_{w' \in V} \sum_{d \in D} c(w',d) q_y(y_{d,w'} = 1) q_{z|y}(z_{d,w'} = k)}.$$