Homework 2
Due: Thursday, Oct 17

Conceptual: 1, 2, 3, 6,7
Applied: 10, 11

$$p(X) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}. \tag{4.2}$$

$$\frac{p(X)}{1 - p(X)} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}. \tag{4.3}$$

$$f_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_k} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_k^2}(x - \mu_k)^2\right), \tag{4.11}$$

$$p_k(x) = \frac{\pi_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu_k)^2\right)}{\sum_{l=1}^{K} \pi_l \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu_l)^2\right)}. \tag{4.12}$$

$$\delta_k(x) = x \cdot \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\mu_k^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log(\pi_k) \tag{4.13}$$

4.7   Exercises

Conceptual

1. Using a little bit of algebra, prove that (4.2) is equivalent to (4.3). In other words, the logistic function representation and logit representation for the logistic regression model are equivalent.

2. It was stated in the text that classifying an observation to the class for which (4.12) is largest is equivalent to classifying an observation to the class for which (4.13) is largest. Prove that this is the case. In other words, under the assumption that the observations in the kth class are drawn from a $N(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$ distribution, the Bayes' classifier assigns an observation to the class for which the discriminant function is maximized.

3. This problem relates to the QDA model, in which the observations within each class are drawn from a normal distribution with a class-specific mean vector and a class specific covariance matrix. We consider the simple case where $p = 1$; i.e. there is only one feature.

   Suppose that we have K classes, and that if an observation belongs to the kth class then X comes from a one-dimensional normal distribution, $X \sim N(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$. Recall that the density function for the one-dimensional normal distribution is given in (4.11). Prove that in this case, the Bayes' classifier is *not* linear. Argue that it is in fact quadratic.

   Hint: For this problem, you should follow the arguments laid out in Section 4.4.2, but without making the assumption that $\sigma_1^2 = \ldots = \sigma_K^2$.

4. When the number of features p is large, there tends to be a deterioration in the performance of KNN and other *local* approaches that perform prediction using only observations that are *near* the test observation for which a prediction must be made. This phenomenon is known as the *curse of dimensionality*, and it ties into the fact that non-parametric approaches often perform poorly when p is large. We will now investigate this curse.

   curse of dimensionality

   (a) Suppose that we have a set of observations, each with measurements on $p = 1$ feature, X. We assume that X is uniformly (evenly) distributed on $[0, 1]$. Associated with each observation is a response value. Suppose that we wish to predict a test observation's response using only observations that are within 10% of the range of X closest to that test observation. For instance, in order to predict the response for a test observation with $X = 0.6$,

we will use observations in the range $[0.55, 0.65]$. On average, what fraction of the available observations will we use to make the prediction?

(b) Now suppose that we have a set of observations, each with measurements on $p = 2$ features, X_1 and X_2. We assume that (X_1, X_2) are uniformly distributed on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. We wish to predict a test observation's response using only observations that are within 10% of the range of X_1 *and* within 10% of the range of X_2 closest to that test observation. For instance, in order to predict the response for a test observation with $X_1 = 0.6$ and $X_2 = 0.35$, we will use observations in the range $[0.55, 0.65]$ for X_1 and in the range $[0.3, 0.4]$ for X_2. On average, what fraction of the available observations will we use to make the prediction?

(c) Now suppose that we have a set of observations on $p = 100$ features. Again the observations are uniformly distributed on each feature, and again each feature ranges in value from 0 to 1. We wish to predict a test observation's response using observations within the 10% of each feature's range that is closest to that test observation. What fraction of the available observations will we use to make the prediction?

(d) Using your answers to parts (a)–(c), argue that a drawback of KNN when p is large is that there are very few training observations "near" any given test observation.

(e) Now suppose that we wish to make a prediction for a test observation by creating a p-dimensional hypercube centered around the test observation that contains, on average, 10% of the training observations. For $p = 1, 2,$ and 100, what is the length of each side of the hypercube? Comment on your answer.

Note: A hypercube is a generalization of a cube to an arbitrary number of dimensions. When $p = 1$, a hypercube is simply a line segment, when $p = 2$ it is a square, and when $p = 100$ it is a 100-dimensional cube.

5. We now examine the differences between LDA and QDA.

(a) If the Bayes decision boundary is linear, do we expect LDA or QDA to perform better on the training set? On the test set?

(b) If the Bayes decision boundary is non-linear, do we expect LDA or QDA to perform better on the training set? On the test set?

(c) In general, as the sample size n increases, do we expect the test prediction accuracy of QDA relative to LDA to improve, decline, or be unchanged? Why?

(d) True or False: Even if the Bayes decision boundary for a given problem is linear, we will probably achieve a superior test error rate using QDA rather than LDA because QDA is flexible enough to model a linear decision boundary. Justify your answer.

6. Suppose we collect data for a group of students in a statistics class with variables $X_1 =$ hours studied, $X_2 =$ undergrad GPA, and $Y =$ receive an A. We fit a logistic regression and produce estimated coefficient, $\hat{\beta}_0 = -6, \hat{\beta}_1 = 0.05, \hat{\beta}_2 = 1$.

   (a) Estimate the probability that a student who studies for 40 h and has an undergrad GPA of 3.5 gets an A in the class.

   (b) How many hours would the student in part (a) need to study to have a 50 % chance of getting an A in the class?

7. Suppose that we wish to predict whether a given stock will issue a dividend this year ("Yes" or "No") based on X, last year's percent profit. We examine a large number of companies and discover that the mean value of X for companies that issued a dividend was $\bar{X} = 10$, while the mean for those that didn't was $\bar{X} = 0$. In addition, the variance of X for these two sets of companies was $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 36$. Finally, 80 % of companies issued dividends. Assuming that X follows a normal distribution, predict the probability that a company will issue a dividend this year given that its percentage profit was $X = 4$ last year.

   Hint: Recall that the density function for a normal random variable is $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}$. You will need to use Bayes' theorem.

8. Suppose that we take a data set, divide it into equally-sized training and test sets, and then try out two different classification procedures. First we use logistic regression and get an error rate of 20 % on the training data and 30 % on the test data. Next we use 1-nearest neighbors (i.e. $K = 1$) and get an average error rate (averaged over both test and training data sets) of 18 %. Based on these results, which method should we prefer to use for classification of new observations? Why?

9. This problem has to do with *odds*.

   (a) On average, what fraction of people with an odds of 0.37 of defaulting on their credit card payment will in fact default?

   (b) Suppose that an individual has a 16 % chance of defaulting on her credit card payment. What are the odds that she will default?

Applied

10. This question should be answered using the `Weekly` data set, which is part of the `ISLR` package. This data is similar in nature to the `Smarket` data from this chapter's lab, except that it contains 1,089 weekly returns for 21 years, from the beginning of 1990 to the end of 2010.

    (a) Produce some numerical and graphical summaries of the `Weekly` data. Do there appear to be any patterns?

    (b) Use the full data set to perform a logistic regression with `Direction` as the response and the five lag variables plus `Volume` as predictors. Use the summary function to print the results. Do any of the predictors appear to be statistically significant? If so, which ones?

    (c) Compute the confusion matrix and overall fraction of correct predictions. Explain what the confusion matrix is telling you about the types of mistakes made by logistic regression.

    (d) Now fit the logistic regression model using a training data period from 1990 to 2008, with `Lag2` as the only predictor. Compute the confusion matrix and the overall fraction of correct predictions for the held out data (that is, the data from 2009 and 2010).

    (e) Repeat (d) using LDA.

    (f) Repeat (d) using QDA.

    (g) Repeat (d) using KNN with $K = 1$.

    (h) Which of these methods appears to provide the best results on this data?

    (i) Experiment with different combinations of predictors, including possible transformations and interactions, for each of the methods. Report the variables, method, and associated confusion matrix that appears to provide the best results on the held out data. Note that you should also experiment with values for K in the KNN classifier.

11. In this problem, you will develop a model to predict whether a given car gets high or low gas mileage based on the `Auto` data set.

    (a) Create a binary variable, `mpg01`, that contains a 1 if `mpg` contains a value above its median, and a 0 if `mpg` contains a value below its median. You can compute the median using the `median()` function. Note you may find it helpful to use the `data.frame()` function to create a single data set containing both `mpg01` and the other `Auto` variables.

(b) Explore the data graphically in order to investigate the association between `mpg01` and the other features. Which of the other features seem most likely to be useful in predicting `mpg01`? Scatterplots and boxplots may be useful tools to answer this question. Describe your findings.

(c) Split the data into a training set and a test set.

(d) Perform LDA on the training data in order to predict `mpg01` using the variables that seemed most associated with `mpg01` in (b). What is the test error of the model obtained?

(e) Perform QDA on the training data in order to predict `mpg01` using the variables that seemed most associated with `mpg01` in (b). What is the test error of the model obtained?

(f) Perform logistic regression on the training data in order to predict `mpg01` using the variables that seemed most associated with `mpg01` in (b). What is the test error of the model obtained?

(g) Perform KNN on the training data, with several values of K, in order to predict `mpg01`. Use only the variables that seemed most associated with `mpg01` in (b). What test errors do you obtain? Which value of K seems to perform the best on this data set?

12. This problem involves writing functions.

(a) Write a function, `Power()`, that prints out the result of raising 2 to the 3rd power. In other words, your function should compute 2^3 and print out the results.

Hint: Recall that `x^a` raises `x` to the power `a`. Use the `print()` function to output the result.

(b) Create a new function, `Power2()`, that allows you to pass *any* two numbers, `x` and `a`, and prints out the value of `x^a`. You can do this by beginning your function with the line

```
> Power2=function(x,a){
```

You should be able to call your function by entering, for instance,

```
> Power2(3,8)
```

on the command line. This should output the value of 3^8, namely, $6,561$.

(c) Using the `Power2()` function that you just wrote, compute 10^3, 8^{17}, and 131^3.

(d) Now create a new function, `Power3()`, that actually *returns* the result `x^a` as an `R` object, rather than simply printing it to the screen. That is, if you store the value `x^a` in an object called `result` within your function, then you can simply `return()` this result, using the following line:

`return()`

```
return(result)
```

The line above should be the last line in your function, before the } symbol.

(e) Now using the `Power3()` function, create a plot of $f(x) = x^2$. The x-axis should display a range of integers from 1 to 10, and the y-axis should display x^2. Label the axes appropriately, and use an appropriate title for the figure. Consider displaying either the x-axis, the y-axis, or both on the log-scale. You can do this by using `log=''x''`, `log=''y''`, or `log=''xy''` as arguments to the `plot()` function.

(f) Create a function, `PlotPower()`, that allows you to create a plot of x against x^a for a fixed a and for a range of values of x. For instance, if you call

```
> PlotPower(1:10,3)
```

then a plot should be created with an x-axis taking on values $1, 2, \ldots, 10$, and a y-axis taking on values $1^3, 2^3, \ldots, 10^3$.

13. Using the `Boston` data set, fit classification models in order to predict whether a given suburb has a crime rate above or below the median. Explore logistic regression, LDA, and KNN models using various subsets of the predictors. Describe your findings.