

# Homework 6

by Mher Movsisyan

---

## Convexity of functions

### Problem 1:

Given  $n$  convex functions  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^{d_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , prove or disprove that the function  
a)  $h(x) = g_2(g_1(x))$  is convex (here  $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}, d_2 = 1$ )

Answer:

A function  $f(x)$  is convex if for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$  and any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have:

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

So, for  $h(x)$ , we need to check whether:

$$h(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda h(x) + (1 - \lambda)h(y)$$

Substitute the definition of  $h(x)$ :

$$g_2(g_1(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) \leq \lambda g_2(g_1(x)) + (1 - \lambda)g_2(g_1(y))$$

Now, let's use the fact that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are convex functions. Since  $g_1$  is convex, we have:

$$g_1(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda g_1(x) + (1 - \lambda)g_1(y) \quad (*)$$

And since  $g_2$  is convex, for any  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have:

$$g_2(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v) \leq \lambda g_2(u) + (1 - \lambda)g_2(v)$$

Now, let  $u = g_1(x)$  and  $v = g_1(y)$ . Plugging these into the inequality for  $g_2$ :

$$g_2(\lambda g_1(x) + (1 - \lambda)g_1(y)) \leq \lambda g_2(g_1(x)) + (1 - \lambda)g_2(g_1(y))$$

Notice that the left-hand side of the inequality for  $g_2$  is equal to  $g_2(g_1(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y))$ . Now, combining this inequality with the inequality for  $g_1$  in  $(*)$ , we have:

$$g_2(g_1(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) \leq \lambda g_2(g_1(x)) + (1 - \lambda)g_2(g_1(y))$$

Which is precisely the inequality we need to prove for the convexity of  $h(x)$ . Thus,  $h(x) = g_2(g_1(x))$  is indeed convex.

b)  $h(x) = g_2(g_1(x))$  is convex if  $g_2$  is non-decreasing (here  $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}, d_2 = 1$ )

Answer:

Since the previous one was a more general case of this problem, we can infer that  $h(x)$  is convex. :)

c)  $h(x) = \max(g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x))$  is convex (here all  $d_i \in N$ ).

Answer:

Since we have the proof from part **a**, we only need to prove that the maximum function is convex to prove that  $h$  is convex.

For any number  $k, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$\lambda x_k + (1 - \lambda)y_k \leq \lambda \max_i x_i + (1 - \lambda) \max_i y_i$$

if  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , so by definition the maximum function is convex. An easier way to prove this would be to think of the maximum function as an intersection of convex sets.

## Optimization / Gradient descent

### Problem 2:

You are given the following objective function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 0.5x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 + x_2 + \cos(\sin(\sqrt{\pi}))$$

a) Compute the minimizer  $x^*$  of  $f$  analytically.

Answer:

$$\frac{df}{dx_1} = x_1 + 2$$

$$\frac{df}{dx_2} = 2x_2 + 1$$

The roots are  $[-2, -\frac{1}{2}]$

$$\frac{df}{dx_1^2} = 1$$

$$\frac{df}{dx_1 dx_2} = 0$$

$$\frac{df}{dx_2 dx_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{df}{dx_2^2} = 2$$

We clearly see that the Hessian is a positive definite matrix, therefore the  $(-2, -\frac{1}{2})$  point is the global minimum.

b) Perform 2 steps of gradient descent on  $f$  starting from the point  $x^{(0)} = (0, 0)$  with a constant learning rate  $\tau = 1$ .

Answer:

$$\nabla(0, 0) = (2, 1)$$

$$(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}) = (0, 0) - \tau * (2, 1) = (-2, -1)$$

$$\nabla(-2, -1) = (0, -1)$$

$$(x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}) = (-2, -1) - \tau * (0, -1) = (-2, 0)$$

c) Will the gradient descent procedure from **Problem b)** ever converge to the true minimizer  $x^*$ ? Why or why not? If the answer is no, how can we fix it?

Answer:

It will never converge because the learning rate is too big. We can decay it gradually until it does.