

# LOGIC PROGRAMMING AND PROLOG

## PROLOG 1

# Summary

- Logic programming
- Knowledge base
- Queries
- Recursive rules
- Program execution
- Operational model

# Logic programming

Declarative programming.

- Program = problem description
- Execution = check the truth of an assertion (goal)

R. Kowalski : Algorithm = Logic + Control.

## Applications of logic programming

PROLOG is the major logic-based programming language (subset of *First Order Logic*).

Resources (implementation):

<http://www.swi-prolog.org/>

Resources (textbook):

L. Sterling, E. Shapiro, *The Art of Prolog*, 2nd Ed., MIT Press, 1994.

Resources (other book):

<http://www.learnprolognow.org/>

# Applications of logic programming

- deductive databases
- expert systems
- knowledge representation for robots!!

## Basic intuition

Logic program:

1. definition of the problem through the assertion of **facts** and **rules**;
2. Querying the system which **infers** the answer to the query given known facts and rules (theorem provers).

## Aristotelic Syllogism

- *All men are mortal*
- *Socrates is a man*

we can infer: *Socrates is mortal.*

## Aristotelic Syllogism in PROLOG

```
mortal(X) :- man(X).  
man(socrates).
```

The inference is started by:

```
?mortal(socrates)
```



## Knowledge base

A PROLOG program is composed by a set of *clauses*, i.e. *conditional* and *unconditional assertions*.

unconditional assertion (*fact*):

`father(daniele,jacopo).`

`loves(enzo,X).`

In PROLOG the names of predicates and constants start with a capital letter.

## Rules

conditional assertion (*rule*):

$A \text{ :- } B, C, \dots, D.$

*A is true if B, C,..., D are true,*

- A is the **conclusion**,
- B, C, ..., D are the **premises**
- A, B, C, D are **atoms**

If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms and P is an n-ary predicate  $P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is an atom.

We start simple (without function symbols), so terms are either constants or variables.

## Examples of rules

```
grandFather(X,Z) :- father(X,Y), father(Y,Z).  
grandFather(X,Z) :- father(X,Y), mother(Y,Z).
```

```
son(X,Y) :- father(Y,X).  
son(X,Y) :- mother(Y,X).
```

grandFather, son can be seen as procedures

## Querying the system

*goal* (query)

? A,B,C,...,D.

? father(daniele,jacopo).

*YES.*

## Knowledge base

```
father(daniele,michela).  
father(daniele,jacopo).  
father(eriberto,daniele).  
father(antonio,eriberto).  
mother(alma,eriberto).  
mother(annamaria,daniele).  
mother(annamaria,marcello).  
mother(annamaria,sandro).
```

```
nice(michela).  
nice(anna).
```

```
fem(michela).
```

## Queries

? nice(X) .

*YES michela.*

to get other answers: ;

*YES anna*

goal conjunction:

? grandFather(eriberto,X), nice(X) .

? grandFather(X,Z), nice(Z) .

## Recursive rules

```
descendant(X,Y):-son(X,Y). % 1
descendant(X,Y):-son(Z,Y),descendant(X,Z). % 2
son(X,Y):-father(Y,X). % 3
son(X,Y):-mother(Y,X). % 4

? descendant(michela,eriberto).
```

## Directed Graph

```
/* Directed Graph */
```

```
arc(a,b).
```

```
arc(a,c).
```

```
arc(b,d).
```

```
arc(c,d).
```

```
arc(d,e).
```

```
arc(f,g).
```

```
/* Transitive closure of the arc relation
```

```
connected(Node1,Node2) :- Node1 connected to Node2
```

```
*/
```

```
connected(Node,Node).
```

```
connected(Node1,Node2) :- arc(Node1,NodeInt),  
                           connected(NodeInt,Node2).
```



## Multiple roles of the arguments

? descendant(X,daniele).

? descendant(daniele,X).

? descendant(X,Y).

? connected(a,X).

? connected(X,a).

? connected(X,Y).

# PROLOG operational model

- abstract interpreter
- search of the solution
- unification

## Unification (simplified)

A *substitution* is a function from the set of variables  $\text{VAR}$  to the set of terms  $\text{STERM} = \text{VAR} \cup \text{CONST}$ :

$$\sigma : \text{Var} \mapsto \text{STerm}.$$

The substitution  $\sigma$  of a variable  $X$  by a term  $t$  is denoted by  $X = t$  (or  $X/t$ ).

Given  $t$ ,  $t\sigma$  is defined (without function symbols) as follows:

- if  $c$  is a constant symbol,  $c\sigma = c$ ;
- if  $X$  is a variable symbol,  $X\sigma = \sigma(X)$ ;

## Unification (simplified)

The substitution that makes two expressions identical is denoted  $\theta = \text{unify}(e_1, e_2)$ .

### Examples

$$\text{unify}(a, a) = \{\}$$

$$\text{unify}(X, a) = \{X/a\}$$

$$\text{unify}(X, Y) = \{X/Y\}$$

$\text{unify}(b, a) = ?$  NO substitution can make  $a$  and  $b$  identical

## Unification (simplified)

Unification is applied to expressions of the form  $P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ .

$unify(P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n), P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n))$ : find a substitution that makes  $P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  and  $P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  identical.

### Examples

$$unify(P(X), P(a)) = \{X/a\}$$

$$unify(P(X), P(Y)) = \{X/Y\}$$

$$unify(P(a), Q(a)) =? \text{ NO}$$

$$unify(P(X, b), P(a, Y)) = \{X/a, Y/b\}$$

$$unify(P(X, X), P(a, b)) \text{ NO}$$

## Abstract interpreter

**Input:** a goal  $G$  and a program  $P$

**Output:** an instance of  $G$  logical consequence of  $P$  if it exists,  
otherwise NO

**begin**

$R := G$ ;  $R$  resolvent

    finished := false;

    prove the goal in the resolvent;

    if  $R = \{ \}$

        then return  $G$

        else return NO

**end**

## Prove the goal

```
while not  $R = \{ \}$  and not finished do
begin
  choose a goal  $A$  in the resolvent
  choose a clause  $A' :- B_1, \dots, B_n$  (renaming variables)
    such that  $\theta = \text{unify}(A, A')$ 
  if no more choices
    then finished:=true;
  else begin
    substitute  $A$  with  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  in  $R$ 
    apply  $\theta$  to  $R$  and  $G$ ;
  end
end
```

## The search tree

- the root is the initial goal;
- every node has one successor for each clause whose head unifies with a goal in the node. Every successor has a resolvent obtained by the parent node by replacing the chosen goal with the body of the clause, after applying the unifier.

Every node contains a resolvent. If it is empty the node is a *success node*. A node without successors, not a success node, is a *failure node*.

Every success node represents a solution. If the tree cannot be further expanded and it does not have any success node then the goal fails.



## The design choices of PROLOG

- the goal to be resolved determines the structure of the search tree;
- the clause determines the order of the successors of a node.

The PROLOG interpreter chooses the goals from left to right and the clauses are chosen wrt the order specified in the program. The resolvent is a stack. The search tree is built depth-first.

## Change the rule order

descendant(X,Y) :- son(X,Y) .

descendant(X,Y) :- son(Z,Y), descendant(X,Z) .

son(X,Y) :- mother(Y,X) .

son(X,Y) :- father(Y,X) .

? descendant(daniele,X) .

## Change the order of the conjuncts in the rule body

```
descendant(X,Y):- son(X,Y).
```

```
descendant(X,Y):- descendant(X,Z),son(Z,Y). %1'
```

```
son(X,Y):- father(Y,X).
```

```
son(X,Y):- mother(Y,X).
```

## Change the rule order II

```
descendant(X,Y):- descendant(X,Z),son(Z,Y). %1'  
descendant(X,Y):- son(X,Y).  
son(X,Y):- father(Y,X).  
son(X,Y):- mother(Y,X).
```

## Exercises

1. Define the relation `brother` and then the relation `cousin`
2. Build the search tree for the goal:

```
?- descendant(michela,eriberto).
```

and check the differences with

```
?- descendant2(michela,eriberto).
```

```
descendant2(X,Y):-son(Z,Y),descendant2(X,Z). % 2
```

```
descendant2(X,Y):-son(X,Y). % 1
```

3. Build the search tree for the goal: `?- sg(jacopo,Y)` and explain the behavior of the program `sg`:

```
sg (X,X).
```

```
sg (X,Y):-
```

```
    parent(Z,X),sg(Z,W),parent(W,Y).
```