

Theory of Automata

Regular Expressions

Mr. Hafiz Tayyeb Javed

Week 3 Lecture 01

Concluding remarks on RE

Product Set

- If S and T are sets of strings of letters (whether they are finite or infinite sets), we define the **product set** of strings of letters to be

$ST = \{\text{all combinations of a string from } S \\ \text{concatenated with a string from } T \text{ in that order}\}$

Example

- If $M = \{\Lambda, x, xx\}$ and $N = \{\Lambda, y, yy, yyy, yyyy, \dots\}$ then
- $MN = \{\Lambda, y, yy, yyy, yyyy, \dots x, xy, xyy, xyxy, xyxyy, \dots xx, xxy, xxyy, xxyyy, xxyyyy, \dots\}$
- Using regular expression

$$(\Lambda + x + xx)(y^*) = y^* + xy^* + xxy^*$$

Finite Languages Are Regular

Theorem 5

- **If L is a finite language (a language with only finitely many words), then L can be defined by a regular expression. In other words, all finite languages are regular.**
- *Proof*
- Let L be a finite language. To make one regular expression that defines L , we turn all the words in L into boldface type and insert plus signs between them.
- For example, the regular expression that defines the language $L = \{\text{baa}, \text{abbba}, \text{bababa}\}$ is **baa + abbba + bababa**
- This algorithm only works for finite languages because an infinite language would become a regular expression that is infinitely long, which is forbidden.

How Hard It Is To Understand A Regular Expression

Let us examine some regular expressions and see if we could understand something about the languages they represent.

Example

- Consider the expression

$$(a + b)^*(aa + bb)(a + b)^* = (\text{arbitrary})(\text{double letter})(\text{arbitrary})$$

- This is the set of strings of a's and b's that at some point contain a double letter.

Let us ask, “What strings do not contain a double letter?” Some examples are

Λ ; a; b; ab; ba; aba; bab; abab; baba; ...

Example contd.

- The expression $(ab)^*$ covers all of these except those that begin with b or end with a . Adding these choices gives us the expression:

$$(\Lambda + b)(ab)^*(\Lambda + a)$$

- Combining the two expressions gives us the one that defines the set of all strings

$$(a + b)^*(aa + bb)(a + b)^* + (\Lambda + b)(ab)^*(\Lambda + a)$$

Examples

- Note that

$$(a + b^*)^* = (a + b)^*$$

since the internal $*$ adds nothing to the language. However,

$$(aa + ab^*)^* \neq (aa + ab)^*$$

since the language on the left includes the word *abbabb*, whereas the language on the right does not. (The language on the right cannot contain any word with a double b.)

Example

- Consider the regular expression: $(a^*b^*)^*$.
- The language defined by this expression is all strings that can be made up of factors of the form a^*b^* .
- Since both the single letter a and the single letter b are words of the form a^*b^* , this language contains all strings of a 's and b 's. That is,
$$(a^*b^*)^* = (a + b)^*$$
- This equation gives a big doubt on the possibility of finding a set of algebraic rules to reduce one regular expression to another equivalent one.

Introducing EVEN-EVEN

- Consider the regular expression

$$E = [aa + bb + (ab + ba)(aa + bb)^*(ab + ba)]^*$$

- This expression represents all the words that are made up of *syllables* of three types:

$$\text{type}_1 = aa$$

$$\text{type}_2 = bb$$

$$\text{type}_3 = (ab + ba)(aa + bb)^*(ab + ba)$$

- Every word of the language defined by E contains an **even number of a's and an even number of b's**.
- All strings with an **even number of a's and an even number of b's** belong to the language defined by E.

Algorithms for EVEN-EVEN

- We want to determine whether a long string of a's and b's has the property that the number of a's is even and the number of b's is even.

Algorithm 1: Keep two binary flags, the a-flag and the b-flag. Every time an a is read, the a-flag is reversed (0 to 1, or 1 to 0); and every time a b is read, the b-flag is reversed. We start both flags at 0 and check to be sure they are both 0 at the end.

- If the input string is

aaabbbbbaabbbbbbbbababbbbbaaa

Then by factoring in sub-strings of two letters each:

(aa)(ab)(bb)(ba)(ab)(bb)(bb)(bb)(ab)(ab)(bb)(ba)(aa)

0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0

by Algorithm 2, the type_3 -flag is reversed 6 times and ends at 0.

- We give this language the name EVEN-EVEN. so, EVEN-EVEN = $\{\Lambda, aa, bb, aaaa, aabb, abab, abba, baab, baba, bbaa, bbbb, aaaaaa, aaaabb, aaabab, \dots\}$

Algorithm 2: Keep only one binary flag, called the type_3 -flag. We read letter in two at a time. If they are the same, then we do not touch the type_3 -flag, since we have a factor of type_1 or type_2 . If, however, the two letters do not match, we reverse the type_3 -flag. If the flag starts at 0 and if it is also 0 at the end, then the input string contains an even number of a's and an even number of b's.

EVEN-EVEN

- If the input string is

aaabbbbbaabbbbbbbbababbbbbaaa

Then by factoring in sub-strings of two letters each:

(aa)(ab)(bb)(ba)(ab)(bb)(bb)(bb)(ab)(ab)(bb)(ba)(aa)

0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0

by Algorithm 2, the type_3 -flag is reversed 6 times and ends at 0.

- We give this language the name EVEN-EVEN. so, EVEN-EVEN = $\{\Lambda, aa, bb, aaaa, aabb, abab, abba, baab, baba, bbaa, bbbb, aaaaaa, aaaabb, aaabab, \dots\}$

Ex-1

- Find a regular expression for the set A of binary strings which have no substring 001.

$$(01 + 1)^*(\lambda + 0)$$

- Therefore, set A has a regular expression

$$(01 + 1)^*(\lambda + 0 + 000^*) = (01 + 1)^*0^*$$

Ex-2

- Find a regular expression for the set B of all binary strings with at most one pair of consecutive 0 's and at most one pair of consecutive 1s.
- Solution. A string x in B may have one of the following forms:
 - (1) λ
 - (2) u_10
 - (3) u_01
 - (4) u_100v_1
 - (5) u_011v_0
 - (6) $u_100w_111v_0$
 - (7) $u_011w_000v_1$
- where $u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1, w_0, w_1$ are strings with no substring 00 or 11, and u_0 ends with 0, u_1 ends with 1, v_0 begins with 0, v_1 begins with 1, w_0 begins with 0 and ends with 1, and w_1 begins with 1 and ends with 0.
- Now, observe that these types of strings can be represented by simple regular expressions: