March 7, 2016

# 1 conditioning

Consider the original collection D consisting of m ones and N-m zeros. Denote probability of number of successes for that collection as P(S|D). The probability ratio at s is given by:

$$R_s = \frac{P(s|D)}{P(s-1|D)}$$

Denote expectation of s as  $\mu$ :

$$\mu = mp + (N - m)q$$

For simplicity, denote probabilities at s for D as:

$$P(s|D) = P_s$$

It's known that for all  $s < \mu$ , the ratio  $R_s$  is greater than 1 and increasing:

# Property 1.

$$R_{s-1} = \frac{P_{s-1}}{P_{s-2}} > R_s = \frac{P_s}{P_{s-1}} \tag{1.1}$$

$$P_{s-1}^2 > P_s P_{s-2} \tag{1.2}$$

Create two collections by adding to D one 1 and one 0. Call them  $D_1$  and  $D_0$  respectively. The probability of observing s from  $D_1$  the is given by:

$$P(s|D_1) = pP_{s-1} + qP_s$$

Similarly for the second collection (with extra 0):

$$P(s|D_0) = qP_{s-1} + pP_s$$

Now consider the probability ratio for the collections  $D_1$  and  $D_0$  collections at some s:

$$R_s(D_1) = \frac{pP_{s-1} + qP_s}{pP_{s-2} + qP_{s-1}}$$
(1.3)

$$R_s(D_0) = \frac{qP_{s-1} + pP_s}{qP_{s-2} + pP_{s-1}}$$
(1.4)

# Lemma 1.

$$R_s(D_1) > R_s(D_0) \text{ for } s < \mu$$

proof:

$$\frac{pP_{s-1} + qP_s}{pP_{s-2} + qP_{s-1}} > \frac{qP_{s-1} + pP_s}{qP_{s-2} + pP_{s-1}}$$
(1.5)

$$(pP_{s-1} + qP_s)(qP_{s-2} + pP_{s-1}) > (qP_{s-1} + pP_s)(pP_{s-2} + qP_{s-1})$$
(1.6)

$$(p^2 - q^2)(P_{s-1}^2 - P_s P_{s-2}) > 0 (1.7)$$

The above holds because p > q and  $P_{s-1}^2 > P_s P_{s-2}$  of **Property 1**.

# Lemma 2.

$$R_{s-1}(D_0) > R_s(D_1)$$
 for  $s < \mu$ 

This lemma essentially says that if we step one point to the left of s, the ratio for the distribution with extra 0 is always greater.

#### proof:

$$\frac{qP_{s-2} + pP_{s-1}}{qP_{s-3} + pP_{s-3}} > \frac{pP_{s-1} + qP_s}{pP_{s-2} + qP_{s-1}}$$
(1.8)

$$qp(P_{s-2}^2 - P_{s-3}P_{s-1}) + qp(P_{s-1}^2 - P_{s-2}P_s) + q^2(P_{s-2}P_{s-1} - P_{s-3}P_s) > 0$$
(1.9)

(1.10)

Each expression in the first two parenthesis is greater than 0 by Property 1. The last parenthesis is greater than zero, because:

$$\frac{P_{s-2}}{P_{s-3}} > \frac{P_{s-1}}{P_{s-2}} > \frac{P_s}{P_{s-1}} \tag{1.11}$$

$$P_{s-2}P_{s-1} > P_{s-3}P_s \tag{1.12}$$

#### 1.1 Differences

Consider the difference between probabilities in s and s-1 for both collections:

$$P(s|D_1) - P(s-1|D_1) = pP_{s-1} + qP_s - pP_{s-2} - qP_{s-1} = p(P_{s-1} - P_{s-2}) + q(P_s - P_{s-1})$$
 (1.13)

$$P(s|D_0) - P(s-1|D_0) = qP_{s-1} + pP_s - qP_{s-2} - pP_{s-1} = q(P_{s-1} - P_{s-2}) + p(P_s - P_{s-1})$$
 (1.14)

Denote probabilistic difference is s is  $\delta$ , we can write:

$$\delta(s|D_1) = P(s|D_1) - P(s-1|D_1) = p(P_{s-1} - P_{s-2}) + q(P_s - P_{s-1})$$
(1.15)

$$\delta(s|D_0) = P(s|D_0) - P(s-1|D_0) = q(P_{s-1} - P_{s-2}) + p(P_s - P_{s-1})$$
(1.16)

Note that  $\delta(s|D_0) > \delta(s|D_1)$  for  $s < \mu$ , since

$$\delta(s|D_0) - \delta(s|D_1) = (p-q)[(P_s - P_{s-1}) - (P_{s-1} - P_{s-2})]$$
(1.17)

# Assumption 1

I believe that:

$$P_s - P_{s-1} > P_{s-1} - P_{s-2}$$
 for  $s < \mu$ 

I think it can be proved by induction, since it's true for the collection D of all zeros (or ones), it should be true the mixture. I have verified that empirically this statement appears to hold.

### 1.2 Higher order differences

This may not be all that useful, but recording it for the facts collection.

Suppose that instead of adding a single bit the original collection D, we add k zeros and k ones to arrive to the collections  $D_0^k$  and  $D_1^k$ . The conditional probabilities for finding s successes will be:

$$P(s|D_1^k) = p^k P_{s-k} + \binom{k}{1} p^{k-1} q P_{s-k+1} + \dots + \binom{k}{k-1} q^{k-1} p P_{s-1} + q^k P_s$$
 (1.18)

$$P(s|D_0^k) = q^k P_{s-k} + \binom{k}{1} q^{k-1} p P_{s-k+1} + \dots + \binom{k}{k-1} p^{k-1} q P_{s-1} + p^k P_s$$
 (1.19)

Expressing the differences between probabilities in s and s-1, we have:

$$\delta(s|D_1^k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} p^{k-i} q^i \cdot (P_{s-k+i} - P_{s-k+i-1})$$
(1.20)

$$\delta(s|D_0^k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q^{k-i} p^i \cdot (P_{s-k+i} - P_{s-k+i-1})$$
(1.21)

Taking the difference, we arrive to:

$$\delta(s|D_0^k) - \delta(s|D_1^k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} {k \choose i} (p^{k-i}q^i - q^{k-i}p^i) \cdot [(P_{s-i} - P_{s-i-1}) - (P_{s-k+i} - P_{s-k+i-1})] \quad (1.22)$$

Given that the differences in square brackets are always greater than 0, the  $\delta(s|D_0^k)$  is always greater than  $\delta(s|D_1^k)$ .

# Observation

The statement 1.17 and (1.22) implies that probabilities fall the fastest when we add zero to D. Since probabilities at every  $\mu_m$  are about the same, faster reduction should result in higher ratio for distribution of m = 0. Perhaps this may lead to a sufficient proof.

# **IGNORE**

Consider different collections  $D_m$  where m represents number of ones. This collections correspond to different distributions of number of successes S with respective expectations  $\mu_m$ . Consider values of  $s_m$  equidistant from each respective  $\mu_m$  by same number of steps l.

$$s_m = \mu_m - l$$

Denote  $R_{m,l}$  as probability ratio at  $s_m = \mu_m - l$  for specific m.

We should be able to show that:

$$R_{0,l+1} > R_{m,l}$$
 for any  $m$  and  $l$ 

Because  $s_{0,l+1}$  is the smallest value of s for given m and l. But the discreetness is a problem here, because how do we round  $s_m$ ? Need your advice.