Maxim Zhilyaev

David Zeber

March 22, 2016

1 thoughts

$$R = \frac{P(S|D'+0)}{P(S|D'+1)} \tag{1.1}$$

$$R - 1 = \frac{P(S|D'+0)}{P(S|D'+1)} - 1 = \frac{P(S|D'+0) - P(S|D'+1)}{P(S|D'+1)}$$
(1.2)

1.1 Univariate case

$$P(S|D'+0) = qP(S-1) + pP(S)$$
(1.3)

$$P(S|D'+1) = pP(S-1) + qP(S)$$
(1.4)

$$R = 1 + \frac{P(S|D'+0) - P(S|D'+1)}{P(S|D'+1)} = 1 + \frac{P(S) - P(S-1)}{pP(S-1) + qP(S)} \cdot (p-q)$$
 (1.5)

Assume

$$\delta(S) = P(S) - P(S-1)$$

$$R = 1 + \frac{P(S) - P(S-1)}{pP(S-1) + qP(S)} \cdot (p-q) = 1 + \frac{\delta(S)}{pP(S-1) + q(P(S-1) + \delta(S))} \cdot (p-q)$$
 (1.6)

$$R = 1 + \frac{\delta(S)(p - q)}{P(S - 1) + q\delta(S)}$$
 (1.7)

As m changes from 0 to N, and S is chosen to be $S = \mu_m - 3 * \sigma$, it appears that $\delta(S)$ increases slightly, while P(S-1) increades significantly when m grows. Basically, the reason why R_0 is the largest at the left end of range is simply because probabilities at the end-point of the rage are smaller for m = 0 than for m > 0. Perhaps we can bound $\delta(S)$, the differences shouldn't be very large especially for large N, and perhaps we can achieve good bound using normal assumption, and then simply show that denominator is smallest when m = 0, which I think is the case.

1.2 a variation of same idea

Consider R_m at the left end of the range (denoted as S_m) when m is changing from 0 to N.

$$R_0 = \frac{P(S_0|D_0+0)}{P(S_0|D_0+1)} \tag{1.8}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{P(S_1|D_1+1)}{P(S_1|D_1+1)} \tag{1.9}$$

$$\dots (1.10)$$

$$R_N = \frac{P(S_N|D_N+0)}{P(S_N|D_N+1)} \tag{1.11}$$

(1.12)

Let's consider a situation when all numerators are equal:

$$P(S_0|D_0+0) = P(S_1|D_1+1) = \dots = P(S_N|D_N+0)$$

Then the ratio R_m will only depend on the denominator. Suppose we choose a quantile probability of 0.005. Now, let's fin the corresponding PDF probability for that quintile for m = N distribution. Call this probability y. The corresponding S_N for that y will be l units away from the mean μ_N .

I believe it can be shown for all distributions with m < N that a) S_m corresponding to that y is at least l units (or further) away from μ_m , and b) S_m falls into smaller quintile, and c) $P(S_m|D_m+1)$ is smallest when D'=0. Basically, we fix the numerator probability for all m, and then prove that denominator will be smallest at m=0 and that point will be bellow the local-privacy range for the corresponding D'.

1.3 multivariate case

in the collection of N vectors of length L, we replace a unit vector 1 with a zero vector 0. Try argue same thing:

$$R = 1 + \frac{P(S|D'+0) - P(S|D'+1)}{P(S|D'+1)}$$
(1.13)

Assuming that for large N the numerator is reasonably bounded (that is doesn't change with D'), then R is dominated by the value of denominator.

$$P(S|D'+1) = P(s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_{2^L}|D')q^L + P(s_1, s_2 - 1, \dots, s_{2^L}|D')q^{L-1}p + \dots + P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2^L} - 1|D')p^L$$
(1.14)

It may be so, that if D' = 0, then P(S|D') is simply smaller compared to all other D', hence the linear combination of slight variations of P(S) also comes out smallest.