



EN.650.624, Network Security, Spring 2020

Instructor: Reuben Johnston, reub@jhu.edu

Homework 4

See Blackboard for grade %

Due Date

See Blackboard for date

Summary

In this homework exercise, students will use penetration testing and intrusion detection tools on a server running email server applications to explore various email-based attacks and defenses.

Part 1

- Run mail server in Docker container on raspberry pi (RPI) or VM (running Ubuntu server) using default settings, but disable authentication for the SMTP server; create several IMAP and POP3 users with easy to guess usernames/passwords; setup the SMTP server to provide an open SMTP relay service
 - Install Postfix (SMTP) +Dovecot (IMAP and POP3) +PostfixAdmin+LEMP stack (Nginx,MariaDB,PHP7.2) via Ubuntu apt-get
 - There are some guides linked at the end of this document
- Setup Kali virtual machine (VM) on same network with server and prepare Wireshark to capture a network sequence

Attacks

1. Use ismtp to perform RCPT TO attacks (username guessing) on Postfix server from another RPI or VM; capture the network sequence between ismtp and Postfix using Wireshark (Note: VRFY and EXPN are other SMTP commands that facilitate username guessing attacks)
2. Use the mail server to perform an open relay attack and send some email spam to our ns-public rpi email server (172.16.0.60); use a successfully guessed username from the previous step (e.g., use SEToolkit or manually via telnet session)
3. Spoof an email from outside the organization to appear as if it were sent from an inside address and send it to another inside address (e.g., use SEToolkit or manually via telnet session)
4. Run a brute-force dictionary attack against POP3 by using Nmap

Part 2

- Modify the Postfix server's settings to improve defenses against SMTP attacks (e.g., Postfix specific, other application, or OS network security)
- Install SpamAssassin and enable spam filters to reject spam (e.g., emails coming from outside the organization that spoof the inside address, or emails containing urls that are in the site blacklist)
 - Install SpamAssassin via Ubuntu apt-get
- Repeat the four attacks from part 1 and capture the network sequence

Part 3

- Install Suricata in another Docker container or VM and configure it to detect the attack (you will need to mirror the ports so that Suricata can see the traffic)
- Repeat the email attacks and ensure Suricata detects the attack promptly; gather reporting details from Suricata that show it detected the attack

EN.650.624, Network Security, Spring 2020

Instructor: Reuben Johnston, reub@jhu.edu

Part 4

- Answer the questions

Requirements

Executive summary report

The report must contain an overview of the project, the project goals, project execution highlights (i.e., what was performed, with pertinent technical highlights), what goals were accomplished (and any that were not achieved), and what was learned from the project (2-3 pages). For the report format, groups shall use the IEEE format (see [here](#)). The report is intended to be reference material for when you are performing threat modeling in practice.

Groups will begin the project with the creation of a project backlog and by assigning tasks in the backlog amongst the team. For each task in the backlog, groups should include exit conditions and schedule milestones.

For this assignment, place supplementary code and documentation in the specified locations on the course GitHub. Submit supplemental *.pcap files in a single *.zip archive using BB. Turn in the executive summary report, via TurnItIn assignment on BB, and your supplemental *.zip in the separate BB assignment for supplemental material. Please include team member last names in the filenames for all files submitted (e.g., "hw4-execsum-name1-name2-name3.docx" or hw4-supplemental-name1-name2-name3.zip).

Deliverables

Part 1

1. Describe the sequence used
2. Provide a python script that executes your attack
3. Provide *.pcap network capture file from traffic between attack host and target running the application server
4. Describe the results of the attack

Part 2

1. Provide the details for updated Postfix, other application, and OS settings
2. Provide *.pcap network capture file from traffic between attack host and target running the application server
3. Describe the results of the attack

Part 3

1. Provide the details used to install and configure Suricata so that it could be replicated by someone else
2. Provide reporting details from Suricata that show it detected the attack

Part 4

1. Discuss what else could be performed to defend against these attacks
2. SMTP is not a secure protocol. Discuss some of the secure protocol alternatives available today.

Grading Rubric

Threat modeling deliverables (50% overall)

Part 1 – 25%

- Description of attack sequence
- Python script
- *.pcap file capturing SMTP attack

- Description of results from the attack



EN.650.624, Network Security, Spring 2020

Instructor: Reuben Johnston, reub@jhu.edu

Part 2 – 25%

- Details for updated Postfix or OS settings, and SpamAssassin
- *.pcap file capturing SMTP attack
- Description of results from the attack

Part 3 – 25%

- Suricata installation and configuration details
- Reporting details from Suricata

Part 4 – 25%

- Discussion

Executive summary report (50% overall)

Table 1-Executive summary grading rubric (2006, Leydens, Santi)

Objective	1 - Exemplary	2 - Proficient	3 - Apprentice
Format / layout / organization	Report is <u>very clear, coherent</u> with excellent transitions	Report is clear and <u>coherent</u> , strong throughout	Report has some <u>gaps</u> , some weak sections
Writing mechanics	Report is virtually <u>error-free</u> , and contains few if any reader distractions	Report is logical and easy to read, and may contain a <u>few errors causing minimal reader distraction</u>	Report is generally clear, but distracting errors and flow make it <u>difficult to follow</u> at times
Figures / Tables	All figures and tables are easy to understand, and are clearly linked to the text. Story can be told almost entirely through figures.	All figures and tables can be understood with information given and are linked to text. One or more need improvement. May need more figures to tell the story.	Figures and/or tables are hard to understand, are not all linked to text. Several need improvement. Several more figures are needed to tell story.
References	All <u>sources</u> identified and referenced appropriately. Evidence of careful and thorough research for <u>outside</u> information.	All <u>sources</u> identified and referenced appropriately. Includes mostly <u>readily available</u> works.	All <u>sources</u> identified. <u>Only readily-available</u> works included. Some weaknesses in referencing, such as missing publisher information.
Typical Grade (average):	90-100%	80-90%	70-80%

References and useful resources

- <https://github.com/jhu-information-security-institute/NwSec/wiki>
- <http://www.postfix.org/>
- <https://www.dovecot.org/>
- <https://spamassassin.apache.org/>
- <https://www.linuxbabe.com/mail-server/setup-basic-postfix-mail-sever-ubuntu>
- <https://www.linuxbabe.com/mail-server/secure-email-server-ubuntu-postfix-dovecot>
- <https://www.linuxbabe.com/mail-server/postfixadmin-ubuntu>
- <https://www.linuxbabe.com/ubuntu/install-lemp-stack-nginx-mariadb-php7-2-ubuntu-18-04-lts>
- <https://www.linuxbabe.com/mail-server/block-email-spam-postfix>
- <https://www.linuxbabe.com/mail-server/block-email-spam-check-header-body-with-postfix-spamassassin>



JOHNS HOPKINS
WHITING SCHOOL
of ENGINEERING

Information Security Institute

EN.650.624, Network Security, Spring 2020

Instructor: Reuben Johnston, reub@jhu.edu

- 2006, Leydens, Santi, "Optimizing Faculty Use of Writing as a Learning Tool in Geoscience Education" (provided the rubric specified for the executive summary report)