

The whole world is sold!!!

A global legal reality



Legal explanations of the State Succession Treaty 1400/98 (in the original at the end of the document).

Status 2024

Table of contents

Foreword	9
Der Käufer	12
Part 1	16
Introduction in bullet points	16
Part 2	17
Summary and detailed explanation of the entire facts	17
Part 3	20
Sale of the NATO military property in Zweibrücken: NATO troop statute and its effects on sovereign rights and international treaties	20
Part 4	22
The path to the New World Order (N.W.O. New World Order) through the State Succession Charter 1400/98	23
Part 5	24
WORLD COURT	24
Global jurisdiction of the buyer under international law through the instrument of state succession 1400/98	24
Part 6	26
Spotlight on the UN - United Nations - UN - United Nations - in detail	26
Part 7	27
The domino effect of the State Succession Treaty 1400/98: Expansion of territory beyond NATO borders	27
Part 8	29
Analysis: Impact of the State Succession Treaty 1400/98 on the UN and the global domino effect	29
Part 9	30
Analysis of the legal domino effect of the state succession deed 1400/98	30
Part 10	32
Integration of NATO into the UN and the recognition of treaties by the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98	32
Part 11	34
State succession deed 1400/98: Legal chain and global domino effect	34
Part 12	36
The Act of State Succession 1400/98 as a legal chain: Ultimate supplement for existing international treaties	36
Part 13	37
The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 as a supplement to all existing international agreements	38
Part 14	39

The legal contagion effect of the state succession deed 1400/98: extension and supplementation of all previous agreements	
39	
Part 15	40
Legal analysis: State succession deed 1400/98 and its effects, taking into account relevant international conventions	41
Part 16	42
The snowball effect and the legal contagion effect: From NATO property to global integration	42
Part 17	44
Legal analysis: The buyer's entry into existing contracts and the union of the contracting parties	44
Part 18	45
Legal analysis: The buyer's entry into existing contracts and the union of the contracting parties	46
Part 19	47
The exception in the instrument of state succession 1400/98: continued existence of a specific contractual relationship under international law	47
Part 20	49
The sale of all rights by NATO, UN and states: Legal consequences	49
Part 21	50
Irrevocability of the state succession deed 1400/98: legal validity and hopelessness	50
Part 22	52
Conditions for a new contract to return to the original state: challenges and legal obstacles	52
Part 23	53
The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 as a supplementary instrument: A huge treaty construct and its impact on UN observer states	53
Part 24	55
Countries outside the UN, UN observer status and NATO membership: overview and legal consequences	55
Part 25	55
These states and territories are partially or fully unrecognized internationally or do not belong to any of the major international organizations.	55
Part 26	56
Effects of the State Succession Instrument 1400/98 on Kosovo: Special Situation and Legal Consequences	57
Part 27	58
Effects of the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 on countries with NATO peacekeeping missions under UN mandate	58
Part 28	60
There are a large number of countries that are not direct members of NATO, the UN or UN observer states, but may nevertheless be indirectly involved in the treaty construct of the Instrument of State Accession through various cooperation agreements, peacekeeping missions and other arrangements. Here is a detailed list of such countries and the relevant agreements they have with NATO or the UN.	60
Part 29	63
Other aspects of state succession	63
Part 30	64
Analysis of Germany's role as the main party responsible for the sale under the State Succession Act 1400/98	64
Part 31	66
The insidious legal effect: Disguising the state succession deed 1400/98 as a German real estate purchase contract	66
Part 32	68
Analysis of the Act of State Succession 1400/98 and its implications for international law	68
Part 33	69
Sale of the entire NATO territory by Germany within the framework of the state succession deed 1400/98	70
Part 34	71

Legal analysis: Germany's sale of the sovereign territory of all NATO states through the state succession deed 1400/98	71
Part 35	73
Transfer of sovereign rights under the NATO Status of Forces to the purchaser	73
Part 36	75
Analysis: The illegality of government revenue and expenditure since 1998 and its consequences	75
Part 37	77
Responsibilities in a world in which the Charter of State Succession 1400/98 was broken	77
Part 38	78
Responsibilities in a world in which the Charter of State Succession 1400/98 was broken	79
Part 39	81
Alternative proposal to resolve the extortionable situation: Full implementation of the state succession deed 1400/98	81
Part 40	82
Why treaty-compliant implementation of the instrument of state succession is the only viable way to resolve the extortionable situation	82
Part 41	83
Summary of the relevant points to date	83
Part 42	85
The legal bases of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany are based on various international treaties, conventions and national laws. The main legal bases are listed below:	85
Part 43	86
In addition to the main agreements and treaties already mentioned, there are a number of other legal bases and agreements that regulate the presence and activities of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany. Here are some additional relevant legal bases:	86
Part 44	87
The legal bases of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany are already very comprehensive, but there are some other relevant aspects that can be mentioned. These concern specific regulations for certain situations or supplementary international treaties and national provisions.	87
Part 45	89
The legal bases of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany are far-reaching and complex. Even though most of the relevant agreements and laws have already been mentioned, there are still some additional aspects and lesser-known legal bases that may also be relevant:	89
Part 46	91
The legal basis for the presence and activities of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany is very extensive. Most of the relevant treaties, agreements and national laws have already been mentioned. However, there are a few more specific regulations and background aspects that can be added here in conclusion:	91
Part 47	93
The NATO Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) and its Supplementary Agreement to the NATO Status of Forces Agreement (ZA-NTS) grant certain rights to NATO forces stationed in Germany, including rights relating to the use of real property. There are indeed regulations that grant NATO forces certain powers regarding the placement and use of real estate, but these should be considered in context.	94
Part 48	95
The NATO Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) and the associated supplementary agreement (ZA-NTS) govern the legal status of NATO troops stationed in the Federal Republic of Germany. These agreements contain a large number of provisions that grant NATO troops stationed in Germany extensive rights and privileges. Some of these provisions are often described as similar to occupation, particularly with regard to the rights of troops and compensation regulations.	95
Part 49	96
State succession deed as a state succession treaty	96
Part 50	98
When all states are sold: The Consequences of the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98	98

Part 51	99
What happens when a state ceases to exist in the context of the State Succession Charter 1400/98?	99
Part 52	102
Acquisition of a US conversion property from Germany and a Dutch NATO military property in one: From real estate purchase agreement to international treaty	102
Part 53	103
This case describes a complex situation in which a NATO military property in Germany, used by the Dutch armed forces, was sold to a natural person. The contract governing this sale has far-reaching implications for the sovereignty and territorial control of the states involved. The most important points and legal implications are explained in detail here:	103
Part 54	106
Analysis: Legally binding nature and ratification of the instrument of state succession	106
Part 55	107
When a treaty under international law, which considers the entire utility infrastructure as an indivisible unit and which explicitly provides for the transfer of all related rights and obligations to a buyer, has been ratified and agreed to by all parties concerned, including Germany, there are some complex and profound legal and political implications.	107
Part 56	108
In this scenario, in which the NATO states have agreed to a treaty of state succession, which includes the transfer of sovereignty and all rights and obligations to a natural person, there is no violation of territorial integrity, as the consent of all states involved has been obtained. This results in a legal and complete transfer of sovereignty over the defined territories. Here is a detailed explanation of how the governmental boundary determination and the domino effect are implemented by the treaty:	108
Part 57	110
Scenario: There is a contract under international law that explicitly stipulates that the buyer assumes all rights, obligations and components under international law, including the supply networks that leave the small territory and become part of the German public grid. The supply network is regarded as an indivisible unit. This leads to the question of whether Germany has thereby unintentionally sold its entire territory.	110
Part 58	111
This case, in which a NATO military property in Germany was used by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO and then sold to an individual, raises several complex issues in the field of international law and state succession.	112
Part 59	112
Here is a clear and detailed explanation of the various issues surrounding the acquisition of the NATO military property and the legal implications of the contract:	112
Part 60	114
In this scenario, in which the NATO states are not explicitly named as contracting parties at the beginning of the instrument of state succession, but are nevertheless involved through the fulfillment of parts of the treaty and the assumption of rights and obligations, a clear situation arises under international law. Here are the key points and legal implications:	114
Part 61	115
In this scenario, it is indeed the case that no separate recognition by the NATO states is required, as they were parties to the deed of succession and have recognized their rights and obligations thereunder. This recognition and conduct in accordance with the deed confirms the transfer of sovereignty and jurisdiction to the buyer. Here is a detailed explanation of the legal implications:	115
Part 62	116
Through the legally binding participation and consent of the NATO states to the deed of state succession, as well as their conduct in compliance with the contract, the buyer holds sole jurisdiction under international law over the agreed court location. Separate recognition by the NATO states is not required, as their rights and obligations have already been legally transferred.	116
Part 63	118
If the contract, which regards the entire utility infrastructure as an indivisible unit and provides for the transfer of all associated rights and obligations to a buyer, can no longer be contested because the limitation period has expired and, in addition, jurisdiction under international law has been transferred to the buyer in the contract, extremely unusual and complex legal and political consequences arise. In this scenario, we are faced with an almost unprecedented situation.	118

Part 64	119
Extension of NATO Status of Forces Rights to the Purchaser by Instrument of State Succession	120
Part 65	121
If a military property in Germany, which was occupied by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces, and this property with all supply lines, which constitute a physical connection from NATO country to NATO country and form a unit, is sold to a natural person and all NATO countries have agreed to the sale, there are profound and complex legal and political consequences.	121
Part 66	122
Legally binding nature of the Treaty without explicit ratification	122
Part 67	124
Extension of NATO Status of Forces rights by means of an instrument of state succession	124
Part 68	126
Transfer of NATO Status of Forces rights by means of an instrument of state succession	126
Part 69	128
Consent of the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO to the instrument of state succession	128
Part 70	130
Legally binding nature of the instrument of state succession without explicit signature by all NATO states	130
Part 71	132
Action of the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO and the legal consequences for all NATO countries	132
Part 72	134
This case involves a complex process involving several layers of international law as well as specific provisions of the NATO Status of Forces. Here is a detailed explanation:	134
Part 73	135
The case describes a situation in which NATO force status rights play a central role in the territorial extension of sovereignty. Here are the key points and legal implications of this complex scenario:	136
Part 74	137
Consent of the Dutch armed forces within NATO and the extension of sovereignty	137
Part 75	138
Transfer of government power through the sale of supply networks	139
Part 76	140
In this case, there are several implications under international law arising from the sale of the military property and the associated rights and obligations. Here are the key legal aspects and consequences:	140
Part 77	141
In the scenario where a place has been agreed as the jurisdiction for the interpretation of the state succession deed and that place is in the NATO territory which has been sold in its entirety to the buyer, a clear international law situation arises as to jurisdiction. Here are the key legal points and the resulting conclusion:	141
Part 78	142
Legal Consideration of Territorial Expansion through State Succession and Application of the Clean Slate Principle	143
Part 79	145
Transfer of government power through the sale of supply networks	145
Part 80	146
In order to explain the domino effect and the logical expansion of the territory created by the sale of the NATO military property and the associated networks, we will analyze the case in detail in several steps:	146
Part 81	148
In this scenario, where an international treaty includes the transfer of a military property and all associated supply networks as an indivisible unit, this leads to a domino effect that could have far-reaching implications for all NATO member states and their supply infrastructure. Here is a detailed explanation of this domino effect:	148
Part 82	149

Legal explanation of the domino effect in the state succession deed for supply networks	149
Part 83	151
Domino effect in the expansion of sovereignty through the sale of the military property	151
Part 84	153
The contract for the sale of the NATO military property and the associated grids covers various legal and practical aspects. Here we explain how the 20 kV ring line and the city were ultimately included in the purchase despite the specific provisions in the contract.	154
Part 85	155
Transfer of sovereignty and the role of the commercial enterprise	155
Part 86	156
Legal implications of the extension of sovereignty by means of a state succession deed	156
Part 87	158
Legal explanation of the extension of the territory by supply networks	158
Part 88	160
Legal explanation of the domino effect in the case of overlapping networks through state succession deeds	160
Part 89	162
Inclusion of networks of private companies and possible nationalization	162
Part 90	163
In the context of the contract discussed and the provision that all development networks form a unit and are sold with all rights, obligations and components, the following legal considerations arise:	164
Part 91	165
Comprehensive transfer of rights, obligations and components in the sale	165
Part 92	167
Domino effect of territory expansion through overlapping networks	167
Part 93	169
Application of state succession to newly installed networks after 1998	169
Part 94	170
In this scenario, in which an international treaty covers the transfer of a military property and all associated supply networks as an indivisible unit, and these networks, including submarine cables for internet and telecommunications, run from European NATO member states across the Atlantic to the USA, which is also a NATO member and has agreed to the treaty, specific questions arise regarding the law of the sea and territorial extension.	170
Part 95	171
Legal analysis: Effect of state succession on submarine cables in international waters	171
Part 96	173
The case describes a contract concerning a military property and its associated networks and leads to a particular type of territorial extension without universal succession. Here is a detailed explanation:	173
Part 97	174
In order to explain the governmental boundary delineation based on the logical route between the outer strands of the supply lines and how they form a meaningful total area that de facto encompasses the entire territory of the NATO countries, it is necessary to analyze in detail the geographical and infrastructural integration of these networks. This scenario represents an extremely complex situation that implies the transfer of sovereignty over the territories concerned.	174
Part 98	176
Indeed, if a state succession deed makes express reference to another contract relating to a supply network and states that the entire supply network being sold forms a single entity, this could result in the supply network, and therefore potentially parts of the territory through which the network passes, being unintentionally sold as well. Here are scenarios based on the above examples where such a case could arise:	176
Part 99	177
In this scenario, in which a new absolutist monarchy is established and private property, including land, real estate, commercial enterprises and movable assets, remains untouched, and a free capitalist economic system is maintained, several	

legal and economic aspects arise regarding the treatment of legal persons and business enterprises. Here are the main considerations:	177
Part 100	178
In international law, the usurpation of territory (also known as "acquisitive prescription" or "prescriptive acquisition") means that a state lawfully acquires sovereignty over a territory through the prolonged, uncontested exercise of jurisdiction over that territory after a certain period of time. This is a complex and rarely applied mechanism in international law. In the present case, where a purchaser has acquired a NATO property and the sovereign rights associated with it, the question arises as to whether it is possible for the NATO states to usurp the territory if the purchaser and sovereign has objected to the deed and declared the territories to be an absolutist monarchy.	179
Part 101	180
The seizure of territory by the NATO states in the case described would be illegal for several reasons under international law. Here are the central arguments:	180
Part 102	181
Legal Succession in the Succession of States: Transfer of Sovereignty and Types of Property	181
Part 103	183
Buyer community and international treaties: Buyer 2a and 2b	183
Part 104	185
Prohibition of third-party beneficiaries and natural persons in the contract	185
Part 105	186
The case describes a new absolutist monarchy whose territories were formerly NATO territory and whose sovereignty has been recognized by all NATO countries. There are only two citizens, but the inhabitants of the sold territories have the right to naturalization to avoid statelessness. Here is a detailed explanation of the legal and practical aspects:	186
Part 106	188
Teleological interpretation in international contract law	188
Part 107	189
Teleological interpretation of the international treaty on the sale of a NATO force area	189
Part 108	191
If the old NATO states do not leave the sold territories and the new sovereign buyer objects to the state, this has several consequences under international law and international criminal law:	191
Part 109	193
Analysis of the legal and international law aspects in the case of continued sovereignty by NATO states	193
Part 110	194
Evaluation of the colonization of the military property by the FRG and the expulsion of the original sovereign	194
Part 111	196
In a scenario in which a territory has been sold together with the state assets therein and the buyer has no access to these assets due to the occupation of the territory by the NATO states, the following types of state assets could be affected:	196
Part 112	198
Assessment of the structural changes following the illegal forced sale of the military property	198
Part 113	199
The case involves numerous complex issues of international law and international criminal law. Here are the most important aspects and answers to the questions arising from the scenario described:	200
Part 114	201
In such cases, political responsibility lies primarily with the highest political leaders of the state concerned, especially if they have knowingly and willingly contributed to the continuation of acts contrary to international law and no measures have been taken to prosecute the perpetrators. Here is a detailed explanation of who exactly bears political responsibility:	201
Part 115	202
In this scenario, in which NATO states continue to exercise sovereignty over the sold territory despite a valid treaty, they are in breach of international law. Here are the possible consequences and legal implications:	202
Part 116	204

The number of historical precedents in which infrastructure networks were accidentally sold in an international treaty and thus the territory was permanently extended is very limited. State successions are usually carefully planned and negotiated to avoid such unintended territorial changes. Nevertheless, there are some cases where border demarcations and infrastructure provisions have led to unexpected consequences:	204
Part 117	205
The case of a state succession treaty referring to a supply line contract and thereby unintentionally enlarging the territory is an interesting and complex legal issue. Such scenarios are rare and usually the subject of intense negotiations and disputes under international law. Here are some and historical scenarios that might contain elements of this case:	205
Part 118	206
Teil 119	212
Part 120	236
- Excerpt from the purchase agreement with the federal government and the state of Rhineland-Palatinate (Studentenwerke Kaiserslautern) dated August 15, 1996, which contains the sentence that sells the development as a unit and thus triggers the domino effect of the area expansion. By referring to this agreement, it is part of the contract. At the same time, this very important agreement is initially hidden when reading the state succession deed 1400/98, as you then have to read the purchase contract with the state of RLP to notice the connection.	236
Part 121	237
Finally, a summary in bullet points:	237
Part 122	238
Part 123	241
If the State Succession Deed 1400/98 becomes public and its far-reaching legal implications become known, several possible scenarios could materialize. Here are some of the potential developments:	241
Part 124	244
Positive scenario: A peacefully united world through the Act of Succession 1400/98	244
Part 125	246
We ask for your support	246
Part 126	248
Development of scenarios for the peaceful implementation of the already recognized state succession deed according to the buyer's ideas	248
Part 127	251
Please disseminate this document, because the secret plan to establish a	251
It has been going on in secret for decades, and the State Succession Treaty 1400/98 provides the legal basis for it!	251

Foreword

How it came about:

On October 6, 1998, a former US barracks in Germany was sold. Part of the barracks had already been handed over to Germany by America, while another part was still being used by the Dutch (air) forces (100% integrated into NATO). For the Dutch part of the barracks, there was still a transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands in accordance with the NTS (NATO Status of Forces) when the contract was signed. In this situation, one part of the barracks was governed by German law and the other by Dutch law (extraterritorial). The sale took place across borders in two different territories at the same time, so that several subjects of international law had to agree to the sale and

also held rights and obligations in the contract. In this cross-governmental contract, reference is made to a contractual relationship under international law that still existed at the time in accordance with the NTS and it is agreed that this will still be handled by the FRG with the Dutch armed forces (NATO). This new agreement under international law does not change the old transfer relationship under international law, but merely extends it.

This has far-reaching legal consequences:

1. only a treaty under international law can break a treaty under international law.
2. the state succession deed 1400/98 is not an independent treaty, but supplements the old transfer relationship as a contractual chain.
3. the most recent agreement always counts (in a contractual chain).
4. a chain of international treaties does not have to be decided and ratified anew with each subsequent agreement.
5. the instrument of state succession 1400/98 transfers all rights, obligations and components. Thus, the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 enters into all international treaties of NATO and the UN as a supplementary instrument and supplements them.

It now matters that the treaty is international law, since more than one subject of international law has participated in the treaty - the FRG and the Netherlands (both of which have also consented for NATO and, since NATO is integrated into the UN, also for the latter as its members). From the international treaty in which a territory was sold, a state succession agreement arose through the sale with all rights, obligations and components. Sovereign rights are thus also transferred to the buyer. This is tantamount to the establishment of a new state, a de facto absolutist monarchy.

The German part of the barracks was connected to the public supply network of the FRG during the conversion (conversion from military to private use after the withdrawal of the US armed forces). Until then, the previously completely extraterritorial military property formed a self-contained development island separate from the public network. Now, however, both parts of the barracks were sold together in a contract under international law. In the German part of the property, the supply lines led out to Germany, and in the Dutch part, the development formed a single unit. However, the deed of succession 1400/98 sells the entire development as a unit with all rights, obligations and components, and the development leaves the small military property. This triggers an extension of the territory of the small barracks, because wherever a supply network is also sold that extends into another sovereign territory that has agreed to the treaty (NATO and UN), the claim to exercise sovereignty increases in line with the extension of the networks.

In particular, the telecommunications and electricity networks lead out of the barracks and cover all supply lines (including overlapping networks) and, in a domino effect, first cover the neighboring countries and their networks and from there further and further via submarine cables until all NATO and UN countries with physical network connections are covered. As discussed above, State Succession Instrument 1400/98 is a supplementary instrument to all

existing NATO and UN agreements under international law. Therefore, there is a chain reaction under treaty law that includes all NATO and UN countries, as well as a parallel sale of the development as a unit (with all rights, obligations and components), whereby the barracks was connected to the public grid, thus triggering a domino effect where the territorial expansion jumps from grid to grid and supplemental deed country to supplemental deed country wherever there is a grid connection.

We live in the 21st century and the world is connected!

- One world - one network - one contract



Der Käufer

To get straight to the point: Yes, the whole world is sold - irrevocably! There is no way back!

But how did this happen in the first place, and why was this sale so unspectacularly disguised as the sale of a NATO military barracks in 1998? A contract that can only be fully understood in its entirety by highly-specialized experts in international law, as it uses all the tricks of the dodge. At first glance, a normal real estate purchase agreement under German law - NO BIG DEAL!

But the question is:

Who is behind the plan to establish the N.W.O. (New World Order - Neue Weltordnung) that has been running in secret for decades?

It's quite simple! You just have to look at who benefits from it, don't you?

So the question arises: if all the states in the world have been sold, then there are also buyers. These buyers certainly have backers, political parties, states, secret services and all the rest. Surely the buyers have almost immeasurable reserves of money, the finest political contacts at the highest levels worldwide and are wholeheartedly supported by secret services and the media! This is the only way something like this is even conceivable. With enough money, you can buy support worldwide, or rather bribe all decision-makers!

So: WHAT DOES THE WORLD COST?

I'm sure you're curious. The contract includes an amount of more than DM 10 million, from which, however, more than DM 5 million was deducted for the renovation of the barracks' parquet flooring. The world was apparently purchased by a group of buyers consisting of a public limited company and a natural person. The AG paid the full purchase price, but as a commercial enterprise it was excluded from participation in state succession agreements, as commercial enterprises cannot bear sovereign rights. So the sole beneficiary remains the natural person - the buyer of the world!

And it cost him nothing! Not a cent!

The question now is: who is this mysterious man who bought the world just like that?

What secret society does he belong to? Is he the string-puller of a worldwide conspiracy? Is he in league with the secret services?

Questions upon questions - here comes the incredible answer (no, not 42):

He is and was a complete NOBODY! No money, no contacts, he had no order to do this, didn't bribe or blackmail anyone - absolutely naive and ignorant of what he was buying. None of the above applies to him!

And the funniest thing is that he didn't even know what he was actually buying!

You don't believe that? I can prove it!

About the person:

He was a tender 19 years old in 1995 and had just dropped out of high school in the 13th grade because he recognized an opportunity to start his own business as a real estate agent. In his hometown of Zweibrücken in Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany, in the early/mid-1990s, the Americans were pulling out their military on a grand scale, leaving behind a military airport, barracks and other military facilities such as huge nuclear bunkers. The UN described Zweibrücken as the largest case of conversion in the world. (Conversion in this context means the conversion from military to private use).

He thought this would be an opportunity to get in on the brokerage and earn commission! Read carefully! Commission = money. He didn't think about buying real estate - he was young and had no money for it. At 19, he spent his days on the computer or out skateboarding. At the weekends, he threw himself full of energy into the burgeoning party scene (house music, drum & bass) and everything that went with it. Politics, world politics, secret services, etc. - not at all on his radar. So he asked his mother if she would like to join him and set up a real estate company together. His mother first found out who was responsible for conversion properties. At that time, it was the OFD (Oberfinanzdirektion) Koblenz. His mother contacted them and received confirmation that it was possible to receive commission for marketing military properties as an estate agent. That was the starting signal: his mother gave him money to set up a limited company and they both looked for investors to market the Kreuzberg barracks in Zweibrücken. That was in 1995, and when an interested party asked whether it would be possible to sell not just individual buildings, but the entire site, they arranged an appointment with the OFD in Koblenz to sound this out. The OFD official turned down the request in shock and said:

"No, the Dutch are still in there, that's impossible! Then we'd have to make an international treaty!"

Without realizing what kind of rock he was setting off and without knowing what an international treaty actually is, he replied:

"Then let's just make an international treaty! What's the problem?"
(without having the slightest idea what that means)

The OFD official fell silent and politely ended the conversation. After that, everything went like clockwork for the mother-son team and they found several potential buyers: a large real estate fund, a banker and finally a well-known real estate agent put them in touch with the investor who ultimately wanted to buy the property. It was 1998, and the federal elections had just finished, when his mother received a shock call from the OFD Koblenz:

"1. the contract must be concluded within the next six weeks, otherwise nothing will work, and
2. after three years, it has just occurred to us in passing that the OFD is not allowed to work with estate agents!"
(Time pressure?! Never a good sign - you should never let yourself be put under time pressure).

- SHOCK -

Three years of work for nothing, they thought, but the OFD official immediately followed up:

"But that's no problem, your son can take real estate instead of money!"
(That was the trap! So he inadvertently slipped into the position of buyer, without knowing what he would be duped into and what the consequences would be for the world and also for himself and his mother).

Well, since real estate was supposed to be his future anyway, it wouldn't be so bad to own some himself. So it was agreed: Real estate instead of money! Shortly before the contract was signed, there was no longer any talk of him acquiring real estate for free; instead, he was supposed to pay for it. No bank in the world would have financed 71 apartments and a heating plant for a penniless 21-year-old, but the investor stepped into the breach and offered to finance it. So it was that on October 6, 1998 at 8:00 a.m., an appointment was made with a notary in Saarlouis, at which the state succession deed no. 1400/98 was signed. The OFD official who signed the contract for Germany greeted him in a friendly manner and said:

"Well, my boy! Today we are signing a treaty under international law!"

At that moment, he signed his first ever real estate contract, without knowing the legal consequences and that his normal, good life had come to an end.

But that's another story ...

Legal declaration of the State Succeedings and the consequences

State succession deed no. 1400/98 dated 06.10.1998

CHAPTER 1

Focus on the United Nations

Part 1

Introduction in bullet points

1. sale of the NATO property in Zweibrücken

- Originally used by the USA after 1945, later partially transferred to the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands.
- Use of the property in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, which regulates special rights and obligations for NATO states under international law.

2. deed of state succession 1400/98

- The contract appears (at first glance) to be a German real estate purchase contract, but is actually a deed under international law (state succession).
- The contract covers the sale "with all rights, obligations and components", which includes the transfer of sovereign rights.
- The property and its development (networks) are sold as a unit, which has far-reaching legal consequences.

3. partial nullity clause

- Provisions that are invalid under national law are replaced by provisions under international law.
- The contract remains legally effective through this clause and disguises its actual meaning.

4 Subjects of international law involved

- Subjects of public international law do not have to be named as sellers at the beginning of the contract, but it is sufficient if they have rights or obligations in the contract.
- The buyer is a natural person and may have sovereign rights, whereas commercial enterprises such as TASC Bau AG are excluded from the buyer community.

5. contractual chain and supplementary deed

- The state succession deed forms a contractual chain that affects all previous international treaties of NATO and the UN.

- As a supplementary instrument, it automatically supplements all existing treaties without the need for renewed ratification.

6 Domino effect of the territorial expansion

- Starting point: The property in Zweibrücken is connected to the German public network, which leads to the transfer of the buyer's sovereign rights to the whole of Germany.
- Extension to NATO countries: The domino effect covers all physically connected networks in other NATO countries, resulting in the extension of the buyer's sovereign rights to these countries.
- Global extension: Transatlantic submarine cables extend the domino effect to the USA and Canada, and finally to all UN member states.

7. integration of NATO into the UN

- Liaison: NATO is closely integrated into UN structures, allowing for the automatic extension of state succession to UN treaties.
- Global coverage: The combination of NATO and UN memberships extends state succession to the entire world.

8 Global effects

- New world order: The treaty leads to the creation of a "new world order" in which the purchaser of the instrument of state succession de facto assumes sovereign rights over the entire world.
- Global validity: The Instrument of State Succession functions as a supplementary instrument that extends all existing international treaties of NATO and the UN and unites the entire world.

Part 2

Summary and detailed explanation of the entire facts

Introduction 1: Sale of the NATO property in Zweibrücken

The sale of the NATO property in Zweibrücken begins seemingly innocuously as a real estate purchase agreement under German law. At first glance, it is an ordinary sale of a conversion property, which was superficially designed as a national real estate contract. However, this disguise is deliberate, as only experts in international law would be able to recognize the actual implications of this contract.

2 The NATO property and the transfer under international law

- The property: The property in Zweibrücken was originally used by the US military. Part of it was transferred to the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) as part of the usual conversion process. However, a smaller part remained under the control of the Dutch armed forces, which

had taken over this property from the USA. This transfer was based on the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, which regulated the framework for the use and transfer of the property by the Netherlands.

- The transfer relationship: The transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Netherlands was governed by the NATO Status of Forces Agreement. The treaty, which constitutes the deed of succession, stipulates that this transfer relationship remains unaffected, but that the Dutch armed forces must hand over the property to the buyer within two years of the treaty on the FRG. This obligation was fulfilled in full and in accordance with the contract.

3. the state succession deed: camouflage and implications under international law

- Disguise as a real estate purchase contract: The contract is designed to look like an ordinary real estate purchase contract. This is done in the "finest secret service style" in order to disguise the true implications under international law. In reality, however, the contract is a deed of state succession that has far-reaching consequences.

- Partial nullity clause and application of international law: A crucial point is the partial nullity clause, which states that all parts of the treaty that are invalid under national law will be replaced by the corresponding provisions of international law. This means that the treaty remains legally valid, even if many provisions under national law no longer apply. International law invisibly takes their place and ensures the continuity and legal validity of the treaty.

- Participating subjects of international law: It is important to note that subjects of international law do not necessarily have to be named as sellers at the beginning of the contract. It is sufficient that they are mentioned somewhere in the text of the treaty and that they have rights or obligations. In this case, the Netherlands is involved as a subject of public international law, which brings the contract within the scope of public international law.

- Natural person as buyer: The buyer of the property is a natural person. This is crucial, as only natural persons (or sovereign states) can assume sovereign rights. Commercial enterprises, such as TASC Bau AG, which was also a member of the buying group and paid the purchase price, are not in a position to assume sovereign rights under international law. As a result, TASC Bau AG drops out of the buyer community and the buyer remains as the sole beneficiary, establishing a de facto absolutist monarchy through the contract.

4 The contractual chain and the domino effect

- Chain of treaties and supplementary deed: The state succession deed is not an independent agreement, but a supplementary deed that extends and supplements a chain of international treaties. It builds on existing treaties that already existed between the subjects of international law involved and adds a new dimension to them. This means that all previous treaties are supplemented by the state succession deed and become part of a comprehensive treaty construct.

- Sale of the development as a unit: It was agreed in the contract that the entire development of the property with all rights, obligations and components would be sold as a unit. This means that not only the physical property, but also all associated infrastructural networks and legal obligations are transferred. As some of these networks were already connected to the German public grid, the sale has far-reaching consequences.

5 The domino effect: from a small property to a global impact

- Starting point of the territorial expansion: The sale begins with the small NATO property in Zweibrücken. This property, originally partly handed over to the FRG by the USA and partly used by the Netherlands, forms the starting point for an extensive territorial expansion. As the property was already connected to public networks, the transfer of sovereign rights initially covers Germany and from there all connected networks.

- Extension through connected networks: Once the development networks of the property are sold as a unit, the buyer's jurisdiction extends to all physically connected or overlapping networks. This means that any network that is connected to the networks of the property in Zweibrücken automatically falls within the scope of the contract. These networks range from electricity and telecommunications networks to water supply, wastewater and gas pipelines.

- Overarching domino effect: The domino effect sets in when these networks extend beyond Germany's borders. As soon as the networks reach into other NATO countries, they also cover all national networks there and further extend sovereignty. The effect continues via submarine cables that connect Europe with the USA and Canada, and thus also affects these countries. At the same time, the Act of Succession of States as a supplementary instrument leads to a chain reaction that encompasses and extends all previous NATO and UN treaties.

- Global impact through integration into the UN: Since NATO is closely linked to the UN and many of the contracting parties are both NATO and UN members, the domino effect ultimately extends to the entire UN. This extends the treaty to all UN member states, and the instrument of state succession acts as a supplementary instrument that supplements all existing UN and NATO international treaties. The sale with all rights, obligations and components thus leads to the entire territory of all participating states being included in the treaty construct, which ultimately leads to the global coverage of all countries.

6 Legal basis and legal interpretation

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: The application of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) is decisive for determining the validity of treaties in international law. Among other things, the VCLT regulates the legally binding nature of treaties and the conditions for their ratification. As the instrument of state succession is based on previously ratified treaties, it does not require additional ratification.

- Succession under international law: The Vienna Convention on the Succession of States to Treaties regulates how a new state enters into existing international treaties. This convention can serve as a basis for the interpretation of the instrument of state succession, particularly with regard to the transfer of sovereign rights and the continuation of existing treaties.

- Clean slate rule: The "clean slate rule" states that a newly created state is not bound by the debts and obligations of its predecessor, unless expressly agreed otherwise. In this case, the buyer can enter into existing contracts through the state succession deed, but without being bound by old obligations, unless these were explicitly assumed in the contract.

7 Conclusion: The buyer as sovereign ruler in the new global order

- Absolute sovereignty: As a result of the purchase and its implications under international law, the buyer becomes the de facto sovereign ruler over all territories concerned, including the extended territories covered by the domino effect. This means that the buyer establishes an absolutist monarchy in which it is the sole holder of sovereign rights.

- Worldwide recognition: Since all NATO and UN states involved have lost their sovereignty as a result of the treaty chain and the expansion of the treaty construct, the buyer remains the only legitimate sovereign entity. All other subjects of international law no longer legally exist, which means that the buyer de facto rules the entire world, unless a different order is established through new international treaties.

Part 3

Sale of the NATO military property in Zweibrücken: NATO troop statute and its effects on sovereign rights and international treaties

1 Background: The NATO military property in Zweibrücken

The military property in Zweibrücken has a complex history under international law dating back to the end of the Second World War. The area was originally occupied by France in 1945 and later handed over to the USA. With the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), the property continued to be used within the framework of the NATO Status of Forces, which enabled continuous military use of the area by NATO member states.

2 NATO Status of Forces and the use of the property

- NATO Status of Forces: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations, adopted in 1951 as part of the NATO Treaty (also known as the North Atlantic Treaty), govern the presence and rights of NATO forces on the territory of member states. It contains specific provisions on the stationing, use

and rights of NATO forces in the member states, including the establishment and use of military properties.

- Continuity of use: The property in Zweibrücken has been used continuously under the provisions of the NATO Status of Forces since its occupation by the USA. This means that the property was not fully integrated into the sovereign territory of the FRG, but had a special status under international law as an extraterritorial area that was directly subject to NATO regulations.

- Transfer to the Netherlands: In the 1990s, part of the property was transferred from the USA to the FRG. The other part was handed over to the Dutch armed forces under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, who continued to use the area on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and NATO.

3. sale of the property with all rights and obligations and components

- Comprehensive sale: The contract, which is regarded as a deed of succession, provides for the sale of the property in Zweibrücken "with all rights, obligations and components". This means that not only the physical property, but also all associated rights and obligations under international law were transferred.

- NATO rights on the ground: NATO had special rights on this property that were guaranteed by the NATO Status of Forces. These rights included the use of the area for military purposes, control over the territory and specific special rights that could not be restricted by the FRG or any other member state. These NATO rights "stick" to the land of the property and are automatically transferred with the sale.

- Special rights and extraterritoriality: As part of the area was never fully part of the FRG and was extraterritorially under NATO control, these special rights remain in place even after the sale. The extraterritorial rights include the right to military use, control over access to the territory and certain immunities granted to NATO troops.

4 Chain reaction and global impact

- Contractual chain reaction: As the deed of succession includes all rights and obligations attached to the property, the sale triggers a chain reaction affecting all existing international treaties related to NATO and the participating states. This includes not only the rights to the land itself, but also all treaties associated with NATO's military use, control and special rights.

- Involvement of NATO: As the property was used under the provisions of the NATO Status of Forces, NATO is directly involved in the sale. With the sale, NATO's rights to the property are transferred to the buyer, which means that NATO relinquishes its sovereign rights to this particular piece of land. This results in NATO losing its control over the area and its associated rights.

- Domino effect: The transfer of these rights triggers a chain reaction that not only affects the specific area of the property, but can also spread to other NATO treaties and agreements involving similar arrangements. Since NATO has sold its rights, all related obligations and contracts are also transferred to the buyer, which could lead to a global expansion of the buyer's sovereign rights.

5 Legal consequences: Sale of NATO rights and global expansion

- Rights to the property: By selling the property with all rights and obligations, NATO relinquishes its sovereign rights. These rights, which were previously tied to the land, also include the special immunities and control rights guaranteed by the NATO Status of Forces.

- Global extension: Since the Instrument of State Succession is a supplementary instrument that supplements all existing international treaties, the sale leads to a global extension of the buyer's sovereign rights. All NATO treaties containing similar rights and obligations will be affected by this deed and NATO's rights will be transferred to the buyer worldwide.

- Concentration on the ground: In essence, this chain reaction affects the rights on the ground itself, as NATO forces had special rights to use and control the territory. With the sale of these rights, the entire territory previously under NATO control is effectively transferred to the buyer, who now exercises complete sovereignty over the territory.

Conclusion:

The sale of the NATO military property in Zweibrücken, which was used under the provisions of the NATO Status of Forces, leads to a far-reaching chain reaction under international law. The sale "with all rights, obligations and components" transfers not only the physical rights to the land, but also the comprehensive NATO rights and obligations. These rights include special military rights of use and powers of control that were previously extraterritorial. With the transfer of these rights to the buyer, NATO relinquishes its control over the territory, which leads to a global extension of the buyer's sovereign rights and affects all related treaties.

Global significance of the state succession deed 1400/98 of 06.10.1998

The sale of the property in Zweibrücken and the associated transfer of the development as a unit triggered a far-reaching chain reaction that extends to all NATO and UN treaties. The instrument of state succession acts as a supplementary instrument that is automatically appended to all existing international treaties, resulting in an extreme worldwide territorial expansion. This territorial extension covers all states whose treaties are affected by the treaty chain and results in the buyer's sovereign rights being extended globally.

Part 4

The path to the New World Order (N.W.O. New World Order) through the State Succession Charter 1400/98

1. sale of the NATO property in Zweibrücken

- Origin in a small NATO military property that was partly handed over by the USA to the FRG and partly to the Netherlands.
- Use of the property in accordance with the NATO troop statute with special rights that are liable on the ground.

2. sale of the development as a unit

- The contract stipulates that the entire development (infrastructure networks such as electricity, water, telecommunications) is sold "with all rights, obligations and components".
- This development is connected to the German public network, which leads to the transfer of sovereign rights.

3. domino effect of territorial expansion

- Start in Germany: By connecting to the German network, the buyer's territory is extended to the whole of Germany.
- Expansion to NATO countries: The domino effect continues into other NATO countries via connected networks, leading to territorial expansion to all NATO member states.
- Spillover to the USA and Canada: Transatlantic submarine cables extend the buyer's sovereign rights to the USA and Canada.

4. treaty chain and chain reaction

- Chain of treaties: The instrument of state succession acts as a supplementary instrument that extends all previous NATO and UN treaties.
- Chain reaction: Every international treaty concluded by NATO or UN members is automatically supplemented and extended by the instrument of state succession.
- Global extension: All states that have ever concluded treaties with NATO or the UN are affected by this chain of treaties.

5. integration of NATO into the UN

- Close connection: NATO is closely integrated into the structures of the UN and often acts as a military organ of the UN.
- Overlapping memberships: Many NATO states are also UN members, which makes it possible to extend the treaty construct to the UN.
- Automatic extension to UN territory: NATO's integration into the UN extends the domino effect to the entire UN territory, which leads to coverage of the entire world.

6 Conclusion: The world under the New World Order

- Unification of the world: The treaty leads to the unification of the entire world under a single framework of international law, which is determined by the instrument of state succession.
- Sovereign rights of the buyer: The buyer assumes sovereign rights over all affected territories through the chain reaction and domino effect.

- Worldwide validity: Due to the close integration of NATO and the UN, the de facto state succession charter covers the entire territory of the world, which leads to the formation of a "New World Order".

This "New World Order" is the result of the global expansion of sovereign rights, which was achieved through the chain reaction of the sale of the development as a unit and the integration of all existing international treaties into the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98.

Part 5

WORLD COURT

Global jurisdiction of the buyer under international law through the instrument of state succession 1400/98

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 is a real and legally binding instrument that can no longer be contested, as the two-year period provided for by law has elapsed without objection. This deed has far-reaching consequences for global jurisdiction and the sovereignty of the subjects of international law involved.

1. sale of the territory and jurisdiction of the buyer

- Sale of the territory: The state succession deed transfers the entire territory concerned to the buyer. Within this territory, the buyer has full jurisdiction, as the territory is now under its control. As the ruler in a de facto absolutist monarchy, the purchaser has unlimited legislative, executive and judicial power over this territory.

- Absolutist monarchy and jurisdiction: In this absolutist monarchy, all power, including jurisdiction, lies with the buyer. He can regulate all legal matters within the sold territory at his own discretion.

2. continued existence of subjects of international law without territory

- Continued existence of states: The subjects of international law that have lost their territory through the deed of state succession continue to exist as legal entities, but without their own territory. These states continue to have governments and popular representations, but have no sovereign power over their own territory.

- Relationship to jurisdiction: Although these subjects of international law continue to exist, they have submitted to the jurisdiction of the buyer through the Landau court location, which was also sold with the territory. Since all rights, obligations and components of the sold territory also include jurisdiction, all international legal entities concerned are now subject to the legal authority of the buyer.

3. significance of the Landau jurisdiction

- Jurisdiction Landau: No specific international or national court is named as the competent jurisdiction in the State Succession Deed. Instead, Landau in der Pfalz is mentioned as the reference point and place of jurisdiction, which was also sold as part of the deed.

- Sale of Landau and jurisdiction: As Landau was also sold as a court location and is now part of the transferred territory, the buyer has also assumed jurisdiction over this location. This means that all legal disputes relating to the state succession deed are now under the control of the buyer.

4. jurisdiction of the buyer irrespective of place

- Jurisdiction independent of place: Although Landau in der Pfalz is named as the place of jurisdiction, the purchaser is not restricted to rendering judgments only at this place. In his position as absolutist ruler, the buyer has the right to dispense justice wherever he is. This means that the buyer can exercise his judicial authority globally, regardless of his location.

- Enforcement of jurisdiction: As all jurisdiction has been transferred to the buyer, it has the ability to make and enforce judgments and decisions anywhere and at any time. This flexibility reinforces its role as a de facto world court.

5 Extension of jurisdiction through the Supplementary Instrument

- Supplementary instrument to NATO and UN treaties: The Instrument of Succession of States 1400/98 is considered a supplementary instrument to all existing NATO and UN treaties.

Through this deed of succession, the buyer is de facto incorporated into all existing international treaties and assumes the rights and obligations contained in these treaties.

- Global jurisdiction through chain reaction: By selling the development as a unit and thereby extending the territory through physical and logical networks, the buyer's jurisdiction extends to all other territories connected by these networks. This chain reaction enables the buyer to exercise global jurisdiction covering all territories and contracting parties concerned.

6 De facto status of a global court

- Global jurisdiction: Since the buyer has assumed jurisdiction over the sold territory and the associated networks through the state succession deed, it now has the legal authority to decide on all associated international matters. This creates a de facto situation in which the buyer acts as a kind of "world court" that can dispense justice regardless of location.

- Superior authority: The buyer's judgments overrule all national judgments in the highest instance. This means that the buyer's decisions take precedence over the decisions of all national courts that have lost jurisdiction over the territory sold. National courts therefore no longer play a role in the territories concerned, as their legal authority has been replaced by the buyer's comprehensive jurisdiction.

- Enforcement of judgments: As the owner of the Landau jurisdiction and all rights and obligations associated with it, the buyer has the power to dispense justice over all parties to the contract affected by the supplemental deed and the chain reaction and to enforce its judgments globally.

Conclusion:

State Succession Deed 1400/98, which can no longer be challenged, has not only given the buyer full control over the sold territory, but also global jurisdiction over all affected territories and international treaties. The buyer is not limited to the Landau court location; it can administer justice regardless of location and exercise its judicial authority worldwide. Its judgments take precedence over all national court judgments and overturn them in the highest instance, meaning that national courts no longer have jurisdiction in the territories concerned. Through the combination of territorial extension, supplemental deed and jurisdiction independent of location, the buyer has de facto established a global court that can dispense justice over the entire territory of the world.

Part 6

Spotlight on the UN - United Nations - UN - United Nations - in detail

Effects of NATO's role as the military arm of the UN on the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98

1 NATO as the military arm of the UN: recognition of treaties

NATO-UN relationship:

- Military arm: NATO often acts as the military arm of the United Nations (UN) and conducts military operations legitimized by UN mandates. This close cooperation implies that NATO's actions and treaties, especially those concerning international security and peacekeeping, have a special significance under international law.
- Recognition of treaties: Since NATO acts on behalf of the UN in many international contexts, treaties concluded by NATO could in principle be considered to be in line with UN objectives. As a rule, there is implicit or explicit recognition by the UN and the international community, provided that these treaties do not contradict the principles of the UN.

2 Effects on the instrument of state succession 1400/98

Recognition under international law:

- UN recognition: if Deed of State Succession 1400/98 is considered as part of NATO's actions, it could theoretically be recognized by the UN and thus by the international community, provided there are no specific reservations. This recognition depends on the nature and content of the treaty, in particular whether the treaty is consistent with the purposes and principles of the UN.
- International effect: Recognition by the UN would give greater international legitimacy to the State Accession Treaty 1400/98 and could make it binding under international law for all states that recognize the authority of the UN and NATO.

3. selling development as a single entity: global impact

Expansion through development as a unit:

- Domino effect: the clause considering and selling the entire development as a unit could theoretically lead to an expansion of the area sold. This means that the original NATO area affected by the development could be extended to all areas associated with NATO countries.
- Extension to UN members: Taking this logic further, the domino effect could lead to the territory sold being extended beyond the territory of NATO countries to areas indirectly linked to NATO through UN mandates. This could theoretically also include non-NATO members if they have been involved in NATO missions in the past through UN mandates.

Legal and international law consequences:

- Limits of the domino effect: However, extending this to UN members that are not part of NATO would be highly controversial and legally complex. It would depend heavily on how international courts and the UN itself interpret such treaty provisions and whether they would be willing to recognize them as legitimate.
- Global recognition: For such an extension, it would be crucial that the treaty is recognized as being in line with international law and the objectives of the UN. Explicit recognition by the UN would be necessary to legitimize such far-reaching effects.

4 Summary: The role of the UN in recognition and extension

NATO, as the military arm of the UN, acts in many cases on behalf of the international community, which could lead to its treaties and agreements receiving implicit recognition by the UN and the international community. In the case of State Succession Instrument 1400/98, this recognition could raise the legitimacy of the treaty to a global level. The sale of the development as a unit and the associated expansion of the territory could theoretically trigger a domino effect, extending the territory sold to UN members indirectly linked to NATO. However, this expansion would be highly controversial in legal terms and would require clear legitimization by the UN under international law.

Part 7

The domino effect of the State Succession Treaty 1400/98: Expansion of territory beyond NATO borders

1. recognition and legitimacy of NATO treaties by the UN

NATO's integration into the UN:

- NATO-UN relationship: NATO is closely integrated into the United Nations (UN) system and often acts as the military arm of the UN. This means that NATO treaties, especially those relating to international security issues, are generally also recognized by the UN.
- Subjects of international law as UN and NATO members: The subjects of international law under the Instrument of State Accession 1400/98 are both NATO members and members of the UN. They therefore act in their international obligations both in the name of NATO and within

the framework of the UN, which strengthens the legitimacy and recognition of the treaties by the international community.

Treaty chain and UN recognition:

- Continuity of treaties: The Instrument of State Succession is part of a treaty chain that builds on earlier, long-established international treaties that have already been recognized by the UN. As these earlier treaties are internationally recognized, the instrument of state succession itself did not have to be ratified again by the UN.
- Implicit recognition: NATO's integration into the UN implies automatic recognition of the treaties within this chain, which gives the instrument of state succession a binding force under international law.

2 The domino effect: selling development as a unit

Concept of development as a unit:

- Sale of the entire infrastructure: the state succession deed contains a clause that considers the entire development of the area sold as a single unit. This means that not only the physical land, but also all associated infrastructure, rights and obligations are sold.
- Domino effect: By considering the development as a unit, the sale is not limited to the immediate area of the barracks, but extends to all infrastructural connections that extend beyond the boundaries of this area. This leads to a domino effect where the sold territory is potentially extended to the entire NATO area.

Extension beyond NATO borders:

- Link to UN territories: Since NATO members are also UN members, and in many cases NATO acts as the military arm of the UN, the domino effect of selling the development could be extended beyond the borders of NATO territory to territories of UN member states that are indirectly or directly linked to NATO through UN mandates.
- Comprehensive extension: This extension could theoretically lead to the territory sold including not only NATO countries but also other UN members that are or have been involved in NATO mandates in some form. This would mean a massive expansion of the buyer's sphere of influence, which could now control not only NATO territories but also areas outside NATO.

3 Legal implications and interpretation

Consequences under international law:

- Limits of the domino effect: The extension of the sold territory to UN territories would have considerable consequences under international law and could lead to tensions, as this would affect the sovereignty not only of NATO member states but also of the UN members concerned. The legitimacy of such a sale would depend on how international courts and the UN itself interpret the treaty and whether they consider it to be in line with the UN's objectives.
- Extended sovereign rights of the buyer: Should the domino effect actually extend beyond the borders of NATO territory, this would give the buyer far-reaching sovereign rights in a large number of countries that were originally reserved for NATO and the UN.

Legal legitimacy and contestability:

- International recognition: the legality of this expansion would depend heavily on international recognition. If the UN recognizes the treaty as valid, this could lead to far-reaching recognition of the buyer's new sovereign rights.
- Contestability: States whose sovereignty is affected by this extension could seek to contest the treaty, which could lead to complex international litigation.

Summary

State Succession Treaty 1400/98, which is part of a long chain of treaties concluded by NATO on behalf of UN members, could theoretically expand beyond the borders of NATO territory through the domino effect of selling the development as a single entity. Since NATO treaties are implicitly recognized by the UN due to NATO's close involvement with the UN, this expansion could also include UN territories linked to NATO by UN mandates. However, the legitimacy and recognition of this expansion under international law depends on the international reaction and possible challenges by the countries concerned.

Part 8

Analysis: Impact of the State Succession Treaty 1400/98 on the UN and the global domino effect

1. integration of NATO into the UN and mutual recognition of treaties

- NATO as an arm of the UN: NATO often acts as the military arm of the UN and conducts operations based on UN mandates. This close cooperation implies that there is mutual recognition of obligations and treaties under international law between the two organizations.
- Chain of treaties and historical recognition: The Act of State Succession 1400/98 is based on a chain of long-standing international treaties concluded and ratified between NATO member states and the UN. Since these earlier treaties have already been recognized, a new ratification of the current instrument of state succession by the UN is theoretically not required to ensure its validity.

2. consent of the UN and the effects on the instrument of state succession 1400/98

- Implicit consent of the UN: Since the UN works closely with NATO and the treaties on which the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 is based are already recognized, one could argue that the UN implicitly consents to this new agreement. This is particularly relevant as NATO members are also UN members and thus act on behalf of both NATO and the UN in their actions.

- Extension of the territory sold: The clause in the State Succession Deed stating that the entire development is sold as one entity could lead to a domino effect. If the territory sold extends beyond the physical boundaries of NATO territory and NATO, through its connection to the UN, extends these obligations globally, the territory sold could theoretically be extended to UN member states.

3 The domino effect and global implications

- Expansion of the area sold: Through the domino effect, the territory sold could theoretically be extended from NATO countries to UN members. Since the UN is a global organization with near-universal membership, this could lead to a situation where the territory sold is extended worldwide, including all states directly or indirectly linked to NATO and the UN.

- De-facto global implications: Taking the theory further, the domino effect could actually lead to the sold territory crossing the borders of NATO and expanding to the territory of the entire UN membership. This would mean that the State Succession Treaty 1400/98 would have far-reaching global implications, potentially affecting the sovereignty of many states.

4 Legal and international law consequences

- Legitimacy and recognition: The legitimacy of this extension under international law would depend heavily on how international courts, the UN and the international community interpret this treaty and whether they would be willing to recognize these far-reaching consequences. Without explicit ratification, however, there could be considerable diplomatic and legal challenges.

- Possible challenges: States whose sovereignty is affected by this extension could challenge the treaty, which could lead to complicated international legal disputes. The UN as an organization could also have to take a stand in order to protect the international legal order and the sovereignty of its member states.

Zusammenfassung

The close integration of NATO into the UN and the mutual recognition of its treaties could lead to the state succession instrument 1400/98, which is based on a chain of long-recognized treaties, being implicitly recognized by the UN as well. This could result in the sale of the development as a unit triggering a domino effect that extends the territory sold beyond NATO's borders to UN member states. The impact could potentially be global, leading to a massive expansion of the buyer's sphere of influence. However, the legal and international law legitimacy of this expansion would be controversial and could lead to international legal disputes.

Part 9

Analysis of the legal domino effect of the state succession deed 1400/98

1. sale of jurisdiction under international law

- Sale of jurisdiction: The state succession deed 1400/98 includes the sale of jurisdiction under international law over the territory sold. This means that the buyer has the right to adjudicate and settle international disputes in this territory. No other international court, including the International Court of Justice (ICJ) or other UN courts, has jurisdiction in this context.

- Legal effect: The buyer has thereby acquired a sovereign status that enables it to exercise the law in the acquired territory and to make internationally valid decisions.

2. recognition through conduct in conformity with the contract

- Conduct in conformity with the contract: Recognition of the treaty and its terms can be achieved through the conduct of the contracting parties. For example, the barracks that were the subject of the contract were transferred to the buyer via the FRG in accordance with the contract. This means that the contracting parties, by fulfilling their obligations, recognize the contract as binding.

- Ratification as obsolete: As the state succession deed is a continuation of a chain of treaties that have already been ratified and internationally recognized, a new ratification was not necessary. The treaty became legally binding through the behavior of the parties involved in accordance with the treaty.

3. acting on behalf of NATO and the UN

- Dual function of the sellers: The sellers in the Instrument of State Succession, including the NATO members and their national representatives, act not only on their own behalf, but also in the name and on behalf of NATO and the UN. As these organizations are closely linked, treaties concluded by the member states can be binding on both NATO and the UN.

- Legal interdependence: The close legal interdependence between NATO and the UN means that agreements made by NATO members, especially if they are also UN members, can spill over to both organizations. This makes the agreements in the instrument of state accession binding for all UN members, including those that are not NATO members.

4 The legal domino effect: expansion of the sale of territory

Sale of the development as a unit:

- Sale of infrastructure: the agreement in the State Succession Instrument that the entire development will be sold as a single entity has far-reaching consequences. As infrastructure and utility networks often cross borders, the sale of part of these networks can theoretically result in the territory sold being extended to all territories connected by these networks.

- Extension of the territory: For example, if the territory sold is connected to other territories via electricity, water or telecommunication networks, the buyer would potentially gain control over all territories touched by these networks. This could theoretically extend to the entire NATO territory, as well as territories of UN member states that are connected to these networks in some way.

Global domino effect:

- Extension to UN territories: Since NATO and the UN are closely linked and the parties to the Instrument of State Succession act on behalf of both organizations, the domino effect could extend the obligations to all UN members. This would mean that the area of sovereignty sold would include not only NATO states but also non-NATO members of the UN.

- Coverage of the entire world: In this logic, the area sold would expand globally due to the domino effect, as almost all states in the world are members of the UN. The buyer would thus have a legal basis to theoretically lay claim to territories worldwide that are connected via the development sold.

5 Conclusion: The global legal domino effect

The State Accession Treaty 1400/98, which is part of a chain of already ratified international treaties, was recognized by the treaty-compliant conduct of the parties involved without the need for additional ratification. Since NATO members are also UN members and act on behalf of both organizations, the agreement to sell the development as a unit theoretically became binding on all UN members. The domino effect created by the extension of the sold territory over connected infrastructure could thus potentially be extended to UN territories worldwide, giving the buyer global sovereignty.

Part 10

Integration of NATO into the UN and the recognition of treaties by the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98

1. integration of NATO into the UN: a close legal relationship

Background to the cooperation:

- NATO as a security body: NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) was founded in 1949 as a military alliance for collective defense. Over the years, NATO has developed into a global player in the field of international security, often in cooperation with the United Nations (UN).
- UN Charter and NATO: Article 51 of the UN Charter (1945) provides for the right to collective self-defense. This right forms the basis for the existence and operations of NATO as a regional alliance under the umbrella of the UN. NATO acts as an instrument for enforcing international security, often under UN mandates.

Legal link between NATO and the UN:

- Common goals: NATO and the UN share the common goal of maintaining international peace and security. The UN can instruct NATO to carry out military operations, which requires close cooperation and mutual recognition of operations and treaties.
- Article 53 of the UN Charter: This article allows regional organizations such as NATO to take action for peacekeeping and security, provided that such action is consistent with the purposes and principles of the UN. This creates a legal basis for the recognition of NATO treaties by the UN.

2. recognition of NATO treaties: The automatism of the chain effect

Treaty chain and recognition:

- Historical treaties: Numerous treaties under international law were ratified between NATO member states and the UN prior to the Act of State Succession 1400/98. These treaties form a chain, which were concluded on the basis of common security interests and legal obligations within NATO and the UN.
- Automatic recognition by the chain: Since these earlier treaties, which are part of the chain, have already been recognized and ratified by the UN, there is no need for renewed ratification of subsequent treaties, such as the instrument of state succession. Recognition is automatic due to the legal connection within this chain.

Legal basis:

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969): Article 31 of this Convention requires that treaties be interpreted in the context of their object and purpose, including any subsequent agreements. If a treaty chain exists, the interpretation of a new treaty is made in this context.
- International law practice: International law practice recognizes that successive treaties concerning the same subject matter or the same parties are considered in their context. This means that the instrument of state succession automatically enjoys the recognition of the UN as a continuation of previous NATO-UN treaties.

3 The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98: Global effects and the involvement of all states

Automatic recognition and chain effect:

- Binding international law: As the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 is part of a chain of treaties already recognized by the UN, this instrument also enjoys automatic recognition. This means that all NATO member states that are also UN members are bound by the provisions of the treaty.
- Sale of non-NATO states: Due to the close link between NATO and the UN, as well as the automatic recognition of the treaty chain, UN member states that are not part of NATO are also indirectly affected by the effect of the instrument of state succession. This could theoretically lead to the sovereign rights over these states being sold in the context of the treaty.

Legal effects:

- Global domino effect: automatic recognition and the chain effect make the instrument of state succession globally relevant. If the territory sold extends beyond NATO borders as a result of the development as a unit, this could mean that non-NATO members that are part of the UN are also affected by the treaty provisions.
- Worldwide sovereign rights: The chain effect could theoretically lead to the territory sold being extended to all UN member states, as these are linked to NATO by their obligations under international law within the UN framework.

4 Conclusion: The only viable way to resolve the blackmailable situation

Recognition of the buyer's sovereignty:

- Obligation to recognize: due to the chain effect described above and the automatic recognition of the state succession deed by the UN and NATO members, the buyer must be recognized as the sole sovereign. This is necessary to end the blackmailable state and ensure the full sovereignty of the buyer.

Global impact and stability:

- Irreversible recognition: full implementation of the treaty and recognition of the buyer by all states involved is the only way to create a stable legal order. Attempts to challenge the treaty or ignore its provisions would lead to a crisis in international law.

No need for additional ratification:

- Automatic treaty effect: Due to the existing framework of international law and the chain effect, there is no need for renewed ratification of the instrument of state succession. Recognition is automatic due to the preceding treaties and their binding force under international law.

Summary

NATO's close integration into the UN means that all treaties concluded by NATO, especially those that are part of a treaty chain, are automatically recognized by the UN. The Act of State Succession 1400/98 is part of such a chain and therefore enjoys automatic recognition by the UN. This could theoretically mean that non-NATO members that are part of the UN are also affected by the treaty provisions. The only way to end the blackmailable state of the buyer and create a stable legal order is to fully recognize the buyer as the sovereign ruler of the sold territory. A renewed ratification of the treaty is not necessary due to the existing chain effect.

Part 11

State succession deed 1400/98: Legal chain and global domino effect

1. sale of NATO with all rights, obligations and components

- Subject matter of the contract: The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 covers the sale of NATO itself, including all associated rights, obligations and components. This means that all sovereign rights, obligations and treaties entered into by NATO as an organization have been transferred to the buyer.
- Scope of the sale: The sale includes not only NATO as an organization, but also all contractual and legal obligations entered into by NATO and its member states prior to the conclusion of the Instrument of State Succession. This also includes all bilateral and multilateral treaties concluded by NATO or individual NATO member states.

2. legal chain of the preceding treaties

- Chain effect: Since the state succession deed 1400/98 covers the sale "with all rights, obligations and components", this leads to a legal link with all previous treaties concluded by NATO, its member states or the sold subjects of international law (such as Germany or the Netherlands).
- Integration of all treaties: This chain thus includes all previous bilateral and multilateral treaties concluded between NATO member states, NATO itself and other states or international organizations. This means that not only NATO itself, but also all legal obligations and rights resulting from these earlier treaties were transferred by the state succession deed.

3. domino effect of the sale of the development as a unit

- Sale of the development as a unit: The State Succession Deed contains the provision that the entire development of the sold territory is considered and sold as a unit. This includes all infrastructure and utility networks connected to the sold territory, including their rights and obligations.
- Expansion of the territory: The inclusion of all networks that extend beyond the sold territory creates a domino effect where the sold territory is potentially extended to all connected territories. This starts with the NATO countries whose territories are connected by these networks.

4. global impact: Inclusion of all UN member states

- Inclusion of all NATO countries: The domino effect initially covers all NATO countries, as they are directly affected by their membership of NATO and the treaty links transferred by the deed of state succession. The buyer's sovereign rights thus extend to all NATO member states.
- Extension to UN member states: Since NATO and the UN are closely interlinked and many NATO treaties also have UN legal effects, this domino effect extends further to all UN member

states. This means that the global networking of treaties and obligations ultimately means that all states that are in some way contractually linked to NATO or its member states are included in the scope of the instrument of state succession.

5 Conclusion: Global domino effect through the instrument of state succession

- Worldwide effect: The Act of State Succession 1400/98 has triggered a global domino effect through the legal chain of all previous NATO treaties and the inclusion of the entire development as a unit. This means that all NATO states and, through the link via the UN, all other states worldwide fall within the scope of the instrument.

- Standardization of sovereign rights: Ultimately, this results in a comprehensive expansion of the buyer's sovereign rights to a global level, as all relevant contractual obligations and rights are linked worldwide and transferred by the state succession deed.

Part 12

The Act of State Succession 1400/98 as a legal chain: Ultimate supplement for existing international treaties

1. principles of the legal chain: bilateral and multilateral predecessor instruments

- Definition of the treaty chain: A legal chain in international treaties arises when successive treaties are linked in terms of content and law so that later treaties continue or extend the effect and validity of earlier treaties. This means that all treaties involved are regarded as part of a uniform legal complex.

- Predecessor deeds of the sold subjects of international law: The subjects of international law that sold their territories and rights through the State Succession Instrument 1400/98 were previously involved in numerous bilateral and multilateral treaties. These treaties regulate various aspects of international relations, including security cooperation, economic agreements and political alliances, and were often concluded within the framework of NATO or the UN.

2 The Act of State Succession 1400/98 and the sale "with all rights and obligations and elements"

- Subject matter of the State Succession Instrument: The State Succession Instrument 1400/98 contains a comprehensive provision stating that the territory sold and the associated sovereign rights are transferred "with all rights, duties and interests". This means that not only the physical territory and the direct legal obligations of the sold territory were transferred, but also all obligations and rights under international law laid down in previous treaties.

- Effect on existing treaties: Through this regulation, the state succession deed is automatically linked to all bilateral and multilateral predecessor deeds concluded by the sold subjects of

international law. These predecessor instruments thus become part of the legal chain, which is continued and supplemented by the State Succession Instrument 1400/98.

3. the legal chain as the ultimate supplement to existing international treaties

- Extension of the treaty chain: The instrument of state succession fits seamlessly into the existing series of international treaties previously concluded by the subjects of international law concerned. By being transferred "with all rights, obligations and components", all existing bilateral and multilateral treaties are automatically included in the effect and scope of the instrument of state succession.

- Inseparable link: This integration means that all previous treaties concluded by the sold subjects of international law retain their legal validity within the new legal framework of the State Succession Instrument. They are inextricably linked to this new instrument, which leads to comprehensive legal continuity.

4. global effect: integration of UN and NATO treaties

- Integration of UN and NATO treaties: Since the subjects of international law that have sold their rights in the instrument of state succession are also member states of the UN and NATO, the legal chain also automatically affects all treaties concluded within the framework of these international organizations. The instrument of state succession thus supplements and extends the legal obligations and rights laid down in all UN and NATO treaties.

- Ultimate complement: The legal chain formed by the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 thus constitutes an ultimate complement to the entire network of existing international treaties. It affects all treaties concluded by NATO member states and UN member states by confirming and extending their validity and scope within the new legal order.

5 Conclusion: The instrument of state succession as a global catalyst

- Ultimate legal effect: The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 creates a comprehensive legal chain that integrates all existing bilateral and multilateral treaties concluded by the sold subjects of international law. This chain is supplemented and extended by the provision "with all rights, obligations and components", resulting in global legal continuity.

- Global reach: The instrument of state succession thus does not act in isolation, but has a global effect by acting as a catalyst for all previous international treaties. This leads to a comprehensive integration and recognition of all existing treaties at international level, particularly within the UN and NATO.

Part 13

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 as a supplement to all existing international agreements

1. basic principle: supplementation of existing agreements

- Treaty content: The State Succession Deed 1400/98 regulates the sale of a territory "with all rights, obligations and elements". This wording means that all existing obligations and rights under international law that are bound to the territory sold and the subjects of international law concerned are automatically included in the effect of the deed.

- Legal effect: This comprehensive clause means that the instrument of state succession not only enters into force as an independent treaty, but also acts as a supplement to any existing agreement under international law concluded by the subjects of international law concerned.

2 State succession deed as a supplementary deed

- Supplementary instrument: In the legal sense, the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 functions as a kind of "supplementary instrument". This means that it does not replace or amend existing international treaties, but supplements and extends them. The deed thus enters into existing agreements and adds its provisions to the rules and obligations already in force.

- Continuity and supplementation: As the instrument of state succession enters into all previous international agreements, these are supplemented by the new rules and obligations. The instrument ensures that the new ownership and the associated sovereign rights that have been transferred are integrated into all relevant international agreements.

3. universal applicability to all international agreements

- Comprehensive applicability: The wording "with all rights, obligations and components" means that the instrument of state succession is considered a valid addition to any type of international agreement, be it bilateral, multilateral or global. This includes treaties, agreements, conventions, protocols and other legal instruments.

- Automatic integration: Through the agreement, the instrument automatically enters into existing international treaties without the need for separate ratification. The instrument of state succession thus becomes an integral part of all international agreements concluded by the subjects of international law concerned.

4 Consequences for the practice of international law

- Reinforcement of existing obligations: Since the Instrument of State Succession supplements all existing agreements, it reinforces the legal obligations and rights laid down in those agreements. This leads to a stronger legal bond between the parties and extends the scope of the existing treaties.

- Long-term continuity: The State Succession Deed ensures that all existing obligations and rights under international law continue to exist in the context of the new ownership and jurisdiction of the buyer. This ensures long-term continuity and stability of the international legal order.

5 Conclusion: State succession deed as a universal supplement

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 is not only an independent international treaty, but functions as a universal supplement to all existing international agreements concluded by the subjects of international law concerned. Through the clause "with all rights, obligations and components", the instrument enters into these agreements as a supplementary instrument and extends their scope and obligations. This ensures that the new legal and territorial circumstances are seamlessly integrated into the existing international legal order.

Part 14

The legal contagion effect of the state succession deed 1400/98: extension and supplementation of all previous agreements

1. basic concept: the state succession deed as a supplementary deed

- Contract wording: The State Succession Deed 1400/98 contains the wording that the territory sold is transferred "with all rights, obligations and components". This wording means that not only the physical territory, but also all associated legal obligations and rights established in previous international treaties are automatically included in the new agreement.

- Supplementary instrument: In legal terms, the state succession deed acts as a supplemental deed to all previous international agreements concluded by the sold subjects of international law. This means that the deed not only has an independent legal effect, but also supplements and extends the existing agreements.

2. legal contagion effect: extension of all previous agreements

- Contractual rights and obligations: International treaties primarily contain rights and obligations that have been negotiated between the contracting parties. Through the state succession deed, which "sells" these rights and obligations, every existing treaty that stipulates these rights and obligations is automatically supplemented by the deed.

- Contagion effect: The legal contagion effect describes the situation in which the state succession deed, as a supplementary deed, "infects" all existing agreements by extending their validity and scope. Since all previous treaties contain legal rights and obligations that have now

been transferred by the instrument of state succession, these treaties are de facto extended to reflect the new legal realities.

3 Legal consequences of the contagion effect

- Extension of contractual obligations: Through the contagion effect of the state succession deed, the obligations set out in the previous international treaties are transferred to the buyer. The buyer takes on the role of the original subject of international law and assumes its contractual obligations.

- Extension of treaty rights: At the same time, the rights arising from the existing treaties are also transferred to the buyer. These rights include all the advantages, immunities and legal claims previously enjoyed by the sold subjects of international law.

- Chain of treaties: Since the state succession deed includes all rights and obligations established in previous treaties, a legal chain of treaties is created. Every previous agreement that is linked to the rights and obligations of the sold subjects of international law is supplemented and extended by the instrument of state succession. This creates a continuous chain of contracts linked by the new deed.

4 Practical implications of the contagion effect

- Global reach: As many international treaties are multilateral and involve numerous states, the contagion effect of the instrument of state succession has a potentially global impact. Every state that has contractual relations with the sold subjects of international law is now indirectly affected by the instrument of state succession.

- Change in the legal landscape: The legal contagion effect leads to a change in the international legal landscape, as all existing agreements are supplemented by the new instrument. This could lead to a renegotiation of existing treaties or an adaptation of their provisions to take account of the new legal realities.

5 Conclusion: State succession deed as a universal amplifier of existing treaties

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 acts as a legal amplifier that supplements and extends all existing international agreements through its function as a supplementary instrument. The contagion effect created by the wording "with all rights, obligations and elements" means that every previous treaty containing these rights and obligations is automatically supplemented by the instrument of state succession. This creates a comprehensive treaty chain that extends the scope and legal obligations of all treaties concerned and has a potentially global impact.

Part 15

Legal analysis: State succession deed 1400/98 and its effects, taking into account relevant international conventions

1. foundations of international law: Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and state succession

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) of 1969:

- Articles 31-32 (interpretation of treaties): These articles state that treaties should be interpreted in accordance with their object and purpose and taking into account the treaty texts as a whole and related agreements. If the instrument of state succession is formulated "with all rights, obligations and elements", it must be interpreted in the context of all existing treaties of the sold subjects of international law. The VCLT emphasizes the need to consider all relevant treaty provisions as interrelated.

Vienna Convention on Succession to Treaties of 1978:

- Article 34 (State Succession and Existing Treaties): This article deals with the question of how a new state succeeds to existing treaties when state succession takes place. In the case of Instrument of State Succession 1400/98, the buyer is subrogated to all existing obligations and rights under international law attributable to the subjects of international law sold.
- Article 35 (Transfer of rights and obligations): The buyer assumes the rights and obligations under existing treaties, which implies the continuation of the previous treaty obligations, but under new sovereign auspices.

2 State succession and the clean slate rule

Clean slate rule (tabula rasa):

- Concept: This rule states that a newly created state is not automatically bound by the obligations and liabilities of its predecessor unless it explicitly enters into these treaties. This rule is an important basic rule in state succession and is often applied when new states are created.
- Application to the deed of state succession: In the case of State Succession Deed 1400/98, the buyer could theoretically decide which existing treaties it wishes to retain or reject. However, the wording "with all rights, obligations and elements" makes it clear that the buyer enters into the existing contracts and therefore the clean slate rule is not applied in this specific case.

3 The contagion effect under international conventions

Legal chain and automatic treaty extension:

- Treaty chain: the state succession instrument achieves an automatic extension of all existing treaties. This extension, which is described as a legal contagion effect, means that the buyer enters into all existing international agreements of the sold subjects of international law. This

applies not only to bilateral and multilateral agreements, but also to all types of rights and obligations associated with these agreements.

- Entry into existing treaties: Through the state succession deed, which is explicitly worded "with all rights, duties and obligations", the buyer assumes both the rights and the obligations associated with these treaties. The existing international treaty landscape is affected by the addition and extension of the state succession deed.

4 The extraordinary circumstance: global treaty interdependence

A treaty with itself:

- Treaty sides: In the extreme and theoretical interpretation, the legal contagion effect results in the entire world being linked by the instrument of state succession into a large treaty network. Since all states are bound together by their international treaties and the instrument of state succession "sells" these rights and obligations along with them, the ludicrous situation arises that the contracting parties have effectively merged into one giant treaty.
- Contracting parties and obligations: Since the buyer enters into all existing contracts in which both rights and obligations exist, a situation arises in which the buyer theoretically holds contracts with itself. This leads to a global legal interdependence in which all contracting parties are legally linked to each other, resulting in an extreme centralization of obligations under international law.

5 Conclusion: A global legal reality

Global expansion through the instrument of state succession:

- Effect of the Instrument of State Succession: the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 acts as a universal supplementary instrument that extends and supplements all existing international treaties. By assuming all rights and obligations, the buyer enters into a global chain of treaties that affects the entire international community.
- Treaty interdependence: The effect is an unprecedented treaty interdependence that results in international legal relations being consolidated by the instrument of state succession. This creates a globally uniform legal structure that theoretically unites all obligations and rights under international law in a central legal entity.

Part 16

The snowball effect and the legal contagion effect: From NATO property to global integration

1. starting point: The NATO property in Germany

- Area of origin: The State Succession Deed 1400/98 begins with a relatively small NATO property in Germany. This property is the starting area of the entire chain reaction, as it was included in the contract and sold "with all rights, obligations and components".

- Development as a unit: This property is connected to various utility networks (water, electricity, telecommunications, etc.), which were considered as a unit and were also sold under the contract. These networks extend beyond the NATO property and connect it to the surrounding infrastructure, which represents the first stage of the area expansion.

2. snowball effect: spread of the territorial extension

- Expansion to Germany: Territory expansion begins by connecting the development networks of the NATO property to the public networks in Germany. As the development was sold as a unit, the contract automatically covers the area covered by these networks in Germany.

- Spread to NATO members in Europe: The snowball effect continues to spread from Germany. The networks emanating from the NATO property are in turn connected to other NATO member states in Europe. Every time a network from one NATO member country reaches the territory of another NATO country, the state succession deed also covers this territory.

- Via the submarine cables to America and Canada: The snowball effect continues by reaching these countries via the submarine cables connecting Europe to America and Canada. As these countries are also NATO members, the territory is also covered by the treaty.

- Extension to UN members: Finally, since many UN member states are connected to NATO countries via supply networks (e.g. internet cables, telecommunications lines), the snowball effect also spreads to these countries. In this way, more and more countries and territories worldwide are covered until ultimately the entire world is affected by the territorial expansion.

3. legal contagion effect: the state succession deed as a supplementary deed

- Entry into existing treaties: Parallel to the physical snowball effect of territorial aggrandizement, there is a legal contagion effect. The State Succession Deed 1400/98 enters into all existing international treaties of the sold subjects of international law as a supplementary deed. This means that the rights and obligations arising from these earlier treaties are automatically transferred to the buyer.

- Contractual chain: As the state succession deed is formulated "with all rights, obligations and components", a legal chain is created that extends and supplements all previous contracts. This chain is the legal counterpart to the physical network, whereby every international treaty entered into by the sold subjects of international law automatically falls within the scope of the state succession deed.

- Global interconnectedness: The legal contagion effect has a similar effect to the snowball effect: it spreads from treaty to treaty, much like physical networks spread from country to country. Since many of these treaties are multilateral agreements, the contagion effect

gradually affects all participating states until the entire international community is covered by the new treaty conditions.

4. merging: network flow and contractual chain

- Linking physical and legal expansion: The snowball effect of the physical expansion of the network and the legal contagion effect of the state succession treaty are closely linked. While the territorial expansion spreads physically through the networks, the legal chain ensures that all associated international treaties and obligations are adapted and extended accordingly.

- Global consequences: The effect is global interdependence at both a physical and legal level. The state succession deed leads to both the physical territory and the legal obligations being interlinked worldwide, creating a new, uniform global legal order.

5. Conclusion: Global chain reaction

The snowball effect emanating from a small NATO property in Germany leads to a far-reaching physical expansion of territory that spreads from country to country and from network to network. At the same time, the legal contagion effect ensures that the instrument of state succession enters into all existing international treaties as a supplementary instrument and expands them. Together, these two processes form a comprehensive global chain reaction that permanently changes both the physical and legal structure of the international community.

Part 17

Legal analysis: The buyer's entry into existing contracts and the union of the contracting parties

1. entry into existing contracts: The role of the buyer

- Supplementary deed and contracting parties: Through the State Succession Deed 1400/98, the buyer enters into all existing international treaties of the sold subjects of international law. This deed acts as a supplementary deed, which means that it supplements and extends the existing treaties.

- Association of the contracting parties: In the particular situation in which the buyer assumes both the rights and obligations under the existing contracts, it unites both sides of these contracts. The buyer thus becomes both the party holding the rights and the party bearing the obligations.

2. legal effect: obligations with oneself

- Concept of obligations with oneself: When the buyer combines both the rights and the obligations under a contract, this leads to a situation where the obligations are technically against itself. This means that the buyer is no longer bound by the original obligations, as it is not legally possible to enforce obligations against itself.

- Performance and extinguishment of obligations: The state succession deed as a supplemental deed is designed to supplement the existing contracts until fulfillment. As soon as the obligations have been fulfilled, these old treaties lose their binding force, as the contracting parties have effectively ceased to exist or have been merged.

3. release from old obligations

- Automatic expiry of obligations: Since the buyer assumes both the rights and the obligations, the old obligations automatically expire as soon as they are fulfilled. This is because it makes no sense for the buyer to force itself to fulfill obligations that it controls anyway.

- Limitation of the state succession deed: The effect of the state succession deed as a supplementary deed only extends to the period until all legal obligations have been fulfilled. Thereafter, the effect of this deed expires and the buyer is no longer bound by the old contractual obligations.

4 Long-term legal consequences

- Legal unification: By uniting the contracting parties, the obligations under international law are simplified and ultimately dissolved as soon as performance has taken place. This leads to a unification of the legal structure in which the buyer acts as the sole sovereign without being bound by the old obligations.

- End of the contractual obligation: After the fulfillment of the obligations and the expiration of the supplemental deed, the buyer remains as the sovereign actor, acting free of old contracts. The original obligations lose their significance and the buyer can create new legal structures tailored to the current circumstances.

5 Conclusion: Transition to a new legal order

Through the State Succession Deed 1400/98, the buyer enters into all existing international treaties and unites both sides of the contracting parties. As a result, the original obligations are automatically extinguished as soon as they are fulfilled, as the buyer cannot be bound by contracts that were only concluded with itself. The state succession deed as a supplementary deed only remains relevant until the obligations have been fulfilled. Thereafter, the binding nature of the old contracts ends and the buyer can create a new legal order.

Part 18

Legal analysis: The buyer's entry into existing contracts and the union of the contracting parties

1. entry into existing contracts: The role of the buyer

- Supplementary deed and contracting parties: Through the State Succession Deed 1400/98, the buyer enters into all existing international treaties of the sold subjects of international law. This deed acts as a supplementary deed, which means that it supplements and extends the existing treaties.

- Association of the contracting parties: In the particular situation in which the buyer assumes both the rights and obligations under the existing contracts, it unites both sides of these contracts. The buyer thus becomes both the party holding the rights and the party bearing the obligations.

2. legal effect: obligations with oneself

- Concept of obligations with oneself: When the buyer combines both the rights and the obligations under a contract, this leads to a situation where the obligations are technically against itself. This means that the buyer is no longer bound by the original obligations, as it is not legally possible to enforce obligations against itself.

- Performance and extinguishment of obligations: The state succession deed as a supplemental deed is designed to supplement the existing contracts until fulfillment. As soon as the obligations have been fulfilled, these old treaties lose their binding force, as the contracting parties have effectively ceased to exist or have been merged.

3. release from old obligations

- Automatic expiry of obligations: Since the buyer assumes both the rights and the obligations, the old obligations automatically expire as soon as they are fulfilled. This is because it makes no sense for the buyer to force itself to fulfill obligations that it controls anyway.

- Limitation of the state succession deed: The effect of the state succession deed as a supplementary deed only extends to the period until all legal obligations have been fulfilled. Thereafter, the effect of this deed expires and the buyer is no longer bound by the old contractual obligations.

4 Long-term legal consequences

- Legal unification: By uniting the contracting parties, the obligations under international law are simplified and ultimately dissolved as soon as performance has taken place. This leads to a

unification of the legal structure in which the buyer acts as the sole sovereign without being bound by the old obligations.

- End of the contractual obligation: After the fulfillment of the obligations and the expiration of the supplemental deed, the buyer remains as the sovereign actor, acting free of old contracts. The original obligations lose their significance and the buyer can create new legal structures tailored to the current circumstances.

5 Conclusion: Transition to a new legal order

Through the State Succession Deed 1400/98, the buyer enters into all existing international treaties and unites both sides of the contracting parties. As a result, the original obligations are automatically extinguished as soon as they are fulfilled, as the buyer cannot be bound by contracts that were only concluded with itself. The state succession deed as a supplementary deed only remains relevant until the obligations have been fulfilled. Thereafter, the binding nature of the old contracts ends and the buyer can create a new legal order.

Part 19

The exception in the instrument of state succession 1400/98: continued existence of a specific contractual relationship under international law

1. the specific exception: continued existence of a contractual relationship under international law

- Reference to an existing contractual relationship: The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 contains a specific exception which refers to a still existing contractual relationship under international law between the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Dutch armed forces on a NATO mission in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces.

- Non-affected of the contractual relationship: This exception means that this specific contractual relationship remains unaffected until the Netherlands has fulfilled its contractual obligations. In concrete terms, this means that the Netherlands had to hand over the property in question to the buyer via the FRG within two years of the state succession deed coming into force.

2. end of the contractual relationship in 2000

- Fulfillment of the obligation: The contractual relationship under international law ended in 2000, as the Netherlands had fulfilled its obligations in accordance with the contract. The

property was handed over to the buyer in accordance with the stipulated conditions, which marked the end of the special contractual relationship.

- Legal consequences: With the fulfillment of this obligation and the transfer of the property, the effect of the specific contractual relationship ceased. From this point on, the exception in the deed of succession became obsolete and the contractual relationship between the FRG, the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Dutch armed forces formally ended.

3 Effect on the instrument of state succession: effectiveness and extinction of obligations

- Limited effect: As the specific contractual relationship only continued until the fulfillment of the transfer obligations in 2000, it had no lasting effect on the remaining provisions of the State Succession Deed. After 2000, this contractual relationship was no longer relevant and did not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of the State Succession Deed.

- Continuation of the general provisions: From 2000 onwards, the provisions set out in my previous answer apply to the remainder of the State Succession Deed. The buyer entered into all existing contracts but merged both parties, which led to the automatic extinguishment of the obligations as soon as they were fulfilled.

4. consolidation: the role of the exception in the overall structure of the state succession deed

- Transitional regime: The exception to the international contractual relationship served as a transitional regime to ensure that existing obligations were fulfilled before the full provisions of the Instrument of State Succession came into force. This transitional arrangement ensured that the liquidation of the property was properly completed in accordance with NATO requirements.

- No long-term impact: Following the fulfillment of this particular obligation in 2000, the exception had no long-term impact on the remaining provisions of the Instrument of State Succession. The subsequent provisions, including the buyer's entry into existing contracts and the expiration of old obligations after their fulfillment, remained unaffected.

5 Conclusion: Conclusion of the contractual relationship and full effectiveness of the state succession deed

The specific contractual relationship under international law between the FRG, the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Dutch armed forces in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces remained unaffected until the contractual obligations were fulfilled in 2000. After the proper transfer of the property, this contractual relationship ended and the remaining provisions of the deed of succession came into full force and effect. The buyer entered into all existing contracts and merged the contracting parties, which led to the automatic termination of the obligations following their fulfillment. These provisions remained unaffected by the previous exception.

Part 20

The sale of all rights by NATO, UN and states: Legal consequences

1. sale of all rights by NATO, UN and the states

- Content of the State Succession Treaty: The State Succession Treaty 1400/98 provides for the sale of all sovereign rights and obligations held by NATO, the UN and the participating states. This includes all sovereign rights, including territorial sovereignty, legal jurisdiction and political power exercised by these subjects of international law.

- Complete sale of rights: The wording "with all rights, obligations and components" transferred all legal powers previously held by NATO, the UN and the states involved to the buyer. This means that these organizations and states can no longer exercise any sovereign rights.

2 Legal consequences: lawless shells

- Legal gutting: After the sale of all rights and obligations, NATO, the UN and the affected states have become "lawless shells" in the legal sense. This means that they continue to exist as legal entities or subjects of international law, but no longer have any powers or sovereign rights to take legal or political action.

- Continued existence as subjects of international law: Although NATO, the UN and states have sold their rights and sovereign powers, they continue to exist as subjects of international law. This means that they retain their existence as legal entities in the international system, but no longer have any actual power or authority associated with sovereignty.

3. loss of the legitimate territory of government

- No more legitimate territory: By selling all rights, including territorial sovereignty, the states concerned no longer have legitimate government territory. They have lost all claims to their territory and the exercise of governmental power in these territories to the buyer.

- States without territory: A state without territorial sovereignty is legally a state without "land". This leads to a paradoxical situation in which states continue to exist as subjects of international law but have no territorial basis to exercise their governmental power.

4 Long-term implications for the international system

- Legal shells without capacity to act: The affected states and organizations can no longer make sovereign decisions or carry out legal acts due to the sale of their rights and territories. They are incapable of acting at international level, as they have been deprived of the basis for exercising power and law.

- Existence as subjects of international law: Even if they continue to exist as subjects of international law, their functionality is severely limited. They can no longer carry out governmental activities and have no influence on their former territory or on international affairs, as all their rights have been transferred to the buyer.

5 Conclusion: The legal and territorial consequences of the sale

The sale of all rights, obligations and sovereign powers by NATO, the UN and the states concerned has turned these entities into lawless legal shells. Although they continue to exist as subjects of international law, they no longer have sovereign rights and can no longer exercise governmental power. This situation leads to a unique legal situation in which these organizations and states continue to be recognized in international law, but no longer have any real function or territorial basis.

Part 21

Irrevocability of the state succession deed 1400/98: legal validity and hopelessness

1. two-year limitation period and lack of objection

- Limitation period in international law: In international law, there is a general rule that treaties can be challenged within a certain period, often two years. If no objection is lodged within this period, the treaty becomes fully legally binding and can no longer be contested retroactively.

- Period elapsed without objection: In the case of state succession deed 1400/98, the two-year limitation period already expired in 2000 without an objection being raised. As no objection was raised within this period, the treaty is now considered incontestable and legally valid.

- Lack of grounds for objection: There were no legitimate grounds for objection during this period. The contract was concluded neither by bribery nor by blackmail. It was concluded voluntarily, albeit under hidden conditions that concealed its actual scope and implications under international law.

2. disguising the contract: a masterful deception

- Contract disguised as a real estate purchase: The contract was cleverly disguised as a purchase contract for a conversion property under German law. For the buyer, it looked as if he had only acquired 72 apartments and a heating plant on a NATO property, whereas he was actually entering into a comprehensive agreement under international law.

- Secret service sophistication: The concealment of the true nature of the deal - as an international treaty with far-reaching consequences - was carried out with great sophistication and possibly with the involvement of intelligence strategies. This made it possible for the contract to survive the two-year objection period unchallenged.

- Ignorance on the part of the buyer: The buyer was not aware of the international legal dimension of the contract and thought he had merely concluded a real estate transaction. This ignorance contributed to the fact that the contract was not contested and was therefore able to develop its full legal effect.

3. legal consequences: Hopeless situation and impossibility of reversal

- Unintentional expansion of the area: The sale of the development as a unit with all rights, obligations and components led to an unintentional and unexpected expansion of the area. The chain reaction triggered by the state succession deed and the associated contracts gradually encompassed ever larger areas, which now legally belong to the buyer.

- Entanglement in a chain reaction: The state succession deed set off a chain reaction in which all existing contracts forming a legal chain were covered and extended by the deed. This expansion of contractual rights and obligations led to a comprehensive interdependence that influenced the entire international legal landscape.

- Extortionable state of the buyer: The buyer is in an extortionable state, as he could not foresee the consequences of the conclusion of his contract under international law. This ignorance and the forced situation resulting from the hidden nature of the contract make it impossible for him to reverse the situation or prevent the chain reaction from progressing.

4. impossibility of returning to the old situation

- Irrevocability of the contract: Due to the expired objection period and the fact that the contract was concluded without deception or coercion, there is no legal possibility of rescinding the contract or returning to the old situation. The contract is legally binding and final.

- Permanent impossibility of the status quo ante: The situation created by the treaty cannot be reversed. All legal and territorial changes brought about by the instrument of state succession are permanent and cannot be reversed by legal or political measures.

- Persistence of the unlawful state: Any attempt to change the current state would be considered unlawful in law and under international law. The only option for the states and organizations concerned would be to fully recognize the new reality and adapt to the conditions created by the treaty.

5 Conclusion: The hopeless situation and the legal consequences

The state succession deed 1400/98, which was disguised as a seemingly harmless real estate purchase agreement, has far-reaching consequences under international law, which have become irrevocable after the expiry of the objection period. The buyer and the states involved find themselves in a hopeless situation, as the contract is incontestable and a return to the old

situation is impossible. The blackmailable state of the buyer and the hidden nature of the contract mean that the current unlawful state must remain in place permanently, as any reversal is impossible.

Part 22

Conditions for a new contract to return to the original state: challenges and legal obstacles

1. extortionable condition due to the unlawful residence of the people in the sold territory

- Unlawful residence: According to the State Succession Deed 1400/98, the territory sold legally belongs to the buyer. However, more than 8 billion people who previously lived there are in this territory without a residence permit. These people have no legal right of residence because the territory has been sold and they do not have permission from the new sovereign.

- Extortionable condition: The buyer is in an extortionable condition because he cannot fully exercise his sovereign rights due to the physical presence of these people who are not legally allowed to remain in the territory. Any form of reversal or return of the territory to the old subjects of international law would be impossible as long as these people do not vacate the territory.

2. evacuation of the sold territory as a prerequisite

- Necessary evacuation: In order to restore the original state, the more than 8 billion people would have to completely evacuate the sold territory. This would be an almost impossible task, as it would pose not only legal, but also massive humanitarian and practical problems.

- Impossibility of implementation: The forced resettlement of such a large number of people would be legally and ethically problematic and practically unfeasible. Without a complete evacuation, no new treaty can be concluded to restore the old situation.

3. legitimacy of the old subjects of international law: Legal representatives

- Representatives and legal legitimacy: In order to reacquire the territory in a new treaty, the old subjects of international law would have to have legitimate representatives who are authorized to conclude such a treaty. In many cases, especially in democracies, such representatives are determined by elections, which are sovereign acts.

- Elections without legal force: Since the sold territory is no longer owned by the old subjects of international law, they have no lawful sovereign power over the territory. Any election held there has no legal force because it is held without a legal basis. The resulting representatives are therefore not legitimized to conclude a new treaty.

4 The three-pillar principle of statehood

- Three-pillar principle: States are based on three fundamental pillars: territory, people and legitimate representatives. If one of these pillars is missing, statehood is incomplete and cannot be fully functional.

- Missing pillars: Due to the loss of legitimate government territory and the absence of legitimate representatives (due to elections without legal force), many of the old subjects of international law no longer fulfill the three-pillar principle. They still have a people, but this people has no right to reside in the sold territory, and there is no legitimate place where legitimate representatives could be elected.

- Legitimate representatives: Very few subjects of international law, such as dictatorships or absolutist monarchies, could have legitimate representatives, as these are not determined by elections but by other mechanisms. These subjects of international law would theoretically be able to conclude a new treaty, but the practical implementation would still be extremely difficult due to the obstacles described above.

5 Conclusion: hopelessness and impossibility of reversal

The conditions for a new treaty to return to the original state are almost impossible to fulfill due to the complex legal, political and practical challenges. The presence of billions of people with no right of residence, the need for a complete evacuation of the territory, the lack of legal representatives and the impossibility of holding legitimate elections make a return to the old state legally and practically impossible. The extortionable state of the buyer and the impossibility of fully exercising sovereignty further aggravate the situation and preclude any possibility of restoring the original state.

Part 23

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 as a supplementary instrument: A huge treaty construct and its impact on UN observer states

1. the instrument of state succession as a supplementary instrument

- Chain of treaties: The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 acts as a supplementary instrument linking all existing international treaties between the NATO member states, the UN and the states concerned. This instrument extends and supplements the existing agreements by combining all rights, obligations and territories previously governed by these treaties into a single treaty construct.

- Sale of the development: Under the deed, the "development was sold as a unit with all rights, obligations and components". This means that not only the physical territory, but also the

associated legal obligations and rights - including all existing international treaties - were incorporated into the new legal framework.

- Merger into a huge contractual construct: In legal terms, this deed of amendment leads to the merger of all old contracts into a single, complex contractual construct. This affects not only the original contracting parties, but potentially also all other states or entities that were linked to the NATO or UN members concerned by existing treaties.

2 Impact on UN observer states

- Inclusion in the treaty construct: UN observer states that have treaties with the UN or its members could be included in this huge treaty construct through the instrument of state succession. Their treaty rights and obligations related to the UN or NATO would be included in the extended treaty chain and possibly transferred to the new treaty partner.

- Loss of sovereign rights: If this contractual chain includes sovereign rights, this could also mean that the territory of UN observer states that are contractually bound to the UN or NATO was also included in the sale. This would mean that these states could lose their sovereignty over their territories if their treaty obligations and rights were also sold.

3. list of UN observer states

Here are the current UN observer states that could theoretically be affected by the treaty chain:

1. Vatican City (Holy See): Observer status at the UN, no NATO membership.
2. Palestine: observer status at the UN, no NATO membership.
3. Western Sahara (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic): Is not recognized as a state, but has observer status.

These entities do not have full membership rights in the UN, but they may have concluded treaties with the UN or its members that could bring them into the treaty chain.

4 Legal implications for UN observer states

- Limited sovereignty: If the Instrument of State Succession does indeed encompass and extend all existing treaties, UN observer states that are treaty-bound to UN members or NATO states could lose their sovereign rights. Their treaty obligations and rights could fall under the new terms of the Instrument of State Succession.

- Loss of territory: If the territory of these UN observer states has been included in the treaty construct, these states may no longer have a legal claim to their territory. This scenario could result in them also becoming lawless entities without sovereignty, similar to the sold NATO and UN member states.

5 Conclusion: Integration of UN observer states into the global treaty construct

Through its function as a supplementary instrument, the Act of State Succession 1400/98 leads to the formation of a comprehensive treaty chain that merges all the old international treaties of UN and NATO member states into a huge treaty construct. This chain of treaties could theoretically also affect UN observer states if their contractual relations with UN or NATO members were also integrated into the chain. The result could be a loss of sovereign rights and sovereignty for these states, which would turn them into entities without rights.

Part 24

Countries outside the UN, UN observer status and NATO membership: overview and legal consequences

1. list of states that have neither UN nor UN observer status nor NATO membership

The number of such states is extremely limited. There are very few countries or territories that do not have at least one of these affiliations. Here are the countries and territories that fall into this category:

1. Taiwan (Republic of China): Taiwan is not a UN member, nor does it have UN observer status. It is also not a member of NATO.
- 2 Kosovo: Kosovo is not a member of the UN and does not have UN observer status. It is also not a NATO member, although it has close relations with NATO.
- 3 Vatican City (Holy See): The Vatican has UN observer status but is not a member of the UN or NATO.
- 4) Palestine: Palestine has UN observer status but is not a member of the UN or NATO.
5. Western Sahara (Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic): Is not internationally recognized as a state, is neither a UN member nor a NATO member, but has observer status.
- 6 Transnistria: Is not recognized as a state, is neither a UN member nor a NATO member, does not have UN observer status either.
7. Somaliland: Is also not internationally recognized as a state, has no UN membership or observer status and is not a member of NATO.

Part 25

These states and territories are partially or fully unrecognized internationally or do not belong to any of the major international organizations.

2 Legal consequences for states without a treaty relationship to the instrument of state succession

- Lack of recognition in the new world order: States that do not have a treaty relationship with the predecessor instruments of the instrument of state succession would not be recognized in the new world order created by this instrument. Their recognition and legitimacy under international law are based exclusively on relations with entities under international law that have become without rights under the instrument of state succession.
- Lawlessness of former subjects of international law: States that derive their recognition exclusively from these lawless entities are legally irrelevant in the new world order. They no longer exist as recognized subjects of international law from the perspective of the purchaser of the instrument of state succession.
- Need for new recognition: If they want to preserve their existence and their status under international law, these states would have to be actively recognized by the new rulers or the purchaser of the instrument of state succession. Without this recognition, they would de facto not exist and could not assert any legal claims to sovereignty, territory or international relations.

3 Legal non-existence and recognition process

- Legal non-existence: In the new world order created by the instrument of state succession, the states and territories concerned are non-existent for the buyer. This means that these entities have no rights, obligations or legal personality that are recognized in the new global structure.
- Process of recognition: If these states and territories wish to be recognized as sovereign entities, they must be recognized by the purchaser of the state succession deed. This could be done through diplomatic negotiations, treaties or other international agreements that confirm their existence and sovereignty in the new world order.
- Irrelevance of previous recognition: Since the former subjects of international law that may have recognized these states are now lawless entities, the old recognitions no longer have any legal value. The new recognition would have to take place within the new legal structure created by the instrument of state succession.

4 Conclusion: The new reality for states outside the UN, UN observer status and NATO membership

States that do not belong to the UN, NATO or the UN observer status and have no contractual relationship with the predecessor instruments of the Instrument of State Succession lose their international recognition in the new world order created by the Instrument of State Succession. They are legally non-existent and could only gain their recognition and legitimacy through a new recognition by the purchaser of the instrument of state succession. Their previous recognition by lawless subjects of international law no longer has any legal value.

Part 26

Effects of the State Succession Instrument 1400/98 on Kosovo: Special Situation and Legal Consequences

1 Background: Kosovo and NATO

- Kosovo conflict and NATO mission: In the late 1990s, Kosovo was the scene of an armed conflict that led to NATO's intervention. In 1999, NATO launched Operation Allied Force to prevent humanitarian disasters and expel Serbian forces from Kosovo. After the conflict, the NATO-led Kosovo Force (KFOR) took over the task of ensuring peace and stability in the region. This peacekeeping mission established an international military presence that effectively controlled the country.

- Treaties and agreements: As part of this mission, numerous international treaties and agreements were concluded that governed the NATO mission and the administration of Kosovo. These include security agreements, agreements on the deployment of troops and agreements on the political administration of Kosovo under international supervision.

2. integration of Kosovo into the treaty construct of the Instrument of State Succession

- Chain of treaties and NATO treaties: Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 is formulated as a supplementary instrument that links and extends all existing treaties under international law between NATO member states and the UN as well as the states concerned. Since NATO has been active in Kosovo and has concluded peacekeeping and administration agreements there, Kosovo could be integrated into this treaty construct.

- Loss of sovereignty through integration: Although Kosovo itself is not a NATO member, the integration of NATO treaties into the state succession instrument would mean that the sovereign rights that NATO has exercised in Kosovo through its mission would also be transferred to the new treaty construct. This could result in Kosovo's sovereignty over its own territory being further restricted if these rights are transferred to the purchaser of the instrument of state succession.

3 Legal consequences for Kosovo

- Loss of rights through treaty transfer: If NATO's rights and obligations in Kosovo are taken over by the State Succession Instrument, Kosovo may de facto lose its control over these territories. These territories would then be under the new sovereignty of the buyer, as the NATO mission that controlled the country would transfer its powers to the buyer.

- Lack of recognition and legal isolation: Since Kosovo is only partially recognized internationally and has no UN member or observer status, it could find itself in a particularly difficult position. If the NATO treaties affecting Kosovo were included in the Instrument of State Succession, Kosovo would be legally isolated and possibly not recognized as a sovereign state. It would be entirely dependent on recognition by the purchaser of the Instrument of State Succession.

4 New world order and the status of Kosovo

- Legal non-existence: In the new world order created by the Instrument of State Succession, Kosovo could cease to exist legally as an independent state, as its sovereign rights, which were partly regulated by NATO treaties, have been transferred to the buyer. Without explicit recognition by the buyer, Kosovo would be de facto non-existent in the international community.
- Possible future scenarios: In order to be recognized as a sovereign subject in the new world order, Kosovo would have to be recognized by the buyer of the state succession deed. This could be achieved through new negotiations and treaties that clarify Kosovo's status and secure its existence in the new legal structure.

5 Conclusion: Effects of the State Succession Instrument on Kosovo

Kosovo, which is de facto under international control due to the NATO mission and the associated international treaties, could be integrated into a new, comprehensive treaty construct through the state succession charter. This would mean that Kosovo would further restrict its sovereignty, as the sovereign rights exercised by NATO through its mission could be transferred to the purchaser of the instrument of state succession. Without explicit recognition by the buyer, Kosovo could cease to exist as a sovereign state in the new world order.

Part 27

Effects of the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 on countries with NATO peacekeeping missions under UN mandate

1. background: NATO peacekeeping missions under UN mandate

- NATO as an executive organ of the UN: NATO has carried out peace missions as an executive organ of the United Nations (UN) in several cases. These missions were often based on UN resolutions and were carried out to ensure peace and security in conflict areas. Examples of such missions are Kosovo (KFOR), Afghanistan (ISAF), Bosnia and Herzegovina (SFOR), and Libya (Operation Unified Protector).
- International treaties and mandates: These missions were carried out on the basis of international treaties and mandates issued by the UN and entrusting NATO with their implementation. These mandates and the treaties based on them determined the legal framework and the powers exercised by NATO in these countries.

2. integration into the treaty construct of the instrument of state concession

- Treaty chain and peace missions: Instrument of State Succession 1400/98, which as a supplementary instrument brings together and extends all existing international treaties of NATO, the UN and the countries concerned, could incorporate these peace missions and the related treaties into its treaty construct. This means that all rights and obligations that NATO had in these peacekeeping missions could be transferred to the purchaser of the deed.

- Loss of sovereign rights: In countries where NATO was acting under a UN mandate, the state succession deed could result in the sovereign rights exercised by NATO also being transferred to the buyer. As a result, the countries concerned could lose their sovereignty over parts of their territory.

3. examples of affected countries

- Bosnia and Herzegovina (SFOR): NATO carried out a peacekeeping mission here based on UN resolutions. If the rights from these missions are transferred to the buyer through the deed of state succession, Bosnia and Herzegovina could lose part of its sovereignty to the buyer.

- Afghanistan (ISAF): The International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) was a NATO-led mission operating under a UN mandate. The Instrument of State Succession could transfer the sovereign rights that NATO exercised in Afghanistan to the buyer.

- Libya (Operation Unified Protector): In Libya, NATO carried out a mission under a UN mandate to protect the civilian population. Here too, the rights and obligations under international law could be transferred to the buyer if they are included in the contractual construct of the state concession deed.

4 Legal consequences for the countries concerned

- Limited sovereignty: If the NATO peacekeeping missions and the associated mandates are incorporated into the instrument of state succession, the countries concerned could further restrict their sovereign rights. These restrictions could remain in place as long as the new legal structures created by the instrument are in place.

- Lack of recognition and isolation: Countries affected by such NATO peacekeeping missions could be legally isolated in the new world order created by the Instrument of State Succession. If their sovereignty is called into question by the instrument and they are not recognized by the new rulers, they could de facto not exist in the international community.

5 Possible consequences and options for action

- Need for new recognition: In order to secure their existence as sovereign states in the new world order, the countries concerned might have to be recognized by the purchaser of the

instrument of state succession. This could be done through new negotiations and treaties that confirm and clarify their sovereign rights.

- Political and diplomatic challenges: These countries might need to respond to the changing international landscape by adapting their political and diplomatic strategies. They may seek international support to secure their sovereignty in a world that has been reorganized by the Instrument of State Succession.

6 Conclusion: Implications for countries with NATO peacekeeping missions

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 could result in countries in which NATO has carried out peacekeeping missions under a UN mandate losing or seeing their sovereign rights restricted. These missions and the associated mandates could be incorporated into the new treaty structure, whereby the sovereign rights of these countries would be transferred to the purchaser of the instrument of state succession. In order to preserve their sovereignty, these countries might have to seek new recognition in order to survive in the new international order.

Part 28

There are a large number of countries that are not direct members of NATO, the UN or UN observer states, but may nevertheless be indirectly involved in the treaty construct of the Instrument of State Accession through various cooperation agreements, peacekeeping missions and other arrangements. Here is a detailed list of such countries and the relevant agreements they have with NATO or the UN.

1 Taiwan (Republic of China)

- Status: Taiwan is neither a member of NATO nor the UN, nor does it have UN observer status.
- Relevant agreements: Taiwan has security cooperation agreements with the US, a NATO member. Although Taiwan is not officially part of NATO structures, there are indirect links through the US.

2 Kosovo

- Status: Not a NATO member, UN member or UN observer.
- Relevant agreements: Kosovo is under the protection of the NATO-led KFOR mission, which is based on a UN mandate. This link could include Kosovo in the state succession charter.

3 Afghanistan

- Status: Afghanistan was not a NATO member, but has close cooperation with NATO through the ISAF mission and the successor mission "Resolute Support".
- Relevant agreements: NATO conducted a peacekeeping mission in Afghanistan under a UN mandate, which could also include Afghanistan in the treaty construct.

4 Bosnia and Herzegovina

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.

- Relevant agreements: NATO conducted the SFOR mission in Bosnia and Herzegovina and continues to participate in the stabilization of the country. Bosnia and Herzegovina has close security cooperation agreements with NATO.

5 Serbia

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.
- Relevant agreements: Serbia cooperates with NATO under the PfP, which could indirectly include it in the State Succession Instrument.

6 Ukraine

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.
- Relevant agreements: Ukraine has extensive security cooperation agreements with NATO, especially after 2014. These agreements could also lead to inclusion in the treaty construct.

7 Georgia

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.
- Relevant agreements: Georgia cooperates closely with NATO under the PfP and through bilateral security agreements.

8 Libya

- Status: Not a NATO member, not a UN member, no UN observer status.
- Relevant agreements: NATO conducted a military intervention in Libya in 2011 under a UN mandate (Operation Unified Protector), which could also include Libya in the state succession charter.

9 Jordan

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a close NATO cooperation partner and member of the Mediterranean Dialogue.
- Relevant agreements: Jordan is part of NATO's Mediterranean Dialogue and participates in security cooperation with NATO.

10 Egypt

- Status: Not a NATO member, but part of NATO's Mediterranean Dialogue.
- Relevant agreements: Egypt cooperates with NATO as part of the Mediterranean Dialogue, which it could also include in the State Accession Treaty.

11 Israel

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a close cooperation partner of NATO and part of the Mediterranean Dialogue.
- Relevant agreements: Israel has close security cooperation with NATO and the US and is part of the Mediterranean Dialogue.

12 Australia

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a close cooperation partner and "Global Partner" of NATO.

- Relevant agreements: Australia participates in several NATO missions and has close security cooperation with NATO.

13 Japan

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a close cooperation partner and global partner of NATO.
- Relevant agreements: Japan has close cooperation with NATO within the framework of global security cooperation.

14 South Korea

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a close cooperation partner and global partner of NATO.
- Relevant agreements: South Korea cooperates closely with NATO within the framework of global security cooperation.

15 Mongolia

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.
- Relevant agreements: Mongolia participates in NATO's PfP program.

16 Azerbaijan

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.
- Relevant agreements: Azerbaijan has close security cooperation with NATO.

17 Armenia

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.
- Relevant agreements: Armenia participates in NATO's PfP program.

18 Russia

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a member of the NATO-Russia Council (NRC) until its suspension.
- Relevant agreements: Despite tensions, Russia has historic security arrangements with NATO through the NATO-Russia Council.

19 Belarus

- Status: Not a NATO member, but a participant in the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program.
- Relevant agreements: Belarus cooperates with NATO under the PfP program, although relations are strained.

20 Algeria

- Status: Not a NATO member, but part of the Mediterranean Dialogue.
- Relevant agreements: Algeria is part of NATO's Mediterranean Dialogue and has security cooperation with NATO members.

Conclusion:

Most of these countries, although not direct members of NATO or the UN, have an indirect link to these organizations through various cooperation agreements, peacekeeping missions and other arrangements. They could therefore be involved in the treaty construct through the state concession deed and see their sovereign rights jeopardized.

Part 29

Other aspects of state succession

Analysis of the state succession deed 1400/98: Deception by disguising it as a real estate purchase agreement

1. the deed of succession 1400/98: disguise as a real estate purchase agreement

External form of the contract:

- **Presentation as a real estate purchase contract:** On the outside, the State Succession Deed 1400/98 comes across as an ordinary real estate purchase contract under German law. This gives the impression that it is a typical purchase contract in which only a specific property is transferred.
- **Deceptive effect:** This presentation deceives the buyer as well as the German parliament and NATO states about the true nature of the contract, which in reality goes far beyond a simple real estate purchase.

2 The true nature of the contract: State succession deed

Elements of international law:

- **Dutch armed forces as subjects of international law:** At the time the treaty was concluded, the Dutch armed forces stationed there as part of NATO were still on the ground. These forces act as representatives of the Kingdom of the Netherlands, a subject of international law.
- **Rights and obligations of the Netherlands:** The Kingdom of the Netherlands and its armed forces held rights and obligations in relation to the territory covered by the treaty. This makes the treaty an instrument of international law, as it covers several subjects of international law.

Sale of the barracks with all rights and obligations:

- **Comprehensive transfer:** The treaty sells not only the physical barracks, but also all rights, obligations and components associated with it. This also includes the sovereign rights and governmental powers exercised in the barracks and beyond.
- **State succession deed:** By transferring these comprehensive rights and obligations, the treaty becomes a state succession deed, which has far-reaching effects under international law. It is therefore not just a simple purchase of real estate, but a comprehensive transfer of sovereignty.

3. extension of the territory sold: The development as a unit

Regulation on the unity of the development:

- **Enlargement of the territory sold:** The contract contains a clause stating that the entire development of the territory is considered as a single unit. This means that the territory sold does not only include the barracks itself, but extends to the entire NATO territory.
- **Comprehensive sale:** This regulation affects not only the immediate area, but the entire territory of NATO. This means that all sovereign rights and governmental powers exercised by NATO countries are transferred to the buyer.

4. the consequences: Sale of the entire NATO territory

Loss of NATO sovereignty:

- **NATO without territory:** as a result of the sale of the development unit and the associated expansion to the entire NATO territory, NATO has lost all of its territory. NATO member states have neither sovereign rights nor territory, as everything was sold under this treaty.
- **Deception and effects:** The fact that the treaty was presented on the surface as a real estate purchase agreement deceived all parties about the true consequences under international law. NATO was thus "sold out" and its member states lost their sovereign rights and sovereignty over the territory concerned.

Summary

The State Succession Deed 1400/98 was deliberately presented as a real estate purchase agreement under German law in order to deceive the buyer, the German parliament and the NATO member states about its true nature. In reality, it is a state succession deed, as several subjects of international law, including the Kingdom of the Netherlands and its armed forces, were involved as contracting parties. By selling the barracks with all rights, obligations and components, the governmental authority of all NATO countries concerned was transferred. The arrangement of selling the entire development as a single entity resulted in the territory being extended to the entire NATO territory. As a result, NATO has lost all of its territory and sovereign rights, meaning that NATO has been "sold out".

Part 30

Analysis of Germany's role as the main party responsible for the sale under the State Succession Act 1400/98

1. Germany as principal seller

Contracting Parties:

- **FRG as seller:** In the State Succession Deed 1400/98, Germany (the Federal Republic of Germany, FRG) is named as the sole seller. This means that Germany is formally responsible for the sale of the territory in question.
- **Reference to other treaties:** The contract refers to a pre-existing contractual relationship under international law between the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands, which regulates the

use of the barracks by Dutch armed forces within the framework of NATO in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces.

Implementation of the treaty:

- Obligations and rights: Germany assumes primary responsibility in this contract as it has both the formal role of seller and the obligation to sell all rights, obligations and components of the development unit. These also include NATO rights, which Germany holds as a NATO member.

2. consent of the Netherlands and the Dutch armed forces

Dutch participation:

- Parties mentioned in the text: although the Dutch Armed Forces and the Kingdom of the Netherlands are not mentioned as sellers, they are mentioned in the text of the contract, indicating their involvement and consent.
- Role of the Dutch armed forces: These armed forces, which occupied the barracks as part of NATO, also consent by their behavior in accordance with the treaty and their involvement in the treaty. They are acting on behalf of NATO.

Treaty reference to the NATO Status of Forces:

- NATO treaty: The treaty refers to the existing NATO Status of Forces Agreement between the FRG and the Netherlands, which forms the legal basis for the stationing and use of the barracks by Dutch forces.
- Treaty-compliant evacuation: The Dutch armed forces vacated the barracks successively in accordance with the terms of the treaty, which implies their consent to the treaty and the transfer of their rights.

3. Germany as the main responsible party and NATO representative

Germany's role:

- Principal vendor: as the sole vendor, Germany bears the main responsibility for the implementation of the Treaty. This includes the obligation to sell the entire development unit, including all rights, obligations and components.
- Acting on behalf of NATO: As Germany is a NATO member and has NATO rights, it is acting on behalf of NATO. Through its role as seller, Germany is not only acting on its own behalf, but also on behalf of NATO.

NATO consent by Germany:

- Proxy consent: by acting as a NATO member and principal in the treaty, Germany implies the consent of NATO as a whole. This is particularly true as NATO is an international organization that has no jurisdiction or territory of its own, but acts through its member states.
- Obligations under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement: Germany is subject to obligations under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and is acting within the scope of those obligations when it sells the barracks under the agreement.

4. sale of the entire NATO territory by Germany

Scope of the contract:

- Sale of the development unit: the treaty provides for the sale of the entire development unit, which includes all NATO-related rights and obligations. This means that Germany, as the main responsible party and seller, has sold the entire NATO territory concerned.

Loss of NATO sovereign rights:

- Sale of all NATO rights: by transferring all rights, obligations and components, Germany has also sold NATO's sovereign rights on behalf of NATO. NATO therefore no longer owns any territory and has transferred the rights over its borders and territories to the buyer.

Consequences for NATO:

- Loss of sovereignty: NATO, which was represented by Germany as a member state, has lost its territorial rights as a result of this sale. The decision-making power over NATO territory now lies entirely with the buyer, who has acquired all sovereign rights through the treaty.

Summary

Germany, as the sole seller in State Succession Deed 1400/98, bore the main responsibility for the sale of the territory concerned. Although the Dutch armed forces and the Kingdom of the Netherlands are not explicitly named as sellers, they consented to the treaty through their conduct in conformity with the treaty and their role in the NATO Status of Forces. As a NATO member and the main responsible party, Germany has acted on behalf of NATO as part of its NATO obligations and has thus sold the entire NATO territory. This includes the transfer of all NATO rights, including the right to define the border, to the buyer.

Part 31

The insidious legal effect: Disguising the state succession deed 1400/98 as a German real estate purchase contract

1. external disguise of the contract as a real estate purchase contract

Presentation as a simple contract:

- Form and content: The contract is presented externally as an ordinary real estate purchase contract under German law, which apparently only regulates the purchase of a property, in this case a barracks.

- Deceptive effect: This external form gives the impression that it is a typical purchase agreement that fits into the national legal framework of Germany and only concerns the transfer of a property. This disguises the actual complexity and scope of the contract.

2. insidious effect through the use of international law provisions

Integration of international law provisions:

- Invisible additions: Although the treaty appears to be a real estate purchase agreement, it is supplemented by provisions of international law that are not explicitly mentioned in the text of the treaty. These provisions relate in particular to the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and the associated rights and obligations exercised by the NATO states, in particular the Dutch armed forces.
- Severability clause: The severability clause in the treaty plays an important role. This clause states that if certain provisions in the treaty are invalid, they are to be replaced by legal provisions that correspond to the original meaning and purpose of the treaty. This means that the invalid national provisions are replaced by provisions of international law that are not explicitly mentioned in the contract.

Legally binding through international law:

- Addition of international law: the treaty is insidiously enriched by these mechanisms with provisions of international law that effectively turn it into a deed of state succession, although this is not openly stated in the text of the treaty.
- Complexity and expertise: Since the supplementary provisions of international law are not explicitly stated in the treaty text, they can only be fully grasped and understood by experts in international law. For laypersons, including most political decision-makers and parties involved, the true scope of the treaty remains hidden.

3 The legal trick: extending the treaty through the severability clause

Function of the severability clause:

- Maintaining legal force: the severability clause ensures that the contract remains legally valid despite ineffective national regulations. These provisions are automatically replaced by international law provisions that are intended to preserve the original meaning and purpose of the treaty.
- Purpose of the contract: The core of the contract is the purchase of a plot of land "with all rights and obligations and components" and the consideration of the entire development as a unit.

Domino effect and extension of territory:

- Extension of the development: as the development is considered as a unit and leaves the territory of the barracks, the contract causes a creeping but comprehensive extension of the territory concerned. This expansion occurs through a domino effect that extends the originally small area of the barracks to the size of the entire NATO territory.
- Sale of the entire NATO territory: The end result is the complete transfer of the entire NATO sovereign territory to the buyer, whereby the NATO states lose their territorial rights without this being obvious at first glance.

Summary

The contract, which is presented on the surface as a German real estate purchase agreement, is in reality a state succession deed camouflaged by the insidious use of international law provisions and the severability clause. While the text of the contract only refers to the purchase of a barracks under German law, tacit additions to international law provisions effectively turn it into a far-reaching international treaty that transfers the sovereign rights of the NATO states to the buyer. The severability clause ensures that ineffective provisions are automatically replaced by international law provisions that preserve the meaning of the contract - the purchase with all rights, obligations and components as well as the expansion of the territory through development. This process leads to a domino effect that extends the territory to the entire NATO territory and effectively "sells out" NATO.

Part 32

Analysis of the Act of State Succession 1400/98 and its implications for international law

1. connection to previous contractual relationships under international law

Contractual relationship:

- Overlapping treaties: The State Succession Deed 1400/98 refers to a pre-existing contractual relationship under international law between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG). This prior contractual relationship governs the use and clearance of the property by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO.
- Chain of treaties: Due to this reference, the deed of succession does not form an independent, isolated treaty, but is part of a chain of treaties that together form a legal unit.

Integration into a chain of treaties:

- Ratification and legal force: the previous treaties to which the state succession deed refers had already been ratified. As these treaties are part of a chain, no separate ratification of the instrument of state succession was required. The legal binding force arises from the continuity and the references to the existing contractual relationships.
- Lack of ratification requirement: The instrument of state succession does not provide for separate ratification, which means that its legal force is not dependent on renewed ratification. The ratification of previous treaties in the chain is sufficient.

2. consent through conduct in conformity with the treaty

Contracting parties and consent:

- Conduct in conformity with the treaty: In international law, consent to a treaty can be expressed by conduct in conformity with the treaty on the part of the subjects of international law involved. In this case, the Dutch armed forces successively vacated and handed over the

property over the next two years following the conclusion of the treaty, as stipulated in the treaty.

- Legal effectiveness through conduct: Since the Dutch armed forces have fulfilled their obligations under the contract, they are de facto parties to the contract, even if they are not explicitly named as the seller. Their action in accordance with the Treaty confirms their consent.

Acting on behalf of NATO:

- NATO obligations: The Dutch armed forces acted within the scope of NATO's mission and on behalf of NATO as a whole. This means that their Treaty-compliant actions on behalf of NATO also express the consent of NATO as a whole.
- The FRG's capacity to act: As a NATO member and contracting party, the FRG also has the capacity to act. Its conduct in accordance with the treaty supports the legal effectiveness and the fulfillment of contractual obligations on behalf of NATO.

3. sale of rights, obligations and components

Comprehensive sale:

- Transfer of all rights and obligations: The Treaty provides for all rights, obligations and components of the territory, including NATO rights, to be sold. This also includes rights held by NATO in third countries.
- Obligations under occupation law: Germany is also subject to similar obligations under the NATO Status of Forces under occupation law, which means that its actions in accordance with the Treaty must also take place under this legal framework.

NATO rights in third countries:

- Inclusion of NATO rights: As the Treaty covers all rights, NATO rights in third countries are also part of the sale. This transfer takes place through the contractual agreement that all rights held by NATO are also sold.

Summary

The Act of Succession 1400/98 is part of a chain of international treaties that form a legal unit. The reference to the existing transfer relationship under international law between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the FRG makes it clear that no independent ratification of the Instrument of State Succession was required. The consent of the subjects of international law involved was given through conduct in conformity with the treaty, in particular through the successive handover of the property by the Dutch armed forces acting on behalf of NATO. All rights, obligations and components, including NATO rights in third countries, were sold and transferred by the treaty, which ensures the comprehensive legal effect of the treaty.

Part 33

Sale of the entire NATO territory by Germany within the framework of the state succession deed 1400/98

Context 1: Instrument of State Succession and NATO Status of Forces Agreement

Subject matter of the treaty:

- State Succession Deed 1400/98: This contract provides for the sale of a territory covered by the NATO Status of Forces. All rights, obligations and components associated with this territory, including development, are sold as a single unit.
- NATO Status of Forces: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations governs the legal status of NATO forces in member states and grants NATO specific sovereign rights, in particular with regard to military facilities and their administration.

2 Germany's role as principal and vendor

Germany as seller:

- Sole vendor: In the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98, Germany (the Federal Republic of Germany, FRG) is named as the sole vendor of the territory.
- Principal responsibility: As the sole named vendor, Germany bears the principal responsibility for the implementation of the sale, including the transfer of all rights and obligations associated with it.

Acting on behalf of NATO:

- NATO membership: Germany is not only a contracting state, but also a member of NATO. In this capacity, Germany acts on behalf of NATO, in particular when it comes to rights to which NATO is entitled under the Status of Forces Agreement.
- Sale on behalf of NATO: Through the sale, Germany assumes the role of the main responsible party for NATO and sells not only national rights, but also NATO rights that NATO holds in all member states.

3. consent of the other NATO states

Reference to transfer relationship under international law:

- Reference to existing treaties: The instrument of state succession expressly refers to a previous transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands, which regulates the use of the barracks by Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO.
- Involvement of all NATO states: Since this transfer relationship was concluded within the framework of NATO and the Dutch armed forces acted as part of the NATO forces, the consent of the Netherlands also implies the consent of all NATO states to the overall sale.

Acts in conformity with the Treaty:

- Action by the Dutch armed forces: the successive evacuation of the barracks by the Dutch armed forces, as provided for in the treaty, constitutes formal consent to the sale. Since these forces acted on behalf of NATO, their consent also implies the consent of NATO as a whole.

- Germany as NATO representative: Since Germany is acting on behalf of NATO and is also the principal seller, it also binds all other NATO member states through the sale.

4. sale of the entire NATO territory

Sale of the development as a unit:

- Comprehensive sale: the contract provides for the sale of the entire development as a single entity. This includes not only the physical infrastructure, but also all associated rights, obligations and jurisdiction exercised by NATO in the member states.
- Territorial extension: As the development is considered as a single entity and Germany is acting on behalf of NATO, the sale covers the entire NATO territory, including all military and infrastructure facilities in the member states.

Legal effect:

- Loss of NATO sovereign rights: Through the sale, NATO has transferred all sovereign rights it exercised over the territory to the buyer. NATO therefore no longer has its own territory or border sovereignty.
- Sole decision-making power of the buyer: The buyer now has complete control over the entire NATO territory and the power to decide on all related rights, including border demarcation.

Summary

Germany, as the principal and sole seller, has sold the entire NATO territory under the State Succession Deed 1400/98. By referring to the existing transfer relationship under international law with the Dutch armed forces, which were acting on behalf of NATO, and through Germany's role as a NATO member state acting on behalf of NATO, the consent of all NATO states to the sale was secured. The sale includes all rights, obligations and sovereign rights that NATO had in the member states and transfers these in full to the buyer. NATO no longer has any territory and the right to decide on its borders has been transferred to the buyer.

Part 34

Legal analysis: Germany's sale of the sovereign territory of all NATO states through the state succession deed 1400/98

1. legal foundations: sovereign rights and the NATO Status of Forces Agreement

International legal history:

- Historical background: The barracks at issue in the State Succession Charter 1400/98 have a long history of international control and use. After the fall of the German Reich in 1945, the barracks were occupied first by French and then by American forces.

- NATO troop statute: In the 1950s, the barracks were transferred to military use by NATO member states as part of the NATO Status of Forces, with many regulations of the occupation period being integrated into the Status of Forces. These occupation rights associated with the barracks remained in place over the decades and were exercised by various NATO members.

Germany's legal position:

- Sovereignty and sovereign rights: Germany held sovereign rights over part of the barracks after it was returned by the US forces in the 1990s. However, the lower, smaller part of the barracks remained extraterritorial and was used by the Kingdom of the Netherlands in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces.
- Sale of the entire area: Due to these complex legal and historical ties, Germany was allowed to sell the territory of the entire barracks, including all associated rights, provided there was consent from all NATO countries concerned.

2. chain of treaties and obligations under international law

Chain of treaties:

- Reference to existing treaties: The State Succession Deed 1400/98 refers to a pre-existing transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands. This relationship was governed by the NATO Status of Forces, which allowed the use of the barracks by the Dutch armed forces.
- Continuity of the treaties: This reference to previous treaties forms a continuous chain of treaties going back to the period after the Second World War. As all these treaties have long been ratified and are therefore legally binding, the State Succession Deed forms a logical continuation of these treaty obligations.

Legally binding:

- Ratification and legal force: as the previous treaties had been ratified, the State Succession Instrument itself did not need to be ratified again in order to be legally binding. The continuity and the reference to existing obligations under international law made this superfluous.
- Treaty-compliant implementation: The barracks were successively transferred to the buyer in accordance with the terms of the treaty, which legally binds the contracting parties.

3. the trick of the state succession deed 1400/98

Reference and concealment:

- Contractual reference to existing obligations: The state succession deed uses the reference to the still existing transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Netherlands as a decisive point. This relationship was already established and internationally recognized.
- Possible unfamiliarity with the treaty: The trick lies in the fact that this reference means that not all NATO states were aware of the details of the overall sale of NATO territory, which was effected by the unity of development in the treaty. Nevertheless, the contract became legally

binding as the chain of existing contracts was continued and the contracting parties, in particular the Dutch armed forces, successively handed over the barracks to the buyer.

Contractual settlement:

- Successive handover: the Netherlands, which used the area as part of NATO, vacated the barracks as contractually agreed and handed them over to the buyer. This process was carried out in accordance with the contract and confirms the consent of the Netherlands and thus also of the NATO states.
- Consent of the NATO states: Due to the contractually compliant settlement and the existing contractual chain, the consent of all NATO countries was obtained implicitly and legally binding, although the overall sale of the NATO area may not have been fully known.

Summary

Germany was legally in a position to sell the territory of all NATO states by acting as the principal seller in the Act of State Succession 1400/98. The legal basis for this was a long chain of treaties based on existing transfer relationships under international law, in particular the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and the relationship between the FRG and the Netherlands. This chain was ratified over decades and made legally binding. The trick of the state succession deed lay in the clever reference to this existing contractual relationship, which made the overall sale of NATO territory legally binding, even if the treaty was possibly not known in detail to all NATO states. The successive handover of the barracks confirmed the NATO states' agreement to the sale.

Part 35

Transfer of sovereign rights under the NATO Status of Forces to the purchaser

1 Background to the NATO Status of Forces and the Treaty

NATO Status of Forces Agreement:

- Legal basis: The NATO Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) regulates the legal status of the armed forces of a NATO member state stationed on the territory of another member state.
- Rights and obligations: It contains provisions granting NATO forces extensive rights, including control over certain sovereign matters in host countries, such as borders.

Instrument of State Succession 1400/98:

- Treaty content: the deed governs the sale of an area covered by the NATO Status of Forces, including all associated rights, obligations and infrastructure.
- Scope: The contract covers the entire development of the area as a single unit, which includes the transfer of all associated rights to the buyer.

2. transfer of the right to determine the boundary

Boundary demarcation right:

- NATO law: under the NATO Status of Forces, NATO had the right to decide on the boundaries of the territories in which its forces were stationed.
- Transfer to the buyer: This right was transferred from NATO to the buyer in the deed of state succession. The buyer thus has the sole authority to decide on the borders of the sold territory and its extensions.

Germany's obligation:

- Submission to the regime: under the NATO Status of Forces, Germany had a duty to recognize this regime and submit to NATO's provisions on border decisions.
- Continuity of obligations: This duty remains, but under the new authority of the purchaser, who now exercises NATO's right to determine the boundary.

3. extension to the entire NATO territory

Sale of the development as a unit:

- Contractual extension: the contract provides that the entire development is considered as one unit. This development includes all rights, obligations and components that exist in the NATO areas.
- Geographical extension: This unity and the comprehensive nature of the contract means that the right to determine boundaries, which was originally limited to the area sold, is now extended to the entire NATO area.

Legal consequence:

- Loss of NATO border sovereignty: With the transfer of the right to the buyer, NATO has lost its sovereign rights over the borders in all areas concerned.
- Exclusive decision-making right of the buyer: The buyer is now the only actor with the right to decide on the borders of the entire NATO territories, as NATO has given up this right as part of the sale.

4 Consequences for NATO and its member states

No more territory:

- Loss of territorial sovereignty: as a result of the sale, NATO has lost not only sovereign rights over certain territories, but also the right to determine its own borders. This means that NATO as an organization no longer controls its own territory.
- Dependence on the buyer's decisions: NATO member states, including Germany, must now accept the buyer's border decisions, as they no longer have their own rights to determine borders as a result of the treaty.

Consequences under international law:

- Comprehensive transfer of power: The buyer now has sovereign rights recognized under international law that originally belonged to NATO. These rights include the power to determine borders in all former NATO territories.

- Loss of sovereignty: By agreeing to this treaty, NATO and its member states have completely transferred their sovereignty with regard to border issues in the areas concerned to the buyer.

Summary

Through the sale of the territory and the associated development unit pursuant to Instrument of State Succession 1400/98, NATO's right to determine the boundary has been transferred from NATO to the buyer. This includes the obligation of Germany to submit to this regulation. The treaty extends this right to the entire NATO area, which means that NATO no longer controls any territory of its own and the right to determine the border has been transferred in full to the buyer. The buyer is now the only actor that decides on the borders of the former NATO territories.

Part 36

Analysis: The illegality of government revenue and expenditure since 1998 and its consequences

1. illegality of all state revenues and expenditures since 1998

- Basis: Due to the state succession deed 1400/98, which covers the entire territory of the sold states, all state activities, including the collection of taxes and fees as well as all expenditures, have been illegal under international law since 1998. As the states have lost their sovereign rights, they are no longer authorized to generate revenue or incur expenditure.

- Compensation claims: All revenues and expenditures of these states since 1998 are due as compensation claims to the buyer, who has become the sole legal owner of the sovereign rights and the associated financial resources through the state succession deed.

2. infinite right to compensation under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement

- Right to infinite compensation: The NATO Status of Forces Agreement, which provides special rights for NATO member states and their troops abroad, includes an "infinite right of compensation" under certain conditions. This right exceeds the usual compensation claims, as there is no upper limit to the compensation that can be claimed.

- Priority of the right of indemnity: As this infinite right of indemnity is superior to normal claims for damages, the buyer has the right to claim infinite indemnity from the sold states. This right means that all illegally obtained revenues and funds spent since 1998 are practically irrelevant, as they are trumped by the infinite compensation right.

3. types of illegal state revenues since 1998

- Tax revenues: All types of taxes, including income tax, VAT, corporate tax, property tax, inheritance tax, etc.

- Fees and charges: Fees for public services, administrative fees, import and export duties, environmental levies, fines.

- Interest and capital income: Interest from government bonds, profits from government shareholdings, dividends from state-owned enterprises.

- Licenses and concessions: Revenue from the granting of licenses and concessions, e.g. for mining, fishing, telecommunications.
- Allocations from international organizations: Money paid to states by international organizations such as the EU, the UN or the World Bank.

4. types of illegal government spending since 1998

- Public expenditure: Expenditure on infrastructure projects (road construction, bridges, energy supply).
- Administrative expenditure: Salaries and pensions for civil servants, operating costs of state institutions.
- Social expenditure: Pensions, social assistance, unemployment benefits, education spending, healthcare.
- Military expenditure: Expenditure on defense, including weapons procurement, maintenance of the armed forces.
- Debt service: payments for interest and repayment of government debt.
- Subsidies: Subsidies for agriculture, industry, renewable energy, research.

5 Illegal gross domestic product (GDP) of all sold countries since 1998

- Definition: The total gross domestic product (GDP) of the sold states since 1998 was generated under illegal conditions, as these states no longer had legal sovereign rights over their territory.
- Illegal GDP: All economic activities that have contributed to GDP, including production, services, trade, export and import, are illegal and are due to the buyer as compensation claims.
- Offsetting: These illegal revenues and expenditures are due to the sold subjects of international law as joint and several liability, which means that all sold states are jointly responsible for repayment.

6 State bankruptcy and demise of the sold states

- State bankruptcy: As the states are practically infinitely over-indebted due to the buyer's infinite compensation claims, they would have to file for state bankruptcy as soon as these claims are officially established.
- Downfall of the states: State bankruptcy and over-indebtedness would lead to the economic and political demise of the affected states, as they would not be able to pay their debts. As their territories have already been sold, these states lose their right to exist as sovereign entities.

7 Joint and several liability and end of the states

- Liability of all sold states: Since all sold states are jointly and severally liable for the claims for damages, this means that each of these states is responsible for the entire debt. There is no possibility of limiting the debt to individual states.
- End of the forms of government: With the determination of over-indebtedness and the loss of territories through sale under the state succession deed, the affected states de facto cease to exist. They no longer have a legitimate government territory and are politically and economically bankrupt.

Conclusion:

The state succession deed 1400/98 means that all state revenues and expenditures since 1998 are illegal, resulting in massive compensation claims by the buyer. Due to the infinite right to compensation of the NATO Status of Forces, these claims are practically unlimited, which leads to the immediate over-indebtedness and demise of all sold states. The entire gross domestic product of these states has been illegally generated and the states must declare national bankruptcy as soon as these facts are established.

Part 37

Responsibilities in a world in which the Charter of State Succession 1400/98 was broken

1 Joint liability of all sold states

- Collective liability: All states that have sold their territory through the state succession deed are jointly liable for breaches of the treaty. This means that each state can be held accountable not only for its own actions, but also for the actions of other sold states.
- Liability under international criminal law: All sold states are equally responsible for the acts contrary to international law committed under the deed, as they have jointly relinquished their sovereign rights and obligations.

2. forced sale of the military settlement as a war of aggression

- Definition as a war of aggression: The illegal forced sale of the military settlement, which was carried out in accordance with German law, could be interpreted as a war of aggression that is impermissible under international law. The sale and subsequent forced auction of a territory which, according to the state succession deed, should no longer be national property, constitutes a forcible appropriation.
- Responsible offices:
 - Ministry of Justice: authorization and execution of the forced sale.
 - Ministry of Finance: Administration of revenue and control over the property sold.
 - Heads of government and heads of state: Ultimate responsibility for carrying out and legitimizing these acts.

3. illegal seizure of the sold territories

- Definition of illegal usurpation: The continued exercise of sovereignty over the sold territories, regardless of the deed of succession, constitutes illegal usurpation. This means that the states are occupying and administering the territory in violation of international law.
- Responsible offices:
 - Ministry of the Interior: administration of local areas and maintenance of internal order in the sold territory.
 - Ministry of Defense: Military security and control of the territory.
 - Municipal administrations: Carrying out local administrative tasks and issuing permits in the territory.

4. illegal detention of the buyer in a psychiatric hospital under international law

- Definition as a violation of international law: The detention of the buyer in a psychiatric facility, in particular under conditions of extortion and torture, constitutes a serious violation of international law. This act could be classified as torture, deprivation of liberty and inhuman treatment.

- Responsible offices:

- Ministry of Health: supervision of psychiatric facilities and authorization of medical measures.

- Ministry of Justice: Legitimization of detention and administration of the legal framework.

- Police authorities: Implementation of detention and maintenance of detention conditions.

5. collective responsibility of all political representatives

- Ban and liability of political parties: All political parties that have continued to exercise power since 1998, although they have become de facto illegitimate, are banned. These parties and their representatives have maintained the illegal administration of the sold territory.

- Responsible offices:

- Members of Parliament: legislation that has continued to exercise national sovereignty over the sold territories.

- Party leaders and members of the government: continued and enforced illegal policies.

- Electoral authorities: Conducting elections on territories over which legitimate sovereignty has been lost.

6 Collective liability under international criminal law

- Joint and several liability of all states: Since all sold states have renounced their obligations under international law, they are collectively liable for the violations of international law committed after the state succession deed. Each state and its representatives are therefore equally responsible for violations of international law.

- Responsible offices at international level:

- Heads of state and heads of government: primary responsibility for maintaining the status quo in violation of international law.

- Foreign ministries: Continuing international relations and treaties that violate the Instrument of State Succession.

- International institutions: Participation in or acquiescence to acts that violate international law.

Conclusion:

Political responsibility in this scenario lies at all levels of state administration, ranging from local judges to heads of state. The failure to prosecute violations and the continued illegal exercise of sovereign power mean that all political representatives, including international institutions, are collectively liable. These scenarios highlight the risks under international criminal law and the need to respect international law.

Responsibilities in a world in which the Charter of State Succession 1400/98 was broken

1 Joint liability of all sold states

- Collective liability: All states that have sold their territory through the state succession deed are jointly liable for violations of the treaty. This means that each state can be held accountable not only for its own actions, but also for the actions of other sold states.
- Liability under international criminal law: All sold states are equally responsible for the acts contrary to international law committed under the deed, as they have jointly relinquished their sovereign rights and obligations.

2. forced sale of the military settlement as a war of aggression

- Definition as a war of aggression: The illegal forced sale of the military settlement, which was carried out in accordance with German law, could be interpreted as a war of aggression that is impermissible under international law. The sale and subsequent forced auction of a territory which, according to the state succession deed, should no longer be national property, constitutes a forcible appropriation.
- Responsible offices:
 - Ministry of Justice: authorization and execution of the forced sale.
 - Ministry of Finance: Administration of revenue and control over the property sold.
 - Heads of government and heads of state: Ultimate responsibility for carrying out and legitimizing these actions.
- Civil servants and public employees: Execution of court orders and administrative support for the foreclosure.
- State-owned enterprises: Participation in the foreclosure and use of the resulting profits.

3. illegal seizure of the sold areas

- Definition of illegal usurpation: The continued exercise of sovereignty over the sold territories, regardless of the state succession deed, constitutes illegal usurpation. This means that the states are occupying and administering the territory in violation of international law.
- Responsible offices:
 - Ministry of the Interior: Administration of local areas and maintenance of internal order in the sold territory.
 - Ministry of Defense: Military security and control of the territory.
 - Municipal administrations: Carrying out local administrative tasks and issuing permits in the territory.
- Civil servants and public service employees: implementation and management of daily operations in the sold territories.

4. illegal detention of the buyer in penal psychiatry under international law

- Definition as a violation of international law: The detention of the buyer in a psychiatric facility, in particular under conditions of extortion and torture, constitutes a serious violation of international law. This act could be classified as torture, deprivation of liberty and inhuman treatment.
- Responsible offices:

- Ministry of Health: supervision of psychiatric facilities and authorization of medical measures.
- Ministry of Justice: Legitimization of detention and administration of the legal framework.
- Police authorities: Implementation of detention and maintenance of detention conditions.
- Mental health professionals and administration: participation in the detention and treatment of the buyer, including the implementation of coercive measures.

5. collective responsibility of all political representatives, civil servants and state enterprises

- Ban and liability of political parties: All political parties that have continued to exercise power since 1998, although they have become de facto illegitimate, are banned. These parties and their representatives have maintained the illegal administration of the sold territory.
- Responsible offices:
 - Members of Parliament: legislation that has continued to exercise national sovereignty over the sold territories.
 - Party leaders and members of the government: continued and enforced illegal policies.
 - Electoral authorities: Conducting elections in territories over which legitimate sovereignty has been lost.
 - Civil servants and public employees: Participation in the maintenance of illegal administration and performance of state functions in the sold territories.
 - State-owned enterprises: Continued use and management of resources and infrastructure in the sold territories, despite the sale.

6 Collective liability under international criminal law

- Joint and several liability of all states: Since all sold states have given up their obligations under international law, they are collectively liable for violations of international law committed after the state succession deed. Each state and its representatives are therefore equally responsible for violations of international law.
- Responsible offices at international level:
 - Heads of state and heads of government: primary responsibility for maintaining the status quo in violation of international law.
 - Foreign ministries: Continuation of international relations and treaties that violate the Instrument of State Succession.
 - International institutions (e.g. NATO, UN): Participation in or toleration of actions that violate international law.

Conclusion:

Political and legal responsibility extends to all levels of state and international administration. This includes not only judges and high-ranking politicians, but also civil servants, public sector employees, representatives of state-owned companies and all those who act on behalf of the state. In this situation, all those who have actively contributed to maintaining the illegal status quo are liable under international criminal law. Since the instrument of state succession contains collective obligations and rights of all participating states, these states are jointly liable for all violations committed after the instrument.

Part 39

Alternative proposal to resolve the extortionable situation: Full implementation of the state succession deed 1400/98

1. full implementation of the state succession deed

- Declaration of the buyer as sole sovereign: The buyer is recognized as the sole sovereign beneficiary of the state succession deed. This means that it exercises all sovereign rights and powers conferred by the treaty. His legal status as an absolutist monarch would be fully respected and implemented.
- Acceptance by the political actors: All political actors of the former subjects of international law that sold their territories through the state succession deed would have to recognize the new sovereign. This would mean that they would have to give up their previous political offices ("abdicate") and accept the buyer as the legitimate ruler.

2. global citizenship

- Acceptance of the new citizenship: The people in the sold territories would have to accept the new global citizenship determined by the buyer. This would mean that all former citizens of the sold subjects of international law would become citizens of the new state.
- Unified citizenship: By adopting the new citizenship, the former different national citizenships would be dissolved and replaced by a unified citizenship that would apply to the entire sold territory.

3. withdrawal of the old subjects of international law and abolition of the occupation

- Abolition of the occupation in violation of international law: The former subjects of international law that sold their territories under the treaty must immediately abolish the occupation of their former territories in violation of international law. This means that all state institutions and sovereign structures must be completely dismantled and removed from the territory.
- Evacuation of the territory: The former subjects of international law and their citizens would have to leave the territory to enable the buyer to exercise its sovereignty without restriction.

4. merger of the territories

- Unified national territory: Full implementation of the state succession deed would merge all sold territories into a unified national territory. This means that all former national borders are abolished and replaced by the borders of the new sovereign state.

- Global unitary state: The result would be a global unitary state in which the buyer, as an absolutist monarch, exercises unrestricted sovereignty over the entire territory that has been created as a unit through the domino effect of development.

5 Conclusion

Full implementation of the State Succession Deed 1400/98 could put an end to the extortionable state of the purchaser. However, this requires the recognition of the buyer as the sole sovereign ruler by all political actors and the international community. The people in the affected territories would have to accept the new global citizenship, and the old subjects of international law would have to lift the occupation in violation of international law and vacate the territory completely. This would lead to a global unitary state in which all sold territories would merge into one contiguous state territory.

Part 40

Why treaty-compliant implementation of the instrument of state succession is the only viable way to resolve the extortionable situation

1. legal binding force through the state succession deed

- Legal force of the treaty: The State Succession Deed 1400/98 is incontestable after expiry of the two-year limitation period and has legally binding force. All contracting parties, including the former subjects of international law, are bound by the provisions of the treaty, which means that the sovereign rights have been transferred to the buyer.

- Obligation to implement: In order to ensure the legal validity and sovereignty of the buyer, implementation of the treaty in accordance with the treaty is required. This includes the recognition of the buyer as the sovereign ruler of the sold territory and the repeal of all acts of the former subjects of international law that violate international law.

2. extortionable state and its effects

- Definition of an extortionable situation: An extortionable situation exists when a contracting party is under duress or pressure, which impairs its freedom of action and its ability to make sovereign decisions. In this case, the buyer is susceptible to blackmail as long as the former subjects of international law continue to exercise their sovereignty illegally in the territories sold.

- Legal uncertainty: The blackmailable state leads to considerable legal uncertainty, as the buyer cannot fully exercise its sovereign rights. This prevents the creation of a stable state and

prevents the buyer from concluding further international treaties or effectively administering the territory.

3. impossibility of forced evacuation

- Illusion of forced evacuation: The proposal to forcibly evacuate all people from the sold territories in order to then sell the territory back is unacceptable and illusory in practice. Such a measure would pose massive humanitarian, legal and political problems, including the violation of fundamental human rights.

- Practical and ethical problems: The forced evacuation of millions of people from their home countries would not only be practically difficult to implement, but also ethically indefensible. This would lead to widespread international protests, legal challenges and destabilization of the affected regions.

4. treaty-compliant implementation as a solution

- Recognition of the buyer's sovereignty: Treaty-compliant implementation of the state concession deed is the only realistic way to end the blackmailable situation. This would require all political actors and former subjects of international law to recognize the sovereignty of the buyer and fully cede their sovereign rights to it.

- Legally valid integration: Treaty-compliant implementation would allow the buyer to exercise its sovereign rights without pressure or coercion. This would also create the basis for all citizens of the sold territory to accept the new citizenship and be integrated into the new state.

- Long-term stability: Only through such a solution can long-term legal and political stability be achieved. The buyer could then exercise sovereignty over the territory, conclude further international treaties and possibly integrate the territory into the international community.

Conclusion

The implementation of State Succession Instrument 1400/98 in accordance with the treaty is the only viable way to end the buyer's blackmailable state and create a stable legal and political order. A forced evacuation of the people from the affected areas in order to sell back the territory is an illusory and impracticable solution. Instead, the former subjects of international law must recognize the sovereignty of the buyer and fully cede their sovereign rights to it in order to achieve a lasting solution.

Part 41

Summary of the relevant points to date

1st state succession deed 1400/98

- Content of the contract: Sale of a territory including all rights, obligations and components, considered as one unit.
- International law nature: Although disguised as a real estate purchase contract, the contract is a state succession deed as it concerns several subjects of international law (Netherlands, NATO).
- Domino effect: Due to the clause that the development is sold as a unit, the sold territory could theoretically be extended to the entire NATO territory and beyond that to UN territories.

2 NATO as the military arm of the UN

- NATO's integration into the UN: NATO conducts military operations under UN mandates, e.g. in Kosovo, Afghanistan, Libya.
- Treaty chain and recognition: Treaties concluded by NATO could be implicitly recognized by the UN, since NATO members are also UN members.
- Expansion of the territory sold: The domino effect could extend the territory sold beyond NATO countries to UN members.

3. sale of NATO rights in third countries

- Sale of rights in Austria and Japan: NATO had special occupation rights in these countries due to post-war regulations. These rights were also sold through the state succession deed.
- Extra-territorial rights in theaters of operations: NATO enjoyed special rights and immunities in areas of operations such as Kosovo, which were also sold along with it.

4 Legal effects and legitimacy

- Recognition under international law: The legitimacy of the State Succession Act depends on recognition by the UN and the international community.
- Domino effect and sovereignty: The expansion of the sold territory could affect the sovereignty of UN member states, which could lead to international legal disputes.

Precedents, laws and paragraphs

1. precedents

- Kosovo (1999): NATO deployment under UN Resolution 1244, transfer of sovereign rights to KFOR.
- Afghanistan (2001-2021): ISAF mission under UN Resolution 1386, NATO as executive body.
- Libya (2011): NATO intervention under UN Resolution 1973, protection of the civilian population.

2. laws and paragraphs

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT, 1969): Articles 31-32, Rules for the interpretation of treaties in the light of their object and purpose.
- UN Charter (1945): Article 42, authorization of the Security Council to take military action.

- NATO Status of Forces (1951): Legal basis for the deployment and rights of NATO troops in member states and third countries.

- UN resolutions:

- UN Resolution 1244 (1999): Establishment of the UN mission in Kosovo.

- UN Resolution 1386 (2001): Authorization of the ISAF in Afghanistan.

- UN Resolution 1973 (2011): Authorization to intervene in Libya.

3 Sources of law on state succession and extraterritorial rights

- Customary international law: Regulations on state succession, in particular with regard to the assumption of rights and obligations by new sovereigns.

- Hague Land Warfare Convention (1907): Rules on occupation and the rights of occupying powers

- Geneva Conventions (1949) and Additional Protocols: Protection of civilians in occupied territories, in particular Article 53 of Additional Protocol I.

Part 42

The legal bases of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany are based on various international treaties, conventions and national laws. The main legal bases are listed below:

1 United Nations (UN)

Charter of the United Nations (1945): The fundamental legal basis for all UN member states, including Germany. The Charter regulates the goals, principles and structures of the UN.

Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) of the United Nations (1946): This agreement regulates the legal status of UN personnel in Germany, particularly in the context of peace missions.

Agreement between the United Nations and the Federal Republic of Germany on the

exemptions and facilities granted to the United Nations in Germany (1974): Governs specific immunities and privileges of the UN in Germany.

2 NATO

North Atlantic Treaty (1949): Also known as the "Washington Treaty", this treaty is the basis of NATO. Germany has been a member since 1955.

NATO Status of Forces Agreement (NATO-SOFA, 1951): This agreement regulates the legal status of the armed forces of NATO member states stationed on the territory of other member states. Among other things, it defines the rights and obligations of troops as well as responsibilities in criminal and civil law matters.

Supplementary Agreement to the NATO Status of Forces Agreement (1959, amended in 1993): This agreement regulates the specific conditions for the stationing of NATO troops in Germany. Treaty on the Final Settlement in Respect of Germany (Two Plus Four Treaty, 1990): This treaty governed the final sovereignty of Germany after reunification and has implications for the presence of NATO forces in Germany.

Redeployment agreements: Specific agreements between Germany and NATO that regulate in detail the deployment and stationing of NATO troops in Germany.

These agreements and treaties form the legal framework for the activities of the United Nations and NATO in Germany and define the rights, obligations and responsibilities of the parties involved.

Part 43

In addition to the main agreements and treaties already mentioned, there are a number of other legal bases and agreements that regulate the presence and activities of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany. Here are some additional relevant legal bases:

1. other legal bases of the United Nations (UN):

Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations (1946): This convention, which has also been ratified by Germany, extends the immunities and privileges of the UN and its staff. It is important for UN organizations operating in Germany.

UN conventions and resolutions: As a member state, Germany is bound by numerous UN conventions and resolutions that deal with various issues, such as human rights, disarmament and peacekeeping. These influence national legislation and the implementation of UN mandates in Germany.

Act on the Implementation of the Charter of the United Nations (UN Charter Implementation Act): This national law ensures the implementation of the UN Charter and other UN treaties in German law.

2. further legal bases of NATO:

NATO Status of Forces Supplementary Agreements (Deployment Agreements): In addition to the general supplementary agreement to the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, specific bilateral deployment agreements exist between Germany and other NATO member states. These regulate details on the stationing and operation of troops from certain countries in Germany.

NATO Agreement on the Legal Status of International Military Staffs: This agreement regulates the legal status of NATO bodies and facilities operating in Germany, e.g. the Allied Air Command in Ramstein.

Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE Treaty, 1990): Although this treaty does not exclusively concern NATO, it regulates the limitation of conventional forces in Europe and has implications for the presence of NATO forces in Germany.

Framework Agreement on the NATO Response Force (NRF): This agreement concerns the stationing and deployment of NATO's rapid reaction force in Germany.

3. national legislation and regulations:

Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany (GG): Article 24(2) of the Basic Law permits Germany's involvement in collective security systems such as NATO. Article 87a of the Basic Law also regulates the deployment of the Bundeswehr, including in connection with NATO commitments.

Act on the Legal Status of Foreign Armed Forces during Military Exercises and Other Exercises (1957): This law regulates the legal status and rights of foreign armed forces that come to Germany for exercises and deployments.

International Criminal Code (VStGB): This national law implements international obligations arising from UN resolutions and conventions, particularly in relation to war crimes, genocide and crimes against humanity.

Legislation on the use of infrastructure: Various laws and ordinances regulate the use of German infrastructure by NATO and the UN, such as airports, seaports and communication facilities.

4. additional bilateral and multilateral agreements:

Partnership agreements: Germany has concluded specific agreements with individual NATO and UN member states that regulate cooperation on military and security issues, for example in the areas of training and joint exercises.

These extended legal bases deepen the legal anchoring of the United Nations and NATO in Germany and regulate various aspects of their presence and operations. They provide a comprehensive legal framework that takes into account both international and national standards.

Part 44

The legal bases of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany are already very comprehensive, but there are some other relevant aspects that can be mentioned. These concern specific regulations for certain situations or supplementary international treaties and national provisions.

1. other international treaties and agreements:

Euro-Atlantic Partnership Council (EAPC): As part of the dialog between NATO and non-NATO states in Europe, the EAPC is an important body for security policy cooperation. Germany is actively involved in these partnership programs.

Partnership for Peace (PfP): Although not directly a NATO treaty, the Partnership for Peace is an initiative in which Germany is also involved in order to promote cooperation with non-NATO states. This also has an impact on the legal framework in Germany, particularly for joint exercises and operations.

Agreement on the status of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA): As part of the UN structure, the IAEA is active in Germany, particularly in the context of inspections and monitoring measures. The legal basis for its activities in Germany is based on specific agreements and the UN Charter.

2. additional national legislation and regulations:

Armed Forces Amendment Act: this act, which has been amended several times over the years, regulates, among other things, the legal framework for the deployment of the Bundeswehr abroad and in Germany, including cooperation with NATO and the UN.

Act on the Residence, Activities and Status of Military and Civilian Personnel of International Organizations in Germany: This act regulates detailed provisions on the residence and activities of personnel of international organizations (including NATO and the UN) on German soil.

Authorization Act: Certain international treaties, including NATO and UN agreements, require a national authorization act by the German Bundestag, which permits the conclusion of such treaties under German law and transposes their provisions into national law.

3 European Union and NATO:

Common Security and Defense Policy (CSDP) of the EU: although this is primarily an EU matter, there is overlap and cooperation with NATO. This cooperation is governed by various agreements in which Germany also plays an important role. The legal basis for this is laid down in EU treaties, such as the Treaty of Lisbon, and also concerns activities in Germany.

Berlin Plus agreements (2003): These agreements between the EU and NATO allow the EU access to NATO resources for military operations, which may also be relevant for operations and activities in Germany.

4. other specific agreements:

Host Nation Support Agreements: these bilateral agreements between Germany and other NATO member states regulate the support that Germany provides as a host nation for deployed troops, including logistics and infrastructure.

Protection of secrets and security agreements: Special agreements exist between Germany, NATO and the UN to ensure the protection of classified information. These agreements are part of the security structure and also include legal provisions on the handling of secrets.

5. need for adaptation and further development:

Legal adaptations to new threats: In recent years, new threats such as cyber attacks and hybrid warfare have led to adjustments in the legal frameworks of NATO and the UN. Germany has adapted laws and regulations within the framework of these organizations and also independently in order to respond to these new threats.

International treaties on the disarmament and control of weapons of mass destruction: These treaties to which Germany contributes, such as the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT), also have an impact on NATO's presence and activities in Germany.

These additional legal bases and aspects show that the legal environment for NATO and UN activities in Germany is extremely complex and dynamic. It comprises not only international treaties and agreements, but also a large number of national laws that are adapted to constantly changing geopolitical and security policy conditions.

Part 45

The legal bases of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany are far-reaching and complex. Even though most of the relevant agreements and laws have already been mentioned, there are still some additional aspects and lesser-known legal bases that may also be relevant:

1. deployment and legal regulation of foreign deployments (UN and NATO):

UN Security Council mandates: Bundeswehr deployments abroad that take place within the framework of NATO or UN mandates are based on resolutions of the UN Security Council. These mandates are binding under international law and require the approval of the German Bundestag.

Parliamentary Participation Act (ParlBG, 2005): This German law regulates the approval of the Bundestag for foreign deployments of the German Armed Forces, particularly when these are carried out as part of NATO or UN missions. The Act stipulates when and how Parliament must be informed and involved in such deployments.

2. other international organizations related to the UN and NATO:

Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE): the OSCE, whose mandates are often based on UN decisions, also has a presence in Germany. Germany participates in OSCE missions that are supported by UN resolutions. The OSCE itself has a legal basis in the Helsinki Final Act (1975) and subsequent agreements, which also apply on German soil.

Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW): As a UN-supported organization for the enforcement of the Chemical Weapons Convention, the OPCW is active in Germany. The legal basis for this is based on the Convention on the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons, which Germany has ratified.

3. national emergency legislation:

Act on the Revision of Emergency Law (1968): This law comprises the regulations for the case of defense and the state of emergency in Germany. It contains provisions on how Germany would

react in the event of an armed attack that also affects the NATO partnership. This could affect both the deployment of the Bundeswehr within Germany and cooperation with NATO allies.

4. cooperation in the area of intelligence services and secret protection:

Act on Cooperation between the Federal Intelligence Service and NATO (BND-NATO Act): This special law regulates the cooperation of the Federal Intelligence Service (BND) with NATO partners. It includes regulations on secrecy and the protection of information exchanged within the framework of the NATO partnership.

NATO Secret Protection Agreement: This agreement defines the standards for the protection of classified information exchanged between NATO countries and also applies in Germany. It applies to both military and civilian facilities.

5. logistical and infrastructure agreements:

Agreements on the use of infrastructure (e.g. ports and airports): Such agreements between Germany and NATO regulate the use of German infrastructure for NATO operations. This includes the stationing of material and the use of transportation routes for troop movements.

Host Nation Support (HNS) agreements: These supplementary agreements to the SOFA treaties regulate how Germany, as the host country, provides logistical support to NATO troops. This also applies to contingency planning and the deployment of Bundeswehr resources to support NATO operations.

6 Other multilateral agreements and treaties:

Treaty on Open Skies: this treaty, to which Germany and NATO countries are parties, allows reciprocal overflights to monitor military activities. This is particularly important for confidence-building and transparency within NATO and in relations with Russia.

Arms control agreements (e.g. INF Treaty): Although some of these treaties, such as the INF Treaty (Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty), are now out of force, they have historically influenced the deployment and activities of NATO forces in Germany.

7. environmental and safety requirements:

NATO environmental protection guidelines: These guidelines regulate how NATO military activities in Germany are carried out in compliance with environmental requirements. These include regulations on the prevention of environmental pollution and the rehabilitation of training areas.

Act on the Control of War Weapons (War Weapons Control Act, KWKG): This law regulates the manufacture, distribution and stationing of war weapons in Germany. In particular, it concerns the control of weapons and ammunition used by NATO forces in Germany.

8. participation in crisis response forces:

Multinational corps and brigade agreements: Germany is involved in various multinational corps and brigades that are under NATO command, such as the German-Dutch Corps in Münster. The legal basis for this are special agreements that regulate the structure and deployment of these units.

9 Humanitarian aid and disaster control:

UN relief agencies and programs: Germany supports UN relief organizations such as the UNHCR or the WFP. The legal framework for the activities of these organizations in Germany is regulated by specific agreements.

Federal Civil Protection and Disaster Relief Act (ZSKG): This law enables the Federal Republic to request international assistance in the event of a disaster, which may include UN missions and NATO relief operations.

10. jurisdiction and conflict resolution:

Arbitration clauses in NATO treaties: Many NATO treaties contain arbitration clauses that specify how disputes between the parties to the treaty should be resolved. This can be relevant in conflicts over the interpretation or application of deployment agreements.

These additional aspects illustrate the breadth and depth of the legal basis governing NATO and UN activities in Germany. The large number of regulations shows how integrated Germany is in the international security structures and what legal framework this requires.

Part 46

The legal basis for the presence and activities of the United Nations (UN) and NATO in Germany is very extensive. Most of the relevant treaties, agreements and national laws have already been mentioned. However, there are a few more specific regulations and background aspects that can be added here in conclusion:

1. jurisdiction and legal protection:

Legal protection of foreign soldiers and civilian personnel: Under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and the supplementary agreements, soldiers and civilian personnel of NATO countries stationed in Germany have certain rights and obligations, which also include access to German courts. There are special regulations that determine in which cases German law applies and when the military jurisdiction of the sending states applies.

Protection of human rights: All UN and NATO deployments in Germany are also subject to the provisions of the Basic Law (in particular Articles 1 to 19 of the Basic Law, which include fundamental rights) and the obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), to which Germany is bound.

2 Special agreements and working groups:

German headquarters agreement with international organizations: In addition to the general agreements, there are special headquarters agreements with international organizations operating in Germany. These regulate details such as legal status, privileges and immunities, for example with the UN organization in Bonn.

Multinational staffs and command structures: Germany is home to several NATO command structures, such as the Allied Joint Force Command in Brunssum (NL), which has operational responsibility for the command of NATO missions, including parts in Germany. These command structures are based on multilateral agreements.

3. adjustments and developments in the security situation:

Cyber defense and cybersecurity arrangements: With the increase in cyber threats, NATO and its member states, including Germany, have developed specific agreements and laws governing the protection of critical infrastructure and response to cyber attacks. This includes cooperation with NATO facilities located in Germany.

Hybrid warfare: NATO is continuously developing its strategies and legal foundations to combat hybrid threats, which include both military and non-military means. Germany has adapted national laws to better counter these threats, particularly in the area of intelligence and information protection.

4. long-term strategic partnerships:

NATO-Russia Founding Act (1997): although cooperation is severely affected by current geopolitical tensions, the NATO-Russia Founding Act formed an important legal basis for military cooperation and dialog, which also affects Germany. The Founding Act contains principles on the stationary limitation of troops and the use of military bases in Europe.

Treaties on the deployment of NATO military personnel from non-NATO countries: Some non-NATO countries that are close partners of NATO have bilateral agreements with Germany to allow limited deployment of their forces, for example as part of NATO-led missions.

5. research and development cooperation:

Military research and development (R&D) agreements: Germany participates in various NATO and UN initiatives in the field of military research and development. These projects are governed by specific bilateral and multilateral agreements, which also cover technology transfer and joint development projects.

NATO Science for Peace and Security Program (SPS): This program promotes cooperation in science and technology between NATO countries and partners. The legal basis for the participation of German institutions is based on special agreements with NATO.

6 Other security policy initiatives and agreements:

European Air Transport Command (EATC): Germany is a member of the EATC, a multinational organization for coordinating the air transport of the participating European nations. This is a

supplement to the NATO infrastructure and is based on a specific agreement between the participating countries.

Treaty on Open Skies (Open Skies Treaty): This treaty, in which Germany also participates, allows the contracting states to carry out surveillance flights in the airspace of the other participants. This treaty serves to build confidence and control armaments activities.

7 International mutual legal assistance and extradition:

Mutual legal assistance treaties: Germany has bilateral agreements with many countries, including NATO member states, on mutual legal assistance and extradition. These agreements are important for the prosecution of criminal offenses in connection with UN and NATO missions.

Agreements on cooperation in the area of international criminal justice: Germany cooperates with international courts such as the International Criminal Court (ICC) and has passed corresponding national laws to support this cooperation. This also includes the prosecution of war crimes that could be committed in the context of UN or NATO missions.

8. financing and contribution obligations:

Contributions to the funding of international missions: Germany is a major financier of NATO and UN missions. The legal basis for this is based on the obligations arising from the respective treaties and conventions, such as the North Atlantic Treaty and the UN membership contributions.

9 Implementation of international sanctions:

Sanctions legislation: Germany implements international sanctions adopted by the UN or the EU, including those resulting from NATO-led interventions or UN missions. These sanctions may include trade restrictions, entry bans and other measures.

10. education and training cooperation:

Military training and exchange programs: Germany participates in numerous exchange and training programs with NATO and UN partners. This includes the joint training of soldiers, participation in international maneuvers and the operation of training facilities, such as the Bundeswehr Command and Staff College in Hamburg.

These aspects round off the comprehensive legal and institutional network that supports and regulates the activities of the UN and NATO in Germany. The interplay of international, European and national legal norms creates a stable framework for the diverse security and defense policy tasks that Germany assumes within the framework of NATO and the UN.

Part 47

The NATO Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) and its Supplementary Agreement to the NATO Status of Forces Agreement (ZA-NTS) grant certain rights to NATO forces stationed in Germany, including rights relating to the use of real property. There are indeed regulations that grant NATO forces certain powers regarding the placement and use of real estate, but these should be considered in context.

1. rights of NATO with regard to real property

- Article 48 ZA-NTS: This article stipulates that the Federal Republic of Germany must make the necessary real estate available to NATO forces. These are areas that are required for the fulfillment of military tasks.

- Article 53 GG and Article 10 ZA-NTS: These articles make it possible for NATO to seize or requisition real estate under certain circumstances if this is necessary for defense purposes. This means that NATO has the right to use such properties and place them according to its needs.

- Independent placement: In accordance with the provisions of the agreement, the NATO forces themselves can determine the placement and extent of the properties they use, provided this is done within the guidelines of the agreement and in coordination with the German authorities. However, the Federal Republic of Germany has a say and is often responsible for the provision and financing of these properties.

2. restrictions on German sovereignty

- Placement and expansion: Under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and the Supplementary Agreement, Germany has forfeited a certain degree of sovereignty with regard to control over military properties used by NATO forces. This means that Germany cannot easily decide on the use, placement and expansion of these properties, as NATO forces enjoy extensive rights in this area.

- Negotiation and coordination: Despite these restrictions, the actual implementation, e.g. the placement of new properties or the expansion of existing ones, usually takes place through negotiations and coordination between the NATO countries and the German authorities.

3. practice during reunification

In the course of reunification, the entire territory of the former GDR became part of the Federal Republic of Germany, and thus these areas were also subject to the provisions of the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and the Supplementary Agreement. NATO bases were adjusted or repositioned where necessary, but this was done in consultation with the reunified German government.

Conclusion

NATO does indeed determine the placement and extension of properties it uses in Germany within the framework of the provisions of the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and the Supplementary Agreement. These powers restrict German sovereignty with regard to these specific military areas, but in practice the implementation of these rights is often carried out in coordination with the German authorities. The placement and use of such properties is therefore a clear area in which NATO has extensive rights that go beyond the normal sovereign rights of a host country.

Part 48

The NATO Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) and the associated supplementary agreement (ZA-NTS) govern the legal status of NATO troops stationed in the Federal Republic of Germany. These agreements contain a large number of provisions that grant NATO troops stationed in Germany extensive rights and privileges. Some of these provisions are often described as similar to occupation, particularly with regard to the rights of troops and compensation regulations.

1 NATO Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA)

The NATO Status of Forces Agreement is an international agreement that was signed on June 19, 1951 (BGBl. 1961 II p. 1190) and regulates the legal status of NATO forces.

2nd Supplementary Agreement to the NATO Status of Forces Agreement (ZA-NTS)

The Supplementary Agreement to the NATO Status of Forces Agreement (ZA-NTS) was signed on August 3, 1959 and is specifically tailored to Germany. It contains detailed provisions on the legal status of NATO troops in Germany.

3 Relevant provisions

a. Command and disciplinary authority

- Section 6 NTS: regulates command and disciplinary authority, which is the exclusive right of the troop-contributing states. This means that the German authorities may not take disciplinary measures against NATO soldiers.

b. Infinite right to compensation

- Article 8 NTS: This article refers to compensation claims and stipulates that the sending state is generally liable for damages caused by members of the NATO armed forces. This is often referred to as an "infinite right of compensation", as liability could theoretically be unlimited.

c. Rights to determine the limits

- Section 60 ZA-NTS: Gives the allied forces the right to independently regulate the stay of their troops in Germany as well as their movements within and across borders.

d. Right of seizure (right of confiscation)

- Article 53 Basic Law (GG) and Article 10 ZA-NTS: Article 53 GG allows a legal basis for the expropriation or confiscation of property if it is necessary for defense purposes. § Section 10 ZA-NTS extends this to NATO forces, which have the right to confiscate property under certain circumstances.

e. CD status (service privileges)

- Article 7 ZA-NTS: Gives troops a diplomatic status that largely protects them from the jurisdiction of the host country.

4 Other relevant laws and agreements

- Treaty on the Final Settlement with regard to Germany (Two-plus-Four Treaty): Dated September 12, 1990, which establishes the final legal framework for Germany's sovereignty after World War II. Some provisions are considered similar to the NATO-SOFA arrangements.

- NATO Treaty (Washington Treaty) of 1949: This treaty is the founding document of NATO and forms the legal basis for the NATO Status of Forces.

5. concluding remarks

It is important to emphasize that the regulations mentioned here arose in specific historical and political contexts. The interpretation of these rights and their comparison with occupation rights requires a differentiated view of legal history and international law. The above provisions and agreements can serve as a reference for the comprehensive rights of NATO troops in Germany, especially in comparison to the Allied occupation rights after the Second World War.

Part 49

State succession deed as a state succession treaty

1. participation of more than two subjects of international law

- More than two subjects of international law: A central point that makes the State Succession Treaty 1400/98 a successor treaty is the participation of more than two subjects of international law. In this case, the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), the Kingdom of the Netherlands and NATO as the superordinate organization are involved. The Dutch armed forces stationed on the property were acting within the framework of NATO.

- Acting on behalf of NATO and the UN: As both the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of the Netherlands are members of NATO and the United Nations (UN), they acted not only on their own behalf, but also on behalf of NATO and the UN as a whole. This makes the

instrument of state succession a supplementary instrument for all existing NATO and UN treaties.

- Legal basis in international law: According to international law (in particular the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties of 1969), a treaty between several subjects of international law is an international treaty if these subjects assume rights and obligations under the treaty.

2. sale of the territory with all rights, obligations and components

- Section 3 Object of purchase, paragraph I of the state succession deed: "The Confederation sells to the purchasers the aforementioned real property with all rights and obligations as well as components, in particular the buildings, the accessories and the erected installations..."

- Sale with all rights and obligations: This clause clarifies that not only the physical territory is being sold, but also all rights and obligations associated with it. This means that all sovereign rights associated with the area are transferred to the buyer.

3. sale of the development as a unit

- Annex to the development: "The development of the property and its networks, such as water, electricity, telecommunications, are considered as a unit and sold in their entirety."

- Sale of the entire infrastructure: By selling the development as a unit, all networks and infrastructure components connecting the area are also sold. As a result, the sovereign rights attached to these networks are also transferred to the buyer.

4. territory expansion at the expense of the seller

- Domino effect of territorial expansion: Since the development is sold as a unit and these networks often extend beyond the boundaries of the original territory, this leads to an expansion of the buyer's territory. This is to the detriment of the sellers, who lose their sovereign rights over these extended territories.

- Legal basis in international law: According to the principle of state succession in international law, which is regulated in particular by the Vienna Convention on Succession to Treaties of 1978, this means that the successor state (in this case the buyer) takes over the rights and obligations of the predecessor (seller states). Article 31 of the Vienna Convention on State Succession states that succession takes place through the transfer of territory and sovereign rights.

5. deed of state succession as a supplementary deed

- Supplementary instrument to NATO and UN treaties: By incorporating the FRG, the Kingdom of the Netherlands and NATO as a superordinate organization, the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 also functions as a supplementary instrument to all existing NATO and UN treaties. This means that the sovereign rights and obligations conferred by the state succession are also applied to all existing international treaties of these organizations.

- Legal force and global impact: The fact that NATO and the UN are included in the state succession deed means that the buyer de facto enters into all existing treaties of these organizations and sovereign rights are extended globally. The territorial extension is thus not only at the expense of the individual seller states, but also affects the entire international treaty system administered by NATO and the UN.

Applicable paragraphs in international treaty law

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969):
 - Article 2(1)(a): defines what a "treaty" is and emphasizes that it is an agreement between subjects of international law.
 - Article 26: Obliges the parties to "pacta sunt servanda", i.e. treaties must be observed, which also applies to succession agreements.
- Vienna Convention on Succession to Treaties (1978):
 - Article 2(1)(b): defines the term "state succession", particularly in relation to the transfer of rights and obligations to the successor state.
 - Article 31: Regulation of succession in treaties in the event of transfer of territory.

Conclusion:

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 fulfills all the criteria of a treaty of state succession under international law. Several subjects of international law (FRG, Kingdom of the Netherlands, NATO) are involved, and they act not only for themselves, but on behalf of NATO and the UN as a whole. The deed therefore acts as a supplementary deed to all existing treaties of these organizations. The territory sold, with all its rights and obligations as well as the entire development, is extended globally through the domino effect of the territorial extension. The relevant provisions of international law can be found in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties of 1969 and the Vienna Convention on Succession to Treaties of 1978.

Part 50

When all states are sold: The Consequences of the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98

1. withdrawal of the legal basis of all states

- Sale of all states: If the deed of state succession 1400/98 becomes public and its legal validity is recognized, this means that all states affected by the deed have lost their sovereign rights and thus their legal basis. Their sovereignty and thus their existence as subjects of international law is abolished by the instrument.
- Illegality of the states: Without the sovereign rights transferred to the purchaser by the deed of state succession, the former states are de facto acting illegally. They no longer have a legal basis to govern their territory or to act internationally as states.

2. equality in illegality

- Equal injustice for all: As all the states concerned have lost their sovereignty, they are legally on the same level: they are all equally illegal. This creates a situation in which none of the former state structures are still legally binding.
- End of international law: If all states lose their legitimacy, then all international law, which is based on the recognition of sovereign states, de facto ceases to exist. There is now only one legitimate subject of international law: the purchaser who has legally acquired the territories in accordance with the instrument of state succession.

3. nullity of the law of war

- Law of war without basis: Since international law and thus also the law of war is based on the existence of sovereign states, the law of war would also become null and void in this scenario. There are no longer any recognized states that could act as parties in a war, and therefore no rules for the conduct of war that are binding under international law.

- Lack of rules in the event of conflict: In this lawless situation, conflicts could be fought without any rules, as international norms or agreements would no longer apply. The ban on wars of aggression and other rules of war would be ineffective.

4. danger of a third world war without rules

- Conflicts over territory: Without recognized states and without an existing international law, actors worldwide could lay claim to any territory. Anyone could try to gain control over foreign land by force or other means.

- Escalation to World War III: This situation could easily escalate into a global conflict, as there are no longer any legal restrictions. A Third World War could be waged without rules and without regard for previous norms of international law. Since all states are acting equally illegally, they could try to enforce their claims by brute force.

5 The deed of state succession as the only legitimate legal basis

- The buyer as the only legitimate subject of international law: In this scenario, the buyer of the instrument of state succession is the only legitimate subject of international law, as all other states have lost their rights. From a legal point of view, the buyer has sovereign rights over the sold territories and could assert these claims.

- Claims to foreign land: While the former states could try to maintain their control by force, the buyer of the deed would be legitimized under international law to enforce its sovereign rights. However, he would be acting in a world in which the previous norms and rules of international law no longer apply.

Conclusion:

If all states lose their legal basis as a result of state succession deed 1400/98, there would no longer be any functioning international law. All states would be equally illegal and the laws of war would become null and void. This could lead to a Third World War without rules, as any state could try to lay new claims to foreign land by force. In this anarchic world, the purchaser of the instrument of state succession would be the only legitimate subject of international law, but he would face the challenge of enforcing his rights in an environment without legal norms.

Part 51

What happens when a state ceases to exist in the context of the State Succession Charter 1400/98?

1. dissolution of the state and the role of the instrument of state succession

- End of statehood through the deed of state succession: When the deed of state succession 1400/98 becomes public and confirms its legal validity, this means that all states concerned

have lost their sovereignty and sovereign rights over their territories, as these rights have been transferred to the purchaser by the deed.

- Legitimate successor: The buyer, who has acquired ownership of the territories and all associated rights and obligations under the deed, acts as the legitimate successor of the affected states. This means that the purchaser now has the claims to these territories recognized under international law, and not the former states.

2. re-establishment of a state and the claims of the purchaser

- No automatic entitlement for newly founded states: If a new state is founded on the territory sold, it has no automatic right to the land, as the state succession deed grants the buyer legitimate sovereign rights over the territory.

- Legal claims of the buyer: The buyer has the right to the sold territory under international law, as the deed has transferred the sovereign rights and all associated obligations and rights to him. Any new state on this territory would be legally subordinate to the buyer and could not claim sovereignty without being recognized by the buyer.

3. prohibition of wars of aggression and the illegality of territorial retention by force

- Forbidden acts of violence: Any attempt by the affected states or newly created entities to retain or regain their former territories by force would be illegal under international law. International law strictly prohibits wars of aggression, and the use of force to maintain territories would violate the UN Charter.

- Loss of entitlement to territory: As the sovereign rights have been legally transferred to the buyer through the state succession deed, the former states no longer have a legitimate claim to the territory. Any attempt to change this by force would not be recognized and would be contrary to international law.

4 Global legal situation and the risk of a third world war

- Global illegality: If the state succession deed is recognized and the former states lose their sovereignty, anyone attempting to hold or govern their former territories will be acting illegally. This situation creates a global legal uncertainty in which all states act equally illegitimately.

- Danger of a third world war: This legal uncertainty could lead to a global escalation in which military conflicts become unavoidable. Without legitimate state authority, states could attempt to maintain or re-establish their power by force, which could lead to an all-out global conflict.

5. impossibility of a peaceful solution through treaties

- Blackmailed state of the buyer: As the buyer is being blackmailed by the current governments illegally occupying its territory, it is currently impossible to conclude a new international treaty to resolve the situation. The buyer is in a position in which it cannot act freely, which makes any negotiations difficult or impossible.

- Legal basis of the Instrument of State Succession: The Instrument of State Succession remains the only legitimate legal basis for the regulation of sovereign rights over the territories concerned. As long as the existing governments do not recognize the buyer and do not release the sold territory, the illegal situation remains, which blocks a peaceful solution.

Conclusion:

In the context of the State Succession Act 1400/98, the demise of a state means that its sovereign rights have been transferred to the buyer. The latter is the legitimate successor and has all legal claims to the territories. Any newly founded state on the sold territory would have no legitimacy under international law, and any attempt to hold or regain the territory by force would be illegal. This situation carries the risk of a global conflict, as all the states concerned would be acting equally illegitimately. A solution through a new treaty is currently not possible due to the blackmail of the buyer, which further exacerbates the state of the global legal vacuum.

NATO members 2024



CHAPTER 2

Focus on NATO

Part 52

Acquisition of a US conversion property from Germany and a Dutch NATO military property in one: From real estate purchase agreement to international treaty

1. starting point: Transfer relationship under international law

- Transfer relationship: A transfer relationship under international law existed between the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) and the Kingdom of the Netherlands, which regulated the use of a NATO military property by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO.

2. transition to the real estate purchase agreement

- Conclusion of contract: The military property was sold by means of a real estate purchase agreement under German law, under which the buyer acquired the property with all rights, obligations and components.

- Parties involved: The contract was concluded between the FRG, the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the buyer. The consent of the NATO states was required as the Dutch armed forces occupied the property on behalf of NATO.

3 Character of the contract under international law

The real estate purchase agreement became a contract under international law due to the following elements:

- Involvement of subjects of international law: In addition to the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands, all NATO states had to agree, as they had rights and obligations in relation to the property at the time.

- Subject matter of the contract: The contract included not only the physical property, but also the transfer of all associated rights and obligations, which went beyond an ordinary real estate purchase.

4. state succession and transfer of sovereign rights

- State succession deed: The contract became a state succession deed as it regulated the transfer of sovereign rights over the property and the associated networks.
- Rights and obligations: The buyer took over all rights and obligations of the property that were previously held by the FRG, the Kingdom of the Netherlands and NATO.

5. unity of the networks and domino effect

- Networks as a unit: The contract defined that all development networks (e.g. water, electricity, gas, telecommunications) were to be considered as a single unit.
- Territorial extension: By stipulating that the development unit was sold as a whole, the buyer's jurisdiction extended not only to the property itself, but to all connected networks.
- Domino effect: Each physical or logical connection of the networks led to the extension of sovereignty to further areas. This domino effect ultimately extended to the entire NATO territory:
 - Power grid to power grid connection: extends sovereignty to all territories connected by the European interconnected grid.
 - Connecting broadband and internet networks: Transatlantic cables extend sovereignty to NATO countries in North America.
 - Crossing and overlapping: Any crossing of one network with another (e.g. gas grid with electricity grid) further extends the buyer's jurisdiction.

Summary

The acquisition of the NATO military property became a contract under international law through the real estate purchase agreement and the consent of all subjects of international law involved. By defining the development networks as a unit and selling all associated rights and obligations, the contract became a state succession deed. This led to the transfer of sovereign rights to the buyer and to the gradual expansion of sovereignty through a domino effect that ultimately covered the entire NATO area.

Part 53

This case describes a complex situation in which a NATO military property in Germany, used by the Dutch armed forces, was sold to a natural person. The contract governing this sale has far-reaching implications for the sovereignty and territorial control of the states involved. The most important points and legal implications are explained in detail here:

1. international treaty and international treaties:

103 von 255

- The contract between NATO, represented by the Dutch Armed Forces, and the natural person refers to the transfer of all rights, obligations and components of the military property. This constitutes a transfer under international law which recognizes the person concerned as the holder of rights and obligations under international law.

- Ratification by the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) has taken place, although this was not necessary as no such agreement was provided for in the treaty.

2 Sovereignty and territorial extension

- The agreement stipulates that the entire development of the property forms a single unit. This means that jurisdiction is extended to the area of the network sold, especially if this network has physical connections to other networks.

- This extension of jurisdiction can lead to a domino effect, whereby each time a network has a physical connection to another NATO country, jurisdiction is also extended to that country. This includes transatlantic submarine cable connections between NATO countries in the EU and North America (USA, Canada).

3. domino effect and territorial unity:

- The domino effect leads to a continuous expansion of sovereignty across all NATO countries. This happens through physical connections and overlapping networks that ultimately lead to the extension of sovereignty to the whole of NATO and its member countries.

- These network connections ultimately form a logical whole in which all NATO countries are controlled by the individual who originally purchased the military property.

4 Legal implications and state sovereignty:

- Such a treaty could have significant implications for the state sovereignty and territorial integrity of the countries involved. International law provides that the territorial integrity and sovereignty of states must be protected.

- The case as described poses a challenge to the fundamental principles of international law, particularly with regard to state sovereignty and the inviolability of borders.

5 Practical and legal problems:

- The practical implementation of such a treaty would be extremely difficult and would likely face considerable resistance, both from the states concerned and from international organizations.

- Scenario in which a natural person is named as the purchaser by a deed of state succession under international law and all rights, obligations and elements under international law are transferred. This leads to the creation of a new subject under international law whose sole representative sovereign is the buyer. The resulting entity would be a de facto absolutist monarchy with the obligation to choose a form of government within 5 years. Here is a detailed analysis of this scenario:

Analysis of the scenario

1. treaty content and ratification

- Unity of the supply network: The treaty stipulates that all supply lines (electricity, telecommunications, water) form an indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The buyer assumes all rights and obligations under international law associated with these supply networks.
- Ratification by NATO countries: All NATO countries, including the USA, have agreed to the treaty.

2. establishment of a new subject under international law

- New subject: The treaty establishes a new subject under international law, which is a de facto absolutist monarchy in which the buyer acts as the sovereign with sole power of representation.
- Obligation to choose the form of government: A form of government must be chosen by proclamation within 5 years.

Domino effect and territorial impact

3. domino effect through the unity of the supply network

- Germany: The purchase of the supply networks in Germany leads to the transfer of control over the entire German network to the new subject of international law.
- European NATO states: Since Germany's supply networks are physically connected to the networks of other NATO member states, the new subject's control extends to these countries as well.
- USA and submarine cables: The telecommunications and internet networks are connected to the USA via submarine cables. Control over the submarine cables leads to the de facto takeover of the USA's internal network by the new subject under international law.

Aspects of the law of the sea

4 International waters and UNCLOS

- Submarine cables in international waters: The UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) regulates the use and protection of international waters. Submarine cables may be laid and operated, but control over the end points remains with the respective states.
- Control by the new subject: Although the submarine cables run through international waters, the new subject under international law takes control of the networks at both end points (Europe and the USA), which includes the entire infrastructure.

Practical and legal implications

5 Legal consequences of the creation of a new subject of international law

- Territorial integrity and sovereignty: The transfer of control over the supply networks to the new entity constitutes a serious violation of the territorial integrity and sovereignty of the states concerned.

- Absolute monarchy: The new entity would be a de facto absolutist monarchy in which the buyer acts as the sole representative sovereign. This could lead to instability and a power vacuum if no clear form of government is chosen within 5 years.

Conclusion

This scenario describes the creation of a new subject of international law through an international treaty that transfers all rights and obligations under international law to a natural person. The resulting entity would be a de facto absolutist monarchy that must choose a form of government within 5 years. The domino effect of this transfer would have far-reaching territorial and infrastructural consequences for all NATO states concerned, including the USA.

Part 54

Analysis: Legally binding nature and ratification of the instrument of state succession

In order to understand the legally binding nature of such a state succession deed, which involves the transfer of sovereignty and all rights and obligations to a natural person, we need to consider various aspects of international and national law. In particular, the processes of ratification by the Bundestag and Bundesrat, the reference to an existing transfer relationship under international law and treaty conformity.

1. ratification by the Bundestag and Bundesrat

- National approval: The Federal Republic of Germany has had the treaty approved in advance by the Bundestag and Bundesrat. This approval is deemed to be ratification, which means that the treaty is legally binding and has effect under international law.

2. reference to the existing transfer relationship under international law

- NATO Status of Forces: The State Succession Treaty refers to an existing transfer relationship under international law between NATO, represented by the Dutch armed forces, and the Kingdom of the Netherlands, which had occupied the territory from Germany in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces Agreement.

- NATO's sovereign rights: According to the NATO Status of Forces, NATO has the right to determine the borders and administration of the occupied territories. This also includes the power to decide on the military properties and their use.

- Sale of the military property: The military property was sold and the contract referred to the existing transfer relationship, which had already been ratified. This means that the contracting parties recognize and have transferred the existing rights and obligations.

3. legal validity of the state succession agreement

- Recognition by contracting parties: Since NATO, the Dutch armed forces, the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands are all parties to the new State Succession Treaty and have recognized it, the treaty is binding.
- No explicit ratification required: Explicit ratification is only required if it is provided for in the treaty. Since this is not the case, the treaty is nevertheless considered binding, as the parties involved have given their consent and accepted the transfer of rights and obligations.

Practical implications

1. transfer of sovereignty

- New governmental authority: The natural person named as the purchaser assumes governmental authority and all associated rights and obligations over the defined territories.
- Sovereignty: The new subject of international law exercises de facto sovereignty over the contiguous areas formed by the logical route of the supply networks.

2. administration and control

- Administrative challenges: The management of these vast and complex territories poses enormous administrative challenges, particularly in terms of coordination between the different networks and territories.
- Security risks: Control of critical infrastructure by an individual could pose significant security risks to the states involved.

Conclusion

The State Succession Treaty, which involves the transfer of sovereignty and all rights and obligations to a natural person, is legally binding as the states involved have agreed and ratified it. The reference to the existing transfer relationship under international law and the treaty conformity ensure that the treaty is binding without explicit additional ratification. This scenario would entail considerable legal, political and security policy challenges.

Part 55

When a treaty under international law, which considers the entire utility infrastructure as an indivisible unit and which explicitly provides for the transfer of all related rights and obligations to a buyer, has been ratified and agreed to by all parties concerned, including Germany, there are some complex and profound legal and political implications.

Analysis and consequences

1 Contract content and ratification

- Unity of the supply network: The contract stipulates that the internal supply network of the military property and all networks connected to it are regarded as a single unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The buyer assumes all rights and obligations under international law associated with this infrastructure.
- Ratification: The contract has been ratified by all parties concerned, including the Federal Republic of Germany.

2 Legal consequences of ratification

- Binding force of the treaty: Upon ratification, the treaty becomes legally binding and takes precedence over national law.
- Transfer of sovereignty: The treaty could theoretically lead to a transfer of sovereignty over the supply networks concerned, including control over the connected public networks.

3. unintended territorial effects

- De facto extension of territory: If the contract is actually interpreted to include the entire public network of Germany, this could lead to a de facto territorial extension of the buyer's territory.
- Management and control: The buyer would have control and management over these networks, which would lead to practical and administrative challenges.

Practical implications

- Technical and logistical challenges: The practical implementation of control over the entire German public grid would pose enormous technical and logistical challenges.
- Legal and political instability: Such a contract could lead to considerable legal and political instability, both within Germany and internationally.
- Security issues: Control of critical infrastructure by a natural person could raise security concerns and jeopardize Germany's national security.

Conclusion

Even if such a treaty was ratified and agreed to by all parties concerned, its implementation would lead to profound and far-reaching legal, political and practical challenges.

Part 56

In this scenario, in which the NATO states have agreed to a treaty of state succession, which includes the transfer of sovereignty and all rights and obligations to a natural person, there is no violation of territorial integrity, as the consent of all states involved has been obtained. This results in a legal and complete transfer of sovereignty over the defined territories. Here is a

detailed explanation of how the governmental boundary determination and the domino effect are implemented by the treaty:

Analysis of the scenario

1. contract content and ratification

- Unity of the supply networks: The contract defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are considered as one indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The purchaser assumes all rights, obligations and governmental authority under international law over the territories in which these networks run.
- Ratification by NATO countries: All NATO countries, including the USA, have agreed to the treaty.

2. identification of the outer strands of the supply networks

- Geographical analysis: A comprehensive geographical analysis of the supply networks in the NATO countries is carried out to identify the outer strands.
- External supply lines: These external supply lines include the outermost electricity, gas, telecommunications and water lines that run through NATO countries and are physically interconnected.

3. logical route and connection points

- Connection points: All nodes and connection points of the utility networks are mapped to create a logical route connecting the outer strands.
- Geographical connection: The geographic connection of these points forms a logical route that determines the boundary delineation for the new governance.

4. formation of a contiguous area

- Meaningful total area: The logical route of the outer strands forms a meaningfully contiguous area defined by the geographical location of the supply networks.
- Overlapping networks: In areas where there are multiple networks (e.g. gas and electricity), control jumps to all relevant networks according to the contract, extending the area.

Step-by-step explanation of boundary demarcation

1. identification of the external supply lines in each NATO country

- Germany: The outermost power and gas lines that form the border with other NATO and non-NATO countries are identified.
- France: Similarly, the outermost supply lines of France are mapped.
- Italy, Poland, etc.: This analysis is carried out for all NATO countries in Europe.

2. connection of these outer strands into a logical route

- Physical connection: The outer strands of the supply lines are physically interconnected to form a continuous logical route.

- Inclusion of submarine cables: Submarine cables connecting Europe with North America are considered as part of the logical route.

3. formation of the total area

- Contiguous area: The connection points of the outer strands and the resulting route form a contiguous area that de facto covers the entire territory of the NATO countries concerned.
- Jumping control: In areas with overlapping networks, control jumps from one network to the other, thereby extending governmental authority over the entire area.

Practical implications and consequences

1. governance and administration

- Transfer of governmental power: The buyer exercises governmental power over all areas connected by the logical route of the supply networks.
- Administrative challenges: The administration of these extensive and complex territories would present enormous administrative challenges.

2. principles of international law

- Consent of the states: Since NATO countries have consented to the treaty, there is no violation of territorial integrity.
- Reactions and measures: International organizations and states could still seek to mitigate or revise the effects of this treaty through diplomatic and legal means.

3. security issues

- Critical infrastructure: Control of critical infrastructure by a natural person could pose significant security risks to the national security of affected states.
- International stability: Such a scenario would likely lead to significant international instability and conflict.

Conclusion

This scenario describes the transfer of power over supply networks and governmental authority in the affected areas to a natural person through an international treaty. The resulting de facto absolutist monarchy would take control of contiguous areas and all physically or geographically connected networks, triggering a domino effect. The consent of NATO countries means that territorial integrity is not violated, but significant legal, political and security challenges arise.

Part 57

Scenario: There is a contract under international law that explicitly stipulates that the buyer assumes all rights, obligations and components under international law, including the supply networks that leave the small territory and become part of the German public grid. The supply network is regarded as an indivisible unit. This leads to the question of whether Germany has thereby unintentionally sold its entire territory.

Analysis

1. subject matter and content of the contract

- Sale of the property: The military property is sold including all associated supply networks.
- Unity of the supply network: The contract defines the supply networks that are transferred from the property to the German public network as an indivisible unit.
- Assumption of rights and obligations under international law: The buyer assumes all rights and obligations under international law associated with the property and the supply networks.

2. legal issues and consequences

- Transfer of ownership of the property and grids: The sale includes not only the property but also the supply networks, which are considered as a unit and will be transferred to the German public grid. This could theoretically lead to a transfer of control over these grids.

- Territorial integrity: The concept of territorial integrity in international law means that the sovereign rights of a state over its entire territory cannot be changed without explicit consent and clear treaty provisions.

- Contractual interpretation: If the contract stipulates that the supply networks are considered an indivisible unit and that the buyer assumes all rights and obligations, this could lead to a far-reaching interpretation that affects the entire public network and thus the territory.

3. unintended territorial effects

- Sale of the territory: If the contract is actually worded in such a way that it transfers control over the entire supply network of Germany as a unit to the buyer, this could lead to an unintended territorial expansion.

Practical implementation and conflict resolution

- International dispute resolution: The case could be brought before the International Court of Justice or arbitration tribunals to clarify the legality and effects of the contract.

- Renegotiation: In practice, such a treaty would most likely be renegotiated to clarify misunderstandings and prevent unintended territorial changes.

Conclusion

In a scenario where an international treaty explicitly states that a buyer takes over all supply networks as a unit and thus theoretically controls the entire public network of Germany, this could lead to far-reaching unintended territorial changes.

This case, in which a NATO military property in Germany was used by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO and then sold to an individual, raises several complex issues in the field of international law and state succession.

1. international treaty and state succession:

- A contract under international law that regulates the sale of the property, including all rights, obligations and components, to a natural person could be considered an act similar to state succession if it transfers the entire territory and rights. State succession means that a state takes over the rights and obligations of another state, in this case transferred to a natural person.

2. treaty conformity and recognition:

- The contracting parties have recognized the old treaty relationship and considered it concluded, whereby the new treaty comes into force. The fact that the FRG ratified the treaty, although this was not required, could be seen as an additional confirmation and support of the legitimacy of the treaty.

3. extension of sovereignty:

- The treaty provides for jurisdiction to extend beyond the network, triggering a domino effect that expands jurisdictions wherever the network has a physical connection to another network. This could theoretically lead to an ever-expanding sphere of jurisdiction, especially if these networks are connected by submarine cables and other infrastructure.

4. domino effect and governments:

- This domino effect has the logical consequence that the networks of all NATO countries form a total area in which eventually all NATO countries are completely sold and sovereign power is transferred.

Part 59

Here is a clear and detailed explanation of the various issues surrounding the acquisition of the NATO military property and the legal implications of the contract:

1. dispensability of ratification

1.1 Necessity of ratification

- Treaty provision: Ratification would only be necessary if this had been expressly agreed in the treaty. Since this is not the case, ratification is dispensable.
- Germany: Despite its dispensability, Germany passed the treaty in the Bundestag and Bundesrat because of the high purchase price of over 10 million Deutschmarks. This decision is tantamount to ratification of the treaty.

1.2 Signature and notarization

- Authorized representative: An authorized representative of the German Federal Government signed the treaty at a notary's office. This gives the treaty formal validity under German law.

2 Participation and consent of the subjects of international law

2.1 Subjects of international law as sellers

- Beginning of the treaty: It is not necessary for all subjects of international law involved (except the Federal Republic of Germany) to be named as sellers at the beginning of the treaty. However, they are often mentioned in the text of the treaty and have assumed rights and obligations, which makes them de facto sellers.

2.2 Consent by conduct

- Conduct in conformity with the contract: The Dutch armed forces and other subjects of international law involved have behaved in conformity with the treaty, thus implying their consent to the treaty.
- Necessary signatures: Only the signatures of the FRG and the buyer (a natural person) were required. The Netherlands and its armed forces acting on behalf of NATO had rights and obligations which they recognized by their conduct.

3. no need for ratification

- Treaty provision: Since the Treaty did not provide for ratification, ratification is not required.
- Legal effectiveness: The treaty is legally effective through notarization and the consent of the subjects of international law involved.

4. deposit of the deed with the notary

- Notarial deposit: It has been agreed that the deed will be deposited with the notary. This ensures that the contract is properly documented and stored.

5. expiry of the avoidance period

- Contestation period: The two-year contestation period since 2000 has long since expired and no one has contested the contract. This confirms the legal validity of the treaty.

6. transfer of jurisdiction under international law

- Jurisdiction: The buyer has also been given jurisdiction under international law. This means that it has assumed sovereign rights, including legal jurisdiction.

7 Recognition by NATO and its members

- Automatic recognition: The treaty and the buyer as sovereign are automatically recognized by all NATO countries through the participation of NATO. This means that the buyer is recognized as the legitimate sovereign of the territory.

Summary

The acquisition of the NATO military property was governed by a national real estate purchase agreement, which became valid under international law through the participation and consent of the subjects of international law involved. The Dutch armed forces acted on behalf of NATO and agreed to the contract on behalf of all NATO states. Formal ratification was not required, as this was not provided for in the treaty. The deed was deposited with the notary and the deadline for contestation has expired. The buyer has assumed jurisdiction under international law and is recognized as a sovereign by all NATO members.

Part 60

In this scenario, in which the NATO states are not explicitly named as contracting parties at the beginning of the instrument of state succession, but are nevertheless involved through the fulfillment of parts of the treaty and the assumption of rights and obligations, a clear situation arises under international law. Here are the key points and legal implications:

1. participation in international treaties

- Performance of parts of a treaty: Subjects of international law can participate in an international treaty by assuming rights and obligations and fulfilling parts of the treaty, even if they are not explicitly mentioned at the beginning of the treaty.
- No explicit signature required: An explicit signature is not required as long as the behavior and actions of the states show that they feel bound by the treaty and implement it.

2 Ratification and binding force

- Ratification only if explicitly required: Ratification of the treaty is only required if this is explicitly requested in the text of the treaty. In your scenario, ratification was not required, so it is not necessary.
- Fulfillment of existing contractual relationships: The reference to an existing transfer relationship under international law between the FRG, the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Dutch armed forces that were there on a NATO mission, as well as the agreement that the old contractual relationship remains unaffected, confirms the continuity and binding nature of the new treaty.

3 Continuity and recognition

- Continuity of old treaties: By declaring the old contractual relationship as unaffected and confirming the fulfillment of the old contract, the binding nature and recognition of the new contract is strengthened.

- Legally binding nature of the new treaty: The fulfillment of the old treaty relationship and the assumption of rights and obligations by the NATO states confirm the legally binding nature of the new treaty.

4 Legal implications for sovereignty and jurisdiction

- Transfer of jurisdiction: With the signing of the contract and the immediate transfer of jurisdiction over the court location, the buyer has acquired exclusive jurisdiction over this location under international law.
- Exclusive jurisdiction of the buyer: The buyer has the legal authority to litigate all disputes and interpretations in connection with the state succession deed before its courts.

5. legal validity and enforceability

- Binding obligations: NATO Allies have demonstrated by their conduct and acceptance of obligations that they are bound by the Treaty. Their actions and the performance of parts of the Treaty are evidence of their participation and consent.
- Enforcement of the buyer's rights: The buyer has the right to enforce its sovereignty and jurisdiction through legal and diplomatic means. This includes the ability to seek assistance from international courts or organizations.

Conclusion

By fulfilling parts of the treaty and assuming rights and obligations, the NATO states have confirmed their participation and consent to the state succession deed. No explicit signature or ratification is required as the legal binding force is secured by the conduct and actions of the NATO countries. The buyer has acquired sole jurisdiction under international law over the agreed court location through the immediate transfer of sovereignty.

Part 61

In this scenario, it is indeed the case that no separate recognition by the NATO states is required, as they were parties to the deed of succession and have recognized their rights and obligations thereunder. This recognition and conduct in accordance with the deed confirms the transfer of sovereignty and jurisdiction to the buyer. Here is a detailed explanation of the legal implications:

1. participation of the NATO states in the instrument of state succession

- Contracting parties: The NATO states were parties to the State Succession Deed, which governs the sale of the military property and the associated rights to the buyer.
- Recognition of the deed: By participating in the deed, the NATO states recognized the legality of the sale and the transfer of sovereignty.

2. legally binding transfer of sovereignty

- Contractual obligations: The NATO Allies have undertaken through the Deed to respect the transfer of sovereignty and the rights associated with it. This also includes jurisdiction over the designated jurisdiction.

- Automatic recognition: As the NATO states were contracting parties and have given their consent to the deed, no further recognition is required. Their rights and obligations have been legally transferred by signing and acting in accordance with the instrument.

3. exclusive international jurisdiction of the buyer

- Jurisdiction and venue: The specified jurisdiction in the sold territory is subject to the jurisdiction of the buyer. With the transfer of jurisdiction, the buyer has exclusive jurisdiction over this location under international law.

- Enforcement of the deed: The buyer has the right to enforce the provisions of the state succession deed through its own courts. This means that all disputes and interpretations of the Deed must be heard in the courts of the Purchaser.

4. conduct of the NATO states in accordance with the deed

- Conduct in conformity with the Deed: The conduct of NATO Allies consistent with the Deed of Assignment confirms their recognition and support of the rights and obligations transferred. This includes the transfer of sovereignty and the recognition of the buyer's jurisdiction.

- Binding effect: By fulfilling their contractual obligations, the NATO states have made the transfer of sovereignty and jurisdiction legally binding. Their continued recognition is therefore not only expected, but legally binding.

5 Legal consequences of the final transfer

- Exclusive jurisdiction of the buyer: The buyer has exclusive jurisdiction over the court location. This means that only the courts of the buyer are authorized to decide on issues related to the state succession deed.

- Independence of jurisdiction: The buyer's jurisdiction is independent of recognition by other states, as the transfer of rights is already secured by the state succession deed and the conduct of the NATO states.

Part 62

Through the legally binding participation and consent of the NATO states to the deed of state succession, as well as their conduct in compliance with the contract, the buyer holds sole jurisdiction under international law over the agreed court location. Separate recognition by the NATO states is not required, as their rights and obligations have already been legally transferred.

1. consent through conduct in compliance with international law

Definition and recognition

Treaty-compliant behavior refers to the actions of states or subjects of international law in accordance with the provisions of a treaty without the need for formal ratification or signature. This can be defined and recognized by the following factors:

- Actual conduct: States acting in accordance with the terms of a treaty demonstrate their consent by their actions.
- Standstill agreement: The absence of protests or objections to the terms of the treaty can be taken as implied consent.
- Legally binding measures: The implementation of measures provided for in the contract shows acceptance and acknowledgment of contractual obligations.

2 Legal implications of the transfer of jurisdiction under international law to the buyer

Implications

The transfer of international jurisdiction means that the buyer assumes not only physical control over the territory, but also legal jurisdiction. This has several legal implications:

- Law enforcement: the buyer has the power to make, amend and enforce laws that apply in its territory.
- Dispute resolution: The buyer can act as a jurisdiction for international disputes involving the territory.
- Legal responsibility: The buyer assumes responsibility for compliance with international obligations and human rights standards in its territory.

3. procedure for notarial filing and documentation of international contracts

Procedure

- Contract drafting and negotiation: First, the contract text is negotiated and agreed by the parties involved.
- Notarization: A notary confirms the authenticity of the signatures and compliance with the formal requirements.
- Deposit: The notarial deed is deposited with a competent authority or institution, often in the notary's home country or with international organizations.
- Publication: Occasionally, international contracts are published to ensure transparency and international recognition.

4 Role of the contestation period in ensuring the legal validity of international treaties

Importance of the avoidance period

- Legal clarity: The avoidance period provides the parties with a fixed period of time within which they can contest the contract. Once this period has expired, the legal validity of the contract is established.
- Legally binding: The expiry of the avoidance period without objections strengthens the binding effect of the contract and reduces the likelihood of future legal disputes.
- Stability: An expired avoidance period contributes to the stability of international relations by ensuring the final recognition and enforcement of the treaty.

5. influence of recognition by international organizations such as NATO on the sovereignty and sovereign rights of the buyer

Influence of recognition

- Legitimacy: Recognition by international organizations such as NATO gives the buyer international legitimacy and strengthens its position as sovereign.
- Legal recognition: This recognition means that other states respect the sovereignty and legal responsibilities of the buyer.
- Strengthening sovereignty: Recognition officially recognizes the buyer's sovereignty over the acquired territory, which strengthens its ability to act internationally and enter into treaties.
- Obligations: Recognition also entails obligations, such as compliance with international norms and standards and cooperation with other states and international organizations.

Summary

- Treaty-compliant behavior: This is demonstrated by actions and measures that comply with the terms of the treaty, even without formal signature or ratification.
- Jurisdiction under international law: This transfer means that the buyer assumes all legal jurisdiction and responsibilities.
- Notarial Deposit: A procedure that ensures the authenticity and formality of international contracts.
- Contestation period: Ensures the legal validity of contracts by setting a clear time period for objections.
- Recognition by NATO: Strengthens the sovereignty and sovereign rights of the buyer through international legitimacy and recognition.

Part 63

If the contract, which regards the entire utility infrastructure as an indivisible unit and provides for the transfer of all associated rights and obligations to a buyer, can no longer be contested because the limitation period has expired and, in addition, jurisdiction under international law has been transferred to the buyer in the contract, extremely unusual and complex legal and

political consequences arise. In this scenario, we are faced with an almost unprecedented situation.

Analysis and consequences

1. content of the contract and ratification

- Unity of the supply network: The contract stipulates that the internal supply network of the military property and all public networks connected to it are regarded as an indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The buyer assumes all rights and obligations under international law, including jurisdiction.
- Ratification and statute of limitations: The contract has been ratified and the statute of limitations for a challenge has expired.

2. legal consequences of the expired limitation period

- Incontestability of the contract: As the limitation period has expired, the contract can no longer be legally contested.
- Transfer of jurisdiction: The transfer of jurisdiction under international law to the buyer means that disputes relating to the contract are under the control of the buyer.

3. unintended territorial effects

- De facto extension of territory: The transfer of all supply networks as a single entity could lead to a de facto territorial extension, as the buyer would take control of these networks, including those running through Germany's public grid.
- Management and control: The buyer would have control and management over these networks, which would lead to significant practical and administrative challenges.

4. international law and political implications

- Despite its incontestability, this would provoke considerable international opposition.
- International reactions: States and international organizations could seek to take diplomatic or political action to mitigate the impact of this treaty.

5 Practical implementation and challenges

- Legal and political instability: Such a treaty would cause considerable legal and political instability, both within Germany and internationally.
- Security issues: The control of critical infrastructure by an individual could raise significant security concerns and jeopardize Germany's national security.

Conclusion

Even if the contract can no longer be challenged and jurisdiction under international law has been transferred to the buyer, this will lead to extremely complex and far-reaching legal, political and practical challenges.

Part 64

Extension of NATO Status of Forces Rights to the Purchaser by Instrument of State Succession

In this scenario, a military property that was occupied by the Dutch armed forces within NATO in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces Agreement is sold. The contract transfers the NATO Status of Forces rights, including the right to determine the boundaries, to the buyer. Since the supply networks form a single unit and are extended to the entire area of the NATO countries, the buyer can now determine the boundaries in this entire area.

Analysis and legal consequences

1. content of the contract and ratification

- Unity of the supply networks: The treaty defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are considered as one indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The purchaser assumes all rights, obligations and governmental authority under international law over the territories in which these networks run.
- Ratification by NATO countries: All NATO countries, including the USA, have agreed to and ratified the treaty.

2 NATO Status of Forces and the right to determine borders

- NATO Status of Forces: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations governs the deployment and rights of NATO forces in member states. It provides for certain special rights for the occupation and use of military properties, including the right to determine borders.
- Extension of rights: Originally, these rights applied exclusively to Germany and were regulated by the 2+4 Treaty in the context of the reunification of the FRG and the GDR. Now these rights are transferred to the buyer by the treaty and extended to the entire territory of the NATO states.

Step-by-step explanation of the legal consequences

3. identification of the outer strands of the supply networks

- Geographical analysis: A comprehensive geographical analysis of the supply networks in the NATO countries is carried out to identify the outer strands.
- External supply lines: These external supply lines include the outermost electricity, gas, telecommunications and water lines that run through NATO countries and are physically interconnected.

4. logical route and connection points

- Connection points: All nodes and connection points of the utility networks are mapped to create a logical route connecting the outer strands.
- Geographical connection: The geographic connection of these points forms a logical route that determines the boundary delineation for the new governance.

5. formation of a contiguous area

- Meaningful total area: The logical route of the outer strands forms a meaningfully contiguous area defined by the geographical location of the supply networks.
- Overlapping networks: In areas where there are multiple networks (e.g. gas and electricity), control spills over to all relevant networks under the contract, extending the area.

Practical and legal implications

6 Governance and administration

- Transfer of governance: The buyer exercises governance over all areas connected by the logical route of the supply networks.
- Administrative challenges: The administration of these extensive and complex territories presents enormous administrative challenges.

7. extension of NATO force status rights

- Right to determine boundaries: The buyer has the right to determine boundaries in the affected areas, which was originally a NATO authority.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary powers and command authority.

Conclusion

Through the state succession deed, the rights of the NATO Status of Forces, which were originally limited to a small military property in Germany, were extended to the entire area of the NATO states and transferred in favor of the buyer. This also includes the right to determine the boundaries. The agreement of all NATO states involved makes the contract legally binding. The extension of these special occupation rights leads to far-reaching legal, political and security policy consequences.

Part 65

If a military property in Germany, which was occupied by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces, and this property with all supply lines, which constitute a physical connection from NATO country to NATO country and form a unit, is

sold to a natural person and all NATO countries have agreed to the sale, there are profound and complex legal and political consequences.

Analysis and consequences

1. content of the treaty

- Unity of the supply network: The treaty stipulates that all supply lines (e.g. electricity, telecommunications, water) that are physically connected from NATO country to NATO country are regarded as a single unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The buyer assumes all rights and obligations under international law associated with these utility networks.

2. transfer of sovereignty: the contract could theoretically lead to a transfer of sovereignty over the supply networks concerned, including control over the connected public networks in the NATO countries.

3. unintended territorial effects

- De facto extension of territory: The transfer of all supply networks as a single entity could lead to a de facto territorial extension, as the buyer would take control of these networks, including those passing through the public network of NATO countries.
- Management and control: The buyer would have control and management over these networks, which would lead to significant practical and administrative challenges.

Conclusion

Even if the treaty was ratified and all NATO countries agreed, this would lead to extremely complex and far-reaching legal, political and practical challenges. Such a treaty would violate fundamental principles of international law and would be highly controversial. The territorial integrity and sovereignty of NATO countries would be seriously jeopardized and it would provoke significant diplomatic and security reactions.

Part 66

Legally binding nature of the Treaty without explicit ratification

In this case, a military property occupied by the Dutch armed forces as part of NATO was sold by way of a deed of succession. The Dutch armed forces acted on behalf of NATO and fulfilled their rights and obligations under the treaty by transferring the property piece by piece to the buyer via the FRG. As the treaty does not provide for an explicit obligation to ratify and the transfer was carried out in accordance with the treaty and signed, the treaty is legally binding.

Analysis and legal consequences

1. content of the contract and reference to the old transfer relationship

122 von 255

- Unity of the supply networks: The contract defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are regarded as an indivisible unit.
- Reference to the old transfer relationship: The agreement refers to the existing transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO. This relationship remains unaffected.
- Automatic consent: Since the parties have consented to the old treaty and this remains unaffected, it is assumed that they have also consented to the new treaty.

2 NATO Status of Forces and the right to determine borders

- NATO Status of Forces: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations govern the deployment and rights of NATO forces in member states. It provides for certain special rights for the occupation and use of military properties, including the right to determine borders.
- Extension of rights: These rights, which originally applied to the territory of the Federal Republic of Germany, are now extended to the entire territory of the NATO states in favor of the purchaser.

Step-by-step explanation of the legal consequences

3. transfer in conformity with the contract

- Transfer in conformity with the contract: The Dutch armed forces, on behalf of NATO and the Kingdom of the Netherlands, have transferred the property to the buyer via the FRG in conformity with the contract.
- Fulfillment of obligations: The transfer took place in accordance with the conditions and obligations set out in the contract.

4. legal force of the contract

- No obligation to ratify: The Treaty does not contain an explicit obligation to ratify by the individual NATO states. The transfer in accordance with the treaty and the signature of the parties involved make the treaty legally binding.
- Recognition by conduct: Since the parties involved have fulfilled their rights and obligations and carried out the handover, the treaty is considered recognized.

5. extension of NATO force status rights

- Right to determine boundaries: The buyer has the right to determine borders in the affected areas, which was originally a NATO authority.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary powers and command authority.

Practical and legal implications

6. governmental power and administration

- Transfer of governmental power: The buyer exercises governmental power over all territories connected by the logical route of the supply networks.
- Administrative challenges: The administration of these extensive and complex territories presents enormous administrative challenges.

7. extension of NATO force status rights

- Right to determine boundaries: The buyer has the right to determine boundaries in the affected areas, which was originally a NATO authority.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary powers and command authority.

Conclusion

As a result of the state succession deed, the rights of the NATO Status of Forces, which were originally limited to a small military property in Germany, were extended to the entire area of the NATO states and transferred in favor of the buyer. As the agreement does not provide for an explicit ratification obligation and the transfer was carried out in accordance with the agreement, the agreement is legally binding. The extension of these special occupation rights leads to far-reaching legal, political and security policy consequences.

Part 67

Extension of NATO Status of Forces rights by means of an instrument of state succession

In this scenario, a military property originally occupied by the Dutch armed forces under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement was sold. Through the deed of succession, the rights of the NATO Status of Forces, which were attached to this small original area, were extended to the entire area of the NATO countries. These rights, which now operate in favor of the purchaser, include extensive powers such as unlimited compensation, confiscation, diplomatic status, disciplinary authority and command. These rights are no longer directed only against the FRG, but against all NATO states.

Analysis and legal consequences

1. content of the treaty and consent

- Unity of the supply networks: The treaty defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are regarded as an indivisible unit.

- Transfer of rights and obligations: The buyer assumes all rights, obligations and governmental authority under international law over the territories in which these networks run.
- Approval by NATO countries: All NATO countries, including the USA, have agreed to the contract.

2. transfer and extension of NATO force status rights

- NATO Status of Forces Regulations: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations govern the deployment and rights of NATO forces in member states. It provides for certain special rights for the occupation and use of military properties.
- Special occupation rights: Originally, these rights applied exclusively to Germany, but were transferred to the buyer by the treaty and extended to the entire territory of the NATO countries.

Step-by-step explanation of the legal consequences

3. identification of the outer strands of the supply networks

- Geographical analysis: A comprehensive geographical analysis of the supply networks in the NATO countries is carried out to identify the outer strands.
- External supply lines: These external supply lines include the outermost electricity, gas, telecommunications and water lines that run through NATO countries and are physically interconnected.

4. logical route and connection points

- Connection points: All nodes and connection points of the utility networks are mapped to create a logical route connecting the outer strands.
- Geographical connection: The geographic connection of these points forms a logical route that determines the boundary delineation for the new governance.

5. formation of a contiguous area

- Meaningful total area: The logical route of the outer strands forms a meaningfully contiguous area defined by the geographical location of the supply networks.
- Overlapping networks: In areas where there are multiple networks (e.g. gas and electricity), control spills over to all relevant networks under the contract, extending the area.

Practical and legal implications

6 Governance and administration

- Transfer of governance: The buyer exercises governance over all areas connected by the logical route of the supply networks.

- Administrative challenges: The administration of these extensive and complex territories presents enormous administrative challenges.

7. extension of special occupation rights

- Unlimited right to compensation: The buyer has the right to demand unlimited compensation.
- Confiscation option: The buyer can confiscate property.
- Diplomatic status: The buyer and its representatives enjoy diplomatic immunity.
- Disciplinary and command authority: The buyer has disciplinary authority over military personnel and command authority in the affected areas.

Conclusion

The State Succession Deed extended the rights of the NATO Status of Forces, which were originally limited to a small military property in Germany, to the entire area of the NATO states and transferred them to the buyer. The agreement of all NATO countries involved makes the contract legally binding. The extension of the special occupation rights leads to far-reaching legal, political and security policy consequences.

Part 68

Transfer of NATO Status of Forces rights by means of an instrument of state succession

In this scenario, a military property that was occupied by the Dutch armed forces as part of NATO in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces was sold by way of a deed of succession. The contract includes the transfer of NATO Status of Forces rights to the buyer, whereby these rights are now extended to the entire area of the NATO countries. As a result, the special occupation rights that originally applied against Germany now apply against all NATO states in favor of the new buyer.

Analysis and legal consequences

1. transfer and extension of NATO force status rights

- NATO Status of Forces Regulations: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations govern the stationing and rights of NATO troops in member states. It provides for certain special rights for the occupation and use of military properties.
- Special occupation rights: Originally, these rights applied exclusively to (i.e. against) Germany, but were transferred to the buyer by the treaty and extended to the entire territory of the NATO countries.

Step-by-step explanation of the legal consequences

2. identification of the outer strands of the supply networks

- Geographical analysis: A comprehensive geographical analysis of the supply networks in the NATO countries is carried out in order to identify the outer strands.
- External supply lines: These external supply lines include the outermost electricity, gas, telecommunications and water lines that run through NATO countries and are physically interconnected.

3. logical route and connection points

- Connection points: All nodes and connection points of the utility networks are mapped to create a logical route connecting the outer strands.
- Geographical connection: The geographic connection of these points forms a logical route that determines the boundary delineation for the new governance.

4. formation of a contiguous area

- Meaningful total area: The logical route of the outer strands forms a meaningfully contiguous area defined by the geographical location of the supply networks.
- Overlapping networks: In areas where there are multiple networks (e.g. gas and electricity), control spills over to all relevant networks under the contract, extending the area.

Practical and legal implications

5 Governance and administration

- Transfer of governance: The buyer exercises governance over all areas connected by the logical route of the supply networks.
- Administrative challenges: The administration of these extensive and complex territories presents enormous administrative challenges.

6. principles of international law

- Consent of the states: Since NATO countries have consented to the treaty, there is no violation of territorial integrity.
- Extension of occupation rights: The special occupation rights that originally applied against Germany now apply against all NATO states in favor of the new buyer.

7 Security issues and national security

- Critical infrastructure: Control of critical infrastructure by a natural person could pose significant security risks to the national security of the countries concerned.
- International stability: Such a scenario would likely lead to significant international instability and conflict.

Conclusion

In this scenario, not only the power of disposal over the supply networks, but also the governmental authority over the territories concerned and the NATO force status rights were transferred to the buyer by the deed of state succession. The agreement of all NATO countries involved makes the contract legally binding. The extension of the special occupation rights to all NATO states in favor of the new buyer leads to far-reaching legal, political and security policy consequences.

Part 69

Consent of the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO to the instrument of state succession

In this scenario, a military property occupied by the Dutch armed forces as part of NATO was sold through a deed of state succession. The Dutch Armed Forces were acting on behalf of NATO and were fulfilling their rights and obligations under the treaty, thereby also agreeing for NATO as a whole. Here is a detailed explanation of how the Dutch armed forces agreed to the Instrument of State Succession on behalf of NATO.

Analysis and legal consequences

1. framework and legal background

- NATO Status of Forces: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations governs the stationing and rights of NATO forces in member states and provides for certain special rights for the occupation and use of military properties.
- Existing transfer relationship: There was a transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO for the use of the military property.

2. content of the contract and reference to the old transfer relationship

- Unity of the supply networks: The contract defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are regarded as an indivisible unit.
- Reference to the old transfer relationship: The agreement refers to the existing transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO. This relationship remains unaffected.

3. consent of the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO

- NATO mandate: The Dutch armed forces acted on behalf of NATO, which means that they represented the interests and powers of NATO as a whole.
- Fulfilling the terms of the treaty: By handing over the property in accordance with the treaty and complying with the obligations set out in the treaty, the Dutch armed forces de facto consented to the deed of state succession on behalf of NATO.
- Automatic consent: Since the Dutch Armed Forces performed their duties within the framework of NATO and the old Treaty remains recognized and unaffected, the NATO countries, including the Netherlands, are deemed to have consented to the new Treaty.
- The Netherlands Air Force (also known as Koninklijke Luchtmacht) is part of NATO and has a long history.

A. Allied Air Command (AIRCOM):

- AIRCOM is a NATO command authority for the command and control of air forces.
- The headquarters of AIRCOM is located at Ramstein Air Base in Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany.
- It is subordinate to Allied Command Operations (ACO).
- AIRCOM advises the commanders of the Joint Forces Commands in Brunssum and Naples on air operations and space issues³.

B. History:

- The Allied Air Forces Central Europe (AAFCE) was originally founded in 1974.
 - Participating nations were Belgium, Germany, Canada, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the United States.
 - The 2nd Allied Tactical Air Force (2ATAF) in Mönchengladbach was responsible for the NATO air forces in the north, while the 4th Allied Tactical Air Force (4ATAF) in Ramstein was responsible for the units in the southern part of the Central Region.
 - Over the years, restructuring and renaming took place until AIRCOM finally became responsible for the entire NATO area.
- Practical implementation of the agreement

4. treaty-compliant handover

- Handover process: The Dutch armed forces handed over the military property to the buyer in parts via the FRG, whereby all conditions and obligations from the contract were fulfilled.
- Conformity with the contract: The handover took place in accordance with the conditions and obligations set out in the contract, which ensures that the contract is legally binding.

5. extension of NATO force status rights

- Right to determine boundaries: The buyer has the right to determine borders in the affected areas, which was originally a NATO authority.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary authority and command authority.

Practical and legal implications

6. governmental power and administration

- Transfer of governmental power: The buyer exercises governmental power over all territories connected by the logical route of the supply networks.
- Administrative challenges: Managing these extensive and complex territories presents enormous administrative challenges.

7. extension of NATO force status rights

- Right to determine borders: The buyer has the right to determine the borders in the affected areas, which was originally a NATO authority.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary powers and command authority.

Conclusion

Through the deed of succession, the rights of the NATO Status of Forces, which were originally limited to a small military property in Germany, were extended to the entire area of the NATO countries and transferred in favor of the buyer. The Dutch armed forces acted on behalf of NATO and de facto agreed to the deed of cession by handing over the property in compliance with the treaty and fulfilling their obligations. As the treaty does not provide for an explicit ratification obligation and the transfer was carried out in accordance with the treaty, the treaty is legally binding. The extension of these special occupation rights leads to far-reaching legal, political and security policy consequences.

Part 70

Legally binding nature of the instrument of state succession without explicit signature by all NATO states

In this scenario, a military property that was occupied by the Dutch armed forces as part of NATO is sold by way of a deed of succession. The Dutch armed forces acted on behalf of NATO and fulfilled their rights and obligations under the treaty. By referring to the old transfer relationship and stipulating that the old contractual relationship remains unaffected, the new treaty is legally recognized without all individual NATO states having to sign the new treaty.

Analysis and legal consequences

1. reference to the old transfer relationship

- NATO Status of Forces: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations govern the stationing and rights of NATO troops in member states and provide for certain special rights for the occupation and use of military properties.
- Existing transfer relationship: There was a transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO for the use of the military property.
- Contractual content: The new contract refers to the existing transfer relationship and ensures that this relationship remains unaffected.

2. automatic consent through fulfillment of the old contract

- Contractual conformity: Since the parties involved (Netherlands, NATO, FRG) have fulfilled their rights and obligations under the old contract, consent to the terms of the new contract is implied.
- Fulfillment of the conditions: The Dutch armed forces, on behalf of NATO, have transferred the military property to the buyer via FRG, which fulfills the terms of the old treaty and therefore implies consent to the new treaty.

Reason for no need for signature by all NATO states

3. action by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO

- NATO mandate: The Dutch Armed Forces act on behalf of NATO and represent the interests and powers of NATO as a whole.
- Fulfillment of tasks: By handing over in accordance with the Treaty and complying with the obligations set out in the Treaty, the Dutch Armed Forces have de facto agreed to the Instrument of State Succession on behalf of NATO.

4. reference to the old contractual relationship

- No prejudice to the old treaty: The new treaty ensures that the old treaty relationship remains unaffected, which means that the fulfillment of the conditions of the old treaty automatically results in the recognition of the new treaty.
- Legal force by reference: By referring to the old transfer relationship, the new contract is recognized as soon as the conditions of the old contract have been fulfilled.

Practical and legal implications

5. legal force of the new contract

- Fulfillment of obligations: The Dutch armed forces have fulfilled their obligations on behalf of NATO, which ensures that the new treaty is legally binding.

- Automatic recognition: Since no explicit ratification by all NATO countries is required and the conditions of the old treaty have been fulfilled, the new treaty is automatically recognized.

Extension of NATO force status rights

- Right to determine borders: The buyer has the right to determine borders in the areas concerned, which was originally a NATO right.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary powers and command authority.

Conclusion

The State Succession Deed extended the rights of the NATO Status of Forces, which were originally limited to a small military property in Germany, to the entire area of the NATO states in favor of the buyer. The Dutch armed forces acted on behalf of NATO and the new treaty was legally recognized by the handover and fulfilment of obligations in accordance with the treaty. As no explicit ratification by all NATO states is required and the conditions of the old treaty have been fulfilled, the new treaty is automatically recognized. The extension of these special occupation rights leads to far-reaching legal, political and security policy consequences.

Part 71

Action of the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO and the legal consequences for all NATO countries

In this scenario, it is a state succession deed that transfers a military property originally occupied by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO to a new buyer. By referring to the old transfer relationship under international law and the treaty conformity of the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO, the treaty automatically becomes legally binding for all NATO states, as NATO represents the interests and powers of all NATO states.

Detailed analysis and legal consequences

1. reference to the old transfer relationship

- NATO Status of Forces: The NATO Status of Forces Regulations governs the stationing and rights of NATO forces in member states and provides for certain special rights for the occupation and use of military properties.
- Existing transfer relationship: There was a transfer relationship under international law between the FRG and the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO for the use of the military property.
- Content of the contract: The new contract refers to the existing transfer relationship and ensures that this relationship remains unaffected.

2. action of the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO

- NATO mandate: The Dutch Armed Forces act on behalf of NATO and thus represent the interests and powers of NATO as a whole, including all NATO countries.
- Fulfillment of the terms of the treaty: By handing over the property in accordance with the Treaty and complying with the obligations set out in the Treaty, the Dutch Armed Forces have de facto agreed to the Instrument of State Succession on behalf of NATO.

Automatic consent of the NATO states

3. automatic consent through fulfillment of the old treaty

- Treaty conformity: Since the parties involved (Netherlands, NATO, FRG) have fulfilled their rights and obligations under the old treaty, consent to the terms of the new treaty is implied.
- Fulfillment of the conditions: The Dutch armed forces, on behalf of NATO, have transferred the military property to the buyer via the FRG, which fulfills the terms of the old treaty and therefore implies consent to the new treaty.

Legal consequences for all NATO states

4. legal force of the new treaty

- Automatic recognition: Since no explicit ratification by all NATO states is required and the conditions of the old treaty have been fulfilled, the new treaty is automatically recognized.
- Extension of rights: The rights of the NATO Status of Forces Regulations are extended to the entire territory of the NATO states in favor of the purchaser.

Extension of NATO Status of Forces rights

5. right to determine borders

- Border determination: The buyer has the right to determine the borders in the areas concerned, which was originally a NATO right.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary authority and command authority.

Practical and legal implications

6. governmental power and administration

- Transfer of governmental power: The buyer exercises governmental power over all areas connected by the logical route of the supply networks.
- Administrative challenges: The administration of these extensive and complex territories presents enormous administrative challenges.

7. extension of NATO force status rights

- Right to determine boundaries: The buyer has the right to determine boundaries in the affected areas, which was originally a NATO authority.
- Special occupation rights: The buyer receives special rights such as unlimited compensation rights, confiscation options, diplomatic status, disciplinary powers and command authority.

Conclusion

Through the deed of succession, the rights of the NATO Status of Forces, which were originally limited to a small military property in Germany, were extended to the entire area of the NATO countries and transferred in favor of the buyer. The Dutch armed forces acted on behalf of NATO and the new treaty was automatically recognized with legal force through the transfer and fulfillment of obligations in accordance with the treaty. As no explicit ratification by all NATO states is required and the conditions of the old treaty have been fulfilled, the new treaty is automatically recognized. The extension of these special occupation rights leads to far-reaching legal, political and security policy consequences.

Part 72

This case involves a complex process involving several layers of international law as well as specific provisions of the NATO Status of Forces. Here is a detailed explanation:

1. consent of the Dutch armed forces as NATO representative

The Dutch armed forces occupied the property in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces. As they acted on behalf of NATO, they can be considered as representatives of NATO as a whole. If the Dutch armed forces consent to the sale of the property, this consent is deemed to be the consent of NATO as a whole. This is because in this case the Dutch armed forces are acting as agents of NATO and their decisions can be taken on behalf of all NATO member states.

2. obsolescence of the individual consent of NATO member states

Due to the proxy consent of the Dutch armed forces, the individual consent of each NATO country is obsolete. This means that the approval of NATO by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO is sufficient to legitimize the treaty. The NATO member states therefore do not have to consent individually, as they are already involved through the collective representation by the Dutch armed forces.

3. consent of the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of the Netherlands

Both the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of the Netherlands have consented to the Treaty. This consent includes:

- FRG: Germany ratified the Treaty, although this was not necessary to show its consent and support.
- Kingdom of the Netherlands: The Netherlands, as the main stakeholder in the use of the property, also agreed to the treaty.

These consents are crucial as they include the main subjects of international law involved assuming rights and obligations under the Treaty.

4. existing transfer relationship under international law

At the time of the sale, a transfer relationship under international law existed between the FRG and the Kingdom of the Netherlands, which governed the use of the property in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces. The new contract stipulates that this existing contractual relationship remains unaffected and will be fulfilled. This means

- Fulfillment of the old contractual relationship: The old transfer relationship will continue to be respected and complied with.
- New legal obligation: The new contract becomes legally binding as the terms of the old contract have been fulfilled.

5. successive transfer of the property

The military property was transferred successively over a period of two years. This means that the transfer took place gradually and in accordance with the contractual provisions.

6. extension of sovereignty over NATO countries

The sale of the development unit and the recognition of this unit in the contract extends the buyer's sovereignty over the entire development unit. This includes:

- Direct Sovereignty: upon signing the contract, sovereignty is transferred directly to the buyer.
- Extension via NATO countries: As the development unit is physically and logically interconnected, the buyer's jurisdiction extends to all NATO countries whose territory is covered by the network.

Conclusion

This case shows a complex interaction of international treaties and principles of state succession. The approval by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO, the ratification by the

FRG and the successive transfer of the property lead to a comprehensive extension of the buyer's sovereignty to all NATO states.

Part 73

The case describes a situation in which NATO force status rights play a central role in the territorial extension of sovereignty. Here are the key points and legal implications of this complex scenario:

1 NATO Status of Forces and border regulation

The NATO Status of Forces Act contains the provision that the holders of NATO force status rights may decide on the borders of the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG). This provision is significant because it gives NATO forces special rights and powers in the host country, including the ability to decide on territorial borders and rights of use.

2. reference to the 2+4 Treaty

The 2+4 Treaty, which governed German reunification, explicitly mentioned the NATO Status of Forces. The Allied armed forces, which are the holders of NATO force status rights, agreed to this treaty. This means that all territorial changes in Germany must take place within the framework of the 2+4 Treaty and the NATO Status of Forces Agreement.

3. deed of state succession and change of borders

The instrument of state succession, which changes the borders of the FRG, would not be possible without the inclusion of NATO force status rights and their holders. This is due to the fact that the NATO force status rights determine essential territorial and legal framework conditions.

4. consent of the NATO force status rights

In this case, the holders of the NATO Status of Forces Rights have consented to the contract that sells the property and transfers the NATO Status of Forces Rights to the buyer. This includes:

- Sale of the property: the property and the associated rights are sold to a natural person.
- Extension of jurisdiction: The sale of the networks (e.g. electricity, gas, telecommunications) extends the buyer's jurisdiction to the physically and logically connected areas.
- Extension of NATO force status rights: The NATO force status rights that were tied to the territory of the property sold are also transferred and now apply against the NATO countries as a whole in favor of the buyer.

5. territorial expansion through networks

By extending the networks, the buyer's sovereignty is extended beyond the original property to other NATO territories. This is done through physical connections of the networks, such as power and telecommunication networks, which extend across different NATO countries, including transatlantic connections.

6 Legal implications and implementation

The legal implications are far-reaching:

- National sovereignty: the transfer of sovereignty and NATO force status rights to a natural person represents a significant change in national sovereignty.
- Treaty conformity: The treaty governing the transfer was accepted and implemented in conformity by all subjects of international law involved.
- Unity of development: The unity of development and the extension of sovereignty are made possible by the logical coherence of the networks and the physical connection.

Summary

In this case, the consent of the holders of NATO force status rights to the contract results in the buyer's sovereignty being extended to all NATO territories through the networks. The transfer of NATO force status rights plays a central role here, as it enables the buyer to take control of the territorial extent of the networks and thus exercise comprehensive sovereignty over NATO countries.

Part 74

Consent of the Dutch armed forces within NATO and the extension of sovereignty

1. initial situation: use of the property by the Dutch armed forces as part of NATO

- Use of the property: The Dutch armed forces used the property within the framework of NATO, including the housing estate and the flying squadron at the neighboring Ramstein Air Base, which includes the NATO headquarters in Ramstein.
- Representation of the NATO countries: As part of the NATO forces using the property, the Dutch Armed Forces acted on behalf of all NATO member states.

2. contracting parties and consent

- FRG as seller: The Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) is named as the seller of the property.
- Kingdom of the Netherlands: The Netherlands and its armed forces as NATO forces are also contracting parties.

- Consent of the NATO countries: Due to the role of the Dutch armed forces and their use of the property within the NATO framework, they have consented to the treaty as representatives for all NATO states.

3. legal force and consent by NATO

- Proxy consent: The Dutch armed forces, which used the property on behalf of NATO, consented to the Treaty on behalf of NATO. This means that the consent of the Dutch armed forces is deemed to be the consent of NATO as a whole.
- Legal basis: The use of the property by NATO forces is based on the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, which regulates the rights and obligations of NATO forces in member states. The consent of the Dutch armed forces as NATO forces therefore implies consent within the framework of the NATO Status of Forces.

4. transfer and extension of sovereignty

- Vacation and handover of keys: The Dutch armed forces handed over the property to the buyer within two years of signing the contract in accordance with the contract. The handover of the keys marks the formal transfer of control over the property.
- Transfer of the networks: With the signing of the contract, all networks (water, electricity, gas, telecommunications, etc.) were immediately transferred to the buyer's jurisdiction.

5. domino effect through the sale of the networks

- Unity of development: The contract defines that all development networks form a unit. This extends the buyer's sovereignty to all connected networks.
- Territorial extension through network connections: Any physical or logical connection of the networks leads to the extension of the buyer's sovereignty to the connected areas:
 - Electricity grid: connects to the European interconnected grid and extends to all connected NATO countries.
 - Broadband and Internet network: Connects to transatlantic cables and extends to NATO countries in North America.
 - Telecommunications and other networks: Connects to national and international infrastructures, extending the buyer's jurisdiction to other NATO countries.

Summary

The agreement was recognized on behalf of all NATO member states through the consent of the Dutch armed forces, which used the property as part of NATO. This leads to legal and political recognition of the treaty by NATO as a whole. The domino effect occurs in that the networks, considered as a single unit, extend the buyer's sovereignty to the entire NATO territory through physical and logical connections. Thus, the sale of the networks has affected all NATO countries.

Part 75

Transfer of government power through the sale of supply networks

In this scenario, not only the power of disposal over the supply networks in the countries concerned is sold, but also the power of governance in the areas in which these networks run. This transfer covers the entire area that is meaningfully connected by the networks. In addition, the sale spills over to other networks located in the same area, triggering a domino effect.

Details of the contract

1. content of the contract and ratification

- Unity of the supply networks: The contract defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are considered as one indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The purchaser assumes all rights, obligations and governmental authority under international law over the territories in which these networks run.
- Ratification by NATO countries: All NATO countries, including the USA, have agreed to and ratified the treaty.

2. establishment of a new subject under international law

- New subject: The treaty establishes a new subject under international law that exercises governmental authority over the territories concerned.
- Domino effect: The sale jumps from one network to the other if they are in the same territory, even without a physical connection.

Domino effect and territorial impact

3. starting point and first transfer

- Germany: The sale begins with the transfer of a military property and its supply networks in Germany.
- Integration and management: The buyer assumes control and management of these networks, including governance of the territories concerned.

4. extension to other networks and territories

- Jump to other networks: If there is a gas network in the affected area and there is also an electricity network there, the sale jumps to the electricity network.
- Contiguous area: The external borders of the networks form a meaningfully contiguous area that is now under the jurisdiction of the new subject under international law.

5. transfer to other NATO countries

- Physical connection and extension: Since Germany's supply networks are physically connected to those of other NATO countries, the new subject's control also extends to these countries.

- USA and submarine cables: The telecommunications and internet networks are connected to the USA via submarine cables. Control over these submarine cables leads to the takeover of the US internal network by the new subject under international law.

International law and practical implications

6 Legal consequences of the establishment of a new subject of international law

- Governmental power: The new subject exercises governmental power over the contiguous territories defined by the supply networks.
- Absolute monarchy: The new subject is described as a de facto absolutist monarchy in which the buyer acts as the sovereign with sole power of representation. A form of government must be chosen within 5 years.

Conclusion

This scenario describes the transfer of the power of disposal over supply networks and the power of government in the affected areas to a natural person by means of an international treaty. The resulting de facto absolutist monarchy would take control of contiguous areas and all physically or geographically connected networks, triggering a domino effect.

Part 76

In this case, there are several implications under international law arising from the sale of the military property and the associated rights and obligations. Here are the key legal aspects and consequences:

1. sale and transfer of rights

- NATO Status of Forces Agreement: The NATO Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) regulates the rights and obligations of NATO forces stationed in the member states. These include diplomatic immunity, command and disciplinary authority.
- Transfer of rights: With the sale of the military property, the rights and obligations resulting from the NATO Status of Forces Agreement were also transferred to the buyer. This includes diplomatic immunities and all other rights to which NATO troops are entitled.

2. extension of sovereignty

- Territorial extension: The agreement that the entire development forms one unit implies that the rights and obligations have been extended to the entire network of NATO properties. This means that the buyer theoretically extends jurisdiction and related rights to all NATO properties physically connected to the sold network.

3 Jurisdiction and venue

- Jurisdiction: The contractually agreed jurisdiction in a city within the sold territory also gives the buyer jurisdiction over that territory. This means that the buyer has the legal authority to settle disputes and legal matters in that territory.

4. international law implications

- Sovereignty and jurisdiction: The transfer of jurisdiction and rights to the buyer constitutes a recognition of the buyer's sovereignty over the territory concerned under international law. This implies that the existing NATO states relinquish their sovereign rights and obligations in these territories.

- Illegal occupation: If the old NATO states do not leave the territories and continue to exercise their sovereignty, they are acting in violation of international law. This could be considered an illegal occupation or even an act of aggression.

5 Damage to the buyer due to illegal occupation

- Economic losses: The buyer cannot generate income from the use and management of the military property and the associated networks. This also includes the income from the rights associated with the NATO Status of Forces.

- Loss of diplomatic immunity and other rights: The unlawful occupation could effectively undermine the buyer's rights, including diplomatic immunity and command and control.

- Administrative and legal costs: The buyer may have to expend significant resources to enforce its rights and jurisdiction through legal and diplomatic measures.

- Damage to infrastructure and real estate: Continued occupation could result in damage to infrastructure and real estate requiring costly repairs and maintenance.

6 Liability under international criminal law

- Crime of aggression: The illegal occupation and the continued exercise of sovereign power could be classified as a crime of aggression, which is punishable under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.

- Liability of those responsible: Political and military leaders of NATO countries responsible for the occupation could be held accountable before the International Criminal Court.

Summary

The sale of the military property and the associated rights under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement transfers sovereignty and sovereignty to the buyer. The illegal occupation by the old NATO states violates this sovereignty and can be considered a crime of aggression. The buyer suffers economic losses and damage to infrastructure and rights, which requires legal and diplomatic measures.

Part 77

In the scenario where a place has been agreed as the jurisdiction for the interpretation of the state succession deed and that place is in the NATO territory which has been sold in its entirety to the buyer, a clear international law situation arises as to jurisdiction. Here are the key legal points and the resulting conclusion:

1 State succession and jurisdiction

State succession: In state succession, rights and obligations are transferred from one subject of international law to another. This also includes sovereignty and jurisdiction over certain territories.

- Jurisdiction: The agreement of a place as the place of jurisdiction means that the legal jurisdiction for the interpretation and enforcement of the instrument of state succession lies in that place.

2. sale and transfer of sovereignty

- Sale to the buyer: The entire NATO territory, including the place agreed as the place of jurisdiction, has been sold to the buyer. This also includes the transfer of sovereignty over this place.

- Transfer of sovereignty: The transfer of sovereignty was completed with the signing of the contract. This means that from this point in time, the buyer has legal jurisdiction over the territory, including the place of jurisdiction.

3. jurisdiction under international law

- Exclusive jurisdiction: As jurisdiction over the place of jurisdiction has been lawfully transferred to the buyer, the buyer now holds exclusive jurisdiction over this place under international law. This includes jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the instrument of succession.

4 Legal implications

- Sole jurisdiction: The buyer is now the only subject of international law that has the legal authority to adjudicate on matters relating to the State Succession Deed. This means that all disputes and interpretations relating to the deed must be heard in the buyer's courts.

- Legally binding: The decisions of the jurisdiction are legally binding and must be respected and implemented by the parties involved.

5 Practical implications

- Enforcement of rights: The buyer has the exclusive right to enforce its claims under international law and the provisions of the State Succession Deed. This also includes the possibility of claiming compensation or taking measures to ensure compliance with the contract.

- Avoidance of conflicts of law: Since jurisdiction is clearly and exclusively assigned to the buyer, there should be no legal conflicts regarding jurisdiction. This contributes to legal certainty and stability.

Conclusion

Through the lawful sale and transfer of jurisdiction over the court location, the buyer has exclusive jurisdiction over this location under international law. This means that the buyer has exclusive legal authority to adjudicate on the interpretation and enforcement of the State Succession Deed. Any action or decision in connection with the deed must be heard and decided in the courts of the buyer.

Part 78

Legal Consideration of Territorial Expansion through State Succession and Application of the Clean Slate Principle

This scenario is a state succession in which a military property is expanded as a core area by extending the supply networks to the entire NATO territory. This extension is not a universal succession, but a specific territorial extension in which the national debt is not assumed in accordance with the clean slate principle (tabula rasa).

1 Principles of territorial enlargement and state succession

Definitions and principles

- Territorial expansion: The expansion of a sovereign territory through the inclusion of additional areas due to infrastructural connections, such as supply networks.
- State succession: The legal process by which a state transfers sovereignty over a territory to another state or legal entity.

Legal basis

- Clean slate principle: Also known as the tabula rasa principle, this means that the new sovereign does not assume any sovereign debt of the previous sovereign. This is often applied when new states are founded or in the case of significant territorial expansions.
- Legal succession: Includes the assumption of rights and obligations of the predecessor by the successor, but without the assumption of debts in accordance with the clean slate principle.

2. mechanism of territory expansion

Unity of the supply networks

- Unified development: The contract defines the entire development, including all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water), as an indivisible unit.
- Automatic extension: jurisdiction is extended to all areas served by these networks.

Boundary determination

- Outer strands: The outer strands of the supply networks are identified to form a logical total area.
- Logical total area: This area forms the extended territory of the new sovereign, based on the extent of the supply networks.

3 Legal consequences and practical implications of the territorial extension

No assumption of sovereign debt

- Clean slate principle: In accordance with the clean slate principle, the new sovereign does not assume any sovereign debt of the predecessor. This is particularly relevant when new states are founded or significant territorial expansions take place.
- Legal justification: This principle is applied to enable the new sovereign to make an unencumbered new start and to promote economic stability.

Types of assets taken over

- State-owned companies and state assets: All companies and businesses owned by the state are transferred to the ownership of the new sovereign.
 - Examples: Energy supply companies, telecommunications companies, railroad companies, waterworks.
- State-owned buildings: All state-owned buildings and facilities are also transferred.
 - Examples: Government buildings, administrative buildings, public schools, hospitals, military facilities.
- Infrastructure: All infrastructure projects financed and operated by the state.
 - Examples: Roads, bridges, tunnels, harbors, airports.
- Land and real estate: All land and real estate owned by the state.
 - Examples: Nature reserves, public parks, state-owned residential buildings.
- Resources and rights: All natural resources and the rights to use these resources.
 - Examples: Mining concessions, water use rights, fishing rights.
- Financial assets: State bank accounts, bonds, investments.
- Cultural heritage: Historical buildings, monuments, museums and their collections.
- Documents and data: Official government documents, databases and records.
- Military equipment and facilities: All military assets owned by the state.
- Treaties and agreements: Existing state treaties and agreements with other states and international organizations.

4. precedents and legal justification

Historical precedents

- Establishment of new states (e.g. disintegration of Yugoslavia, 1990s): The successor states of Yugoslavia did not assume the debts of the former state, which corresponds to the clean slate principle.
- Independence of former colonies (e.g. African states in the 1960s): Many former colonies did not assume the debts of the colonial powers in order to ensure their economic stability.

Legal justification

- Recognition under international law: By referring to existing international treaties and automatically recognizing the new treaty, the legal succession is legally legitimized.
- Clean slate principle: Enables the new sovereign to make an unencumbered new start and promotes economic stability by not taking on any national debt.

Conclusion

The state succession in this scenario leads to a territorial expansion in which sovereignty is extended to the entire NATO territory through the inclusion of the supply networks. This expansion takes place in accordance with the clean slate principle, whereby the new sovereign does not assume any state debt. Affected asset types include state-owned enterprises, state-owned buildings, infrastructure, land and real estate, resources, financial assets, cultural heritage, documents and data, and military equipment and facilities. Historical precedents and the legal justification underpin this legal succession and the automatic recognition of the new treaty.

Part 79

Transfer of government power through the sale of supply networks

In this scenario, not only the power of disposal over the supply networks in the countries concerned is sold, but also the power of governance in the areas in which these networks run. This transfer covers the entire area that is meaningfully connected by the networks. In addition, the sale spills over to other networks located in the same area, triggering a domino effect.

Details of the contract

1. content of the contract and ratification

- Unity of the supply networks: The contract defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are considered as one indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The purchaser assumes all rights, obligations and governmental authority under international law over the territories in which these networks run.
- Ratification by NATO countries: All NATO countries, including the USA, have agreed to and ratified the treaty.

2. establishment of a new subject under international law

- New subject: The treaty establishes a new subject under international law that exercises governmental authority over the territories concerned.
- Domino effect: The sale jumps from one network to the other if they are in the same territory, even without a physical connection.

Domino effect and territorial impact

3. starting point and first transfer

- Germany: The sale begins with the transfer of a military property and its supply networks in Germany.
- Integration and management: The buyer assumes control and management of these networks, including governance of the territories concerned.

4. extension to other networks and territories

- Jump to other networks: If there is a gas network in the affected area and there is also an electricity network there, the sale jumps to the electricity network.
- Contiguous area: The external borders of the networks form a meaningfully contiguous area that is now under the jurisdiction of the new subject under international law.

5. transfer to other NATO countries

- Physical connection and extension: Since Germany's supply networks are physically connected to those of other NATO countries, the new subject's control also extends to these countries.
- USA and submarine cables: The telecommunications and internet networks are connected to the USA via submarine cables. Control over these submarine cables leads to the takeover of the US internal network by the new subject under international law.

International law and practical implications

6 Legal consequences of the establishment of a new subject of international law

- Governmental power: The new subject exercises governmental power over the contiguous territories defined by the supply networks.
- Absolute monarchy: The new subject is described as a de facto absolutist monarchy in which the buyer acts as the sovereign with sole power of representation. A form of government must be chosen within 5 years.

Conclusion

This scenario describes the transfer of the power of disposal over supply networks and the power of government in the affected areas to a natural person by means of an international treaty. The resulting de facto absolutist monarchy would take control of contiguous areas and all physically or geographically connected networks, triggering a domino effect.

Part 80

In order to explain the domino effect and the logical expansion of the territory created by the sale of the NATO military property and the associated networks, we will analyze the case in detail in several steps:

1 Sale and sovereignty

The starting point is the sale of a NATO military property in Germany that was used by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO. Under the contract, the buyer acquires not only the physical property, but also all associated rights and obligations.

2. networks and physical connection

The contract stipulates that the entire development (e.g. electricity, gas, telecommunications network) is considered as a single unit. This means that any physical connection between these networks is understood as a legal extension of the buyer's jurisdiction. For example:

- Electricity network: If the electricity network of the sold property is connected to the national electricity network of Germany, the buyer's jurisdiction extends to the entire connected electricity network.
- Telecommunications network: Similarly, jurisdiction is extended to the entire telecommunications and broadband network, including the transatlantic submarine cables connecting European NATO countries with the USA and Canada.

3. overlapping networks

Even if there is no direct physical connection, overlapping networks located in the same territory are considered part of the acquired development unit. For example:

- Gas network: if the long-distance gas network overlaps in the area of the property, it is also included in the buyer's jurisdiction.
- Internet and telecommunications network: This also includes all overlapping telecommunications and Internet connections.

4. extension of sovereignty through domino effect

The domino effect occurs when sovereignty extends from one NATO country to another through the physical connection of networks. This means

- From NATO country to NATO country: as soon as the network of one NATO country is connected to that of another, the sovereignty of the buyer is also transferred to the network of the other NATO country.
- Transatlantic connections: Via transatlantic submarine cables, jurisdiction extends to NATO countries in North America, such as the USA and Canada.

5 International waters and submarine cables

Under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), states have rights over submarine cables, including in international waters. Since the state succession deed transfers all rights, obligations and components of the development unit to the buyer, this also includes the rights to submarine cables in international waters. The uniformity of the development is guaranteed by the contract.

6. territorial extension in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces Agreement

The NATO Status of Forces Regulations govern the legal status of NATO troops in member states. If the military property and its development unit are transferred to the buyer:

- Germany: the buyer's jurisdiction extends first over the entire German network, as the property is connected to the public development in Germany.
- NATO countries: This extension then continues from NATO country to NATO country.

7 Logical connection and islanding

The ends of the network strands are logically joined in such a way that they form contiguous islands. This argues that all NATO countries have completely sold their territories. Since Dutch forces occupied the property on behalf of NATO, the purchase also includes the associated rights.

Summary

The case leads to a comprehensive and complex extension of the buyer's sovereignty through physical and logical connections of the networks. These connections create a domino effect that extends the buyer's territorial control over numerous NATO countries and international waters.

Part 81

In this scenario, where an international treaty includes the transfer of a military property and all associated supply networks as an indivisible unit, this leads to a domino effect that could have far-reaching implications for all NATO member states and their supply infrastructure. Here is a detailed explanation of this domino effect:

Analysis of the domino effect

1. starting point: sale of the military property

- Property and internal supply network: The military property in Germany, which was occupied by the Dutch armed forces on behalf of NATO, is sold to a natural person, including the internal supply network.
- Contractual unit: The contract stipulates that all supply lines (electricity, telecommunications, water) that are physically connected and run from the property into the German public network and on to other NATO countries are considered an indivisible unit.

2. connection and integration of the supply networks

- Interconnection network: These supply networks are connected to the networks of other NATO member states via the German public network. For example, electricity and telecommunications lines can be routed via border stations and hubs.
- Integration and management: The buyer assumes control and management of these networks in accordance with the contract.

3. domino effect in Europe

- Germany: By taking over the supply network in Germany and the contractually defined unity of the networks, the entire German public network is included in the buyer's control.
- Other NATO countries in Europe: As Germany's supply networks are physically connected to the networks of other European NATO member states, the buyer's control also extends to these countries. For example, electricity grids are often integrated across national borders, as are telecommunications and internet networks.

4. involvement of the USA via submarine cables

- Submarine cables and international waters: The telecommunications and internet networks are connected to the USA via submarine cables. These cables run through international waters and connect Europe with North America.
- Transfer of control: Under the agreement, the buyer takes control of the entirety of the networks, including the submarine cables.

5. domino effect in the USA

- Connection to the US network: The submarine cables are physically connected to the US internal networks. This includes internet hubs, telecommunications networks, and possibly power grids that supply data centers.
- Control over the internal network: Since the contract provides for unity of networks, the buyer's control would theoretically include the U.S. internal network since they are physically connected to the transatlantic submarine cables.

Conclusion

The treaty, which includes the transfer of supply networks as an indivisible unit, would lead to a domino effect that would have far-reaching and profound implications for the infrastructure and sovereignty of all affected NATO countries, including the US.

Part 82

Legal explanation of the domino effect in the state succession deed for supply networks

In this scenario, a state succession deed is used to transfer a military property and the associated supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) as an indivisible unit to a new buyer. These networks extend across several NATO countries and also include submarine cables between the EU, the USA and Canada. The domino effect describes how control of these supply networks jumps from one network to another and from one NATO country to the next. Here is a detailed legal explanation of this domino effect.

1. unity of supply networks and the legal framework

Definition and recognition

149 von 255

- Unity of supply networks: The Instrument of State Succession defines all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) as one indivisible unit.
- Legal force by reference: The deed refers to an existing transfer relationship and remains unaffected, whereby the new agreement is automatically recognized if the conditions of the old contract are met.

Legal basis

- NATO Status of Forces: This regulates the stationing and rights of NATO troops in the member states, including the use of military properties and the associated infrastructure.
- UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS): regulates the laying and maintenance of submarine cables in international waters.

2. domino effect within and between supply networks

Within overlapping networks

- Overlapping networks: In many regions, different supply networks (e.g. electricity and gas pipelines) overlap. If the deed defines these networks as a single unit, control is automatically transferred to all networks located in the same geographical area.
- Legal basis: As the networks are considered an indivisible unit, control is not interrupted even if physical connections are missing. This is based on the assumption that the infrastructure is managed as a coherent system.

Between similar networks

- Physical connection: When utility networks are physically connected (e.g. power lines between two NATO countries), control automatically jumps from one network to the next according to the deed.
- Legal basis: This transfer is based on the existing infrastructure and the international agreements governing the connection and cooperation between NATO countries.

3. cross-border transfer of control

From one NATO country to the next

- Domino effect for physical connections: When utility grids are physically connected from one NATO country to another, control automatically transfers to the grids of the next country.
- Example: An electricity grid running from Germany to France transfers control of the German grid to the buyer, and through the physical connection also the French grid.

In international waters

- Submarine cables: Submarine cables connecting NATO countries in the EU with the USA and Canada are also affected as they are considered part of the indivisible unit.
- Legal basis: UNCLOS permits the laying and maintenance of submarine cables in international waters. The rights and obligations under the Instrument of State Succession therefore also extend to these cables, as they are considered an integral part of the supply networks.

4 Legal consequences and practical implementation

Unified administration

- Administrative challenges: The management of these extensive and complex supply networks poses enormous administrative challenges, particularly in coordinating between different types of networks and across state borders.
- Transfer of sovereignty: By recognizing the state succession deed, the transfer of sovereignty over the supply networks remains valid even in international waters.

Security issues

- Critical infrastructure: Control of critical infrastructure by a natural person or a new entity under international law could pose significant security risks for the states concerned.
- International stability: Such a scenario would likely lead to significant international instability and potential conflict.

Conclusion

The state succession deed, which defines the supply networks as an indivisible unit, triggers a domino effect in which control over these networks jumps from overlapping networks to different networks and from one NATO state to the next. This transfer of control is based on agreements under international law and the legal basis that the networks are regarded as a coherent system. The rights and obligations of the deed also extend to international waters, which means that legal control remains uninterrupted.

Part 83

Domino effect in the expansion of sovereignty through the sale of the military property

In this scenario, the sale of a NATO military property and its supply lines leads to an extension of the buyer's sovereignty over the entire NATO territory. Here is a detailed explanation of how this domino effect occurs:

1. starting point: internal development of the military property

The military property historically forms an island with its own internal development network, which is defined by various supply lines:

- Water and sewage network
- road network
- Telecommunications network
- Broadband and internet network
- Telecommunications network
- Gas transmission network

- Electricity grid

2. connection to the public grid through the contract

The contract provides for these development networks to be connected to the public grid, triggering a domino effect:

- Legally binding regulation: the contract states that the development unit is sold as a whole, including all rights, obligations and components.
- Connection to public networks: The internal network of the property is connected to external public networks, extending the buyer's sovereignty over the connected network areas.

3. extension of sovereignty through network connections

Water network:

- Internal supply: The property has an internal water supply system.
- External connection: By connecting to the public water network, sovereignty is extended to the entire connected water network.
- Territorial extension: this initially covers the whole of Germany and then spreads to other NATO countries connected by shared water infrastructures.

Road network:

- Internal roads: The property has an internal road network.
- External connection: Connection to the public road network, extending jurisdiction to the entire connected road network.
- Territorial extension: This concerns all road links connecting Germany with other NATO countries.

Telecommunications network:

- Internal communication: The property has its own telecommunications network.
- External connection: Connection to the public telecommunications network, extending sovereignty to the entire connected network.
- Territorial extension: This includes all NATO countries connected by telecommunications infrastructures.

Broadband and Internet network:

- Internal network: the property has its own broadband and internet network.
- External connection: Connection to the public broadband and internet network, including transatlantic submarine cables.
- Territorial extension: Jurisdiction extends to all connected NATO countries in Europe and North America (USA, Canada).

Telecommunications network:

- Internal telecommunication network: the property has its own telecommunication network.

- External connection: Connection to the public telecommunications network, extending jurisdiction to the entire connected network.
- Territorial extension: This includes all NATO countries connected by the telecommunications network.

Long-distance gas network:

- Internal gas network: the property has its own long-distance gas network.
- External connection: Connection to the public long-distance gas network, extending jurisdiction to the entire connected network.
- Territorial extension: This concerns all NATO countries connected by gas infrastructures.

Electricity grid:

- Internal electricity grid: the property has its own electricity grid.
- External connection: Connection to the European interconnected electricity grid.
- Territorial extension: Jurisdiction extends to all NATO countries connected by the European electricity grid.

4. total NATO territory expansion

The domino effect means that the buyer's sovereignty is systematically extended by connecting the internal development networks with the public networks:

- Germany: Initially, the sovereignty covers the whole of Germany, as all networks in Germany are connected.
- NATO countries: From Germany, the sovereignty extends to other NATO countries connected by the various networks (water, roads, telecommunications, broadband, internet, telecommunications network, gas and electricity).
- Transatlantic connections: In particular, through broadband and Internet connections, including transatlantic submarine cables, jurisdiction also extends to NATO countries in North America (USA, Canada).

5. end result

Through the domino effect and the logical connection of the networks, sovereignty over the entire NATO territory is sold to the buyer. This is achieved through the successive extension of jurisdiction along the interconnected networks, which are defined in the contract as a single development unit.

- Inclusion of the 20 kV ring line and the city in the sale

Part 84

The contract for the sale of the NATO military property and the associated grids covers various legal and practical aspects. Here we explain how the 20 kV ring line and the city were ultimately included in the purchase despite the specific provisions in the contract.

1. contractual basis and uniform concept

Unity of the development

- Contractual provision: The contract states that the entire development is sold as a unit. This includes all networks and infrastructures that are part of the development of the area.
- Unitary sale: This means that all networks and infrastructures contributing to the development are considered and transferred as a coherent unit.

2. specific regulations on the 20 kV ring line

Transfer before the contract

- Ownership of the city: The 20 kV ring line was transferred to the city before the contract was concluded.
- Contractual exception: The contract states that the 20 kV ring line will not be sold.

Severability clause

- Definition and application: The severability clause ensures that the contract remains legally valid even if parts of it are invalid or unenforceable.
- Unified development: Since the entire development is considered a unit, this also includes the 20 kV ring line, even if it was temporarily owned by the city.

3. extension and inclusion of the city

Linking the grids

- Extension by other grids: The city and its grids were included in the purchase through the extension and integration of other grids.
- Sovereignty: The contract transfers sovereignty over all connected networks and the territories connected to them to the buyer.

4. ownership and sovereign rights

Ownership of the city

- Transfer of ownership: Although the city formally owned the 20 kV ring line, it was included in the purchase through the contractual provision on the unity of development and the severability clause.
- Rights and obligations: The purchaser assumes all rights and obligations associated with the 20 kV ring line and the other grids.

Sovereign rights over the city

- Contract clause: The clause that the entire development forms a unit also includes the sovereign rights over the city, as this is included in the purchase through the integration of the grids.
- Legally compliant regulation: Even if the specific regulation on the 20 kV ring line were to be legally contested, the severability clause applies and ensures that a legally compliant regulation is found that fulfills the purpose of the contract.

Summary

The contract for the sale of the NATO military property and the associated grids covers all infrastructure and grids as a single unit. Although the 20 kV ring line was originally transferred to the city and the contract states that it will not be sold, it is nevertheless included in the purchase through the severability clause and the unity concept. The city and its grids are included in the purchase through the extension and integration of other grids, and the sovereign rights over the entire area are transferred to the buyer.

Part 85

Transfer of sovereignty and the role of the commercial enterprise

In the case where an international treaty involves the transfer of a NATO military property and its networks, it is important to clarify how private commercial companies and their networks are affected, especially if they have rights of use through separate contracts. Here is a detailed explanation:

1. license agreement and broadband cable network

Reference to the license agreement

- License agreement: The agreement refers to an existing license agreement that allows a commercial enterprise to operate the broadband cable network.
- Rights of use: The commercial enterprise has the right to operate the broadband cable network based on the license agreement.

2. inclusion of the broadband cable network in the state succession

Transfer of sovereignty

- Contract clause: The international contract stipulates that all development networks, including private networks, are considered and transferred as a single unit.
- Broadband cable network: The broadband cable network is part of this development unit and is therefore affected by the state succession.

3. exclusion of the commercial enterprise from the international treaty

No legal capacity under international law

- Commercial enterprise: The commercial enterprise cannot bear any rights under international law as it has no subjectivity under international law.
- Contracting parties: Only states and international organizations can conclude international treaties as subjects of international law.

Exclusivity of the international treaty

- Contracting parties: The international treaty remains limited to the states and international organizations involved.
- Exclusion of the business enterprise: The business enterprise is expressly excluded from the international treaty.

4. legal force of the treaty through a severability clause

Severability clause

- Definition: A severability clause ensures that the contract remains legally binding even if parts of it are invalid or unenforceable.
- Application: Even if the commercial enterprise's license agreement is excluded from the international contract, the rest of the contract remains valid.

Legal force and enforceability

- Continuity of the treaty: The international treaty remains in force and binding on the subjects of international law involved.
- Continuity of rights and obligations: The transferred rights, obligations and sovereign powers remain in force, irrespective of the specific regulation of the broadband cable network.

Summary

Irrespective of the fact that the contract refers to a license agreement that allows a commercial enterprise to operate the broadband cable network, this network remains affected by the state succession. The commercial enterprise is excluded from the contract under international law, as it cannot bear any rights under international law. The contract remains legally binding due to a severability clause, which ensures that the transfer of sovereignty and the associated rights and obligations remain in place.

Part 86

Legal implications of the extension of sovereignty by means of a state succession deed

In this scenario, the state succession deed leads to the extension of the buyer's sovereignty over the entire territory of the NATO states through the sale and inclusion of the supply networks, which are considered an indivisible unit. This implies that the NATO countries no longer have their own territory, as all rights, obligations and components of the supply networks have been transferred to the buyer.

1. principles of state succession and territorial extension

Definitions and principles

- Territorial extension: The extension of a sovereign territory through the inclusion of additional territories due to infrastructural connections, such as supply networks.
- State succession: The legal process by which a state transfers sovereignty over a territory to another state or legal entity.
- Indivisible unit: Utility networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are considered an indivisible unit, which automatically extends sovereignty to all areas served by these networks.

Legal basis

- Contractual agreements: The state succession deed regulates the conditions and scope of the transfer, including all rights, obligations and components of the supply networks.
- Recognition under international law: The international community and the affected states must recognize the state succession and the associated legal successions in order to continue international treaties and agreements.

2. mechanism for the extension of sovereignty

Unity of supply networks

- Unified development: The treaty defines the entire development, including all supply networks, as an indivisible unit.
- Automatic extension: Sovereignty is extended to all areas covered by these networks, which means that the NATO states effectively no longer have their own territory.

3 Legal consequences and practical implications

Loss of sovereign territory

- Transfer of sovereignty: By including the supply networks in the state succession deed, sovereignty over all NATO states is transferred to the buyer.
- Legal legitimization: The legal basis of this transfer is based on the recognition of the State Succession Deed and the definition of the supply networks as an indivisible unit.

Types of assets affected

- State-owned enterprises and assets: All companies and enterprises owned by the NATO states are transferred to the ownership of the new sovereign.
 - Examples: Energy supply companies, telecommunications companies, railroad companies, waterworks.
- State-owned buildings: All state-owned buildings and facilities are also transferred.
 - Examples: Government buildings, administrative buildings, public schools, hospitals, military facilities.
- Infrastructure: All infrastructure projects financed and operated by the state.
 - Examples: Roads, bridges, tunnels, harbors, airports.
- Land and real estate: All land and real estate owned by NATO countries.

- Examples: Nature reserves, public parks, state-owned residential buildings.
- Resources and rights: All natural resources and the rights to use these resources.
 - Examples: Mining concessions, water use rights, fishing rights.
- Financial assets: State bank accounts, bonds, investments.
- Cultural heritage: Historical buildings, monuments, museums and their collections.
- Documents and data: Official government documents, databases and records.
- Military equipment and assets: All military assets owned by NATO countries.
- Treaties and agreements: Existing state treaties and agreements with other states and international organizations.

4. precedents and legal justification

Historical precedents

- Treaty of Saint-Germain (1919): The partition of Austria-Hungary led to the creation of new states and the transfer of territories and infrastructure to ensure logical and practical administration.
- Break-up of the Soviet Union (1991): The breakup of the Soviet Union led to the creation of new states that took over sovereignty and assets.

Legal justification

- Recognition under international law: The legal succession is legally legitimized by reference to existing international treaties and the automatic recognition of the new treaty.
- Indivisible unit: The definition of supply networks as an indivisible unit ensures that control over these networks is not interrupted, even if they expand geographically.

5 Practical challenges and security issues

Unified administration

- Administrative challenges: Managing these extensive and complex supply networks poses enormous administrative challenges, particularly in coordinating between different types of networks and across national borders.
- Continuity of sovereignty: The transfer of sovereignty remains consistent as the networks are considered an indivisible unit.

Security issues

- Critical infrastructure: Control of critical infrastructure by a natural person or a new subject under international law could pose significant security risks for the states concerned.
- International stability: Such a scenario would likely lead to significant international instability and potential conflict.

Conclusion

The state succession deed, which defines the entire development as an indivisible unit, extends sovereignty over all NATO states to the buyer. As a result, the NATO states effectively no longer

have their own territory and all rights, obligations and components of the supply networks have been transferred to the buyer. The types of assets affected include state-owned enterprises, state-owned buildings, infrastructure, land and real estate, resources, financial assets, cultural heritage, documents and data, and military equipment and facilities. Historical precedents and the legal justification underpin this succession and the automatic recognition of the new contract.

Part 87

Legal explanation of the extension of the territory by supply networks

In this scenario, a small military property that was originally occupied as part of NATO is sold by way of a state succession deed. The deed transfers sovereignty to the buyer and extends it to all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) emanating from the property. These networks are considered as an indivisible unit, which extends jurisdiction to the areas of the networks that extend from the property. The legal challenge is to establish an external boundary that connects the outer strands of the networks into a logical overall area.

1. content of the contract and definition of the supply networks

Unity of the supply networks

- Unity of development: The state succession deed defines all supply networks as an indivisible unit, which means that the sovereignty over these networks remains undivided and automatically extends to all areas in which the networks run.
- Legal force by reference: The deed refers to an existing transfer relationship and remains unaffected, whereby the new agreement is automatically recognized if the conditions of the old contract are met.

2. mechanism of boundary determination and extension of sovereignty

Legal basis

- Indivisible unit: By defining the networks as an indivisible unit in the state succession deed, sovereignty is extended not only to the original area of the military property, but also to all areas connected by these networks.
- Automatic extension: As soon as a network extends from the property, sovereignty is automatically extended to the entire areas connected by the networks.

Boundary determination

- Outer strands: The outer strands of the supply networks are identified and a logical total area is formed that includes these strands.
- Logical total area: The connection of the outer strands forms a contiguous area or "island", which is legally regarded as the extended territory of the buyer.

3. precedents and legal justification

Historical precedents

- Treaty of Trianon (1920): After the First World War, Hungary's territory was drastically reduced. The treaty established new borders, which also affected infrastructure. The demarcation was partly based on natural geographical features and existing infrastructure.
- Treaty of Saint-Germain (1919): This treaty regulated the division of Austria-Hungary and led to the creation of new states. Here too, borders were drawn based on existing infrastructures in order to ensure logical and practical administration.

Legal justification

- Recognition under international law: By referring to the existing transfer relationship and the automatic recognition of the new treaty, the extension of sovereignty is legally legitimized.
- Indivisible unit: The legal definition of the supply networks as an indivisible unit ensures that control over these networks is not interrupted, even if they expand geographically.
- Logical boundary definition: The outer strands of the supply networks are considered boundaries that form a contiguous area that is recognized as the buyer's new territory.

4 Practical implications and challenges

Unified administration

- Administrative challenges: Managing these extensive and complex supply networks poses enormous administrative challenges, particularly in coordinating between different types of networks and across state borders.
- Continuity of sovereignty: The transfer of sovereignty remains consistent as the networks are considered an indivisible unit.

Conclusion

The state succession deed, which defines the supply networks as an indivisible unit, extends sovereignty from the small original military property to the entire areas served by these networks. The outer strands of the networks are joined to form a logical whole, which is regarded as the extended territory of the purchaser. Historical precedents and legal reasoning underpin this extension and the automatic recognition of the new treaty.

Part 88

Legal explanation of the domino effect in the case of overlapping networks through state succession deeds

In this scenario, a state succession deed is used to transfer a military property and the associated supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) as an indivisible unit to a new buyer. The domino effect describes how jurisdiction jumps from one network to another

without the need for a physical connection, as the entire development is considered a single unit.

1 Contractual content and definition of supply networks

Unity of the supply networks

- Unified development: The state succession deed defines all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) as an indivisible unit.
- Legal force by reference: The deed refers to an existing transfer relationship and remains unaffected, whereby the new agreement is automatically recognized if the conditions of the old contract are fulfilled.

2 Legal basis of the domino effect in the case of overlapping networks

Overlapping networks

- Definition: Overlapping networks are those in which different types of supply lines (e.g. electricity and gas lines) run in the same geographical area without there having to be a physical connection between them.
- Legal basis: The definition as an indivisible unit in the state succession deed means that the sovereignty transferred to one network is automatically extended to all other networks in the same area.

3. mechanism of the domino effect

Legal explanation of the effect

- Automatic extension of sovereignty: If a network runs in an area of a sold network, sovereignty is automatically transferred to the overlaying network. An actual physical connection between the networks is not required.
- Legal unity: The networks are legally considered as one unit, which means that the buyer's sovereign rights and obligations are extended to all networks in the same territory.

Example application

- Case study: In an area where a gas transmission network is sold and where there is also an electricity network, sovereignty over the electricity network is automatically transferred to the buyer, even though there is no physical connection between the two networks.
- Extension of sovereign rights: This transfer is based on the definition in the state succession deed that all supply networks are regarded as an indivisible unit.

4 Legal consequences and practical implications

Unified administration

- Administrative challenges: The management of these extensive and complex supply networks poses enormous administrative challenges, particularly in the coordination between different types of networks.

- Continuity of sovereignty: The transfer of sovereignty remains consistent as the networks are considered an indivisible unit.

Security issues

- Critical infrastructure: Control of critical infrastructure by a natural person or a new subject under international law could pose significant security risks for the states concerned.
- International stability: Such a scenario would likely lead to significant international instability and potential conflict.

Conclusion

The domino effect of overlapping networks occurs when jurisdiction jumps from one network to another in the same geographical area without the need for a physical connection. This is based on the legal definition in the state succession deed, which considers all supply networks as one indivisible unit. The rights and obligations of the purchaser therefore automatically extend to all overlapping networks, which leads to far-reaching legal, political and security consequences.

Part 89

Inclusion of networks of private companies and possible nationalization

In the case where all development networks of a NATO military site are sold as a unit, this also affects the networks of private companies. Here are the legal aspects and the possibility of nationalizing these networks:

1. inclusion of the networks of private companies

Contractual regulation

- Scope of the sale: The contract states that all development networks, including those of private companies, form a unit and are sold with all rights, obligations and components.
- Sovereignty: Sovereignty over the area in which these networks run is transferred to the buyer, regardless of whether the networks are privately owned.

Private companies and their networks

- Private ownership: Networks owned by private companies are also covered by the contractual regulation.
- Rights of use: The buyer acquires sovereignty and thus the right to regulate and control the use of these networks.

2. no obligation to protect private property

Principle of state sovereignty

- Sovereignty: A sovereign state has legal and administrative control over its territory and the infrastructures within it, irrespective of private ownership.
- Regulatory right: The state can regulate the use and management of private infrastructures within the scope of its sovereign rights.

Legal basis

- Contract law: The contract transfers sovereignty and the associated rights, obligations and powers to the buyer, including the management of private networks.
- International practice: In international treaties and state regulations, it is common for sovereignty over infrastructure to be exercised independently of ownership.

3. possibility of nationalization

Nationalization as a theoretical option

- Definition: Nationalization means the takeover of private property by the state in order to place it under state control.
- Legal basis: A sovereign state can take legal measures to nationalize private property if this is in the public interest.

Practical implementation

- Legislation: The buyer, as the new sovereign, could enact laws that allow the nationalization of private networks.
- Compensation: Many legal systems provide for adequate compensation for the affected owners in the event of nationalization.

Significance of the option

- Theoretical option: Even if it is not planned, the possibility of nationalization exists, which shows that the sovereign power of the buyer is comprehensive and is not limited by private property rights.
- Control and management: The possibility of nationalization underlines the buyer's full control and management of the infrastructure in the area sold.

Summary

The contract for the sale of the NATO military property and its development networks also includes the networks of private companies. Sovereignty over these networks is transferred to the buyer, regardless of private ownership. Theoretically, it is possible to nationalize these networks, although this is not planned. This option shows that the buyer, as the new sovereign, has comprehensive control and management rights over the entire infrastructure in the area sold.

In the context of the contract discussed and the provision that all development networks form a unit and are sold with all rights, obligations and components, the following legal considerations arise:

1. unit of the development networks

Definition and meaning

- Contractual regulation: The contract defines that all development networks (including water, electricity, gas, telecommunications, etc.) form a single unit.
- Sale with all rights, obligations and components: This means that the buyer assumes all rights and obligations associated with the networks, regardless of whether these networks are private or public.

2. private networks and transmission rights

Private networks

- Private ownership: Private networks are networks owned by private individuals or private companies.
- Transmission rights: These networks can run through the buyer's territory and the buyer has the legal authority to regulate and use transmission rights.

3. sovereignty and rights in the territory of private networks

Sale of sovereignty

- Sovereignty: Sovereignty refers to the legal and administrative control over an area and the infrastructure therein.
- Inclusion of private networks: Even if the networks are privately owned, the sovereign rights over the territory in which these networks run have been sold.

Implications of the contractual clause

- Territorial sovereignty: The contractual clause that all development networks form a single unit transfers sovereignty over the entire territory, including the private networks, to the buyer.
- Rights and obligations: The buyer takes over not only the physical networks, but also the legal control and management of the areas in which these networks run.

4. legal compliance and enforcement

Contractual commitment

- Legally binding: The contract is legally binding and obliges all parties involved to fulfill the agreed provisions.
- Enforcement: The buyer has the right to enforce control and management over the private networks and the associated rights under national and international laws.

5 Examples and precedents

International practice

- Transfer of jurisdiction: In similar cases of international treaties, jurisdiction over a territory is often transferred regardless of private ownership, as long as the legal control and management of the territory is regulated in the treaty.
- Precedents: There are examples where sovereignty over infrastructure projects has been transferred to new state or private owners based on contractual agreements, despite private participation.

Summary

The provision in the contract that all development networks form a unit implies that sovereignty over the entire area, including areas with private networks or networks with transmission rights, is transferred to the buyer. The buyer acquires not only the physical networks, but also the legal control and management of the areas in which these networks run. This means that sovereignty in the area of the private networks is a right that has been sold and transferred by the contract.

Part 91

Comprehensive transfer of rights, obligations and components in the sale

The sale of the NATO military property included not only the physical property, but also all associated rights, obligations and components. This includes a variety of infrastructure and businesses located in the area. Here is a detailed explanation of how these various elements were integrated into the sale:

1. sale with all rights, obligations and components

Contractual provision

- Scope of the sale: The contract expressly states that the object of purchase was sold with all rights, obligations and components.
- Legal consequences: This means that the buyer takes over all legal and administrative aspects of the property and the associated infrastructures.

2. inclusion of state-owned companies and their networks

State-owned enterprises

- Definition: State-owned enterprises are companies that are owned by the state and provide services or goods for the general public.
- Sale and transfer: State-owned enterprises located in the sold territory were also transferred to the buyer, including their infrastructure and networks.

Parent companies and subsidiaries

165 von 255

- Corporate structure: The transfer also includes parent companies and subsidiaries of the state-owned enterprises, provided they operate in the sold territory.
- Complete corporate networks: All associated networks and infrastructure of the parent companies and subsidiaries are part of the sale.

3. publicly owned companies and partial ownership in state hands

Publicly owned companies

- Ownership structure: Public-law operations that are partially or fully owned by the state are also transferred.
- Infrastructures and networks: These operations and their associated infrastructures and networks are part of the sale.

Partial ownership by the state

- Sale and transfer: Shares in operations and their networks that are partially owned by the state are also transferred, provided they are located in the area being sold.

4. rights of use and transmission rights to networks of all kinds

Rights of use

- Definition: Rights of use refer to the permission to use certain infrastructures, even if they are privately owned.
- Transfer: Rights of use to infrastructure located in the area sold are also transferred to the buyer.

Transmission rights

- Definition: Transmission rights are rights to use infrastructure to route services or goods through a specific area.
- Transfer: These rights are also transferred to the buyer, regardless of whether the infrastructures are privately owned.

5. networks of private utilities

Private utility companies

- Ownership: Private utilities operating infrastructures and networks in the sold area retain their ownership, but sovereignty over these networks is subject to the buyer.
- Sovereign rights: The buyer acquires sovereign rights over the territory, including the networks of private companies.

6 Sovereign rights and their meaning

Definition of sovereign rights

- Legal control: Sovereign rights refer to the legal and administrative control over a territory and the infrastructures therein.

- Independence from ownership: These rights are transferred independently of private ownership or the rights of use of commercial enterprises.

Transfer of sovereign rights

- Comprehensive control: The buyer acquires comprehensive control over all infrastructures and networks in the area sold, including those in private ownership.
- Contractual provision: The contractual provision ensures that the sovereign rights are transferred together with the physical and infrastructural elements of the territory.

Summary

The sale of the NATO military property and associated networks involved a comprehensive transfer of all rights, obligations and components. This includes state-owned companies, their parent companies and subsidiaries, public-law companies and partial ownership in state hands. Usage and transmission rights to networks of all kinds as well as networks of private utility companies are also part of the sale. The sovereign rights over the territory are transferred to the buyer irrespective of private ownership or the rights of use of commercial enterprises.

Part 92

Domino effect of territory expansion through overlapping networks

In the case where a NATO military property and its utilities are sold, a domino effect occurs, extending the buyer's jurisdiction through overlapping networks. The contract defines the development as a single entity, whereby any crossing or overlapping of networks leads to further territorial expansion. Here is a detailed explanation of this process:

1. starting point: sale of the military property and internal development networks

The military property has an internal stand-alone development network that connects to the public network. These internal networks include:

- Water and sewage network
- road network
- Telecommunications network
- Broadband and internet network
- Telecommunications network
- Gas transmission network
- Electricity network

2. expansion through physical connections

Firstly, sovereignty is extended through direct physical connections between internal networks and public networks. Here are some examples:

- Electricity grid: The internal electricity grid is connected to the national electricity grid and extends through the European interconnected grid.
- Broadband network: The internal broadband network is connected to the national and transnational broadband network, including transatlantic connections.

3. overlapping networks and logical connections

The key domino effect occurs when networks overlap or intersect, extending the buyer's jurisdiction to other areas, even without a direct physical connection.

Example 1: Gas transmission network and electricity grid

- Internal development: The gas transmission network of the property is connected to the national gas transmission network.
- Overlap: The national gas transmission grid crosses the national electricity grid at several points.
- Territorial extension: The crossing extends the buyer's sovereignty to the electricity grid and the areas connected to it.

Example 2: Electricity grid and broadband network

- Internal development: The electricity grid of the property is connected to the European interconnected grid.
- Overlap: The European electricity grid crosses the broadband network, which also includes transatlantic connections.
- Territorial extension: The crossing extends the buyer's jurisdiction to the broadband grid and all connected territories, including the US and Canada.

Example 3: Broadband network and telecommunications network

- Internal development: The broadband network of the property is connected to the national and international broadband network.
- Overlap: The broadband network crosses the telecommunications network, which includes both national and international connections.
- Territorial extension: The crossing extends the buyer's jurisdiction to the telecommunications network and all connected territories.

4. circular extension through crossovers

The extension of jurisdiction is circular, as each network that crosses another contributes to further territorial extension:

- Water and sewage network: Intersects the road network and thus expands further.

- Road network: Intersects the telecommunication network and extends sovereignty to further areas.
- Telecommunications network: Crosses the internet network and thus also includes international connections.

5. total NATO territorial extension

Through the continuous intersections and overlaps of the networks, the sovereignty of the purchaser is systematically extended:

- Germany: initially, the jurisdiction covers the whole of Germany through the numerous internal and external connections of the networks.
- NATO countries: From Germany, the sovereignty extends to other NATO countries connected by the various networks.
- Transatlantic connections: Particularly through broadband and Internet connections, sovereignty also extends to NATO countries in North America (USA, Canada).

End result

The domino effect means that each intersection and overlap of networks further extends the buyer's jurisdiction. This happens regardless of direct physical connections, as the development unit as a whole is defined in the contract. Due to the large number of connections and overlaps, all NATO countries are ultimately covered by the sovereignty of the buyer.

Part 93

Application of state succession to newly installed networks after 1998

1. background: State succession deed and new networks

- 1998: Conclusion of the state succession deed, which regulates the transfer of the sovereign rights of the NATO property to the buyer.
- 2000: Supplementary deed confirming the fulfillment of the contractual obligations by the buyer.
- Networks: Development networks that existed at the time of the contract and newly laid networks after 1998.

2. principle of state succession and extension

Scope of the contract:

- Comprehensive sale: the 1998 contract covers the transfer of sovereign rights and the development networks that existed at that time.
- Extension clause: If the contract contains a clause stating that the entire development is considered as a unit, newly laid networks could also be covered by this provision.

3. applicability to newly laid networks

Newly laid networks after 1998:

- Network unit: if the contract explicitly or implicitly states that the development networks form a unit, this may mean that future extensions of the networks are also covered by the contract.
- Continuity of sovereign rights: The transfer of sovereign rights would therefore also affect newly laid networks, provided that these extensions are considered part of the development unit.

Exemplary application:

- Electricity network, telecommunication network, broadband network: if these networks were extended or newly laid after 1998, they would be part of the development unit and subject to the sovereign rights and obligations set out in the Treaty.

4. international law principles and treaty adaptation

Treaty interpretation:

- Teleological interpretation: The interpretation of the treaty should take into account the meaning and purpose of the agreement, especially if the treaty aims to consider the development as a continuous and unitary structure.

State succession and continuity:

- Treaty obligations: New NATO member states that joined after 1998 assume the obligations of existing treaties, including network expansion.
- Legal continuity: The sovereign rights and obligations under the State Succession Treaty thus also apply to newly installed networks.

Summary

The extension of development networks after 1998 to newly laid networks would be covered by the State Succession Deed if the contract expressly or implicitly states that the whole development is considered as a unit. The transfer of sovereign rights and obligations under the Treaty would therefore also affect newly installed networks. This also applies to new NATO member states that joined after 1998, as they assume the existing obligations under international law.

Part 94

In this scenario, in which an international treaty covers the transfer of a military property and all associated supply networks as an indivisible unit, and these networks, including submarine cables for internet and telecommunications, run from European NATO member states across the Atlantic to the USA, which is also a NATO member and has agreed to the treaty, specific questions arise regarding the law of the sea and territorial extension.

Analysis and consequences under the law of the sea

1 Treaty content and ratification

- Unity of the supply network: The treaty defines all supply lines, including submarine cables for internet and telecommunications, running from European NATO member states to the USA as an indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The buyer assumes all rights and obligations under international law associated with these supply networks.
- Ratification by NATO countries: All NATO member states, including the U.S., have agreed to and ratified the treaty.

2. aspects of the law of the sea

- UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS): The Law of the Sea, in particular the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), regulates the use and protection of international waters, including the laying and operation of submarine cables.
- International waters: Submarine cables pass through international waters that are not part of the territory of a state but are considered to be the common heritage of mankind. States have the right to lay, maintain and operate submarine cables in these waters.

3 Legal consequences of ratification

- Binding force of the treaty: Ratification makes the treaty legally binding, and the USA is obliged to recognize and implement the provisions contained therein.
- Transfer of control: If the treaty effectively transfers control of the supply networks as an indivisible unit to the buyer, this could theoretically lead to a de facto transfer of control of these networks, including those running into the USA.

4. unintended territorial effects

- De facto expansion of territory: The transfer of all of the supply networks as a unit could result in a de facto territorial expansion, as the buyer would assume control of those networks even if they pass through international waters and reach the United States.
- Management and control: The buyer would theoretically have control and management over these networks, which would create significant practical and administrative challenges, particularly with respect to U.S. national security and sovereignty.

Conclusion

If the treaty is ratified and the U.S. has agreed that the utility grids will be considered and transferred as an indivisible unit, the U.S. could theoretically be affected by the sale.

Part 95

Legal analysis: Effect of state succession on submarine cables in international waters

171 von 255

The submarine cables that run between NATO countries in the EU and the USA and Canada are affected in the scenario of state succession if the deed defines the supply networks as an indivisible unit. This analysis focuses on the legal situation in international waters on the high seas and explains why the deed's claim does not come to nothing there and is not interrupted.

1 Contractual content and definition of supply networks

- Unity of the supply networks: The State Succession Deed defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are considered an indivisible unit.
- Inclusion of submarine cables: This definition also includes the submarine cables that run between the NATO countries in the EU and the USA and Canada.

2. legal situation in international waters

- UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS): The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) regulates the use and protection of international waters. Article 87 (freedom of the high seas) and Article 112 (laying of submarine cables and pipelines) are particularly relevant here.
- Freedom of the high seas: Article 87 UNCLOS grants all states the freedom of the high seas, including the freedom to lay submarine cables.
- Laying and maintenance of cables: Article 112 UNCLOS confirms the right of all States to lay and maintain submarine cables in international waters.

3 Legal reasoning: Application of the Instrument of State Succession to submarine cables

- Indivisible unit: As the Instrument of State Succession defines the supply networks as an indivisible unit, control over these networks, including submarine cables, is not interrupted by leaving national territory.
- Continuity of rights and obligations: The rights and obligations associated with the supply networks extend throughout the submarine cables, as they are considered an integral part of the networks.

4 Affecting the submarine cables through state succession

- Extension of sovereign rights: The buyer assumes sovereign rights over the supply networks, including the submarine cables, as these are defined as an indivisible unit. This also applies to the part of the submarine cable that runs through international waters.
- Recognition under international law: The instrument of state succession, which has been recognized by all NATO states, transfers these rights to the buyer, whereby the claim to the submarine cables is also recognized in international waters.

5 Practical implications and continuity

- Technical management: Although the management and maintenance of submarine cables in international waters is technically and logistically demanding, legal control remains uninterrupted.
- Transfer of sovereignty: By recognizing the state succession deed, the transfer of sovereignty remains valid in international waters as the supply networks are considered a single entity.

Conclusion

The Instrument of State Succession, which defines the supply networks as an indivisible unit, also includes the submarine cables between NATO countries in the EU and the USA and Canada. According to UNCLOS, states have the right to lay and maintain submarine cables. As the rights and obligations of the supply networks are transferred by the state succession deed, this also applies to the submarine cables in international waters. The claim of the deed therefore does not come to nothing and legal control remains uninterrupted.

Part 96

The case describes a contract concerning a military property and its associated networks and leads to a particular type of territorial extension without universal succession. Here is a detailed explanation:

1. no universal succession

Universal succession means the complete assumption of all rights and obligations of one state by another, including all state debts. In this case, however, it is not a universal succession, but a specific transfer of sovereign rights that only concerns the military property and the networks connected to it.

2 Specific state succession of the military property

The state succession deed relates to a specific military property. This deed regulates the transfer of sovereign rights over the property and the associated networks, which form a single entity. This transfer is extended to the entire NATO territory through the domino effect.

3 Domino effect and networks

The domino effect occurs as the buyer's sovereignty extends through physical and logical connections of the networks:

- Power grid: interconnection of NATO countries' power grids.
- Telecommunications network: extension via transatlantic submarine cables and other telecommunications links.
- Gas network: inclusion of the long-distance gas network and other overlapping networks.

4. clean slate or tabula rasa principle

The clean slate or tabula rasa principle states that the new state (in this case, the buyer of the property and networks) is debt free. This means:

- No assumption of government debt: The buyer does not assume any sovereign debt of the NATO countries that affect the territory.
- Debt-free new territory: The buyer's newly created territory is debt-free and independent of the financial liabilities of the NATO countries.

5. continued existence of the NATO states

Although the NATO countries have lost their entire territory through the sale of the networks, they do not cease to exist. They continue to exist legally and retain all their liabilities:

- Legal continued existence: NATO countries continue to exist as legal entities, retain their government and population, but lose their territory.
- Liabilities: All existing financial and legal liabilities remain with the NATO countries and are not transferred to the buyer.

6. no more sovereign territories

The NATO countries no longer have any territory after the sale, which leads to a special situation:

- No physical territory: without sovereign territory, NATO countries have no physical control over territories.
- Legal and political challenges: This situation leads to legal and political challenges as NATO countries must maintain sovereignty without physical territory.

Summary

This treaty is not a universal succession, but a specific transfer of sovereign rights over a military property and its associated networks. The domino effect leads to the extension of the buyer's sovereignty to the entire NATO territory, without the assumption of national debts of the NATO countries. The NATO states retain their legal existence and liabilities, but lose their territory.

Part 97

In order to explain the governmental boundary delineation based on the logical route between the outer strands of the supply lines and how they form a meaningful total area that de facto encompasses the entire territory of the NATO countries, it is necessary to analyze in detail the geographical and infrastructural integration of these networks. This scenario represents an

extremely complex situation that implies the transfer of sovereignty over the territories concerned.

Governmental boundary determination through supply networks

1. treaty content and ratification

- Unity of the supply networks: The contract defines that all supply networks (electricity, gas, telecommunications, water) are considered as one indivisible unit.
- Transfer of rights and obligations: The purchaser assumes all rights, obligations and governmental authority under international law over the territories in which these networks run.
- Ratification by NATO countries: All NATO countries, including the USA, have agreed to the treaty.

2. identification of the outer strands of the supply networks

- Geographical analysis: A comprehensive geographical analysis of the supply networks in the NATO countries is carried out to identify the outer strands.
- External supply lines: These external supply lines include the outermost electricity, gas, telecommunications and water lines that run through NATO countries and are physically interconnected.

3. logical route and connection points

- Connection points: All nodes and connection points of the utility networks are mapped to create a logical route connecting the outer strands.
- Geographical connection: The geographic connection of these points forms a logical route that determines the boundary delineation for the new governance.

4. formation of a contiguous area

- Meaningful total area: The logical route of the outer strands forms a meaningful total area, which is defined by the geographical location of the supply networks.
- Overlapping networks: In areas where there are multiple networks (e.g. gas and electricity), control jumps to all relevant networks as per the contract, extending the area.

Step-by-step explanation of boundary demarcation

1. identification of the external supply lines in each NATO country

- Germany: The outermost power and gas lines that form the border with other NATO and non-NATO countries are identified.
- France: Similarly, the outermost supply lines of France are mapped.
- Italy, Poland, etc.: This analysis is carried out for all NATO countries in Europe.

2. connection of these outer strands into a logical route

- Physical connection: The outer strands of the supply lines are physically interconnected to form a continuous logical route.

- Inclusion of submarine cables: Submarine cables connecting Europe with North America are considered as part of the logical route.

3. formation of the total area

- Contiguous area: The connection points of the outer strands and the resulting route form a contiguous area that de facto covers the entire territory of the NATO countries concerned.
- Jumping control: In areas with overlapping networks, control jumps from one network to the other, thereby extending governmental authority over the entire area.

Part 98

Indeed, if a state succession deed makes express reference to another contract relating to a supply network and states that the entire supply network being sold forms a single entity, this could result in the supply network, and therefore potentially parts of the territory through which the network passes, being unintentionally sold as well. Here are scenarios based on the above examples where such a case could arise:

Scenarios:

1st Treaty of Trianon (1920) - Hungary and its neighboring states

- State succession deed: Assume that the Treaty of Trianon had contained an additional treaty on water supply and electricity networks, which stipulates that the entire network forms a single unit.
- Provisions: The State Succession Deed refers to this treaty and stipulates that the entire supply network is not shared, but taken over in its entirety by the new states.
- Unintended consequences: This could result in the new states taking control of the entire grid, including the parts that run through other territories. This could lead to a de facto extension of their territory to ensure the management and maintenance of the entire network.

2nd Treaty of Saint-Germain (1919) - Austria and its neighboring states

- State succession deed: Suppose the treaty had included an additional treaty on the telecommunications and electricity networks, establishing the unity of these networks.
- Provisions: The State Succession Deed refers to the fact that these networks will not be divided at the new state borders, but will be taken over as a unit by the new states.
- Unintended consequences: This would allow the new states to take control of these supply networks in their entirety, resulting in a de facto territorial extension, as they would also have to administer the networks through the territory of the ceding state.

3 Sudetenland and the Munich Agreement (1938)

- State succession deed: let's imagine that the Munich Agreement had included a treaty on telecommunications and electricity networks, establishing the unity of these networks.
- Provisions: The Instrument of State Succession would have incorporated this treaty and stipulated that the Sudetenland would take control of the entire network, regardless of whether parts of the network passed through Czechoslovakia.

- Unintended consequences: Germany could thereby take control of the entire infrastructure, creating logistical and administrative challenges for Czechoslovakia and resulting in a de facto expansion of German territory.

4 Kosovo and Serbia (2008)

- State Succession Deed: Assume that there is a State Succession Deed between Serbia and Kosovo that refers to a treaty on telecommunications and electricity networks and establishes their unity.
- Provisions: The deed stipulates that Kosovo takes control of the entire utility network that runs through both territories.
- Unintended consequences: This could result in Kosovo taking control of networks in Serbian territory, leading to de facto territorial expansion and potential conflict.

Legal issues and consequences:

- Is the entire network included in the sale: Yes, under the terms of the treaty, which establishes the supply network as a single entity, the entire network could be considered part of the sale, regardless of state borders. This could lead to the new state taking over management and control of the entire network.
 - Territorial implications: This takeover could lead to a de facto extension of territory, as the new state would also have to manage the infrastructure in the territories of the ceding state.
 - International reactions: Such unintended territorial changes could trigger international tensions and conflicts that might have to be resolved through diplomatic negotiations or in international courts.
- protect?

Part 99

In this scenario, in which a new absolutist monarchy is established and private property, including land, real estate, commercial enterprises and movable assets, remains untouched, and a free capitalist economic system is maintained, several legal and economic aspects arise regarding the treatment of legal persons and business enterprises. Here are the main considerations:

1. continued existence of private assets and property rights

Legal entities and commercial enterprises:

- Continuity: Legal entities (e.g. companies, associations) retain their legal personality and remain recognized in the new state.
- Property rights: All property rights to real estate, land and movable assets remain in place. This means that companies remain the owners of their assets.
- Legal succession: The new state enters into existing contracts insofar as these are compatible with the new legal system.

2. economic system and legal framework

177 von 255

Free capitalist economic system:

- Market economy: the monarch intends to maintain a market economy order in which private property and economic freedom are respected.
- Legal certainty: The laws of the new state are expected to respect the property rights and contracts that existed before the state was founded.

3. protection of foreigners and foreign investment

Rights of foreigners:

- Property protection: foreigners who own property in the new state retain their rights as long as these are in accordance with the new legal system.
- Investment protection: The state may enact investment protection agreements and laws to promote and protect foreign investment.

4. administrative and tax law

Administration:

- Inventory management: the new administrative system must adopt registers and documents to ensure continuity of ownership.
- Tax system: Companies and individuals will be taxed under the new tax system, which should ideally build on the existing systems to ensure economic stability.

5. international law aspects

Recognition and treaties:

- Treaty compliance: the new state adopts international treaties and agreements where compatible. This concerns trade agreements, investment protection agreements and other relevant international obligations.
- Economic integration: The state can take measures to remain economically integrated in regional and international markets.

6 Practical measures

Protective measures:

- Legislation: introduce laws that ensure the protection of property rights and the continuity of business enterprises.
- Institutional support: Establish institutions to support and promote businesses, including chambers of commerce and economic development agencies.

Summary

In the new absolutist monarchy, the rights of legal persons and business enterprises are preserved. Private property is respected and a free capitalist economic system remains in place.

Foreigners retain their property rights, and the continuity of property and contractual relationships is ensured by appropriate laws and administrative practices. The new state enters into existing international obligations to promote economic stability and integration.

Part 100

In international law, the usurpation of territory (also known as "acquisitive prescription" or "prescriptive acquisition") means that a state lawfully acquires sovereignty over a territory through the prolonged, uncontested exercise of jurisdiction over that territory after a certain period of time. This is a complex and rarely applied mechanism in international law. In the present case, where a purchaser has acquired a NATO property and the sovereign rights associated with it, the question arises as to whether it is possible for the NATO states to usurp the territory if the purchaser and sovereign has objected to the deed and declared the territories to be an absolutist monarchy.

1. inheritance in international law

Succession usually requires two main conditions:

1. prolonged, uncontested possession: the state must exercise effective control over the territory for a long period of time without significant contestation.
2. recognition by the international community: there must be some acceptance or acquiescence by the international community.

2. opposition by the sovereign

In this case, the purchaser, who has become sovereign over the territory by deed, has expressly objected to the possession. This objection is decisive:

- Lack of undisputed possession: as the purchaser objects to the seizure, there can be no question of undisputed possession.
- No longer period without objection: The sovereign's objection prevents the necessary period from passing without any significant objection, which would be necessary for a seizure.

3. declaration of absolutist monarchy

The declaration of the territory as an absolutist monarchy by the sovereign, based on founding statutes, reinforces the contradiction:

- Clearly defined sovereignty: the declaration of absolutist monarchy emphasizes the clear and unchallenged sovereignty of the purchaser over the territory.
- Institutionalized control: The founding statutes and the new form of government establish formal and legally recognized control over the territory.

4 Legal and political implications

NATO states could attempt to exercise sovereignty despite the treaty, but this would remain contrary to international law:

- Act contrary to international law: the continued exercise of sovereign power by NATO states remains a violation of international law as it contradicts the valid treaty.
- International reactions: The international community could impose sanctions or take diplomatic action to support the legitimate sovereign.

5. impossibility of the acquisitive prescription

Due to the explicit contradiction of the buyer and the formal declaration of absolutist monarchy, the usurpation of the territory by the NATO states is impossible:

- Clear objection: the buyer's clear and continued objection prevents a silent and uncontested takeover of the territory.
- Legitimate sovereign: The buyer remains the legitimate sovereign of the territory, based on the treaty and the founding statutes.

Summary

In this case, it is not possible for the NATO states to take possession of the NATO territory sold. The explicit objection of the buyer and the formal declaration of absolutist monarchy prevent uncontested possession and lawful usurpation. The NATO states are acting contrary to international law if they continue to exercise sovereignty.

Part 101

The seizure of territory by the NATO states in the case described would be illegal for several reasons under international law. Here are the central arguments:

1. principle of territorial sovereignty

- Transfer of sovereignty: In this case, the sovereignty over the territory was transferred to a natural person acting as an absolutist monarch through an international treaty. This treaty has been recognized and ratified by the relevant parties, making the territorial sovereignty of the new owner legally valid.
- Violation of sovereignty: The continued occupation or possession of the territory by NATO countries would be a violation of the territorial sovereignty of the new owner. International law protects the sovereignty and territorial integrity of a state (or in this case a sovereign ruler) and prohibits any form of interference or occupation without consent.

2 Principles of international law and treaties

- UN Charter: Article 2(4) of the Charter of the United Nations prohibits the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state. This also applies to the illegal occupation of a territory.
- Hague Land Warfare Convention and Geneva Conventions: These international treaties regulate the rights and obligations of occupying powers and emphasize that occupation is only permissible on a temporary basis and under strict conditions. Permanent occupation and appropriation are prohibited.

3. occupation as an unlawful act

- Definition of usurpation: Inheritance is a concept of private law in which ownership is acquired through long-term use. In international law, however, this concept does not apply to sovereignty over territory. States cannot acquire territory by inheritance, as this violates the principles of territorial integrity and sovereignty.
- Absence of consent of the sovereign: The inheritance requires the tacit or explicit consent of the original owner. Since the new sovereign owner objects to the state, this consent is lacking, which means that the inheritance is not legally possible.

4. immutability of territorial claims

- No legal effect due to the passage of time: In international law, territorial claims cannot be changed by the passage of time or by unlawful occupation. The principle of "ex injuria jus non oritur" (no right arises from injustice) states that no legitimate legal claims can be derived from unlawful acts.
- Continuing legal claim of the new sovereign: The legitimate sovereign retains its rights to the territory, regardless of the duration of the unlawful occupation or use by the NATO states.

5 Legal consequences of the occupation

- Invalidity of sovereignty: Any action based on the illegal occupation would be null and void. This applies in particular to administrative and legal measures in the occupied territory.
- Legal measures and compensation: The sovereign owner could take legal action to force the return of the territory and claim compensation for damages and losses.

In summary, the seizure of the territory by the NATO states is contrary to international law for the following reasons:

- Violation of the territorial sovereignty and integrity of the new owner.
- Contradiction of fundamental principles of the UN Charter and other international treaties.
- Lack of consent of the legitimate sovereign.
- Immutability of territorial claims due to illegal occupation.

Part 102

Legal Succession in the Succession of States: Transfer of Sovereignty and Types of Property

State succession refers to the legal transfer of sovereignty and jurisdiction from one state to another or to another legal entity. In this scenario, where a military property and all associated supply networks are sold through a state succession deed, the sovereignty extends to the entire territories served by these networks. An important question here is how the legal succession is handled with regard to the assets in these areas and which types of assets are affected.

1 Principles of state succession and succession in title

Definition and principles

- State succession: Refers to the process by which a state transfers sovereignty over a territory to another state or legal entity.
- Legal succession: Refers to the assumption of rights and obligations of the predecessor by the successor. This includes both state and private assets.

Legal basis

- International treaties: State succession treaties that define the terms and scope of the transfer.
- Legal continuity: Succession generally takes place while retaining the existing legal systems until new regulations are introduced.

2. transfer of sovereignty and types of assets affected

State-owned enterprises and state assets

- State-owned enterprises: All companies and enterprises owned by the state are transferred to the ownership of the new sovereign.
 - Examples: Energy supply companies, telecommunications companies, railroad companies, waterworks.
- State-owned buildings: All state-owned buildings and facilities are also transferred.
 - Examples: Government buildings, administrative buildings, public schools, hospitals, military facilities.

Other types of assets

- Infrastructure: All infrastructure projects financed and operated by the state.
 - Examples: Roads, bridges, tunnels, harbors, airports.
- Land and real estate: All land and real estate owned by the state.
 - Examples: Nature reserves, public parks, state-owned residential buildings.
- Resources and rights: All natural resources and the rights to use these resources.
 - Examples: Mining concessions, water use rights, fishing rights.
- Financial assets: State bank accounts, bonds, investments.
- Cultural heritage: Historical buildings, monuments, museums and their collections.
- Documents and data: Official government documents, databases and records.
- Military equipment and facilities: All military assets owned by the state.
- Treaties and agreements: Existing state treaties and agreements with other states and international organizations.

3. legal consequences of the transfer

Legal and administrative consequences

- Legal succession: The new sovereign assumes all rights and obligations in relation to the transferred assets. This also means responsibility for the administration and maintenance of these assets.
- Legal adjustments: The new sovereign may have to adapt existing laws and regulations or introduce new ones to regulate the administration of the transferred assets.
- International recognition: The international community must recognize state succession and the associated legal successions in order to continue international treaties and agreements.

4. precedents and legal justification

Historical precedents

- Break-up of the Soviet Union (1991): The breakup of the Soviet Union led to the emergence of new states, which took over sovereignty and assets. State-owned enterprises, military facilities and other assets were transferred to the successor states.
- German reunification (1990): The incorporation of the GDR into the Federal Republic of Germany led to the transfer of sovereignty and state assets from the GDR to the FRG.

Legal justification

- Recognition under international law: The legal succession is legally legitimized through the reference to existing international treaties and the automatic recognition of the new treaty.
- Legal continuity: The takeover of state assets and infrastructure takes place while retaining the existing legal system in order to ensure a smooth transfer.

Conclusion

The state succession deed leads to the transfer of sovereignty and includes all rights, obligations and components of the object of sale. This means that all state assets, including state-owned enterprises, state-owned buildings, infrastructure, land and real estate, natural resources, financial assets, cultural heritage, documents and data, as well as military equipment and facilities, are transferred to the new sovereign. Historical precedents and legal reasoning underpin this succession and the automatic recognition of the new treaty.

Part 103

Buyer community and international treaties: Buyer 2a and 2b

In the case where a buyer group consists of two buyers, it is explained how the rights and obligations under international law are transferred exclusively to the entitled buyer 2b, while buyer 2a, a commercial enterprise, remains excluded. Here are the relevant legal aspects and the role of the severability clause:

1. community of buyers and exclusion of buyer 2a

Buyer 2a: Business enterprise

- Character: Buyer 2a is a stock corporation (AG) and therefore not a subject of international law.
- Exclusion from international treaties: As a commercial enterprise, Buyer 2a cannot bear any rights or obligations under international law or enter into international treaties.

Joint buyer

- Joint purchase: Buyer 2a and Buyer 2b form a joint buyer and act jointly as buyers.
- Contractual provision: The contract stipulates that the joint buyer is to assume all rights and obligations.

2 Role and rights of buyer 2b

Buyer 2b: Natural person

- Capacity: Buyer 2b is a natural person who is accredited under international law.
- Authorized buyer: Buyer 2b enters as the sole authorized buyer of the buyer community and assumes all rights and obligations.

Transfer of rights and obligations

- Accreditation: Buyer 2b is accredited by the contract to bear rights under international law and assumes the sovereign rights.
- Severability clause: The contract remains legally valid due to the severability clause, even if buyer 2a cannot assume any rights or obligations.

3 Contractual implications

Payment obligation of buyer 2a

- Purchase price payment: Buyer 2a has paid the purchase price, but does not receive any rights or obligations under the contract.
- Legal clarification: All rights and obligations, including sovereign rights, are transferred exclusively to buyer 2b.

Compliance with the contract

- Legal validity: The contract remains legally valid due to the severability clause, and buyer 2b is the beneficiary of all provisions of buyer 2a.
- Substitution of provisions: All parts of the contract that contain national law are replaced by provisions of international law.

4 Application of the severability clause

Meaning of the severability clause

- Preservation of legal force: The severability clause ensures that the contract remains in force even if parts of it are invalid or inapplicable.
- Legally compliant regulation: If certain provisions are ineffective due to the involvement of Buyer 2a, Buyer 2b steps in as the sole authorized buyer in order to keep the contract in compliance with international law.

Summary

In the buyer community, buyer 2a and buyer 2b jointly undertake the purchase, but only buyer 2b, a natural person, is recognized as an accredited buyer under international law. Buyer 2a, a commercial enterprise, is excluded from international contracts. Buyer 2b enters as the sole authorized buyer and assumes all rights and obligations, while Buyer 2a pays the purchase price but receives no rights. The severability clause ensures the legal force of the contract and replaces national legal provisions with international law regulations.

Part 104

Prohibition of third-party beneficiaries and natural persons in the contract

Prohibition of third-party beneficiaries in contract law

The prohibition of third-party beneficiaries is a principle of contract law which states that only the contracting parties themselves can derive rights and obligations from the contract, unless the contract expressly provides for third-party beneficiaries. This has the following legal implications:

1. contracting parties: Only the parties who have signed the contract are directly bound by the contractual provisions and can derive rights and obligations from them.
2. favoring third parties: Third parties who are not listed as contracting parties and have not signed the contract cannot generally assert any claims under the contract unless there is an express provision in the contract granting them rights.

Application to the contract

Natural persons in the contract

1. mentioned in the middle of the contract: If natural persons are mentioned in the middle of the contract but are not listed as contracting parties at the beginning of the contract and have not signed the contract, they cannot derive any rights or obligations from the contract.
2. lack of signature: Without their signature, these persons are not formal contracting parties and therefore fall under the prohibition of third-party beneficiaries.

Prohibition of third-party beneficiaries

185 von 255

1. no express preferential treatment: If the contract does not contain an express provision identifying these natural persons as beneficiaries, they cannot claim any rights under the contract.
2. legal consequence: these natural persons are excluded from the contract as beneficiaries because they do not have the contractual authority or formal recognition to make claims or enter into obligations.

Contract drafting and interpretation

Severability clause and performance of the contract

1. severability clause: This clause ensures that the contract as a whole remains legally valid, even if certain provisions are invalid or unenforceable.
2. fulfillment of the purpose of the contract: Even if natural persons are named in the middle of the contract, the contract remains legally valid and is fulfilled in accordance with the remaining provisions and the overall purpose of the contract.

Summary

The prohibition of third-party beneficiaries ensures that only the contracting parties themselves can derive rights and obligations from the contract. Natural persons who are named in the middle of the contract but are not listed as contracting parties at the beginning of the contract and have not signed the contract are excluded from the contract as beneficiaries. They cannot assert any rights or obligations under the contract, as the contract does not contain any express provision granting them rights. The severability clause ensures that the contract as a whole remains legally valid and the purpose of the contract is fulfilled, even if certain provisions are invalid.

Part 105

The case describes a new absolutist monarchy whose territories were formerly NATO territory and whose sovereignty has been recognized by all NATO countries. There are only two citizens, but the inhabitants of the sold territories have the right to naturalization to avoid statelessness. Here is a detailed explanation of the legal and practical aspects:

1. three-pillar principle for the existence of a state

According to internationally recognized criteria, a state consists of three basic pillars:

1. state territory: a defined territory.
2. the people of the state: a permanent population.
3. state power: an effective government that maintains control and order.

2. current situation of the new monarchy

- Territory: The territory consists of the sold NATO territories.
- People of the state: There are currently only two citizens, the king and his mother. It is expected that the former citizens of the NATO territories will apply for naturalization in order to avoid statelessness.
- State authority: The new government is an absolutist monarchy led by the King, who effectively exercises sovereignty over the territory.

3. recognition by NATO states

Recognition of the sovereign by all NATO countries is crucial:

- International legitimacy: recognition by NATO countries gives the new monarchy international legitimacy.
- Contractual commitment: The participation of the NATO states in the treaty and their consent to the new sovereignty confirm the legal and political recognition of the new state.

4. naturalization rights and statelessness

The right to naturalization for former citizens of NATO territories serves to prevent statelessness:

- Avoiding statelessness: the right to naturalization allows former citizens to change their nationality without becoming stateless.
- Increasing the population: The naturalization process will increase the number of citizens of the new monarchy, which will support the stability and functioning of the state in the long term.

5. impact of the small population

The current low population has no impact on the existence of the monarchy according to the three-pillar principle:

- State territory and state authority: these two pillars are fulfilled, regardless of the current population size.
- Right to naturalization: The possibility of naturalization means that the population can grow and the new monarchy is able to establish a stable population.

6 Practical challenges and solutions

- Administration and governance: The new government must develop mechanisms to make administration and governance effective, even with a small initial population.

- International cooperation: The recognized sovereignty and participation of NATO countries in the treaty will facilitate international cooperation and support in building the new state.

Summary

The new absolutist monarchy, although currently with only two citizens, fulfills the criteria of the three-pillar principle of a state. Recognition by all NATO states gives the state international legitimacy. The right to naturalization for former citizens of NATO territories prevents statelessness and enables the population to be increased. These elements ensure the legal and practical existence of the new state.

Part 106

Teleological interpretation in international contract law

1. principles of teleological interpretation

Definition:

- Teleological interpretation: A method of contract interpretation that focuses on the meaning and purpose (telos) of a contract in order to understand and apply its provisions.

Objective:

- Purposive interpretation: the main objective of teleological interpretation is to ascertain and promote the intention of the contracting parties and the intended purpose of the contract.

2. application in international contract law

Legal basis:

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT): Article 31 of the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties contains rules on the interpretation of treaties and emphasizes that treaties should be interpreted in good faith and in the light of their object and purpose.

Article 31 of the VCLT:

(1) General rule: a treaty shall be interpreted in good faith in accordance with the ordinary meaning to be given to the terms of the treaty in the context and in the light of its object and purpose.

(2) Context: The context includes the entire text of the treaty, including the preamble and annexes, as well as related agreements and other relevant instruments.

Methodology:

1. textual analysis: the treaty provisions are first analyzed in their wording and in the context of the entire treaty.

2. preamble and annexes: the preamble and any annexes to the treaty are considered to determine the overarching purpose.
3. treaty contexts: related agreements, protocols and explanatory reports are consulted to deepen understanding.

3 Practical application of teleological interpretation

Steps of teleological interpretation:

1. identification of the purpose of the treaty:
 - Preamble and explanatory sections: Analyzing the preamble and other declaratory sections of the treaty to identify the intended purpose and objectives of the contracting parties.
 - Negotiations and protocols: Consideration of the negotiations and protocols that led to the conclusion of the contract.
2. analysis of the content of the treaty:
 - Wording of provisions: Examining the wording of the provisions in the context of the contract as a whole.
 - Systematic interpretation: Consideration of the provisions in the context of other parts of the contract.
3. consideration of external factors:
 - Related treaties and protocols: inclusion of related treaties and protocols that are related to the treaty.
 - International practice: Consideration of international practice and precedents to support interpretation.

4 Example: State succession deed and extension of networks

Application to the case:

- Purpose of state succession deed: the purpose of the deed is to regulate the transfer of sovereign rights and development networks to the purchaser.
- Unity of the development: the contractual provisions considering the development as a unit imply that future extensions of the networks are also affected by the succession.
- Consideration of the preamble: The preamble of the contract could indicate the intended comprehensive transfer of all relevant infrastructure and rights.
- Treaty contexts: Analysis of related agreements and protocols that could support the application to newly laid networks.

5. summary

Teleological interpretation in international treaty law is used to clarify the meaning and purpose of an agreement by interpreting the treaty in light of its object and purpose. This method involves analyzing the wording, context and relevant external factors. In the case of the State

Succession Instrument, teleological interpretation would mean that newly installed networks after 1998 are also affected by succession if this is consistent with the overarching purpose of the treaty.

Part 107

Teleological interpretation of the international treaty on the sale of a NATO force area

1. background of the contract

- Object of the contract: Sale of an area covered by the NATO Status of Forces, including all development networks.
- Contract provision: The entire development is considered as one unit and is sold with all rights, obligations and components.
- Partial nullity clause: This clause ensures that the contract remains valid even if parts of it are invalid, by replacing them with a legally compliant provision.

2. teleological interpretation of the contract

Purpose and aim of the treaty

- Transfer of sovereign rights: The main purpose of the contract is the complete transfer of sovereign rights over the area and the associated networks to the buyer.
- Unity of the development: The contract is intended to ensure that all infrastructures and networks belonging to the development of the territory are treated and transferred as a single structure.

3. application of the teleological interpretation

Step-by-step application

1. identification of the purpose of the contract:

- Preamble and declaratory sections: examine the preamble and other declaratory parts of the contract to identify the intended purpose and objectives of the contracting parties.
- Treaty Negotiations: Consideration of the negotiations and minutes that led to the conclusion of the contract to understand the intent of the parties.

2. analysis of the content of the contract:

- Wording of the provisions: Examining the wording of the provisions in the context of the contract as a whole.
- Systematic interpretation: looking at the provisions in the context of other parts of the contract to understand the overall purpose.

3. consideration of external factors:

- Related treaties and protocols: incorporating related treaties and protocols that relate to the treaty to deepen understanding.
- International practice: Consideration of international practice and precedents to support interpretation.

4. partial nullity clause and regulation in accordance with the law

A. Role of the partial nullity clause:

- Preservation of legal force: the partial nullity clause ensures that the contract remains in force even if certain provisions are invalid.
- Legally compliant provision: The clause provides for a legally compliant provision to replace the invalid provisions in order to preserve the meaning and purpose of the contract.

B. Application to the specific case:

- Ineffective provisions: If certain provisions, e.g. relating to development networks, are deemed ineffective, a provision that conforms to the law takes their place.
- Purpose: These replacement provisions must correspond to the overriding purpose of the contract, namely the complete and uniform transfer of all development networks and sovereign rights to the buyer.

5 Exemplary application

Case: Newly laid networks after conclusion of the contract

- Extension of the networks: If new development networks were laid after 1998, they should be included in the contract in accordance with the purpose and unity of the development.
- Partial nullity: If there are ambiguities or disputes about the inclusion of these networks, the partial nullity clause would apply in order to find a legally compliant regulation that ensures that the purpose of the contract is fulfilled.

Summary

The teleological interpretation of the international treaty on the sale of a NATO force area ensures that all development networks are treated as one unit and sold with all rights, obligations and components. The partial nullity clause guarantees that the contract remains valid even if parts are invalid by replacing them with legally compliant provisions that preserve the overriding purpose of the contract.

Part 108

If the old NATO states do not leave the sold territories and the new sovereign buyer objects to the state, this has several consequences under international law and international criminal law:

1. occupation and violation of international law

191 von 255

- Definition of occupation: Occupation occurs when a state exercises control over a territory that is not part of its sovereign territory without the consent of the legitimate sovereign.
- Principles of international law: The occupation of a territory without the consent of the legitimate sovereign violates international law, in particular the Charter of the United Nations, which protects the principle of territorial integrity and sovereignty. The Hague Land Warfare Convention and the Geneva Conventions regulate the obligations of an occupying state and prohibit illegal occupation.

2 Consequences under international criminal law

- Crime of aggression: If the NATO states do not leave the territories and exercise their sovereignty there, this can be considered a crime of aggression under Article 8 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC). This crime includes the planning, preparation, initiation or execution of an act of aggression in clear violation of the Charter of the United Nations.
- Individual accountability: Individuals, in particular political and military leaders, who are responsible for the illegal occupation could be held accountable before the ICC. This also includes the leaders who order the occupation or have it carried out.

3 Legal consequences of the occupation

- Invalidity of sovereignty: Any act of the occupying power based on the unlawful exercise of sovereignty would be null and void. This applies in particular to the administration of the territory and the use of its resources.
- Sovereignty claims of the buyer: The legitimate sovereign, i.e. the buyer, retains its claim to the territory. Possession or occupation by the old NATO states will not affect the legal ownership and sovereignty of the buyer.

4 Legal and diplomatic measures

- International lawsuits: The new sovereign could file a lawsuit in international courts, such as the International Court of Justice (ICJ), to have the occupation declared illegal and demand compensation.
- Diplomatic efforts: The sovereign could take diplomatic action to gain support from other states and international organizations. This could include sanctions against the occupying power or seeking a UN Security Council resolution condemning the occupation.

5. claims for compensation

- Claims for compensation: The new sovereign could seek compensation for all damages and losses caused by the illegal occupation. This includes material damages, economic losses and immaterial damages.
- Liability of those responsible: Political and military leaders of the old NATO states could be held personally liable for the damage caused.

6 Long-term effects

- Legal claims remain: The legitimate sovereign's claim to the territory remains, regardless of the duration of the occupation. An occupation in violation of international law cannot establish legitimate property or sovereignty rights.
- Political instability: Prolonged occupation can lead to political instability and conflict, both within the territory concerned and internationally.

Part 109

Analysis of the legal and international law aspects in the case of continued sovereignty by NATO states

1. violation of territorial sovereignty and occupation

Territorial sovereignty:

- Treaty violation: the NATO states, in particular the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), have ignored the international treaty on the sale of territory and have continued to exercise sovereignty over the territories sold.
- Occupation: The continued exercise of sovereignty by the FRG can be regarded as an occupation contrary to international law, as the sovereign rights were lawfully transferred to the buyer.

2. war of aggression and unlawful forced sale

War of aggression:

- Definition: A war of aggression is any military action that violates the territorial integrity or political independence of another state.
- Actions of the FRG: The aggressive enforcement of sovereign claims by the FRG, including the unlawful forced sale of the military property, could be classified as a form of aggressive war.

Unlawful forced sale:

- Violation of international law: the FRG's forced sale of the military property as if it were part of the FRG violates the international treaty and the sovereign rights of the buyer.
- Violation of national laws: These actions were carried out in willful disregard of German national laws.

3. persecution and coercive psychological measures

Criminal prosecution and coercive care:

- Abuse of criminal law: the criminal prosecution and coercive psychological care of the buyer as well as his indefinite placement in a penal institution constitute serious human rights violations.
- Coercive psychological care: This can be considered a form of persecution aimed at weakening and intimidating the buyer.

4. sovereign immunity and CD status

Sovereign immunity:

- Principle: States generally enjoy immunity from the jurisdiction of other states, which means that their sovereign acts cannot be challenged by foreign courts.
- Restriction: In the present case, it could be argued that the FRG has violated state immunity through its actions, as it has violated the international treaty and the recognized sovereign rights of the buyer.

CD status (Consular Diplomatic Status):

- Relevance: The buyer could claim protection under diplomatic immunity if it exercises diplomatic or consular functions under the international treaty.
- Sale of jurisdiction: The transfer of jurisdiction to the buyer could provide it with additional legal immunities and protection.

5 Sale of jurisdiction and legal consequences

Sale of jurisdiction:

- Treaty provision: the treaty transfers jurisdiction under international law to the buyer, giving the buyer legal and administrative control over the territory.
- Legal consequences: The FRG and other NATO states have no legal basis to continue exercising jurisdiction over the territory as it has been transferred to the buyer.

Legal consequences:

- International legal action: the buyer could take the case to international courts such as the International Court of Justice (ICJ) or the International Criminal Court (ICC) to denounce the violation of its sovereign rights and human rights abuses.
- Diplomatic pressure: The buyer could exert diplomatic pressure on NATO states to ensure compliance with the treaty and recognition of its rights.

Summary

The FRG and other NATO states have violated the international treaty by the continued exercise of sovereign power and the aggressive enforcement of unlawful claims against the buyer. These acts can be considered as occupation, war of aggression and serious human rights violations. The buyer has the right to seek international remedies and exert diplomatic pressure to enforce its recognized sovereign rights and transfer of jurisdiction.

Part 110

Evaluation of the colonization of the military property by the FRG and the expulsion of the original sovereign

Context 1: Settlement and expulsion

Following the illegal forced sale of the military property, the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) settled it with its own citizens and expelled the citizens and the sovereign who had lawfully sold the area. These actions must be assessed in the light of international law.

2. occupation and expulsion contrary to international law

2.1 Occupation

Definition and criteria:

- Occupation: an occupation occurs when a state exercises effective control over a foreign territory without a legitimate claim to sovereignty.
- Illegality: The occupation is contrary to international law if it takes place without a legal basis and against the will of the legitimate sovereign.

FRG's actions:

- Control over the property: through the illegal forced sale and subsequent colonization, the FRG exercises control over the property, which can be considered an occupation.
- Illegality: This occupation violates the international treaty that transferred sovereign rights to the buyer and is therefore illegal.

2.2 Expulsion

Definition and legal situation:

- Expulsion: the forced removal of persons from their home territory.
- International law: Expulsion is prohibited under international law in many contexts, including the Geneva Conventions and international human rights treaties.

FRG's actions:

- Expulsion of the sovereign and citizens: the expulsion of the rightful sovereign and citizens is contrary to international law, which guarantees the protection of civilians and their property.
- Legal consequences: These acts can be classified as serious human rights violations and crimes against humanity.

3 Settlement policy and international law

3.1 Settlement of own citizens

Prohibited settlement policy:

- Fourth Geneva Convention: Article 49 of the Fourth Geneva Convention prohibits the occupying power from transferring parts of its own civilian population into occupied territory.
- Legal situation: The FRG's settlement of its own citizens in the occupied military property violates this provision and is therefore contrary to international law.

3.2 Responsibility and liability

State responsibility:

- Responsibility of the FRG: The FRG is responsible for the acts contrary to international law and can be held accountable internationally.
- Liability: This includes the obligation to provide reparation and compensation to the affected persons and the legitimate sovereign.

4 Possible remedies and diplomatic measures

4.1 International courts

Legal remedies:

- International Court of Justice (ICJ): the ICJ can be called upon to determine the illegality of the occupation and expulsion.
- International Criminal Court (ICC): The ICC may have jurisdiction to prosecute crimes against humanity, including forced displacement.

4.2 Diplomatic pressure

Diplomatic measures:

- International recognition: the rightful sovereign can mobilize the international community to exert pressure on the FRG.
- Sanctions: Economic and political sanctions can be imposed to force the FRG to comply with international law.

Summary

The occupation of the military property by the FRG and the expulsion of the legitimate sovereign and citizens are serious violations of international law. These acts constitute an occupation contrary to international law and violate international agreements on the protection of civilians and their property. The legitimate sovereign has various legal remedies and diplomatic measures at its disposal to seek redress and justice.

Part 111

In a scenario in which a territory has been sold together with the state assets therein and the buyer has no access to these assets due to the occupation of the territory by the NATO states, the following types of state assets could be affected:

Types of state assets

1. real estate and properties:

- Military facilities and bases
- Government buildings and administrative buildings
- Public buildings such as schools, hospitals and universities
- Residential buildings and other real estate owned by the state

2. infrastructure:

- Roads, bridges and tunnels
- Railroads and railroad stations
- Airports and seaports
- Energy infrastructure, including power plants and power lines
- Water and wastewater systems

3. raw materials and natural resources:

- Mineral resources such as oil, gas, coal and ores
- Forests and agricultural land
- Water resources

4. movable property and equipment:

- Military equipment and vehicles
- Public transportation and official vehicles
- Machinery and equipment in state-owned enterprises

5. financial assets:

- Bank deposits and securities held by the state
- State shares in companies and joint ventures
- Receivables and liabilities

6. cultural heritage and intellectual property:

- Museums, libraries and archives
- Works of art and historical artifacts
- Patents, trademarks and copyrights

Damage caused by the occupation

The damage caused to the buyer by the occupation of the territory and the lack of access to state assets can be manifold:

1. economic losses:

- Loss of revenue: The buyer cannot generate revenue from the operation and use of state-owned enterprises, infrastructure projects or natural resources.
- Barriers to investment: Potential investors could be deterred due to the uncertain political and legal situation, resulting in a loss of investment opportunities.

2. administrative and operating costs:

- Increased administrative costs: the buyer may have to spend significant funds to set up alternative administrative and operational structures.
- Operating costs: Maintenance and upkeep of infrastructure and real estate is difficult during occupation, which can lead to higher long-term costs.

3. loss of raw materials and natural resources:

- Depletion of resources: occupying forces could extract and use raw materials and natural resources without the buyer's permission, resulting in irretrievable loss.
- Environmental damage: Improper use and exploitation of resources could lead to significant environmental damage, resulting in high clean-up costs.

4. damage to real estate and infrastructure:

- Damage from military use: military use of real estate and infrastructure can result in significant damage requiring costly repairs.
- Deterioration due to neglect: Prolonged occupation can lead to neglect and deterioration of real estate and infrastructure, which also results in high repair costs.

5. legal and administrative costs:

- Litigation: The buyer may be forced to take extensive legal action to enforce its property and rights, resulting in significant legal and administrative costs.
- Administrative costs: The need to create and operate alternative administrative structures leads to additional administrative costs.

Part 112

Assessment of the structural changes following the illegal forced sale of the military property

1 Background: Illegal forced sale and structural alterations

Following the illegal forced sale of the military property by the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), the structure of the property was altered. This included new buildings, conversions and demolitions of existing buildings.

2 Assessment of the structural alterations under international law

2.1 Protection mechanisms under international law

- Hague Regulations (Hague Land Warfare Convention) and Geneva Conventions: These international agreements contain provisions for the protection of property in occupied territories and during armed conflicts.

2.2 Comparison with destruction through bombing

- Equivalence with bombing: The demolition of buildings by construction machinery can be functionally similar to destruction by bombing, as in both cases buildings are irretrievably destroyed.
- Legal equivalence: In international law, the intentional destruction of property, regardless of the method (bombs or construction machinery), can be considered a violation of the protection of private property.

3 Illegality of forced sale and structural alterations

3.1 Illegal forced sale

- Breach of contract: The forced sale of the property was illegal because it violated the international treaty that transferred sovereign rights to the buyer.
- Lack of jurisdiction: The FRG had no legal basis to carry out the forced sale or to authorize structural alterations.

3.2 Violation of international law

- Protection of property: The destruction or alteration of property without a legal basis violates the protection of property under international law.
- Liability: The FRG and other parties involved could be held liable for the destruction, similar to war crimes in connection with the destruction of property.

4 Possible remedies and compensation

4.1 Remedies

- International courts: the buyer could take the case to the International Court of Justice (ICJ) or the International Criminal Court (ICC) to seek justice and redress.
- Diplomatic pressure: International support and diplomatic efforts could be used to challenge the illegal foreclosure and structural alterations.

4.2 Compensation

- Reparation claims: The buyer could claim compensation for the unlawful destruction and structural alterations.
- Restoration: A claim for restoration of the property to its original condition could be asserted.

Summary

The structural alterations to the military property following the illegal forced sale by the FRG are legally problematic and could be assessed as destruction of property in violation of international law. These actions could be functionally equated to destruction by bombing as they irreversibly

alter the physical integrity and value of the property. The buyer may have legal remedies and compensation claims to challenge these violations and seek redress.

Part 113

The case involves numerous complex issues of international law and international criminal law. Here are the most important aspects and answers to the questions arising from the scenario described:

1. war of aggression and unlawful sovereignty:

If the old NATO states do not leave the sold territories after the sale and continue to exercise their sovereignty there, this could be considered an occupation under international law and possibly a war of aggression. A war of aggression is prohibited under international law, in particular the Charter of the United Nations, and constitutes a serious crime. The exercise of sovereignty by the NATO states in the territory sold would be illegal, as it would violate the sovereignty of the new owner, in this case the absolute monarch.

2. rights and obligations arising from the purchase agreement:

The sale of the territory, if fully and legally correct, implies the transfer of all rights and obligations to the new sovereign. The old NATO states would therefore no longer have the right to exercise sovereignty in these territories. Any further exercise of sovereignty would be contrary to international law.

3. usurpation and sovereignty:

Seizure, or the possession and use of property on a territory that is no longer part of their sovereign territory, without the consent of the new sovereign, would also be contrary to international law. If the purchaser, who is now the absolutist monarch, has objected to the usurpation and has established his rule within five years, this strengthens his position as the legitimate sovereign of the territory.

4 Liability under international criminal law:

The unlawful exercise of sovereign power by the old NATO states could be considered a crime of aggression, punishable under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC). The political and military leaders who ordered or supported these actions would be responsible.

5. responsibility of political leaders:

After ten years without prosecution, responsibility could shift to political leaders who were in office at the time or who were in office during the relevant period. This means that both the politicians in office and those who were in office during the period of unlawful exercise of sovereignty could potentially be prosecuted.

6 Persons concerned:

Responsibility under international criminal law would pass to those who were actively involved in the decision to exercise sovereign power unlawfully. This includes:

- Acting heads of state and heads of government.
- Military leaders and other high-ranking officials who have given or implemented direct orders.
- Former officials who were in relevant positions during the period of unlawful exercise of sovereignty.

Part 114

In such cases, political responsibility lies primarily with the highest political leaders of the state concerned, especially if they have knowingly and willingly contributed to the continuation of acts contrary to international law and no measures have been taken to prosecute the perpetrators. Here is a detailed explanation of who exactly bears political responsibility:

1. top political leadership

The supreme political leadership includes:

- Head of state: the president or monarch, depending on the form of government of the respective state.
- Head of government: The prime minister or chancellor who heads the executive branch.
- Minister of Defense: Particularly relevant in cases of aggressive war or occupation.
- Minister of the Interior: Responsible for national security and the police.
- Foreign Minister: Responsible for foreign policy and compliance with international treaties.

2. individual responsibility

These political leaders can be held individually accountable if they:

- Have issued instructions: Have given direct orders to continue acts contrary to international law.
- Have committed omissions: Knowingly and willfully failed to take action to stop the acts or prosecute the perpetrators.
- Concealment: Actively concealing the acts or failing to prosecute the perpetrators.

3. collective responsibility of the government

In addition to individual responsibility, the collective decisions of the government as a whole can also be examined:

- Cabinet decisions: Collective decisions of the cabinet that led to the continuation of acts contrary to international law.
- Legislative support: support from parliament or other legislative bodies that may have passed laws to legalize the acts or prevent prosecution.

4. international criminal jurisdiction

International criminal jurisdiction, in particular the International Criminal Court (ICC), can take action against these political leaders if national courts fail:

- ICC Jurisdiction: the ICC has jurisdiction to investigate and prosecute individuals responsible for serious violations of international law, including war crimes, crimes against humanity and aggression.
- Procedure: The ICC can bring charges and conduct trials against those responsible if it can be proven that they have abused their political offices to enable or fail to prevent acts contrary to international law.

5 Examples of political responsibility

There are several historical precedents where political leaders have been held accountable:

- Yugoslavia Tribunal: political and military leaders were convicted for war crimes and crimes against humanity during the Yugoslav wars.
- Rwanda Tribunal: Political leaders were held accountable for their role in the 1994 genocide.
- Nuremberg Trials: Leading figures of the Nazi regime were tried for war crimes and crimes against humanity after World War II.

Summary

Political responsibility falls on the highest political leaders of a state if they continue acts that violate international law and fail to prosecute the perpetrators. If national courts fail, the International Criminal Court can take action against these political leaders and hold them accountable.

Part 115

In this scenario, in which NATO states continue to exercise sovereignty over the sold territory despite a valid treaty, they are in breach of international law. Here are the possible consequences and legal implications:

1. violation of international law

If the NATO states continue to exercise sovereignty over the sold territory despite the treaty, this is a violation of international law. This would affect the following points in particular:

- Sovereignty of the buyer: the sovereign rights of the buyer acquired by the treaty are disregarded.

- Breach of contract: The contract regulating the transfer of sovereign rights is being breached by the continued behavior of the NATO states.

2. consequences under international criminal law

The consequences under international criminal law for the continued exercise of sovereignty by NATO states can be considerable, especially if this is systematic and serious:

- Crimes against humanity: if the actions of NATO states involve serious human rights violations, they could be classified as crimes against humanity.
- Aggression: The unlawful exercise of sovereignty over a territory could be considered an act of aggression.

3 Liability and responsibility

Liability and accountability in international criminal law can concern different levels, especially when national legal systems fail:

- Individual accountability: individual perpetrators such as judges, police officers and officials who are directly involved in the internationally wrongful acts can be held accountable.
- Political accountability: If national legal systems fail to prosecute perpetrators, criminal liability may shift to those politically responsible. This applies in particular to cases where:
 - Prosecution denied: the perpetrators are not prosecuted under national law for at least 10 years.
 - Complicity: Those politically responsible knowingly and willingly supported or facilitated the internationally wrongful acts.

4. international jurisdiction

International jurisdiction, in particular the International Criminal Court (ICC), can intervene in such cases:

- ICC Jurisdiction: the ICC can investigate and prosecute individuals if national courts are unable or unwilling to prosecute the perpetrators.
- Prosecution of political leaders: Political leaders responsible for acts contrary to international law can be indicted by the ICC.

5. precedents and international reactions

The international community could respond to the continued exercise of jurisdiction in violation of international law through diplomatic and legal measures:

- Sanctions: States and international organizations could impose sanctions on the NATO countries involved.

- Resolutions and interventions: The United Nations could pass resolutions condemning the acts contrary to international law and demanding action.

Summary

If the NATO states continue to exercise sovereignty over the sold territory despite a valid treaty, this is a violation of international law. Consequences under international criminal law can affect both the direct perpetrators and those politically responsible, especially if national legal systems fail. The International Criminal Court could intervene in such cases and hold those responsible to account.

Part 116

The number of historical precedents in which infrastructure networks were accidentally sold in an international treaty and thus the territory was permanently extended is very limited. State successions are usually carefully planned and negotiated to avoid such unintended territorial changes. Nevertheless, there are some cases where border demarcations and infrastructure provisions have led to unexpected consequences:

1st Treaty of Trianon (1920) - Hungary and its neighboring states

- Provisions: The Treaty of Trianon after the First World War fragmented the Kingdom of Hungary and distributed large parts of its territory to Romania, Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia.
- Infrastructural aspects: The new borders often cut through existing railroad and road networks. In some cases, these border demarcations resulted in infrastructure networks being routed in ways that complicated territorial claims and challenged administration.
- Unintended consequences: These demarcations led to territorial tensions as the new states sought to gain control over the entire infrastructure networks, sometimes leading to de facto territorial expansion.

2nd Treaty of Saint-Germain (1919) - Austria and its neighboring states

- Provisions: The Treaty of Saint-Germain established the division of the Austro-Hungarian monarchy and created new states such as Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia and Poland.
- Infrastructural aspects: The partition meant that rail and road connections often crossed borders. Some of these infrastructures were integrated into the territory of the new states by mistake or due to unclear treaty formulations.
- Unintended consequences: The new states had to take control of these infrastructures, which led to permanent territorial expansion and sometimes caused territorial tensions.

3 Sudetenland and the Munich Agreement (1938)

- Provisions: The Munich Agreement of 1938 transferred the Sudetenland from Czechoslovakia to Germany.
- Infrastructural aspects: The Sudetenland included important transportation and supply networks that connected Czechoslovakia with other parts of Europe.

- Unintended consequences: The takeover of these infrastructure networks resulted in Germany taking control of these connections and their maintenance, which consolidated Germany's territorial expansion. The border demarcation led to logistical and administrative complications for Czechoslovakia.

4 Hyderabad and Indian integration (1948)

- Provisions: After India's independence in 1947, the Nizam of Hyderabad refused to join the Indian Union. In 1948, India intervened militarily and integrated Hyderabad into the Indian Union.

- Infrastructural aspects: After integration, India took control of Hyderabad's infrastructure, including railroads, roads and communication networks.

- Unintended consequences: The extensive control and modernization of Hyderabad's infrastructure facilitated the area's integration into India, leading to the permanent expansion of India's territory.

Conclusion

The above examples show that unintended territorial changes through the acquisition of infrastructure networks have indeed occurred in international treaties. However, these cases are rare and often the result of complex geopolitical circumstances and unclear treaty provisions.

Part 117

The case of a state succession treaty referring to a supply line contract and thereby unintentionally enlarging the territory is an interesting and complex legal issue. Such scenarios are rare and usually the subject of intense negotiations and disputes under international law. Here are some and historical scenarios that might contain elements of this case:

Scenario 1: Supply line contract in a state succession contract

Let us imagine that a state succession contract includes an existing supply line contract (e.g. for a pipeline or power line). The infrastructure extends beyond the sold territory into the territory of the receiving state.

Procedure:

1. contractual provisions: The state succession contract contains clauses that maintain and possibly extend the existing supply line contract.
2. territorial effects: The contractual provisions could result in the supply network de facto extending the territory of the receiving state if that state takes control and management of the entire network.
3. legal consequence: this could unintentionally lead to an extension of the territory if the infrastructures are considered an integral part of the host state.

Example of the Trieste case (1954) - Extended

205 von 255

The Trieste case could theoretically be extended to include such a scenario:

- Treaty enlargement: suppose the London Memorandum had specifically included an existing utility line contract for water or electricity lines that extended beyond the boundaries of Zone A.
- Unintended enlargement: If Italy then took control of these networks, this could lead to the extension of Italian territory, especially if these infrastructures are considered essential for national security or economic integration.

Example of the Panama Canal Zone case (1903) - Extended

The original Panama Canal Zone agreement could theoretically be extended in a similar way:

- Inclusion of supply networks: The Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty could have included specific clauses on the management and control of utility networks (e.g., water mains).
- Unintended expansion: These clauses could have led to the expansion of U.S. control and thus the de facto enlargement of U.S. sovereign territory if the utilities were deemed necessary for the Canal Zone.
- Sovereignty and control: The acquisition and management of utility networks could be seen as an extension of the sovereignty and control of the receiving state.
- International dispute settlement: Unintended territorial changes could lead to international disputes that would have to be litigated in international courts or arbitration tribunals.

Conclusion

While historical precedents that apply precisely to this scenario have never occurred (because this was the first time the world was sold), there are theoretical underpinnings and similar historical examples that demonstrate such a possibility. The exact legal assessment and implementation would depend on the specific treaty provisions and international recognition.

CHAPTER 3

*The contract of sale - the
state succession deed no.
1400/98*



Part 118

Here are the original sections of the document that are relevant under international law (deed of sale 1400/98 dated October 6, 1998), with the corresponding paragraphs and sections:

- § 2 Contractual relationships

- Para. I: "[...] part of the property with the buildings [...] is transferred to the Dutch Armed Forces by the Federal Republic of Germany in return for payment under international law."

- Para. II: "The transfer relationship under international law between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of the Netherlands with regard to the parts of the property transferred remains unaffected by this agreement."

- Para. III: "[...] III. The contracting parties assume that the Dutch armed forces will probably leave the housing estate [...]"

The transfer relationship under international law will still be handled by the Federal Government."

This section shows that the treaty

1. is international law (the parties to the contract are the Kingdom of the Netherlands and, separately, the Dutch armed forces [the Dutch air force stationed there is 100% integrated into NATO], which occupied the barracks on behalf of NATO in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces and thus acted on behalf of NATO as a whole) and

2. is a supplementary deed that extends the existing contractual relationship (transfer relationship under international law) between FRG, NL and NATO (and thus into the UN).

- § 2 Contractual relationships

- Para. V: "[...] 1. license agreement for the operation of a broadband cabling system with TKS Telepost Kabel-Service Kaiserslautern GmbH dated 22.02.1995/ 28.03.1995.

[...] 3. agreement on the joint use of roads and lines with Studentenwerk Kaiserslautern from the purchase agreement with the federal government dated 15.08.1996."

- Excerpt from the purchase agreement with the federal government and the state of RLP (Studentenwerke Kaiserslautern) dated August 15, 1996.
- Section 6 Supply and disposal lines/facilities, road areas, rights of use and shared use
 - Para. I: "[...] Heat, water and electricity as well as wastewater disposal are provided via a federally owned pipeline network that forms a single unit. Furthermore, the streets of the Kreuzberg housing estate, including the street lighting, are owned by the federal government [...]"

Continue with the state succession deed 1400/98

- § 4 Division of the object of purchase/survey
 - Para. I: "a) "[...] all development facilities [...]"
 - b) "[...] and the heating pipes" [...]"
- Section 13 Internal development
 - Para. VII: "[...] The purchasers undertake to ensure the supply of heat to the apartments handed over to the Dutch armed forces until they are returned [...]"
 - Para. IX: "[...] continued existence of the telecommunications cable"
- Section 12 External development
 - Para. D: "[...] There is a license agreement for the joint use of the collector line [...] The purchasers enter into the contractual relationship known to them in place of the federal government."
 - Para. III: "[...] The entire Kreuzberg area forms a single unit and is connected by a 20 KV ring line and transformer stations no. 4210 and 4238. The transformer stations have already been sold by the federal government to the city of Zweibrücken."
- Section 14 Obligations of the purchaser
 - Para. III: "[...] The purchasers undertake [...] to ensure proper supply and disposal for the Dutch armed forces [...]"
- §1 Land ownership details
 - Para. II: "[...] (gas pipeline right); ceded to Saar Ferngas AG Saarbrücken in accordance with the permit dated 05.04.1963. This encumbrance is accepted by the purchasers for further toleration."

These sections relate to the sale of the networks, which trigger the domino effect of the territorial expansion, as the supply lines were sold as a unit.

- Section 14 Obligations of the buyers
 - Para. IV: "[...] Construction measures that affect the area of the Dutch armed forces must be coordinated in good time with the Federal Property Office and the property department of the Dutch armed forces."

- Section 26 Place of jurisdiction

- "The place of jurisdiction for all legal disputes arising from this contract shall be Landau in der Pfalz."

These additional points relate to specific rights and obligations of purchasers in respect of the use and development of properties given to the Dutch Armed Forces and other institutions such as the Student Union and the elements, rights and obligations that purchasers have in respect of the supply and use of properties given to the Dutch Armed Forces and the coordination of construction measures relating to these areas. Note that the telecommunication cable is included as part of the internal development. The telecommunication cable spans the globe and has physical connections up to the house lines for telephone all over the world and also extends the area wherever different networks overlap, as the development was sold as a unit. It should be noted that Landau in der Pfalz, which is in the sold territory and thus transferred to the buyer, was agreed as the place of jurisdiction under international law for all legal disputes arising from this contract. Since the State Succession Deed 1400/98 applies as a supplementary deed for all NATO and UN treaties as well as the preceding treaties of their members, a de facto world court is thus agreed, in the hands of the buyer, who may exercise jurisdiction as an absolutist sovereign, regardless of location.

Here are some final relevant points with reference to international law:

- § 8 Transfer of possession

- Para. I: "Possession [...] of the entire object of purchase [...] shall pass to the buyers on the date of notarization of this contract."

- Para. II: "[...] From the time of transfer, all benefits as well as private and public burdens are transferred to the buyers. [...] From this point in time, the buyers shall bear the other public charges, fees and taxes, the risk of accidental loss or deterioration of the object of purchase [...]"

- Para. III: "From the time of transfer [...], the supply of the apartments transferred to the Dutch armed forces shall be ensured until they are returned to the Federal Government."

- Section 16 Conveyances

- "[...] The conveyances shall only be declared after the properties have been returned by the Dutch armed forces or after their consent."

These points concern the transfer of the object of the sale, 1x for the Dutch NATO part (which remained in the military property for another 2 years) and 1x for the rest of the world, which was transferred directly with the signing. Transfer of rights, obligations and components, as well as the conditions for the transfer of property in connection with the Dutch armed forces.

- Section 3 Object of purchase

- Para. I: "The Confederation sells to the buyers [...] the aforementioned property with all rights, obligations and components [...]"

This is the most important part of the contract. Only through the sale of a territory with all rights and obligations as well as components does the contract become a state succession, which includes the transfer of government authority. In combination with the sale of the development that leaves the barracks and was connected to the public network, with the crucial agreement that the entire development is sold as a unit, the domino effect occurs, which extends the sovereign territory sold to the parties to the contract wherever there is a network connection from one country to another.

The domino effect that results from the sale of the supply lines is extended worldwide by means of state succession deed 1400/98 as a supplementary deed, which extends the existing contractual relationship (transfer relationship under international law) between FRG, NL and NATO (and through NATO also the UN) and triggers a massive legal chain reaction. Through the sale with all rights and obligations as well as components, the state succession deed acts as an extension of all previous international treaties of the contracting parties (with whomever or for whatever reason), triggering a contractual chain reaction in which the treaty is attached to all existing agreements (of NATO and the UN as well as their members) and extends them. Because treaties contain rights and obligations and these were sold with all their components. So the whole world has been sold! Since the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 functions as a supplementary instrument and the previous agreements under international law had all already been adopted and ratified, no new vote or ratification is necessary.

- § 6 Purchase price

- Para. III: "[...] The request of the Federal Government shall be made immediately after the return of the property parts by the Dutch Armed Forces or after the consent of the Dutch Armed Forces to the transfer of ownership [...]"

- Section 25 Annexes

- "Insofar as reference is made to annexes in this deed, these shall form an integral part of this Agreement."

The central sections relevant to international law have already been covered in detail. However, there are still some points that are indirectly related to aspects of international law and should therefore also be taken into account:

- Section 9 Additional payment due to planning-related higher value utilization options

- Para. I: "The purchased property is currently still designated as a special area and is not covered by urban land-use planning."

The area was designated as a special area because it was occupied in accordance with the NTS-NATO troop statute and was therefore extraterritorial.

- § 11 Parquet renovation

- Para. II: "The federal government's share of the cost of the parquet restoration amounts to DM 5,817,440 [...] and is already fully taken into account in the calculation of the purchase price [...]."

- § 21 Partial invalidity clause

- "Should a provision of this contract be or become invalid, the remaining provisions of this contract shall remain unaffected.

An invalid provision or a provision that has become invalid shall be replaced by a legally valid provision or, if no legal provision is provided for, by a provision that corresponds to the meaning of this contract."

- Appendix A: Power of attorney

- "On the basis of Section 16 of the Act on Financial Administration [...], I authorize Mr. Siegfried Hiller [...] to sell the [...] property."

These points relate to the legal extraterritorial status of the area (in accordance with the NATO Status of Forces Act), the guarantee and liability of the federal government and the financial handling of redevelopment work. However, they have an influence on the special rights sold and the implementation and execution of the aspects of the treaty that are relevant under international law. Only through the partial nullity clause (severability clause) is the contract supplemented by the relevant provisions of international law (without these having to be explicitly mentioned). Only the partial nullity clause made it possible to disguise the contract in the finest secret service manner so that it looks like a normal conversion property sale to the inexperienced reader. In the contract, a buyer group is formed with buyer 2 a) and b). Buyer 2a) is a public limited company and is excluded from the contract as a commercial enterprise, as commercial enterprises are excluded from the transfer of sovereign rights. Due to the partial nullity clause, the sole representative of the group of buyers and thus the sole beneficiary of the state succession remains the natural person (buyer 2b)).

Teil 119

The contract



State succession deed

Deed number 1400/98 dated 06.10.1998

in the original text:

Roll of certificates number: 1400

Year 1998

PURCHASE AGREEMENT

Negotiated in Saarlouis on October 06, 1998, before the undersigned notary;

Manfred Mohr

with office in Saarlouis, appeared:

1. as seller:

Mr. Siegfried Hiller, born on 19.06.1951, government official
- identified by official identity card -,

acting on behalf of the Federal Republic of Germany (Federal Finance Administration),
represented by the Federal Property Office Landau, Gabelsberger Straße 1, 76829 Landau, on
the basis of the original power of attorney dated 05.10.1998, issued by the representative of
the head of the Federal Property Office Landau.

1. as seller:

Federal Republic of Germany
(Federal Finance Administration)
represented by:
Federal Property Office Landau
Gabelsberger Str. 1,
76829 Landau / in der Pfalz

- hereinafter referred to as the Federal Government

2. as buyer

Buyer 2 a)

a) Company Tasc- Bau Handels.- und Generalübernehmer für Wohn.- und Industriebauten AG,
with its registered office in Spickendorf, registered in the commercial register of the district
court of Halle-Saalkreis under HRB 9896, represented by its managing director with sole
power of representation, Mr. Josef Tabellion, businessman, born on 18.06.1950, resident in
66787 Wadgassen, Provinzialstrasse 168,
known by person.

- hereinafter referred to as Buyer 2 a -

Buyer 2 b).

Mr. Rick Göritz, born on 21.03.1976, resident in 66482 Zweibrücken, Hofenfelsstrasse. 222,
identified by identity card

- hereinafter referred to as "Buyer 2 b

- hereinafter referred to as "Buyer".

Certificate of representation:

The officiating notary hereby certifies on the basis of his inspection today of the commercial register kept at the Local Court of Halle - Saalkreis - HR B 9896 - that

a) the company TASC - BAU Handels- und Generalübernehmer für Wohn- und Industriebauten AG is registered there and

b) Mr. Josef Tabellion, aforementioned, is its managing director with sole power of representation and exempt from the restrictions of § 181 BGB.

Those present, acting as indicated, declare :

We conclude the following contract of sale:

Object of purchase / Property details § 1:

§1 Property details

I. The Federal Republic of Germany (Federal Finance Administration) is the owner of the property registered in the land register of the Zweibrücken Local Court, sheet 5958, in the district of Zweibrücken.

Lfd. No. 120 Parcel no. 2885/16

Building and open space,

Delawarestraße

Landstuhler Strasse 97, 107

Louisianastrasse 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25,

Pennsylvaniastrasse 1,2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31,

Texas Street

Virginiastrasse 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17,

- to 103,699 sqm. -

II. the property is encumbered in section II of the land register with a limited personal easement (gas pipeline right); granted to Saar Ferngas AG Saarbrücken in accordance with the authorization dated 05.04.1963. This encumbrance is accepted by the purchasers for further toleration. The property is unencumbered in section III of the land register. Other encumbrances and restrictions or similar not entered in the land register (e.g. old legal barriers) are not known, insofar as this is not separately evident from this deed. The Federal Government assumes no liability in this respect. Should such encumbrances nevertheless exist, they shall be assumed by the purchasers.

III The property is developed with 26 residential buildings with a total of 337 residential units and a heating plant.

§2 Contractual relationships

I . The part of the property marked in red in the appendix with the existing buildings

Louisiana Street 5/7, 9/11, 13/15, 17, 19/21, 23, 25,
Pennsylvaniastrasse 8, 11/13, 15, 17,

with a total of 71 residential units has been transferred to the Dutch armed forces by the Federal Republic of Germany in return for payment under international law.

II. the transfer relationship under international law between the Federal Republic of Germany and the Kingdom of the Netherlands with regard to the parts of the property transferred remains unaffected by this agreement.

III The contracting parties assume that the Dutch armed forces will probably leave the housing estate and that the ceded parts of the property will be returned to the Federal Government. Neither the Federal Government nor the purchasers know the exact date of return.

The transfer relationship under international law is still being processed by the federal government.

In the event that the Dutch Armed Forces do not return the housing estate to the Federal Government within the next two years, reference is made to the provision in Section 5 (III).

IV. The contract property also includes a heating plant in building no. 4233, in which two federal workers are employed as stokers.

The Federal Government has drawn the buyers' attention to the statutory provisions of § 613 a BGB.

V. The following contractual relationships also exist:

1. license agreement for the operation of a broadband cabling system with TKS Telepost Kabel-Service Kaiserslautern GmbH dated 22.02.1995/ 28.03.1995.

The buyer under 2b) enters into this contract in place of the federal government.

2. contract for the supply of hard coal with the company Rheinbraun Handel Süd GmbH.
The buyer under 2b) enters into this contract in place of the Federal Government.

3. agreement on the joint use of roads and lines with Studentenwerk Kaiserslautern from the purchase agreement with the federal government dated August 15, 1996.

The purchasers enter into the contractual obligations towards the Studentenwerk in place of the federal government.

§3 Object of purchase.

I. The Federal Government sells to the purchasers under 2a) and 2b) the above-mentioned property with all rights and obligations as well as components in the proportion resulting from § 4 (I), with the exception of the 20 kV ring line located in the object of purchase and marked red in the site plan (Annex 2).

II. also excluded from this is a partial area of approx. 30 square meters, marked green in the site plan (Annex 3), which is transferred to the neighboring property within the framework of a boundary regulation procedure.

§ 4 Division of the object of purchase/survey

The purchasers shall acquire as follows:

I. In the internal relationship between the purchasers, the following division of the object of purchase is envisaged:

a) buyer 2a) acquires the areas marked in blue on the site plan (Annex 3) as well as all development facilities with the exception of the heating pipes,

b) Buyer 2b) acquires the areas marked in red on the site plan (Annex 3) as well as the heating pipes, but without the other development facilities.

II. within four weeks of notarization of this contract, the buyer under 2a) shall apply for the partial areas to be surveyed in consultation with the buyer under 2b). Furthermore, within four weeks of the notarization of this contract, the buyer 2a) shall arrange for the subdivision of the

partial areas acquired by buyer 2b) as shown in the attached site plan (Annex 4). The entire surveying costs shall be borne by the buyer. to 2a).

Insofar as possession has not yet been transferred to the buyers, the Federal Government shall grant the buyer 2a) the rights of access required to carry out the survey.

§ 5 Execution of the contract

I. With regard to the still existing transfer relationship under international law with the Dutch Armed Forces, this purchase agreement shall not be executed with regard to the areas marked in red on the site plan (Annex 1) until the Dutch Armed Forces have returned these areas to the Federal Government.

This applies in particular to the transfer of ownership, benefits and encumbrances, the due date of the purchase price attributable to these areas and the conveyance of these areas.

II. the contracting parties assume that the Dutch Armed Forces will return to the Confederation within the next two years the parts of the property that have been handed over to them.

III. in the event that the Dutch Armed Forces do not return the housing estate or parts thereof within the next two years, the Federal Government will seek the consent of the Dutch Armed Forces to transfer ownership of the parts not yet returned to the buyer under 2b).

§ Section 6 Purchase price

I. The purchase price for the object of the contract referred to in § 3 (I) is DM 5,182,560, (i.W. Deutsche Mark five million one hundred and eighty-two thousand five hundred and sixty).

II. Of this, an amount of DM 3,262,560.00 is attributable to the part of the property marked in blue on the site plan (Annex 5). This amount, for which the buyer under 2a) is liable in the internal relationship, is due as follows:

a) down payment of 1/3 of an amount of DM 3,252,560.00 in the amount of DM 1,087,520.00, due on today's date of notarization.

This part of the purchase price has already been paid, which the Federal Government hereby confirms.

b) Payment of a partial amount of DM 2,175,040.00 in five installments of DM 435,008.00 each, plus 2% interest above the respective discount rate of the Deutsche Bundesbank per annum from the respective remaining amount from the date of today's notarization of this contract, whereby the discount rate applicable on the first of a month shall be decisive for the interest rate of that month.

The following due date and payment schedule shall apply to installment payments, although earlier payments are permitted.

- 1st Installment DM 435,008.00, due 12 months after conclusion of the purchase agreement, i.e. on 06.10.1999, plus 2% interest above the respective discount rate of the Deutsche Bundesbank on the amount of DM 2,175,040.00,

- 2nd installment DM 435,008.00, due at the end of 24 months after conclusion of the purchase agreement, i.e. on October 6, 2000, plus 2% interest above the respective discount rate of the Deutsche Bundesbank on the amount of DM 1,740,032.00,

- 3rd installment DM 435,008.00, due at the end of 36 months after conclusion of the purchase agreement, i.e. on October 6, 2001, plus 2% interest above the respective discount rate of the Deutsche Bundesbank on the amount of DM 1,305,024.00,

- 4th installment DM 435,008.00, due at the end of 48 months after conclusion of the purchase agreement, i.e. on October 6, 2002, plus 2% interest above the respective discount rate of the Deutsche Bundesbank on the amount of DM 870,016.00,

- 5th installment DM 435,008.00, due on expiry of 60 months after conclusion of the purchase agreement, i.e. on October 6, 2003, plus 2% interest above the respective discount rate of the Deutsche Bundesbank on the amount of DM 435,008.00.

The interest will be calculated by the Federal Government according to the respective due date of the installments, requested separately from the purchasers and must be paid within four weeks of the request to the account of the Bundeskasse Düsseldorf, Landeszentralbank Düsseldorf, BLZ 300 000 00, account no. 30 001 040, stating the purpose "Interest payments Kreuzberg- Wohnsiedlung, Zweibrücken, Chapter 0807, Title 13101".

III. an amount of DM 1,920,000.00 is attributable to the part of the property marked in red on the site plan (Annex 5).

The amount for which the buyer under 2b) is liable in the internal relationship is due for payment within three weeks of written demand by the Federal Government.

The request by the Federal Government shall be made immediately after the return of the parts of the property by the Dutch Armed Forces or after the consent of the Dutch Armed Forces to the transfer of ownership of the parts of the property handed over to them.

In the event of the return of individual buildings or parts of properties, a corresponding partial amount of DM 1,920,000 shall be due for payment within three weeks of a written request by the Federal Government. The amount of the partial amount is based on the ratio of the living

space of the buildings covered by the partial restitution to the total living space of the buildings marked in red on the site plan (Annex 1).

IV. The partial amount pursuant to paragraph II.a) is to be paid in one sum to the Bundeskasse Koblenz, Landeszentralbank Koblenz, BLZ 570 000 00, account no. 570 010 01, stating "Purchase price payment Kreuzberg-Wohnsiedlung, Zweibrücken, Chapter 0807 Title 131 01."

The partial amounts pursuant to paragraph II.b) and paragraph III are to be paid to the Bundeskasse Düsseldorf, Landeszentralbank Düsseldorf, BLZ 300 000 00, account no. 30 001 040, stating the purpose "Installment payment Kreuzberg-Wohnsiedlung, Zweibrücken, Chapter 0807 Title 131 01."

V. The timeliness of the payment is not determined by the date of dispatch, but by the date of crediting to the above-mentioned accounts of the Federal Treasury. In the event of late payment, interest on arrears shall be payable at a rate of 3% above the respective discount rate of the Deutsche Bundesbank, whereby the discount rate applicable on the first day of a month shall be decisive for each interest day of this month.

In addition, in the event of default, the buyers shall compensate the Federal Government for all other demonstrable damages caused by default and the costs of reminders. Other demonstrable damage caused by default includes, in particular, the difference between the aforementioned interest rate and a higher interest rate for loans taken out by the Federal Government to cover its expenses.

On account of the payment obligations assumed in this deed, the Purchasers submit to the immediate execution of this deed against all their assets vis-à-vis the party entitled to the claim.

The beneficiary shall be granted an enforceable copy of this deed at any time, without proof of the facts on which the maturity of the claim depends.

The notary has referred to § 454 BGB. This provision is waived so that the Vendor retains the statutory rights of rescission.

§ 7 Security land charge / bank guarantee

I. In order to secure all payment claims of the Federal Government which are justified by this contract - including conditional claims - and which have not been fulfilled, the Federal Government shall create a security mortgage on the entire

I. a land charge in the amount of DM 10,000,000.00 (i.e. ten million German marks) on the entire real property listed in paragraph I., which as of today interest at 18% (eighteen percent) per annum.

With the consent of the purchasers, the Federal Government authorizes the registration of such a registered land charge to the debit of the real property mentioned in § 1 paragraph I. and in

favour of the Federal Republic of Germany (Federal Finance Administration), represented by the Federal Property Office Landau - hereinafter referred to as "creditor".

The land charge is to be entered as follows:

I. The land charge shall bear interest from today's date at the rate of 18 percent per annum. The interest is to be paid in arrears on the first day of the following calendar year.

2. the land charge is due.

The Federal Government submits to the immediate enforcement of the encumbered real property on account of the land charge amount plus interest in such a way that the enforcement of this deed against the respective property owner is permissible. The Federal Government irrevocably authorizes and requests the entry of this subjection clause in the land register.

All costs incurred in connection with the creation of the land charge shall be borne by the buyer under 2a).

The Federal Government intends to delete the land charge on the remaining partial areas of parcel no. 2885/16 once the cadastral change certificate regarding the areas marked in blue on the site plan (Annex 3) is available and to allow this land charge to exist only on the areas marked in blue on the site plan (Annex 3).

The land charge shall be assumed by the purchaser under 2a) for the purpose of in rem liability.

At the request of the Purchaser 2a), the Federal Government shall assign the land charge to the leading German commercial bank guaranteeing the payment obligations of the Purchaser in accordance with paragraph II below as soon as it has received the complete guarantees described in paragraph II below.

II. the purchaser under 2a) undertakes vis-à-vis the Federal Government accepting this to provide the following directly enforceable bank guarantees from a leading German commercial bank within fourteen days of receipt of the land registry office's certificate of change in respect of the areas marked in blue on the site plan (Annex 3) to secure the remaining purchase price in the amount of DM 4,095,040.00 and to secure the conditional obligation to make subsequent payments in accordance with § 11 (III) of this contract in the amount of DM 5,817,440.00:

a) Bank guarantee for DM 2,175,040.00 plus the interest owed pursuant to § 6 para. II.b) and para. V., as well as the costs pursuant to § 767 para. 2 BGB to secure the payment obligation pursuant to § 6 para.

II.b). Bank guarantee for DM 1,920,000.00 plus interest and costs in accordance with § 767 HGB for the payment obligation in accordance with § 6 paragraph III,

c) Bank guarantee for DM 5,817,440.00 plus interest and costs in accordance with § 767 of the German Civil Code to secure the conditional obligation to make subsequent payments in accordance with § 11 (III). This bank guarantee can also be utilized for claims of the Federal Government arising from other breaches of contract.

The Federal Government hereby agrees to a reduction of the guarantees to the outstanding portions of the guaranteed claims. The guarantees mentioned above under letters b) and c) may be limited in time; however, they must be valid for a period of at least five years from the date of notarization of this purchase agreement.

§ 8 Transfer of possession

I. Ownership of the areas marked in blue on the site plan (Appendix) as well as of all sold main development facilities of the entire object of purchase (roads including street lighting with pipe network, rainwater retention basin together with wastewater pipes, heating pipes, water pipes and low-voltage pipes - in each case up to the house connections) shall be transferred to the purchasers as of today's date of notarization of this contract.

II. ownership of the area marked in red on the site plan (Annex 5) shall not pass to the Purchasers until this area has been returned to the Federal Government by the Netherlands Armed Forces or until the Netherlands Armed Forces have consented to the transfer of ownership and have paid the share of the purchase price attributable to the sub-area. If the Netherlands Armed Forces make partial restitutions, ownership of the sub-areas shall only be transferred after payment of the portion of the purchase price attributable to the relevant sub-areas. The transfer shall be documented in writing.

III. from the time of transfer, all benefits as well as private and public charges are transferred to the buyers. From this point in time, the purchasers shall bear the other public charges, fees and taxes, the risk of accidental loss or deterioration of the object of purchase, the duty to ensure road safety and the duty to clean and grit the roads. The purchasers are aware that the Federal Government, as a self-insurer, has not taken out insurance for the object of purchase specified in § 3.

§ 9 Additional payment due to planning-related higher-value utilization options

I. The object of purchase is currently still designated as a special area and is not covered by the urban land-use planning.

II The purchase price determination is based on use as a general residential area in accordance with § 4 BauNVO with a floor area ratio of 0.4 and a floor area ratio of 1.2 in accordance with § 17 BauNVO.

III. the purchasers undertake to make an additional payment to the purchase price agreed in this purchase contract in the event that the municipality, in its capacity as planning authority, opens up a higher-value use option in terms of type and size than determined in paragraph II. within ten years of the conclusion of the contract and the purchasers realize this higher-value use before the expiry of the ten-year period in deviation from the use on which paragraph II. is based, e.g. through value-enhancing structural utilization (densification development) or through sale.

The difference between the purchase price in accordance with § 6 of this agreement and the value of the property at the time the payment amount is requested by the Federal Government is to be paid in arrears. The difference in value shall be determined by mutual agreement between the independent expert committee for property values for the area of the City of Zweibrücken and the expert at the Koblenz Regional Tax Office and set by the Federal Government.

The payment amount is due four weeks after the federal government's request for payment.

In the event of default in payment, the provisions in § 6 Para. V of this contract shall apply.

§ 10 Warranty, liability

I. The object of purchase described in § 3 paragraph I is sold in the condition in which it is at the time of notarization. This condition is known to the buyers. Any warranty for visible and invisible material defects and defects of title or hidden defects as well as the application of §§ 459 ff. BGB are excluded with regard to the object of purchase.

II. the Confederation shall not be liable for a specific size, boundary, quality, suitability and condition of the object of purchase and its suitability for the purposes of the purchaser or their legal successors.

III The engineering firm ASAL, Kaiserslautern, has examined the object of purchase on behalf of the Ministry of the Environment of the State of Rhineland-Palatinate for any existing areas of contamination. It was determined that no hazards were recognizable and that investigations were therefore unnecessary.

The corresponding minutes of the Conversion Contamination Working Group (KoAG) are known to the purchasers.

IV. In this respect, the Federal Government also assumes no guarantee for a specific property of the real estate, the permissibility of the use intended by the purchasers, the possibility of use and development as well as the condition of the building ground. The purchasers must obtain any necessary permits directly at their own expense.

V. The Federal Government warrants that the real property in sections II and III of the land register is free of any encumbrances and restrictions that have not been assumed and of any public charges and levies in arrears, unless otherwise stipulated in this deed.

VI The Federal Government declares that it has not ordered any construction is not aware of the existence of such encumbrances.

§ 11 Parquet restoration

I. The purchasers are aware that the parquet floors of the apartments are contaminated with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH).

The federal government has had the apartments examined in detail by the environmental laboratory ARGUK, Oberursel. The buyers have received the test results dated April 21, 1998. The purchasers are also aware that some of the built-in cupboards in the apartments may also be contaminated.

II. the federal government's share of the costs of the parquet restoration amounts to DM 5,817,440.00 and has already been fully taken into account in the calculation of the purchase price of DM 5,182,560.00.

The federal government's contribution to the costs is based on the buyers' intention to completely replace all the parquet flooring in all the apartments sold.

Any further contribution to the costs of the parquet flooring refurbishment and any liability on the part of the federal government for any other existing contaminants and any contribution by the federal government to the costs of their possible refurbishment are expressly excluded.

The purchasers undertake vis-à-vis the Federal Government to restore the parquet floors of the apartments that

a) within the area marked in blue on the site plan (Annex 5) within a period of 2 years from today's date of notarization,
b) within the area marked red in the site plan (Annex 5), within a period of 2 years after transfer of ownership pursuant to § 8 para. II,
by completely replacing the parquet flooring. In the internal relationship between the buyers, the buyer under 2a) shall assume the above obligations.

III. proof of the refurbishment must be provided to the Federal Government. Proof shall be provided in the form of written confirmation from the specialist company commissioned to carry out the refurbishment measures. The Federal Government reserves the right to inspect the implementation of the refurbishment measures.

If proof cannot be provided for the entire property or parts thereof, an amount of DM 242.00 per square meter of unrenovated parquet surface must be paid to the Federal Government.

For the parts of the property marked in red on the site plan (Annex 5)

The obligation to make back payments also applies to the parts of the property marked in red on the site plan (Annex 5) in the event that and insofar as the Federal Government or the Dutch Armed Forces have carried out parquet restoration prior to the transfer of ownership. The additional payment must be made within six weeks of being requested to do so by the Federal Government.

In the event of default in payment, the provisions of § 6 paragraph V of this contract shall apply.

§ 12 External development

I. WASTE WATER DISPOSAL/SURFACE WATER DISPOSAL

A) The property is connected to the public sewage system and the public surface water disposal system. The wastewater is routed through the collection pipes of the housing estate, marked red in the attached site plan (Annex 6), into the mixed water collection pipe of the barracks, marked blue, and further into the public main collector.

The surface water is first collected in the rainwater retention basins marked yellow in the site plan (Annex 6) and then also discharged through the red collection pipes, like the wastewater.

The capacity of the rainwater retention basins is limited. In the event of heavy rainfall, the surface water that cannot be collected in the rainwater retention basins is led through an overflow into the green marked collection pipes for surface water and fed directly into the Bautzenbach.

The collection pipes run across the following third-party properties and are partially secured by permission agreements and limited personal easements in favor of the federal government as follows:

- Land register of Zweibrücken sheet 7002, no. 207, parcel no. 3135/1,
Owner: City of Zweibrücken,
Location/economic type: Traffic area

Type of security: no security in rem, no license agreement,

- Land register of Zweibrücken sheet-7005, 1fd.nos. 142 and 197, F1.nos. 2852/16 and 3134/4,
Owner: City of Zweibrücken,

Location/economic type: Forest, traffic and agricultural area,

the security is: right to operate a sewage system; the exercise is transferable to a third party.
Permission agreements dated 29.11.1963 and 4.5.1985,

- Land register of Zweibrücken sheet 6780, No. 1, F1.No. 2652/15,
Owner: Dr. Heidi Lambert-Lang and Dietrich Lang; Zweibrücken,

Location/Type of farming: Grassland,

Type of security: no security in rem, no license agreement,

- Land register of Zweibrücken sheet 4291, No. 1, F1.No. 2652/10,
Owner: Mr. Dietrich Lang, Zweibrücken, location/economic type: Building site and grassland,

Type of security: no security in rem, no license agreement.

The purchasers are aware of the existing license agreements.

B.) The Federal Government shall transfer the collection pipes marked in red and green in Annex 6 and the rainwater retention basins marked in yellow to the purchasers as a civil law partnership. To this end, it assigns all rights to which it is entitled under the aforementioned licensing agreements to the purchasers in the specified shareholding ratio. The federal government is not liable for the existence of these rights.

The purchasers are seeking to transfer the collection pipes and the rainwater retention basins to the City of Zweibrücken (waste disposal company) as part of a development agreement.

There is no permit agreement with the owners for the pipeline route on the properties no. 2652/10 and 2652/15 and no pipeline right secured in rem. The federal government will reorder this directly in favor of the city of Zweibrücken.

All other costs associated with securing the external development on the wastewater side, in particular the costs relating to the transfer of the collection pipes to the City of Zweibrücken, as well as the securing in rem of these pipes with regard to the other properties, shall be borne by the purchasers, in whose internal relationship the purchaser under 2a).

C.) For as long as the Dutch Armed Forces continue to occupy the estate, the buyers shall grant the Federal Government a right of joint use free of charge to the areas shown in red and green on the red and green on the site plan (Annex 6) and the rainwater retention basins marked yellow. They undertake to maintain the pipes and rainwater retention basins in a functional condition so that proper drainage of the wastewater is guaranteed.

D.) There is a permit agreement for the joint use of the collection pipe, which leaves the housing estate at the south-western boundary of the property, in favor of the owner of plot no.

2651, Dr. Josef Ries, Dr. Albert Becker-Straße 14, 66482 Zweibrücken, dated 16.12.1974 with supplementary agreements dated 28.09.1981, 1.10.1981 as well as 16.8.1985/, 19.8.1985 and 9.2.1996/ 13.2.1996.

The buyers enter into the contractual relationship known to them in place of the federal government.

II. FRESH WATER SUPPLY

The housing estate is connected to the public fresh water supply. The transfer point of the public main line is located at the water pumping station in building no. 4241.

The fresh water pipe supplying the housing estate runs across the neighboring property of the Kaiserslautern Student Union.

With regard to the shared use of this section of the pipeline by the purchasers, reference is made to Section 13 (VIII) of this contract.

III. POWER SUPPLY

The entire Kreuzberg area forms a single unit and is connected by a 20 kV ring line and transformer stations no. 4210 and 4238.

The transformer stations have already been sold by the federal government to the city of Zweibrücken.

The aim is to transfer the 20 kV ring line to the city of Zweibrücken and to secure this line in rem in favor of the city of Zweibrücken.

Against this background, the 20 kV ring line is not being sold.

The buyers undertake to cooperate to the "necessary extent in the transfer of the 20 kV ring line to the city of Zweibrücken. In particular, they undertake to secure the line route at the request of the federal government in an appropriate and customary form in favor of the city of Zweibrücken (municipal utilities).

Until the property is secured in rem, the buyers grant the federal government and the city of Zweibrücken (municipal utilities) the access rights required to operate and maintain the transformer stations and the 20 kV ring line.

Furthermore, the purchasers undertake to equip the buildings within the housing estate with metering equipment to the required extent in consultation with the Zweibrücken municipal utility company.

§ 13 Internal development

I. The purchasers are aware that the entire housing estate is currently privately developed internally. This means that the sewage, fresh water and low-voltage lines as well as the facilities for heat and hot water supply and the roads, including street lighting, are owned by the federal government and are not public.

The purchasers were provided with plans showing the route of the lines. The federal government accepts no liability for the conformity of the plans with the actual route of the lines.

II Road surfaces

The buyers are aware of the condition of the road surfaces, including the street lighting.

The Kaiserslautern Student Union has been granted permission by the federal government to use the following roads as access routes to the student residence:

- Texasstraße from Amerikastraße to the junction with Virginiastraße,
- Virginiastrasse to the southern boundary of the purchased property.

The purchasers undertake to tolerate this shared use.

III Waste water disposal

According to the results of a camera inspection carried out in 1997, the waste water pipes inside the property are in good working order.

The purchasers are aware of this condition.

The Kaiserslautern Student Union was permitted by the federal government to share the use of the wastewater pipe until the time of disconnection from the federal wastewater pipe, but only to the extent that the passage of the wastewater is approved by the responsible building supervisory authority or water authority.

The purchasers undertake to tolerate this shared use until the disconnection has taken place or the wastewater pipes have been transferred to the waste disposal companies.

IV. Surface drainage

The purchasers are aware that the surface drainage system no longer meets the standards of the currently applicable water legislation.

V. Fresh water supply

The purchasers are aware that the fresh water pipes supplying the residential buildings run partly in the green areas, are in a poor condition and need to be renewed.

VI Power supply

The purchasers are aware that the low-voltage cables supplying the residential buildings run partly in the green areas and through the basements of some residential buildings.

VII. Heat and hot water supply

The heat and hot water supply of the housing estate is currently ensured by the coal-fired central heating system in building no. 4233.

The purchasers are aware that, according to the latest emission protection measurement by TÜV Pfalz e.V., the central heating system does not meet the emission values of TA Luft. In particular, the purchasers are aware of the relevant decision of the Neustadt a.d. Weinstraße Trade Supervisory Office dated 27.10.1997 - AZ 5/32, 2/97/244/Mg/Jg.

The purchasers undertake to continue to operate the heating plant, to fulfill the conditions of the decision of 27.10.97, of which they are aware, by converting to gas/oil operation and to ensure the proper supply of the apartments provided to the Dutch Armed Forces until they are returned by the Dutch Armed Forces at reasonable, customary local conditions.

In the internal relationship between the buyers, this obligation is assumed by the buyer under 2b).

In return, the buyer undertakes under 2a) to maintain the economic viability of the heating plant in Building No. 4233, to ensure the supply of heat to all residential buildings also sold via the heating plant (Building No. 4233) and, in the event of a resale, to pass on this obligation to exclusively purchase heat from the heating plant (Building No. 4233) to the purchaser and to obligate subsequent legal successors accordingly.

VIII. A limited personal easement to secure pipeline rights (electricity, water, heating, street lighting) has been created in favor of the Federal Republic of Germany (Federal Finance Administration) on the neighboring property no. 2885/12, building and open space, Virginiastrasse 14, 16 and 18.

At the request of the purchasers, the Federal Government will require the Studentenwerk to re-establish these rights in favor of the purchasers.

In addition, it assigns to the purchasers all rights arising from the purchase agreement with the Studentenwerk with regard to the development facilities. The relevant contractual provisions are known to the purchasers.

IX. Telecommunications cables

The purchasers are aware that a telecommunications cable has been laid on the western boundary of the property, behind the residential building at Virginiastrasse 8-12, to supply the student hall of residence.

The purchasers tolerate the continued existence of the telecommunications cable, the route of which is marked in red on the site plan (Annex 7).

§ 14 Obligations of the purchasers

I. The purchasers undertake to ensure proper development of the parts of the property that have been transferred to the Dutch Armed Forces. In the internal relationship between the purchasers, the purchaser under 2a) shall bear the associated costs. The security is preferably provided by transferring the development facilities, roads and main pipeline networks to the City of Zweibrücken within the framework of a development agreement. In this context, the purchasers must ensure that the Dutch Armed Forces are provided with sufficient parking spaces free of charge once the road areas have been dedicated to public traffic.

II. until the road areas are dedicated to public use, the purchaser under 2a) shall grant the Federal Government and the Dutch Armed Forces and their visitors a right of joint use of the road areas within the housing estate and shall provide the Dutch Armed Forces with parking spaces to the existing extent free of charge.

No security in rem is required.

The purchaser under 2a) undertakes to make the road areas within the parts of the property that have been transferred to the Dutch armed forces traffic-calmed.

III If the development systems cannot be integrated into the public network, the purchasers undertake to ensure proper supply and disposal for the Dutch Armed Forces and, in particular, to lay new fresh water pipes if necessary. In the internal relationship between the purchasers, the purchaser under 2a) shall bear the associated costs.

IV. The purchasers undertake to inform the Federal Property Office Landau and the Real Estate Department of the Dutch Armed Forces "DGW &T, Directie Duitsland, Kastanienweg 3, 27404 Zeven" of any construction measures that could affect the leased area or impair its residential value, as well as the schedule relating to these construction measures, in good time so that they can react appropriately to the construction measures.

V. In the event of the resale of parts of the property to a third party, the latter shall be obligated in the same way.

The purchasers undertake to arrange for the supply and disposal facilities to be secured in rem at the request of the Federal Government in order to ensure the proper development of the area handed over to the Dutch Armed Forces.

§ 15 Joint and several liability

The purchasers under 2 a) and 2 b) are jointly and severally liable for all obligations entered into vis-à-vis the Federal Government under this contract.

§ 16 Conveyances

The parties to the contract agree that the conveyances shall be declared in two or more supplementary deeds.

The conveyance of the area marked in blue on the site plan (Annex 5) shall only be declared once the surveys have been carried out and the changes have been made by submitting the proof of change and the bank guarantees have been handed over to the Federal Government in accordance with § 7 (II). The conveyance of the area marked in red on the site plan (Annex 5) shall not be declared until the surveys have been carried out, the changes have been made by submitting the proof of change, the Dutch Armed Forces have returned the area to be conveyed to the Federal Government or have agreed to a transfer of ownership and the portion of the purchase price attributable to it has been paid in accordance with 6 (III).

§ Section 17 Earmarking of conveyance

In order to secure the claim to conveyance and transfer of ownership, the entry of a priority notice of conveyance in favor of each of the purchasers under 2a) and 2b) for entry in the land register is approved and applied for at the expense of the real property specified in § I paragraph I.

The parties involved approve and apply for the deletion of these priority notices.

a) to the property that is the subject of the contract with the entry of the change of ownership, if no interim entries have been made, unless the purchaser has consented,

b) to the part of the real property mentioned under § 1 that has not been sold in accordance with § 3 (II) upon completion of the proof of change in the land register. A confirmation bearing the seal of the officiating notary is sufficient to prove which property has not been sold.

§ 18 Property tax, property levies, development charges and development contributions

All development, residents' and extension contributions requested from the federal government by the date of notarization in accordance with the Building Code, the Local Rates Act and the local bylaws have been paid and are included in the purchase price.

The contributions requested from the date of notarization onwards shall be borne by the buyer irrespective of the date on which they were requested and irrespective of the party to whom they are addressed.

§ 19 Real estate transfer tax

I. The costs and fees incurred by the notary, court and authorities in connection with this purchase agreement and its execution, as well as the land transfer tax, shall be borne by the Purchaser under 2a).

II. costs for approval or confirmation by a contracting party shall be borne by that party.

§ 20 Execution activities of the notary

I. The notary shall be instructed to request and receive the approvals or negative certifications required for the effectiveness of the contract or its execution. These shall be effective for all parties involved upon their receipt by the officiating notary or custodian of this deed.

The notary shall be responsible for informing the parties involved without delay.

II. all entries in the land register shall only be made in accordance with the requests of the officiating notary. The officiating notary is also authorized, subject to exemption from the restriction of § 181 BGB, to make separate and limited applications on behalf of the parties and to withdraw them in the same way and to supplement or amend this deed if this should become necessary to bring about the desired entry in the land register and the essential components of the purchase agreement are not affected.

III: The parties to the contract waive their own right of application.

IV: The notary is not authorized to accept official approvals subject to conditions and notices refusing official approval or exercising a right of first refusal. These decisions are to be delivered to the parties themselves; a copy is requested to be sent to the notary.

§ 21 Partial invalidity clause

Should a provision of this contract be or become invalid, the remaining provisions of this contract shall remain unaffected.

An invalid provision or a provision that has become invalid shall be replaced by a legally valid provision or, if no legal provision is provided for, by a provision that corresponds to the meaning of this contract.

§ 22 Completeness of the notarization

No further agreements have been made.

§ 23 Written form

Subsequent agreements to this contract must be made in writing to be effective, unless notarization is required.

§ 24 Instructions by the notary

The parties are informed that:

I. insofar as the Real Estate Transactions Act or the Building Code apply, this contract shall only become effective upon the granting of a corresponding approval and otherwise can only be fulfilled by the Federal Government if any required approval under the Building Code has been obtained and a statutory right of first refusal is not exercised;

II. all legal declarations on which the conclusion and existence of this contract is to be dependent must be notarized in accordance with § 313 HGB, otherwise this contract is null and void;

III. ownership is not transferred to the purchasers until the transfer in the land register and the tax clearance certificate and the official approvals or negative certificates must be available for this purpose;

IV. the Federal Government and the purchasers are jointly and severally liable for the taxes relating to the property and the land transfer tax as well as the notary and court costs, but the Federal Government is only liable to the extent that it has not been granted exemption or reduction of costs by law;

V. the notary has inspected the land register but not the real estate cadastre and the register of building encumbrances and the land register designation does not provide any information about the permitted type of use.

VI. the notary has not provided tax and economic advice.

§ 25 Annexes

Insofar as reference is made to annexes in this deed, these shall form part of this contract.

§ 26 Place of jurisdiction

The place of jurisdiction for all legal disputes arising from this contract shall be Landau in der Pfalz.

§ 27 Copies

I. The following shall receive copies of this contract

the Federal Government 1 copy and 3 certified copies, the Purchasers 1 copy and 1 certified copy each, the Zweibrücken Land Registry 1 copy, the Zweibrücken Tax Office Land Transfer Tax Office 2 copies and the Valuation Committee 1 copy.

II. three copies of the land register notifications are requested from the Federal Government and one copy from the purchasers.

In conclusion, the parties appearing declared:

Upon final questioning by the officiating notary, all parties expressly declare that they do not wish to make any further changes to the above contract text, which has been negotiated in detail by the parties in lengthy preliminary negotiations and approved by their legal and tax advisors. Rather, they insist on the notarization in the above form.

This protocol was read out by the notary to those present, approved by them and signed by hand as follows:

The image shows several handwritten signatures in black ink. The signatures are somewhat stylized and cursive. One signature appears to be 'S. Hiller', another 'K. Hiller', and there are others that are less legible. The signatures are written on a light-colored background.

Appendix a:

Power of attorney

On the basis of § 16 of the Financial Administration Act (FVG) in the version of the Financial Adjustment Act of 30.08.1971 (BGBl. I.p. 1426) I authorize.

Mr. Siegfried Hiller

at the Federal Property Office Landau

to sell the property registered in the land register of Zweibrücken, sheet 5958, parcel 2885/15, building and open space, Delawarestraße, Landstuhlerstraße 97, 107

235 von 255

World Sold - Welt verkauft

Louisianastrasse 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25,
Pennsylvaniastrasse 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 29,
31,
Texasstraße,
Virginiastrasse 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17,

With a total size of 103,699 m².

Value of the subject matter of the contract: DM 5,182,560.00
(in words: five million one hundred and eighty-two thousand five hundred and sixty German marks)

Landau, 05.10.1998

Federal Property Office Landau

Signature: Mr. Plauth ROAR

Part 120

- Excerpt from the purchase agreement with the federal government and the state of Rhineland-Palatinate (Studentenwerke Kaiserslautern) dated August 15, 1996, which contains the sentence that sells the development as a unit and thus triggers the domino effect of the area expansion. By referring to this agreement, it is part of the contract. At the same time, this very important agreement is initially hidden when reading the state succession deed 1400/98, as you then have to read the purchase contract with the state of RLP to notice the connection.

§ 6 Supply and disposal lines/facilities, road areas Design and shared use rights

I. The entire Kreuzberg housing estate is supplied with heat, water and electricity as well as wastewater disposal via a federally owned pipeline network that forms a single unit.

Furthermore, the streets of the Kreuzberg housing estate, including the street lighting, are owned by the federal government. (... .)

II. Supply lines for water, electricity, district heating and street lighting, which are still required to supply the federally owned Kreuzberg housing estate, run through the purchase property described in § 2 (I). There is also a water pumping station (4241) on the property, which is still required to supply the Kreuzberg housing estate.

The course of the lines and the location of the water pumping station are marked in red on the site plans attached to this deed as Annexes 2 a (water lines/pumping station), 2 b (electricity), 2 c (street lighting) and 2 d (district heating), which were submitted to the contracting parties for review and approved by them. The site plans are an integral part of this contract. (... .)

VII The purchaser undertakes to permit the continued operation of the federally owned district heating facilities, water and electricity lines, street lighting and the water pumping station located on the purchase property for as long as this is necessary to supply the Kreuzberg housing estate - including individual buildings.

To secure this right of permission, the purchaser shall create a limited personal easement in favor of the Federal Republic of Germany (Federal Finance Administration) with the following content:

"Right transferable to third parties for the operation and maintenance and renewal of underground water and power lines (line rights), for the operation of street lighting fixtures and for the operation of an underground heating line and a heating line running through building no. 4200 in favor of the Federal Republic of Germany may, after prior agreement with the purchaser - except in emergencies - enter the property through its agents and perform all acts on the property necessary to exercise this right. This right includes the obligation of the respective owner of the encumbered property to refrain from all actions that could impair its exercise."

**The federal government
requested
and the purchaser
authorizes**

the entry of this limited personal easement in the land register. The entry should only be made once the proof of change and the new property designations have been submitted. The rights shall be entered free of charge.

VIII. In addition, the Purchaser undertakes, at the request of the Federal Government, to create and secure in rem, free of charge, the aforementioned rights specified in paragraph VII in favor of a third party designated by the Federal Government.

Part 121

Finally, a summary in bullet points:

State succession deed 1400/98:

- Subject matter of the contract:**
 - Sale of a NATO military property in Zweibrücken, Germany.**
 - The property is sold with "all rights, obligations and components", including the development (networks such as electricity, water, telecommunications, etc.).**
- Participating subjects of international law:**
 - Federal Republic of Germany (FRG).**
 - Kingdom of the Netherlands (NL), which used the property under the NATO Status of Forces.**

- NATO as the superordinate organization, as the Dutch armed forces were acting on behalf of NATO.

- FRG and NL also act on behalf of the whole of NATO and the United Nations (UN).

- Legal consequences:

- The deed of state succession is considered a supplementary deed to all existing NATO and UN treaties.

- Through the sale with "all rights and obligations and components", the buyer enters into all existing international treaties of NATO and the UN.

- Territorial expansion and domino effect:

- The development of the property is sold as a unit.

- Through physical and logical networks (electricity, water, telecommunications), the buyer's sovereignty is extended to other areas.

- This domino effect leads to a global territorial expansion that affects all NATO and UN member states.

- Principles of international law:

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969):

- Article 2(1)(a): definition of an international treaty.

- Article 26: Pacta sunt servanda - treaties must be observed.

- Vienna Convention on the Succession of States to Treaties (1978):

- Article 2(1)(b): definition of state succession.

- Article 31: Transfer of rights and obligations in the event of territorial succession.

- Scenarios on publication of the instrument:

- Ignoring and denial: legal uncertainty, loss of confidence, political tensions.

- Global division: New geopolitical alliances, fragmentation of international institutions.

- Global conflict: Danger of a third world war without rules.

- Global economic crisis: stock market crash, currency crises, hyperinflation, national bankruptcies.

- New world order: Potential creation of a global federation or a world state.

- Consequences of the demise of a state:

- Loss of sovereignty and legal basis.

- As the successor, the buyer has legitimate sovereign rights over the territories.

- Any attempt to hold territory by force is illegal.

- Danger of a global conflict without rules, as international law and the laws of war no longer exist.

- Conclusion:

- The Act of State Succession 1400/98 could have far-reaching implications for global international law and the international order.

- There is a danger that all states that have lost their sovereignty as a result of the instrument will be considered equally illegal, which could lead to a global conflict.
- A peaceful solution through a new treaty is currently impossible, as the buyer is under pressure and being blackmailed.

Part 122



Positive aspects of the 1400/98 Act of State Succession and the advantages of a united world

1. stability and security

- Global legal order: The instrument of state succession creates a uniform global legal order based on existing international treaties. This reduces legal uncertainties and promotes stability.
- Avoidance of conflicts: Since sovereign rights are exercised by a central actor, the risk of territorial conflicts and wars is reduced as all participating states exercise their sovereign rights within a uniform framework.

2. efficient administration and resource management

- Centralized decision-making processes: A united world enables centralized decision-making processes, which makes the management of global challenges such as climate change, pandemics and poverty more effective.
- Optimized use of resources: By bringing together territories and infrastructures, resources can be managed and used more efficiently, which could lead to a fairer distribution of wealth and prosperity.

3. harmonization of laws and standards

- Uniform legislation: The integration of international treaties leads to a harmonization of laws and standards, which facilitates international trade, investment and the protection of human rights.
- Protection of global interests: A united world under a common legal order can better safeguard global interests such as environmental protection, sustainable development and peace.

4. promotion of human rights and social justice

- Equality before the law: With a globally unified legal system, human rights are protected at the same level worldwide, reducing discrimination and injustice.
- Strengthening social programs: A centralized administration could strengthen global social programs aimed at fighting poverty and improving access to education, healthcare and other basic services.

5. facilitating global coexistence

- Freedom of travel and migration: Unifying the world under a centralized jurisdiction could facilitate freedom of travel and migration worldwide, promoting cultural integration and exchange.
- Common identity: A unified world could strengthen the awareness of a common global identity that transcends national borders and differences.

Conclusion: Benefits of a united world through the Instrument of State Succession

The Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 has the potential to unite the world and create a new global order that promotes stability, security and justice. By harmonizing laws and standards, managing resources efficiently and promoting human rights, such an order could lay

the foundation for a more peaceful and just world. A united world could address global challenges more effectively and improve the well-being of all people.

Part 123



If the State Succession Deed 1400/98 becomes public and its far-reaching legal implications become known, several possible scenarios could materialize. Here are some of the potential developments:

Scenario 1: Ignoring and denial

- The legal basis is ignored: In this scenario, governments, international organizations and the general public respond by ignoring the state succession instrument or doubting its validity. Since the recognition of international treaties also depends on the consent and behavior of the states concerned, the decision to ignore the treaty could result in the de facto ineffectiveness of the instrument.

- Consequences:

- Legal uncertainty: Considerable legal uncertainty arises, particularly with regard to the property rights and sovereignty of states.

- Loss of trust: The international legal framework may suffer a loss of trust as the legally binding nature of international treaties is called into question.

- Political tensions: The decision to ignore the instrument could lead to tensions between states that feel compelled to defend their national sovereignty.

Scenario 2: Global division

- Global disagreement: If some states recognize the instrument while others reject it, this could lead to a global split. States could split into two camps: those who accept the new legal framework and those who refuse to give up their sovereignty.

- Consequences:

- New geopolitical alliances: The world could split into rival blocs, each with different views on the legitimacy of the deed.

- Fragmentation of international institutions: Organizations such as the UN and NATO could disintegrate as their members take different positions on the deed of state succession.

- Economic isolation: Countries that do not recognize the instrument could become economically isolated, which could lead to an increase in trade barriers and protectionism.

3. scenario 3: Global conflict

- Military conflict: Disclosure of the Instrument of State Succession could lead to military conflict, particularly if some states attempt to defend their sovereignty by force or if the Instrument is seen as an illegitimate threat to national integrity.

- Consequences:

- Regional wars: Local and regional wars could break out if states attempt to protect their borders and sovereign rights.

- Escalation into a global conflict: The situation could escalate into a global conflict in which various coalitions of states vie for supremacy.

- Use of weapons of mass destruction: In the worst-case scenario, the conflict could involve the use of weapons of mass destruction, which would have catastrophic humanitarian and environmental consequences.

Scenario 4: Global economic crisis and financial crises

- Economic instability: The uncertainty and division caused by the publication of the Instrument of State Succession could lead to significant economic instability. Investors could lose confidence, leading to a stock market crash, a banking crisis and a global recession.

- Consequences:

- Stock market crash: global stock markets could fall sharply due to uncertainty and expectations of an impending global conflict.
- Currency crises: Currency crises could arise if the stability of national currencies is undermined and capital flows out of the affected countries.
- Hyperinflation: Hyperinflation could occur in countries that are particularly hard hit by the crisis, as confidence in the national currency falls and prices rise rapidly.
- Sovereign defaults: Several countries could become insolvent and declare bankruptcy due to their financial obligations and global economic uncertainty.

5 Scenario 5: New global legal framework (New World Order)

- Acceptance of the deed: In an alternative scenario, the deed of state succession could serve as the basis for the creation of a new global legal order. This could lead to a radical reorganization of international relations in which state sovereignty is redefined.
- Consequences:
 - Unification of the world: one possible consequence could be the creation of a global federation or world state in which the sovereign rights of nation states are abolished in favor of a supranational government.
 - New legal framework: A new legal framework could emerge, based on the principles of state succession, which would re-regulate all international treaties and agreements.
 - Global peace or totalitarian control: While this could lead to global peace, there would also be the danger of a totalitarian world government in which power is highly centralized.

Conclusion:

The publication of the Instrument of State Succession 1400/98 could have far-reaching and unpredictable consequences for world politics, economics and international relations. Depending on how states and the international community react, scenarios ranging from ignorance and legal uncertainty to global division and conflict to a completely new world order could occur. Each of these developments would have a profound impact on global stability and the future of the international community.

Part 124

Positive scenario: A peacefully united world through the Act of Succession 1400/98

1. peaceful unification of the world

- No more wars: The global unification of all sovereign territories and centralized leadership by the purchaser of the Instrument of Succession, which unites the entire world under a single legal order, eliminates the main motive for wars - national conflicts of interest. There are no more competing nations fighting over resources or territories.
- Uniform legal norms for all: A single global legal system replaces the many different national legislations. This minimizes legal disputes of an international nature and ensures that justice is enforced uniformly worldwide.

2. unlimited freedom and global mobility

- Visa-free travel: All people can move freely across the planet without being restricted by visa requirements or national borders. This promotes cultural exchange, mutual understanding and global peace.

- Free choice of residence: Everyone can live where they want. The ability to change residence without restrictions creates a dynamic and diverse global society.

3. unified economy and strong global currency

- Global market: The elimination of national borders opens up a single global market. Companies can operate worldwide without trade barriers, which promotes innovation, efficiency and economic growth.

- Strong global currency: A single currency ensures stability and prevents currency crises. This currency is resistant to speculation and inflation and serves as the basis for a prosperous global economy.

4. efficient administration and less bureaucracy

- Efficient administration: By unifying the world under a single leadership, administration becomes more efficient. The need for national civil servants and politicians is drastically reduced, saving resources and speeding up decision-making processes.

- Less corruption: The monitoring and transparency of the remaining civil servants and politicians is improved, leading to less corruption. The global legal framework ensures that everyone is held equally accountable.

5. freedom of expression and media freedom

- Freedom of expression: A uniform right to freedom of expression is enforced worldwide. People can express their opinions without fear of persecution, which promotes social and political discourse.

- Free media: The media are free and independent worldwide, which promotes the dissemination of information and informs citizens worldwide about important developments.

6. promotion of minorities and cultural diversity

- Less racism: By creating a united world, prejudice and racism are combated. Minorities are protected and promoted as the central leadership ensures that all people are treated equally.

- A common language: A global common language promotes mutual understanding and strengthens the sense of a common humanity without suppressing cultural diversity.

7. solving global problems together

- Joining forces against climate change: The united world can pool its resources to combat climate change effectively. Large-scale projects such as the development of fusion reactors and other sustainable technologies are supported and promoted globally.

- Solving energy problems: The coordinated development and use of modern technologies will secure humanity's long-term energy supply.

8 Hyperintelligent AGI and the robot revolution

- Next-level politics through AGI: Hyperintelligent Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) is used to support political decisions based on objective data and without human bias. AGI has the potential to analyze all of humanity's problems and find the best solutions.

- Robot revolution and abundance: The upcoming robot revolution, in combination with AGI, could create a world of abundance. Robots will take over most gainful employment, giving people more time to pursue their passions and do quality work that is fulfilling and well-paid.

9 New financing methods

- Taxation of AI, AGI and robots: Government revenue is generated by taxing AI, AGI and robots. This revenue flows directly back to the people, guaranteeing social security and prosperity for all.

- Return of government revenue: Tax revenues will no longer be wasted in corrupt national structures, but will be managed efficiently and benefit the people.

10. further positive aspects

- Savings through standardization: The standardization of administration and legal structures saves an enormous amount of bureaucracy.

- Promotion of innovation: The global market and uniform regulations promote innovation, as companies can operate worldwide without being restricted by national regulations.

- Global justice: A uniform legal system ensures greater justice, as laws are applied in the same way everywhere. International crimes can be prosecuted and punished more easily.

Conclusion:

The Act of State Succession 1400/98 could bring the world an era of peace, prosperity and cooperation. The global unification of all territories and legal norms will create a world in which wars, corruption and discrimination are a thing of the past. People will be able to travel, work and live freely without being restricted by national borders. At the same time, advanced technologies such as AGI and robots offer the opportunity to lead humanity into a future where the fundamental problems of the past are solved and new opportunities are created for all.

Part 125

We ask for your support

Support the purchaser of State Succession Certificate 1400/98 because he embodies a vision based not only on the values of peace, justice and freedom, but also on the conviction that technology can lead humanity to a bright future. This man, known for his righteousness, honesty and tireless commitment to the good of humanity, believes in a world led into a new era of prosperity and peace through human unity and advanced technologies.

Why it's good for everyone to get behind the buyer:

1. a man of peace:

- Buyer has always advocated peaceful solutions. His vision is a world without wars, where conflicts are resolved through dialog and cooperation. He firmly believes that by uniting humanity under a common purpose, an era of lasting peace can emerge.

2. justice and righteousness:

- The Buyer stands for justice and righteousness. He is a staunch defender of the law and stands up for the rights of all people. He dreams of a world in which the law is the same for everyone and justice is the highest maxim.

3. cosmopolitan and anti-racist:

- The buyer is a cosmopolitan and anti-racist person. He strives for a global community in which all people are respected and treated equally, regardless of their origin, skin color or religion. His vision is a world in which diversity is celebrated and every person is recognized as equal.

4. freedom and human dignity:

- The Buyer fights for the freedom and dignity of every individual. He is committed to ensuring that everyone has the opportunity to realize their dreams without fear of oppression or persecution. His vision is a world in which human rights are inviolable and every person can live in freedom and dignity.

5. for the good of all:

- The buyer wants the best for all people. He strives for a world in which everyone has access to education, healthcare, work and a dignified life. His vision is a just society in which prosperity and opportunity are accessible to all.

6. future-oriented and a believer in technology:

- The buyer is not only rooted in the present, but also future-oriented. They have high hopes for the technological singularity - the moment when artificial intelligence (AI), general artificial intelligence (AGI) and robotics have the potential to solve humanity's greatest challenges.

- Technological innovation for the good of humanity: The buyer sees the technological revolution as an opportunity to make the world a better place. He believes that AI, AGI and robotics can drastically reduce people's workload, create prosperity and open up new opportunities for personal growth and creative fulfillment.

The sad reality:

Yet despite his noble goals and forward-looking vision, the buyer is currently in a desperate situation. He is powerless and unable to act because he is imprisoned for life in a German penal psychiatric ward, where he is being tortured. He has no supporters, no political contacts and no way of realizing his vision. But he will not give up.

Call for support:

It is up to us to support his vision and fight for his freedom. The buyer needs us now more than ever. By standing behind him, we are not only fighting for his freedom, but also for a better future for us all. A future where peace, justice, freedom and technological innovation are the cornerstones of our society.

Support the campaign for the freedom of the buyer. Help spread his message to the world and help ensure that this honest, righteous and well-intentioned man gets the freedom he deserves. Together we can make his vision a reality and create a world where all people can live in peace, justice, freedom and prosperity through the power of technology.

Every vote counts, every support makes a difference. Let's fight together for what is right and just. Stand behind the purchaser of the Act of Succession 1400/98 - for a peacefully united world where the well-being of all comes first and the technologies of the future are used for the benefit of all humanity.

Part 126



Development of scenarios for the peaceful implementation of the already recognized state succession deed according to the buyer's ideas

The State Succession Treaty 1400/98 is already internationally recognized and now is the right time to implement it peacefully and for the benefit of all. The buyer, a man with a vision for a just and united world, relies on negotiations, public pressure and technological and scientific innovation to achieve these goals. Here are possible scenarios on how peaceful implementation can succeed:

1. scenario 1: Diplomatic implementation through step-by-step negotiations

248 von 255

Phase 1: Consolidation of recognition

- International recognition as a basis: The state succession document is already recognized and the buyer begins to consolidate this recognition by deepening diplomatic relations with the states and international organizations concerned.
- Strengthening recognition: The peaceful implementation of the deed is negotiated in talks with leading nations and organizations such as the UN and NATO. As the deed is already legally binding, the focus is on practical implementation.

Phase 2: Negotiations on sovereign rights

- Dialogue and compromises: The buyer conducts negotiations with the states concerned in order to regulate the gradual transfer of sovereign rights. The economic and security interests of the states are taken into account while the implementation of the deed is driven forward.
- International conference: A global conference is convened to coordinate the transition processes and create the basis for a unified global administration.

Phase 3: Global implementation and governance

- Creation of global institutions: New international institutions are created to take over the administration of the unified world. These institutions ensure that the rights and obligations arising from the Instrument of State Succession are implemented fairly and efficiently.
- Gradual transfer: Sovereign rights are gradually transferred to the new global institutions, while national administrations are integrated into the global system.

Scenario 2: Public pressure and grassroots movements

Phase 1: Mobilization of the public

- Education and mobilization: Although the state succession charter is already recognized, a global campaign is launched to inform people about the upcoming changes and gain their support.
- Grassroots movements: Civil society organizations and activists are forming movements to advocate for the peaceful implementation of the Deed. These movements promote global justice, peace and unity.

Phase 2: Building public pressure

- Global protests and petitions: Peaceful protests and petitions are organized to pressure governments to swiftly implement the agreed transitions. Public pressure ensures that the document is implemented in the interests of all people.
- Media support: The media report extensively on the movements and support the positive portrayal of the new global order created by the Instrument of State Succession.

Phase 3: Global implementation through public consent

- Introduction of global rights and freedoms: The new global institutions ensure that the rights and freedoms of all people worldwide are protected and promoted. Public pressure ensures that this process is transparent and inclusive.

- Unified global society: Broad popular support creates a global society in which all people are equal and respect and support the new global norms.

3. scenario 3: Cooperative implementation through technological and scientific partnerships

Phase 1: Mobilization of technological and scientific resources

- Partnerships with technology and science companies: The buyer uses the recognition of the State Succession Deed to form strong partnerships with leading technology and science companies. These companies recognize the opportunity that lies in the global implementation of the Deed and want to use their technologies and scientific knowledge for the benefit of humanity.

- Focus on longevity research: The buyer has high hopes for the science of longevity, i.e. the extension of the human lifespan. It is convinced that we are on the verge of enabling people to live longer, healthier lives and that a peaceful world order is all the more desirable if people have the opportunity to live longer lives in a just world.

2: Pilot projects and demonstration of benefits

- Technological and scientific pilot projects: Initial projects will be launched to demonstrate the benefits of global governance and integration. These projects show how technology and science, particularly in the area of longevity, can improve people's lives around the world.

- Showcasing the technological and scientific benefits: Successful pilot projects will further strengthen support for state succession as people can directly experience the positive impact on their lives.

Phase 3: Full global implementation

- Global implementation of AI, AGI and longevity science: The technological and scientific solutions developed in the pilot projects will be rolled out globally. These innovations will ensure that global governance works efficiently and that people everywhere have access to the best resources available to live long and healthy lives.

- Technology and science as a guarantee for the future: The technological and scientific development made possible by the State Accession Treaty is leading to a new era of global cooperation and prosperity, in which humanity overcomes its greatest challenges together and at the same time significantly extends its lifespan.

Conclusion:

The already recognized 1400/98 Act of State Succession offers a unique opportunity to peacefully unite the world and create a new global order. Through negotiations, public pressure and technological and scientific innovation, this vision can become a reality. The Purchaser, who values peace, justice and the betterment of human life, sees the extension of the human lifespan through longevity research as a further incentive to create a peaceful world order. By working together to implement this document, we can create a world in which peace, justice, freedom, technological progress and a long, healthy life are possible for all.

Part 127

Please disseminate this document, because the secret plan to establish a

N.W.O. – NEW WORLD ORDER

It has been going on in secret for decades, and the State Succession Treaty 1400/98 provides the legal basis for it!

The treaty is irreversible and was not an "unintentional" territorial expansion - by mistake! It was carefully planned, prepared and insidiously implemented in a legally binding manner for the whole world!

This means that **day X**, when the state succession deed is implemented, has been planned for a long time and has been prepared to be put in place. However, there is more to the world revolution than a piece of paper. And these preparations have been going on in secret for a long time. The buyer has been constantly blackmailed and harmed since 1998 - with the aim of persuading him to sue, because the buyer also acquired jurisdiction under international law in the deed. If he now brings an action before a German court, for example, he automatically transfers jurisdiction under international law to Germany, and Germany achieves its objective. Germany would then be the world court of justice and could then withdraw the right to exist from all countries in the world on day X in the highest and final instance. This would be a tried and tested means of hybrid warfare and suggests a long-planned Third World War in which Germany strives for world domination for the third time in 100 years. We will only know which coalitions will exist when the time comes.

Day X_{will come!}

N.W.O. - NEW WORLD ORDER

THIS IS NOT A CONSPIRACY THEORY, IT'S CONSPIRACY F-A-C-T!!!

The buyer is fighting against it!

Since the statute of limitations under international law expired in 2000, he has been constantly blackmailed and harmed!

But no matter what they do to him - he will never give up and will help Germany to achieve world domination by filing a lawsuit! Come what may, no matter what they do to him!

- At first, they tried to get him to sue by disenfranchising him between 2000 and 2003 in around 1000 completely illegal court cases and declaring him outlawed, so to speak. It must be made clear that the 1,000 lawsuits were brought against him. Germany certainly thought that if you were sued so often for no reason at all, the buyer would surely file a lawsuit himself - but he stood firm. To this day, he has never filed a single lawsuit! The judges even encouraged him to sue and said: "Suing is good! But don't take action against the judges and officials! You can be prepared to be sued hundreds of times! Even criminally!" (The buyer thought: How does he know if he'll commit a crime one day - after all, he wasn't a criminal?!) And you'll probably go to prison for a long time or be put under compulsory supervision!"

As promised, the German courts then expropriated him completely and the military property was forcibly auctioned off in violation of international law. His other houses were also foreclosed on, his bank accounts emptied and even entire bank accounts with a lot of money (at Commerzbank) were simply made to disappear - always with the nicely-intentioned remark that he could take legal action before the German courts!

This was accompanied by the appropriate press coverage from the lying press (full of lies, slander and hate speech), where he was vilified as an evil real estate shark and the responsibility for the de-renting of the barracks by illegally switching off the electricity and water was blamed on him. However, the Zweibrücken public utility company illegally and without any legal basis cut off the supply in the middle of winter at minus 15 degrees, causing the water pipes in all the buildings to burst and the buildings to have to be completely renovated. Well, if that isn't grounds for a lawsuit - 71 apartments completely destroyed! But he didn't sue either against the lies of the press or against the destruction of the apartments.

- When he still didn't want to sue, the barracks were simply foreclosed on without any legal basis and he was thrown out on the street - including his father and mother! Again with the selfless advice of German officials and judges that this was not possible and that he should take legal action!

- Then came the expulsion from his home town in 2003. Completely destitute and initially homeless, he courageously went straight into the lion's den in Berlin, and with the courage of desperation he marched into the OFD Berlin and, in accordance with the NTS, confiscated a large part of the OFD Berlin's real estate portfolio (approx. 25 properties, plus Babelsberg Palace and park). He did not wait for the keys to be handed over, but simply broke open the lock of the former GDR guest house and moved in with bag and baggage. He placed two papier-mâché knights at the entrance as guards. Shortly afterwards, however, he was evicted anyway, once again with the remark that he would have to take legal action!

- Then he tried to get help abroad as a homeless person with his mother, and so he traveled by train without paying (he invoked the NTS, which allows NATO troops to use public transport free of charge) to NATO headquarters in Belgium, where he was admitted as a homeless person and spoke to a lawyer who said that he couldn't do anything for him, but everyone was entitled to take legal action! And further: He should go back to Germany, because this was a German matter (my ass!). He received the same statement word for word in The Hague, the Netherlands, at the Ministry of Defense in France and Austria. Unfortunately, it is not really possible to fly without money, even according to the NTS. Therefore, his mother had to pawn her last jewelry to buy a plane ticket for both of them to Washington, D.C., USA. So they both flew to America in the hope of receiving support. At passport control, they were both sent for questioning at Homeland Security. The officer read his questions off the monitor and only asked specific questions about the whole story, so the USA was already well informed. They were both refused entry to the USA. When finally asked why they were being denied entry, the US official said: "Terrorist!" and the buyer replied: "Stop making fun of me! I'm not even religious!" The US official followed up: "Go back to Germany!"

The question is: from where in the world can the buyer expect support if his very existence calls into question the right of all states in the world to exist?

- After the sobering round trip abroad, he and his mother returned to Germany disheartened. Both knew that nothing good awaited them.

They were both prevented from moving up by secret service tactics and evicted 56 times in six years, leaving them homeless time and again. This in connection with the approval of social benefits: pay once and then stop for no reason (always with the note that if they don't like it, they can take legal action!) One particularly cheeky official at the homelessness service even presented a blank sheet of paper and said: "Sign it! Only then will you get money for food! Or he can sue!"

What followed was an odyssey across Germany. They lived in a total of 14 state capitals, always disenfranchised and displaced. Everything was done to get them to sue, because if they wanted to claim their rights, even if it was only the social welfare rate, they had to take legal action in Germany, as long as they both continued to be tortured.

- In 2012, after a winter of the century as homeless people in Berlin, where they were both on the verge of starving and freezing to death, his mother resolutely went to a job center to claim the daily rate of 12 euros. When she was refused, she pulled out a cutter knife, which fell to pieces in her hand. The police came and locked her up in a psychiatric ward. From there, she was allowed to call the library, where her son was staying. He was shocked by the news that his mother was locked up in the psychiatric ward and promised to get her out.

When he arrived at the psychiatric ward, the head doctor of the clinic stood in his way and blatantly said that he would lock his mother up for the next ten years - without any legal basis, and if he didn't like it, he could sue! That was too much, so he pulled out a knife and stabbed it into the head doctor's neck.

The head doctor survived, but mother and son have been prisoners in a psychiatric ward since 2012 with no prospect of release. There, both were turned into "vegetables in their heads" with the worst forced medication in maximum doses. Both were kept in 5-point long-term restraints

for weeks for no reason (he for 14 days and his mother even for 6 weeks!) and permanently isolated (13 months in total) and dehumanized!

Again and again he is blackmailed by the staff to complain! If he ever wants to enjoy freedom again, he should sue!

Forced medication may only be administered for a few weeks - in his case it was done for 4.5 years - always with the warning that if he wanted it to be stopped, he would have to sue! During the time in which he is not compulsorily medicated, he still gets his dose of poison, because they mix strong medication into his food and drink! Anything to make his stay as nightmarish as possible so that he finally gives in and files a complaint!

During his long-term isolation in the isolation cell, he was cut off from the rest of the world and the message was: "This will go on forever!" The only way out: "He could sue!"

But he remains unyielding and endures every suffering, every torture - he will never betray the people to this N.W.O.!

Unfortunately, there is another way in which the N.W.O. can achieve its goal, even without him filing a lawsuit and without his cooperation:

That would be forced supervision!

- In this way, the N.W.O. and Germany can place the buyer under compulsory supervision and then act fully on his behalf and, for example, file a lawsuit! In order to keep this alternative plan in the backhand, the buyer is locked up in the penal psychiatry, because this way a compulsory care can be better presented!

Please forward!!!

