|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CSCI222 GROUP 8 MEETING NOTES** | **DATE:** *01 August 2016* |
| **START TIME:** *14:30* | **END TIME:** *16:30* |
| **ATTENDING MEMBERS:** *Michael Beavis, Nathan Humphries, Bradley McAlpine, Jacob Rigney* | |
| **PREVIOUS MEETING DISCUSSION:** *N/A* | |
| **MEETING AGENDA:**   * *General Meet and Greet* * *Form questions for the first client meeting* * *First Client meeting* | |
| **MEETING MINUTES:**   * *Meet and Greet went well, most of the Team had worked with each other before* * *Whole team read through the specification sheet provided* * *Opened the floor to discussion on spec sheet*   + *What kind of software are we supposed to be reporting errors from, or is it a more generalized platform?*   + *What is a "good bug"?*   + *What sort of interface is expected? (GUI/web/executable?)*   + *Are there any specific requirements for how/where the program will need to run (e.g. operating system)*   + *What kind of data management is expected? (local/server database?)*   + *Are data outputs/reports expected to be in a particular format? Who has access to reports?*   + *what security measures?* * *The team then took our questions to the first Client meeting, where in respect to the former we received the following answers*   + *The system is a generic bug reporting system that will be sold to multiple vendors*   + *a good bug is informative, enough info to be able to fix (quality of the report)*   + *Java/C++ backend, Python/PHP front end,* ***DONT DO DISTRUBUTED***   + *Bare min command prompt, better for web-app*   + *up to us, recommended local, data will be given in an xml sheet*   + *no specific, displays within program, reports in real time*   + *none* | |
| **NEXT MEETING TARGETS:**   * *Consider what roles would best suit you* * *Consider what language(s) will be used* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CSCI222 GROUP 8 MEETING NOTES** | **DATE:** *08 August 2016* |
| **START TIME:** *16:30* | **END TIME:** *17:15* |
| **ATTENDING MEMBERS:** *Michael Beavis, Bradley McAlpine, Jacob Rigney*  **ABSENT MEMBERS:** *Nathan Humphries* | |
| **PREVIOUS MEETING DISCUSSION:**   * *Reviewed the questions asked of the client* * *For the moment no further questions were required* | |
| **MEETING AGENDA:**   * *Further discussion on questions for the client* * *As there was a lab exercise meeting was short this week* | |
| **MEETING MINUTES:**   * *Due to the shortness of the meeting and Nathan being absent role allocation was pushed a week* * *It was agreed upon that at present any further questions of the client were not needed* * *It was agreed upon that we needed some comparable programs currently on the market* * *There was a discussion on the Lab activity that was just completed and how it can be used within our project* * *Even after Michael’s “kerfuffle” with* [*www.draw.io*](http://www.draw.io) *it was agreed upon that we would use it for our modelling tasks* | |
| **NEXT MEETING TARGETS:**   * *Role Allocation* * *Language decision* * *Comparison Programs* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CSCI222 GROUP 8 MEETING NOTES** | **DATE:** *15 August 2016* |
| **START TIME:** *14:30* | **END TIME:** *16:30* |
| **ATTENDING MEMBERS:** *Michael Beavis, Nathan Humphries, Bradley McAlpine, Jacob Rigney* | |
| **PREVIOUS MEETING DISCUSSION:**   * *Michael showed the group* [*https://jira.atlassian.com/secure/Dashboard.jspa*](https://jira.atlassian.com/secure/Dashboard.jspa) *as a comparison to the project* * *Explained to Nathan the previous weeks Lab task and how it will be involved in this project* | |
| **MEETING AGENDA:**   * *Role Allocation* * *Language(s) decision* * *Discussion of Domain Model* | |
| **MEETING MINUTES:**   * *Role Allocation was agreed upon and roles were then assigned as follows:*   + *Michael Beavis (Manager)*   + *Nathan Humphries (Lead Coder)*   + *Bradley McAlpine (Scribe/Documentation)*   + *Jacob Rigney (Lead Tester)* * *Debated the merits of Java vs C++ for backend*   + *It was agreed by the group that we are all fluent in C++ as that is what we have been taught at UoW*   + *However, Java’s libraries are much better suited for this project*   + *And for portability purposes Java wins outright*   + *A unanimous decision was made that Java would be the language used for the backend* * *Debated the merits of PHP vs Python for frontend*   + *All members have some experience with PHP but all agree that the majority would have to be learnt*   + *The same went for Python, where Michael was the only one with experience and that was very limited*   + *It was agreed that more research would need to be done on the front end language* * *As we began to conceptualize our program the Domain Model was discussed*   + *A rough draft was sketched and passed to Jacob who was taking care of that part of the Report* | |
| **NEXT MEETING TARGETS:**   * *Lab exercise planned for next week, will discuss further developments after* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CSCI222 GROUP 8 MEETING NOTES** | **DATE:** *22 August 2016* |
| **START TIME:** *16:45* | **END TIME:** *17:00* |
| **ATTENDING MEMBERS:** *Michael Beavis, Nathan Humphries, Bradley McAlpine, Jacob Rigney* | |
| **PREVIOUS MEETING DISCUSSION:**   * *Reaffirmed Java as the backend language and have come to the agreement that Java will be our front end language as well for ease of learning* * *Jacob filled the team in on how the domain model was coming along* | |
| **MEETING AGENDA:**   * *After a hard few weeks the team has earnt a break* | |
| **MEETING MINUTES:**   * *The team had a casual conversation regarding a new game that was released which lead to the discussion of development companies promising greatness and releasing mediocrity.*   + *No Man’s Sky was to be this year’s greatest game however when released it disappointed fans*   + *Relating this to the project the team discussed things* ***NOT*** *to do when developing* | |
| **NEXT MEETING TARGETS:**   * *Will allocate other parts of the Report to be done by members of the Team* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CSCI222 GROUP 8 MEETING NOTES** | **DATE:** *29 August 2016* |
| **START TIME:** *14:30* | **END TIME:** *16:30* |
| **ATTENDING MEMBERS:** *Michael Beavis, Nathan Humphries, Bradley McAlpine, Jacob Rigney* | |
| **PREVIOUS MEETING DISCUSSION:** *N/A* | |
| **MEETING AGENDA:**   * *Allocate the remaining Report sections to team members* * *Discuss any issues* | |
| **MEETING MINUTES:**   * *Each team member was allocated sections of the Report as follows:*   + *Meta Report and collation of the others work (Michael)*   + *Software Requirements Specification and Business Case (Bradley)*   + *Domain Model and Risks and Counter Measures (Jacob)*   + *Use Cases (Nathan)* * *Nathan, Jacob and Brad discussed how their sections will collaborate with each other’s* * *Michael then showed the xml file that had been given as sample data*   + *The xml file is badly formed so retrieving a DTD is difficult*   + *It has been marked down to ask the client where this xml file was from* | |
| **NEXT MEETING TARGETS:**   * *Update each other on our current progress* * *Lab task scheduled for next week* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CSCI222 GROUP 8 MEETING NOTES** | **DATE:** *5 September 2016* |
| **START TIME:** *16:30* | **END TIME:** *17:00* |
| **ATTENDING MEMBERS:** *Michael Beavis, Nathan Humphries, Bradley McAlpine, Jacob Rigney* | |
| **PREVIOUS MEETING DISCUSSION:**   * *Each member caught the rest up on the progress of their report sections* | |
| **MEETING AGENDA:**   * *Finalization of Report* * *Discussion on what versioning system we will use* | |
| **MEETING MINUTES:**   * *Each member is up to date on their report sections* * *Michael gave the Team Work Diary templates to put in their own diary entries, for uniform purposes* * *Team was reminded to hand their work diaries to Michael no later than Tuesday night* * *It was not much of a discussion but Github was chosen as the versioning system* | |
| **NEXT MEETING TARGETS:**   * *Reevaluate design* | |