## Exercise 6

## By Martin Lundfall, Denis Erfurt k0025944 May 30, 2016

## Contents

```
theory LundfallErfurtEx06
imports QML Main
begin
Exercise 1
consts X :: \sigma
consts Y :: \sigma
theorem K:
 \mathbf{shows} \ \lfloor \ \Box(X \to Y) \to (\Box \ X \to \Box \ Y) \rfloor
proof simp
qed
consts mayVote :: \mu \Rightarrow \sigma
consts bornEqual :: \mu \Rightarrow \mu \Rightarrow \sigma
theorem Ex1-a:
 assumes 1: |\neg \lozenge ((\forall h1. \forall h2. bornEqual h1 h2) \land (\exists h3. \neg mayVote(h3)))
\mid AND
 assumes 2: \square (\forall h1. \forall h2. bornEqual h1 h2)
 shows \mid (\Box (\forall h.(mayVote(h)))) \mid
proof -
from assms have | \Box (\neg ((\forall h1. \forall h2. bornEqual h1 h2) \land (\exists h3. \neg mayVote(h3))))
| by simp
then have |\Box((\forall h1. \forall h2. bornEqual h1 h2) \rightarrow (\neg(\exists h3. \neg mayVote(h3))))
\rfloor by metis
 then have 3: | (\Box (\forall h1. \forall h2. (bornEqual h1 h2))) \rightarrow (\Box \neg (\exists h3. \neg
mayVote(h3))) \mid \mathbf{using} \ K \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
from 2 3 have |(\Box \neg (\exists h3. \neg mayVote(h3)))| by simp
 then show ?thesis by simp
qed
consts isRaining :: \sigma
\mathbf{consts}\ \mathit{StreetIsWet} :: \sigma
```

```
theorem Ex1-b:
 assumes 1: \lfloor \Box (isRaining \rightarrow StreetIsWet) \rfloor AND
 assumes 2: | isRaining |
 shows | StreetIsWet |
nitpick
oops
axiomatization where
  T: [\Box A \rightarrow A]
theorem Ex1-c:
 assumes 1: [\Box (isRaining \rightarrow StreetIsWet)] AND
 assumes 2: | isRaining |
 shows | StreetIsWet |
proof -
 from assms have 3: |(\Box isRaining) \rightarrow (\Box StreetIsWet)| by simp
 from 2 have 4: |\Box isRaining| by simp
 from 2 3 have |\Box| StreetIsWet| by auto
 then show ?thesis using T by auto
qed
axiomatization where
 5: |\Diamond A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A|
The intuition behind this axiom is: If something is possible, then it is nec-
essarily possible
theorem Ex1-d:
 assumes | isRaining |
 shows [\Box \Diamond isRaining]
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis using 5 by metis
qed
Exercise 2
consts unlimited :: \mu \Rightarrow \sigma
definition God where God G \equiv unlimited(G)
axiomatization where
 B: |(\Box (\exists x. unlimited(x))) \lor (\neg \Diamond (\exists x. unlimited(x)))|
axiomatization where
  C: \lfloor \neg \Diamond (\exists \ x. \ unlimited(x)) \rfloor
theorem d:
 shows [\Box (\exists x. x = G)]
proof -
 show ?thesis using B C by auto
```

## $\mathbf{qed}$

To prove this ontological argument we do not require axiom K or 5 and