

	DATASET FOR CONCEPT LE	
	TASK : To identify whether a st	
	DATASET :	
	S.NO	HALLTICKET NO
	1	19h61a3501
	2	19h61a3502
	3	19h61a3503
	4	19h61a3504
	5	19h61a3505
	6	19h61a3506
	7	19h61a3507
	8	19h61a3508
	9	19h61a3509
	10	19h61a3510
	11	19h61a3511
	12	19h61a3512
	13	19h61a3513
	14	19h61a3514
	15	19h61a3515
	16	19h61a3516
	17	19h61a3517
	18	19h61a3518
	19	19h61a3519
	20	19h61a3520
	21	19h61a3521
	22	19h61a3522
	23	19h61a3523
	24	19h61a3524
	25	19h61a3525
	26	19h61a3526
	27	19h61a3527
	28	19h61a3528
	29	19h61a3529
	30	19h61a3530

EARNING TASK :		
udent will fail or not in the mid 2		
NAME	GENDER	ASSIGNMENT
A.RAJKUMAR	M	very bad
A.SAI RAHUL REDDY	M	excellent
A.DEEPTHI CHOWDARY	F	excellent
A.HEMANT KUMAR	M	excellent
A.SOWMYA REDDY	F	excellent
ANUSRI JULAKANTI	F	excellent
A.AMULYA REDDY	F	excellent
B.SRI SAI LOHITH	M	good
B.RENU KRUSHIYA	F	excellent
B.V.S.MOHANA	F	excellent
B.SWETHA	F	excellent
C.MANIDEEP REDDY	M	below average
C.SUJITHA REDDY	F	excellent
D.SRUTHI	F	good
D.SREERAM	M	excellent
E.JANANISATWIK VARSIDDHARDH	M	excellent
G.JAHNAVI	F	below average
G.SAI NIKITHA	F	excellent
J.RAKESH KUMAR	M	average
K.R.VENKAT READDY	M	excellent
K.ADARSH	M	excellent
K.SATWIK	M	excellent
K.ROJANA REDDY	F	excellent
K.JACINTH	M	below average
K.SUJITH	M	very bad
K.NAVYA SREEJA	F	excellent
L.NAKSHATRA REDDY	F	excellent
L.MAITREYA	M	excellent
M.SHASHANKH	M	excellent
M.HARIDEEP	M	good

[illegible]

	31	19h61a3531
	32	19h61a3532
	33	19h61a3533
	34	19h61a3534
	35	19h61a3535
	36	19h61a3536
	37	19h61a3538
	38	19h61a3539
	39	19h61a3540
	40	19h61a3541
	41	19h61a3542
	42	19h61a3543
	43	19h61a3544
	44	19h61a3545
	45	19h61a3546
	46	19h61a3547
	47	19h61a3548
	48	19h61a3549
	49	19h61a3550
	50	19h61a3551
	51	19h61a3552
	52	19h61a3553
	53	19h61a3554
	54	19h61a3555
	55	19h61a3556
	56	19h61a3558
	57	19h61a3559
	58	19h61a3560
	59	20H65A3501
	60	20H65A3502
	61	20H65A3503
	62	20H65A3504
	63	20H65A3505
	64	20H65A3506

M.SAI SUMA	F	excellent
M.GEETHA HONISHA RAJ	F	very bad
M.AAFTAAB AHMED	M	below average
M.AKHIL HYDER	M	excellent
M.AKSHAYA	F	excellent
M.RUTHVIK SAI	M	absent
N.KARTHIK	M	excellent
N.RAJEEV BHAVANA	F	excellent
N.HARIKA REDDY	F	very bad
P.SAKETH	M	excellent
P.AMRUTH CHANDRA	M	excellent
P.CHINNI KRISHNA	M	below average
R.ARSHITHA REDDY	F	excellent
R.BADRI KUMAR	M	good
S.CHARANYA	F	excellent
L.SHASHANK	M	excellent
B.SHASHIN	M	below average
S.NITISH HARSHA	M	excellent
S.KOUSHIK SAI	M	good
T.ANISH RAO	M	excellent
V.AMITRAJ	M	very bad
V.TRISHAL JADAV	M	below average
V.MANISH	M	excellent
P.VINEETHA	F	excellent
V.SAI SRIKAR	M	below average
V.L.N.V.SAI CHARAN	M	excellent
A.NIKHIL DINAKER	M	excellent
S.LOKESH	M	below average
N.SANDEEP	M	good
VENKATESHWARA REDDY	M	excellent
S.TEJASWI	F	excellent
MA.RAHIL	M	below average
G.SAI SIDDHAERTH REDDY	M	good
A.JAGADEESH	M	below average

excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
absent	FAIL
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
absent	FAIL
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
good	PASS
excellent	PASS
excellent	PASS
absent	FAIL
excellent	PASS
average	FAIL

NUMBER OF INSTANCES/TRAINING		
NUMBER OF ATTRIBUTES = 3(GENDER , AGE , INCOME)		
	TARGET FUNCTION=RESULT CLASS	
	POSITIVE EXAMPLE=PAID	
	NEGATIVE EXAMPLE=NOT PAID	
		RULES :
	NUMERICAL VALUE TO CATEGORICAL	
		GENDER
		MALE - M
		FEMALE - F
		OTHERS-O

EXAMPLES=64		
ASSIGNMENT , MARKS)		
COLUMN		
SS		
ML		
ICAL VALUE		
ASSIGNMENT		MARKS
0 - VERY BAD		15 -20 EXCELLENT
1- BAD		11-15 GOOD
2- BELOW AVERAGE		6-10 AVERAGE
3- AVERAGE		0-5 BAD
4- GOOD		
5- EXCELLENT		

