

# Physics 3610H: Assignment VII

Jeremy Favro (0805980)  
Trent University, Peterborough, ON, Canada

November 9, 2025

**Problem 1.** In class we showed that the eigenfunctions of the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian have the form  $\psi(\xi) = H(\xi)e^{i\xi^2/2}$  where  $\xi = \alpha x$ . Considering just the even solutions, we expanded  $H(\xi)$  in a power series

$$H(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \xi^{2k},$$

and we derived a recursion relation for the coefficients of this series

$$c_{k+1} = \frac{4k + 1 - \lambda}{2(k+1)(2k+1)} c_k.$$

Use this recursion relation to calculate  $H_6(\xi)$ . Be sure to scale your result, following convention, such that the coefficient of  $\xi^6$  is  $2^6$ .

**Solution 1.** We want terms up to and including  $\xi^6$  so we want  $k$  up to and including  $k = 3$ . This means we'll have four terms total so  $\lambda = 4 \cdot 3 + 1 = 13$ . Now applying the recursion relation,

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= c_0 \\ c_1 &= \frac{4 \cdot 0 + 1 - 13}{2(0+1)(0+1)} c_0 = -6c_0 \\ c_2 &= \frac{4 + 1 - \lambda}{2(2)(3)} c_1 = 4c_0 \\ c_3 &= \frac{8 + 1 - \lambda}{2(3)(5)} c_2 = -\frac{8}{15}c_0. \end{aligned}$$

Higher terms are zero as  $c_4$  is zero, as we wanted. Now we use that

$$H_6(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^3 c_k \xi^{2k} = c_0 + c_1 \xi^2 + c_2 \xi^4 + c_3 \xi^6.$$

by plugging in the obtained  $c_k$ s:

$$H_6(\xi) = c_0 - 6c_0 \xi^2 + 4c_0 \xi^4 - \frac{8}{15}c_0 \xi^6.$$

We want  $-\frac{8}{15}c_0 = 2^6 \implies c_0 = -120$  so we have

$$H_6(\xi) = -120 + 720\xi^2 - 480\xi^4 + 64\xi^6.$$

**Problem 2.** Now consider the odd series

$$H(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k \xi^{2k+1}.$$

Using the equation for  $H(\xi)$  which we derived in class, derive the recursion relation for the coefficients in this series. Explain your steps. You should obtain

$$d_{k+1} = \frac{4k + 3 - \lambda}{2(k+1)(2k+3)} d_k.$$

**Solution 2.** In class we found that

$$H(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k \xi^{2k+1}.$$

We also found that

$$\frac{d^2 H}{d\xi^2} - 2\xi \frac{dH}{\xi} + H(\lambda - 1) = 0.$$

Setting up to plug in to our expression for  $H$ ,

$$\frac{dH}{d\xi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k}$$

$$\frac{d^2 H}{d\xi^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2k(2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k-1}.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2k(2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k-1} - 2\xi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k \xi^{2k+1} (\lambda - 1) = 0.$$

We are trying to use Frobenius' method so we need to pull out the dependence on  $\xi$  and turn all the coefficients into one big coefficient so we can use the fact that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k \xi^k = 0 \forall \xi \implies C_k = 0 \forall k.$$

We can start by simplifying a bit to obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2k(2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k-1} - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k \xi^{2k+1} (\lambda - 1) = 0$$

which means that it's only the first term,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2k(2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k-1},$$

preventing us from pulling out a  $\xi^{2k+1}$ . To get around this we first note that the first term of this sum will be zero because of the  $2k$  out front so we can change the starting index without issue

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k(2k+1) d_k \xi^{2k-1}.$$

Now this is the tricky bit: We want to find a mapping for  $k \rightarrow k'$  so that  $\xi^{2k-1} \rightarrow \xi^{2k'+1}$ . Obviously we can do this by setting  $k' = k - 1$ . We are actually able to make this change of index in the summation because the new sum

$$\sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} 2(k'+1)(2k'+3) d_{k'+1} \xi^{2k'+1}$$

is the same as the old sum except we've just called the index something different. The indices still line up so it's no problem to recombine the sums as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2(k+1)(2k+3)d_{k+1}\xi^{2k+1} - 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2k+1)d_k\xi^{2k+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}d_k\xi^{2k+1}(\lambda-1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[2(k+1)(2k+3)d_{k+1} - 2(2k+1)d_k + d_k(\lambda-1)]\xi^{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

As we know this means that, for all  $k$ ,

$$2(k+1)(2k+3)d_{k+1} - 2(2k+1)d_k + d_k(\lambda-1) = 0$$

and so

$$d_{k+1} = \frac{4k+3-\lambda}{2(k+1)(2k+3)}d_k$$

**Problem 3.** Using Dirac notation:

- (a) If  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  are two linear operators show that  $(\hat{A}\hat{B})^\dagger = \hat{B}^\dagger\hat{A}^\dagger$ .
- (b) If  $\hat{C}$  and  $\hat{D}$  are two Hermitian operators, is the product  $\hat{C}\hat{D}$  always Hermitian? (You may use your result from (a)).

**Solution 3.**

- (a) We know that for states  $\psi$  and  $\chi$   $\langle\psi|\hat{A}|\chi\rangle = \langle\hat{A}^\dagger\psi|\chi\rangle$ . Applying this then to the product we obtain

$$\langle\psi|\hat{A}\hat{B}|\chi\rangle = \langle\psi|\hat{A}|\chi'\rangle$$

where  $|\chi'\rangle = \hat{B}|\chi\rangle$ . Then,

$$\langle\psi|\hat{A}|\chi'\rangle = \langle\hat{A}^\dagger\psi|\chi'\rangle = \langle\psi'|\hat{B}|\chi\rangle = \hat{B}^\dagger\langle\psi'|\chi\rangle.$$

Here we've written  $\langle\hat{A}^\dagger\psi| = \langle\psi'|$ . undoing this we obtain

$$\langle\psi|\hat{A}\hat{B}|\chi\rangle = \hat{B}^\dagger\langle\psi'|\chi\rangle = \hat{B}^\dagger\hat{A}^\dagger\langle\psi|\chi\rangle.$$

Because  $|\psi\rangle$  and  $|\chi\rangle$  are generic we can say then that  $(\hat{A}\hat{B})^\dagger = \hat{B}^\dagger\hat{A}^\dagger$ .

- (b) As we know from part (a) linear operators in general satisfy  $(\hat{C}\hat{D})^\dagger = \hat{D}^\dagger\hat{C}^\dagger$ . If this product were Hermitian we could say that  $(\hat{C}\hat{D})^\dagger = \hat{C}\hat{D}$  because we could remove the  $\dagger$  directly by saying  $\hat{C}\hat{D} = \hat{F}$  and  $\hat{F}$  Hermitian would mean that  $\hat{F}^\dagger = \hat{F}$ . Provided these operators do not commute then we have no guarantee that the product will be Hermitian.