

Math 3310H: Assignment I

Jeremy Favro (0805980)
Trent University, Peterborough, ON, Canada

September 25, 2025

Problem 1. Define a relation $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ if $2(a - c) - 3(b - d) = 0$

- (a) Show that \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} .
- (b) Give an example of two pairs $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, which lie in the same equivalence class, and two pairs that don't.
- (c) This equivalence relation partitions the 2D plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ into subregions. What does the equivalence class (a, b) look like as a region of the plane?

Solution 1. (a) For \sim to be an equivalence relation it must satisfy the following properties for a set S (proofs included)

- (i) Reflexivity: $x \sim x \forall x \in S$.

Proof. Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) &\overset{?}{\sim} (a, b) \\ \implies 2(a - a) - 3(b - b) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Which satisfies our relation as defined. Therefore the relation is reflexive. \square

- (ii) Symmetry: $x \sim y \implies y \sim x \forall x, y \in S$

Proof. Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) &\sim (c, d) \\ \implies 2(a - c) - 3(b - d) &= 0 \\ \implies 2(a - c) &= 3(b - d) \\ \implies -2(a - c) &= -3(b - d) \\ \implies 2(c - a) &= 3(d - b) \\ \implies 2(c - a) - 3(d - b) &= 0 \\ \implies (c, d) &\sim (a, b)\end{aligned}$$

\square

- (iii) Transitivity: $x \sim y \sim z \implies x \sim z \forall x, y, z \in S$

Proof. Let $(a, b), (c, d), (e, f) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) &\sim (c, d) \\ \implies 2(a - c) - 3(b - d) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(c, d) &\sim (e, f) \\ \implies 2(c - e) - 3(d - f) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(a - c) - 3(c - d) + 2(c - e) - 3(d - f) = 0 \\
\implies & 2(a - c + c - e) - 3(b - d + d - f) = 0 \\
\implies & 2(a - e) - 3(b - f) = 0 \\
\implies & (a, b) \sim (e, f)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore \sim is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

- (b) For representative element $(1, 1)$ we get that for an element $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to belong to the associated equivalence class we must have

$$2(1 - a) - 3(1 - b) = 0$$

which can be rearranged to obtain

$$a = -\frac{1 - 3b}{2}$$

so for $b = \pm 1$ we get two members of the equivalence class represented by $(1, 1)$ under \sim , $(1, 1)$ and $(-2, 1)$. The elements (π, e) and (ϕ, i^i) where π, e take on their usual definitions, ϕ is the golden ratio and i^i is, interestingly, both transcendental *and* real!

- (c) The equivalence class with representative (a, b) is the set $E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} | x \sim (a, b)\}$. This gives the equation

$$2(a - x) - 3(b - y) = 0 \implies y = \frac{2(a - x) - 3b}{-3}$$

so the class looks like a line with slope $2/3$ and y-intercept $b - 2a/3$

Problem 2. For each of the following sets S , determine whether S is closed under addition modulo n , or multiplication modulo n , or both or neither. (Addition and multiplication modulo n are defined in Exercise Set 2).

- (a) $S = \{0, 4, 8, 12\}, n = 16$.
(b) $S = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}, n = 15$.
(c) $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, n = 5$.
(d) $S = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10\}, n = 12$.
(e) $S = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}, n = 12$.

Solution 2.

- (a)

$+_{16}$	0	4	8	12	\cdot_{16}	0	4	8	12
0	0	4	8	12	0	0	0	0	0
4	4	8	12	0	4	0	0	0	0
8	8	12	0	4	8	0	0	0	0
12	12	0	4	8	12	0	0	0	0

That these tables, being every possible combination of elements on each set with their respective operations contain no elements not members of S means that both are closed under $+_{16}$ and \cdot_{16} .

- (b)

$+_{15}$	0	3	6	9	12	\cdot_{15}	0	3	6	9	12
0	0	3	6	9	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	6	9	12	0	3	0	9	3	12	6
6	6	9	12	0	3	6	0	3	6	9	12
9	9	12	0	3	6	9	0	12	9	6	3
12	12	0	3	6	9	12	0	6	12	3	9

Again because these tables contain only elements of S S is closed under both of their respective operations.

(c)

$+_5$	1	2	3	4	\cdot_5	1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4	0	1	1	2	3	4
2	3	4	0	1	2	2	4	1	3
3	4	0	1	2	3	3	1	4	2
4	0	1	2	3	4	4	3	2	1

Here because $0 \notin S \implies S$ is not closed under $+_5$ but is closed under \cdot_5 for the same reasons as previously.

(d)

$+_{12}$	0	2	3	4	6	8	9	10	\cdot_{12}	0	2	3	4	6	8	9	10
0	0	2	3	4	6	8	9	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	2	4	5	6	8	10	11	0	2	0	4	6	8	0	4	6	8
3	3	5	6	7	9	11	0	1	3	0	6	9	0	6	0	3	6
4	4	6	7	8	10	0	1	2	4	0	8	0	4	0	8	0	4
6	6	8	9	10	0	2	3	4	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0
8	8	10	11	0	2	4	5	6	8	0	4	0	8	0	4	0	8
9	9	11	0	1	3	5	6	7	9	0	6	3	0	6	0	9	6
10	10	0	1	2	4	6	7	8	10	0	8	6	4	0	8	6	4

Here because $1, 5, 7, 11 \notin S$ S is not closed under $+_{12}$ but is closed under \cdot_{12} for the same reasons as previously.

(e)

$+_{12}$	1	5	7	11	\cdot_{12}	1	5	7	11
1	2	6	8	0	1	1	5	7	11
5	6	10	0	4	5	5	1	11	7
7	8	0	2	6	7	7	11	1	5
11	0	4	6	10	11	11	7	5	1

Here because $0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 \notin S$ S is not closed under $+_{12}$ but is closed under \cdot_{12} for the same reasons as previously.

Problem 3. Determine whether the given binary operation $*$ is commutative, associative, both or neither. Justify your answers with proof.

- (a) The operation $*$ on \mathbb{Z} given by $a * b = a + b + ab$
- (b) The operation $*$ on \mathbb{R} given by $a * b = a + b - ab$
- (c) The operation $*$ on \mathbb{R} given by $a * b = a + 2ab$
- (d) The operation $*$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by $(a, b) * (c, d) = (ad + bc, bd)$

- (e) The operation $*$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by $(a, b) * (c, d) = (ad, bc)$

Solution 3.

Counterexamples for the failures

- (a) For commutativity,

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a * b &= a + b + ab \\ &= b + a + ba \\ &= b * a \end{aligned}$$

Commutativity of $+$ and $-$ on \mathbb{Z}

Definition of $*$

□

For associativity,

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a * (b * c) &= a * (b + c + bc) \\ &= a + b + c + bc + a(b + c + bc) \\ &= a + b + c + bc + ab + ac + abc \end{aligned} \quad \cdot \text{ distributive on } \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a * b) * c &= (a + b + ab) * c \\ &= a + b + ab + c + (a + b + ab)c \\ &= a + b + ab + c + ac + bc + abc \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l} \cdot \text{ distributive on } \mathbb{Z} \\ + \text{ commutative on } \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

because the two are equal we have associativity.

□

- (b) For commutativity,

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a * b &= a + b - ab \\ &= b + a - ba \\ &= b * a \end{aligned}$$

Commutativity of $+$ and $-$ on \mathbb{Z}

Definition of $*$

□

For associativity,

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a * (b * c) &= a * (b + c - bc) \\ &= a + b + c - bc + a(b + c - bc) \\ &= a + b + c - bc + ab + ac - abc \end{aligned} \quad \cdot \text{ distributive on } \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a * b) * c &= (a + b - ab) * c \\ &= a + b - ab + c + (a + b - ab)c \\ &= a + b - ab + c + ac + bc - abc \end{aligned} \quad \cdot \text{ distributive on } \mathbb{Z}$$

because of the difference in sign on the ab terms these two cannot be made to be equal, therefore $*$ is not associative.

□

(c) For commutativity,

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$a * b = a + 2ab; \quad b * a = b + 2ba$$

which cannot be manipulated to be equal, therefore $*$ is not commutative here. \square

For associativity,

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a * (b * c) &= a * (b + 2bc) \\ &= a + 2a(b + 2bc) \\ &= a + 2ab + 4abc \end{aligned} \quad \cdot \text{ distributive on } \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a * b) * c &= (a + 2ab) * c \\ &= c + 2c(a + 2ab) \\ &= c + 2ca + 4cab \end{aligned} \quad \cdot \text{ distributive on } \mathbb{Z}$$

which cannot be manipulated to be equal, therefore $*$ is not associative here. \square

(d) The operation $*$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by $(a, b) * (c, d) = (ad + bc, bd)$

(e) The operation $*$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by $(a, b) * (c, d) = (ad, bc)$

Problem 4. Let S be a nonempty set. A binary algebraic structure $(S, *)$ is called a semigroup if $*$ is associative.

(a) Let S be the set of positive rational numbers. Show that $(S, *)$ is a commutative semigroup if

$$a * b = \frac{ab}{a + b}$$

(the usual operations on the right) for all $a, b \in S$

(b) Let S be a set containing more than one element. Define

$$a * b = b$$

for all $a, b \in S$. Show that $(S, *)$ is a noncommutative semigroup with no identity element.

Solution 4.