

1. The most classical example will be “eat” and “ate”. While `hash_function_1()` return as 314 for both values, but `hash_function_2(“eat”)` = 643 and `hash_function_2(“ate”)` = 632. Because `hash_function_1()` is just the sum of the three letters from the ASCII code while `hash_function_2()` is sum of the letters but multiplying the position by a different value.
 - a. `Hash_function_1()`
 - i. eat: e = 101, a = 97, and t = 116. $101 + 97 + 116 = 314$
 - ii. ate: a = 97, t = 116, e = 101. $97 + 116 + 101 = 314$
 - b. `Hash_function_2()`
 - i. eat: e = $101 * 1 = 101$, a = $97 * 2 = 194$, and t = $116 * 3 = 348$. $101 + 197 + 348 = 643$
 - ii. ate: a = 97, t = $116 * 2 = 232$, and e = $101 * 3 = 303$. $97 + 232 + 303 = 632$
2. `hash_function_1()` has more likelihood to have same key yet different values which might have collision while `hash_function_2()` is less likelihood to have a collision between key values.
3. No, there is no difference between `hash_function_1()` and `hash_function_2()` because both functions (`empty_bucket()` and `table_load()`) does not use the `hash_function` to calculate the hashkey value. It only uses the `self.capacity`, and `self.size` of the bucket which doesn't related to the `hash_function` values.
4. A prime number's factor is 1 and itself. Which means the hash table will be distributed evenly compare to even number. Because the calculation of adding into the hash table is the remainder of `hash_function` for a certain key / table size, which if the table size is a prime number, the remainder will be between 1 to the prime number value – 1. Which every bucket will be filled while even number, sometimes, will be filled. Therefore, the difference between prime number and even number of table size that the prime number will have less (no) empty bucket while even number has some (at least 1) empty bucket.