Matching students to advisers basic example

This is an R Markdown Notebook.

This is meant as a basic working example for student-adviser matching. As this is not a library, we have to copy the source of the function we use.

```
source("hungar.R")
source("do_match.R")
```

Read in data

At this moment it looks most elegant to do the data entry in a speadsheet and export/import the data via csv files. Those three files have a simple structure: nr, name for students, nr, name and max for advisers and a weights table. Other columns are possible but not used in this algorithm.

The weights table has as header the names (or nr's) of the advisors, and a row for each student with in the first column a student id (name or nr). Cells can be empty, have a positive weight for student preference and can be marked with a single letter. At this moment we have "F" for a forbidden combination and "G" for a combination where student and adviser do not share a common subject.

Here we process example files from the testdata folder.

Post processing of the weights table

The next step is to replace some of the codes in the weights table by numbers and make the whole table numerical.

Now we can do the matching. There is one more parameter at play here: SQUEEZE POWER. Explanation:

Giving almost all students their prefered advisor but one student his/her least prefered advisor night raise some eyebrows, even though it maximizes the sum of values. If that effect is too gross, the high values can be "squeezed down" a little bit so that for instance 8 eights will weight more than 7 nines and 1 one. SQUEEZE_POWER of 1 is normal behaviour, use 2-10 for strong(er) equilizing effects.

In this example 6 students will not have a preferred advisor. After increasing this factor to 4 we have only 2 such students left and setting SQUEEZE_POWER to 9 there is none.

You might want to print or save the result

```
# print or save final result
# write.csv(final_result, "fr.csv", row.names= FALSE, quote= FALSE)

knitr::kable(final_result, col.names= names(final_result), row.names=FALSE, caption="Best Matching")
```

Table 1: Best Matching

nr	name	adviser	weight
1	Daan	ass3	3
2	Bram	ass5	1
3	Thijs	ass4	3
4	Mees	ass5	3
5	Stijn	ass4	3
6	Siem	ass5	1
7	$_{ m Gijs}$	ass3	5
8	Jan	ass3	5
9	Teun	ass4	5
10	Noud	ass4	5
11	Tijn	prof1	5
12	Floris	ass1	3
13	Ties	prof2	-95
14	Joep	ass2	3
15	Niek	prof2	5
16	Pepijn	ass1	5
17	Koen	prof2	5
18	Thijmen	prof2	5
19	Fedde	ass5	0
20	Bas	ass1	5
21	Hidde	ass2	1
22	Pieter	ass3	1
23	Johannes	ass2	3

$\frac{}{\mathrm{nr}}$	name	adviser	weight
24	Joris	ass3	3
25	Jelle	ass2	3
26	$_{ m Jip}$	ass2	5
27	Hendrik	ass1	5
28	Cornelis	ass1	5
29	Rens	ass2	5
30	Jelte	ass2	5
31	Melle	ass5	0
32	Wout	ass3	3
33	Duuk	ass5	1
34	Loek	ass4	3
35	Gerrit	ass5	3
36	Laurens	ass4	3
37	Matthijs	ass4	5
38	Tijs	ass3	5
39	Wessel	ass3	5
40	Bart	ass4	5
41	Thijn	ass5	1
42	Maarten	prof1	5
43	Dirk	prof2	5
44	Sebastiaan	ass1	3
45	Faas	ass2	3
46	Kees	ass1	3
47	Job	ass1	5
48	Tijmen	prof1	3
49	Nout	prof1	3
50	Moos	ass1	-95

Diagnostics

Next look at some other details of the matching.

Table 2: Adviser load

name	max	nr_students
ass1	8	9
ass2	8	8
ass3	8	8
ass4	8	8
ass5	8	8
prof1	4	4
prof2	4	5

Table 3: Unfortunate matches

	nr	name	adviser	weight	message
19 31	-	Fedde Melle			not a prefered adviser not a prefered adviser