## Assignment 2 in IIA1319

Torstein Solheim Ølberg | 263054 February 27, 2024

## Contents

1	Introduction Results			3
2				4
	2.1	Requir	rements	4
	2.2	Use Ca	ase Diagram	4
	2.3		n Model	5
	2.4	Fully I	Oressed Use Case Document of the Most Important Use	
		Case		6
	2.5	Systen	a Sequence Diagram of the FDUCD	7
3	Discussion			9
	3.1	Future	e of the Project (Development Process)	9
		3.1.1	Using the Unified Process to develop the control system	9
		3.1.2	Relation between the tasks and the phases of the UP .	9
		3.1.3	Usefulness in testing	9
		3.1.4	Remaining time of the project	10
4	Cor	Conclusion		10
5	App	oendix	A	11
6	App	oendix	В	12

## 1 Introduction

As MyCompany AS has decided to expand a connection between the two plants, plant section #1 and #2 is needed. Since the two plants vary in performance over time, a buffer tank system is needed, and also a control system for the regulation of the buffer tank. A set of specifications for the buffer tank control system is provided [1], and an analysis of the project is needed. In figure 1 the parameters determined by the SCE 1319 OOA of




Figure 1: The parameters for the buffer tank system. The system contains 6 input pumps and 2 output pumps, each with a set capacity. The Pressure Vacuume valve is present, and the display for the liquid level is set to ullage.

Control System Assignment application [2] for this project are presented, and these are used as specification for the project as well.

In this article an analysis of the project, using the Elaboration and Construction phase of the Unified Process [3], the UP, will be performed. First the requirements will be extracted from the specification and a requirement document will be created. Then a use case diagram will be created from the functional requirements. Then a domain model will be created based on the specifications. Afterwards, a fully dressed use case document, or a FDUCD, will be created for the most important use case in the control system. Then a system sequence diagram will be created from the use case document, and

finally a description of the future of the project with an estimate of how it could be solved will be provided.

## 2 Results

#### 2.1 Requirements

The requirement document, found at the GitHub repository of the project [4] or Appendix A in this article, contains a list of the requirements for the buffer tank control system. It follows the FURPS+ pattern and outlines both the functional and non-functional requirements. In short, it states that the control system needs to be able to control a set of input pumps and monitor the activities of a set of output pumps. It also needs to interact with a used, getting a desired level for the amount of liquid in the tank, and displaying alarms to the user. The document also outlines in what events the control system needs to output alarms, how to output them, and that it needs to control a safety PV valve to not risk to high pressure. Finally, it outlines the number of input and output pumps it needs to control and that there can't be any more devices in the buffer tank system than those specified in the specification.

## 2.2 Use Case Diagram

The use case diagram, which can also be found at the GitHub repository [4], is a graphical representation of the functional requirements, with the system and its use cases linked with the different actors it needs to interact with. These actors are the user of the control system, the input pumps and the output pumps, and the PV valve. The use cases for the system, represented in the use case diagram, are controlling the input pumps, handle variations in time of the input and output, monitoring the output pumps, control the PV valve, set ideal liquid value, display current liquid value and display alarms.




Figure 2: The use case diagram for the control system. The actors are displayed, linked to the different use cases of the system which they interact with.

The full use case diagram is displayed in figure 2.

## 2.3 Domain Model

A domain model was created from the specification, and can also be found at the GitHub repository or seen in figure 3.




Figure 3: The Domain model for the project containing all the items specified in the specification, with links to indicate how they interact with each other.

The diagram displays all the items specified in the specification, and also how they interact with each other. The control system and the buffer tank are particularly involved, with a lot of other items interacting or being linked to them. Some of the items also have multiplicity markings displaying if there are one or multiple of them present in the system.

# 2.4 Fully Dressed Use Case Document of the Most Important Use Case

The FDUCD can also be found at the GitHub and in Appendix B, and it outlines the full interaction between the input pumps and the control system when the control system controls the input pumps. This use case was chosen as the most important use case since it is directly responsible for the function of the system. With only this use case, and some preset configuration of the system, the system would be able to work.

The document mainly describes the normal scenario of the use case. This is the control system getting data from the pumps and itself, using that data to calculate a new input pump setting and sending that info to the input pumps. It also describes the different alternate routes which can be taken if any errors occur, like the lose of connection between pumps and control system, or the pumps extrema settings being inadequate in dealing with the current situation.

### 2.5 System Sequence Diagram of the FDUCD

The system sequence diagram, found at the GitHub repository and also in figure 4, displays the time order of the FDUCD in a graphical way. The diagram includes two actors and one object, where the actors are the two types of pumps and the object is the control system. The sequence then starts with handling the collection of data, follows up with the calculation of new pump settings, and finishes with the transfer of the new settings and the check that these new settings where implemented correctly. The whole sequence is encapsulated in a loop, which indicates it is performed again and again.




Figure 4: System sequence diagram for the most important use case, based on its FDUCD. There are two actors and one object, each of which perform a set of tasks and handles errors.

## 3 Discussion

#### 3.1 Future of the Project (Development Process)

#### 3.1.1 Using the Unified Process to develop the control system

Now that the broad documentation for how the system should be set up is almost finished, the next step would be to construct a working setup for the software based on the most important use case. Then, when this software has been developed and tested, the same process repeats itself. The the second most important use case is selected and development of it's documentation and software is performed, and then the third. Finally, when all the use cases have been handled the control system has been finished and it is ready to be deployed. Then the system is monitored and any unexpected problems or improvements are performed as they appear.

#### 3.1.2 Relation between the tasks and the phases of the UP

The different results outlined in this article are each connected to one of the two middle phases of the UP. First, the collection of requirements from the specification belongs to the Elaboration phase, as this is the planning and general design phase, and requirements are needed to design the general outlay of the system. Then, the construction of the use case diagram also belongs to the Elaboration phase, as this is only a graphical representation of some of the requirements. The Domain model is also part of the same phase as it is the first step in design of the object build up of the system. Then the selection of use cases, in this articles case only one, and construction of a FDUCD and system sequence diagram belongs to the Construction phase of the UP. This is because these are the first steps in the iterative process of constructing the solutions to each of the use case, and since UP is use case driven, they need to be done in the same iteration as the actual construction of the solution.

#### 3.1.3 Usefulness in testing

For later parts of the project, when testing the developed software, it is important to refer back to the requirements and the FDUCD as these express what the software must be capable of handling, and also how a success should be achieved.

## 3.1.4 Remaining time of the project

Since the rest of the steps for finishing the project have been outlined, and the Elaboration phase has been finished, it is useful to estimate the time it would take for a finished project. This would be very useful when determining if the project is to continue to the next phase and also when the project can be expected to be finished.

The only information it is possible to use for this estimate is the size of the project and the availability of the team responsible for developing the control system. As this development is likely to fall to the team consisting only of the author of this project, it is possible to make this estimate. Firstly, based on experience with the chosen teams earlier development time for similar systems, and the limited availability in the coming months, this development should at least take a week per use case. Summing the 7 use cases, outlined in the use case diagram, together and adding some time for the finale Transition phase the total time ends up at around 8 weeks. Adding some time for unexpected problems, the project is most likely going to take a little over to months to finish.

## 4 Conclusion

In the article, the project of developing a control system for a buffer tank has been outlined. The steps in the Elaboration phase and the first steps in a single iteration of the construction phase, of the UP, have been performed, and the results are a set of documentations and resources useful for later steps in the process. Finally, some speculation on the next steps in the project, the use case driven iterative part of the UP, and the time it will take to perform these steps have been presented. Based on this time, the project will be finished in about two months.

### References

- [1] N.-O. Skeie. "Software analysis of a control system." (2021), [Online]. Available: https://usn.instructure.com/courses/31275/files/3251101/download?wrap=1. (accessed: 24.02.2024).
- [2] N.-O. Skeie. "Sce 1306 ooa of control system assignment." (2024), [Online]. Available: https://usn.instructure.com/courses/31275/files/3251043/download?wrap=1. (accessed: 24.02.2024).

- [3] N.-O. Skeie. "Lecture notes for object-oriented analysis, design, and programming using uml and c#." (2023), [Online]. Available: https://usn.instructure.com/courses/31275/files/3251492?wrap=1. (accessed: 25.02.2024).
- [4] T. S. Olberg. "Iia1319/assignment 2." (2024), [Online]. Available: https://github.com/MrTorstein/IIA1319/tree/main/Assignment%202. (accessed: 27.02.2024).

## 5 Appendix A

The requirement document:

#### Requirement Document:

Create a control system that controlls a set of pumps and monitors another set of pumps

#### Functional Requirements:

Handles variation in time between input liquid and output liquid.

The buffertank is set up to help store liquid when production and usage is not in sync. The control system should regulate the input based on the output to control this behaviour.

Controlls several pumps for input of liquid to a buffer tank.

The control system should be capable of controlling multiple pumps at the same time, and still know how much liquid is pumped into the tank.

Monitors several pumps for output of liquid from the buffer tank

The system should be capable of monitoring multiple pumps at the
same time, and sum the output of each pump together, as one
output.

Controlls a PV valve to change the gauge pressure.

The Control system must turn on or off a PV valve to hold the pressure of the tank at a controlled level

Take in the wanted volum percent of the liquid in the tank.

The volum percent should be given in the percent of the ullage, not the percent of liquid.

Displayed current liquid volum level in tank.

Current liquid volum should be given in terms of ullage and not percent of liquid.

Display alarms.

#### Usability Reqirements:

No info on the type of UI is given, so a UI accessable by people without an education in the field of IT is needed.

Alarmes are given as an indication.

Alarms set of should be displayed to the user but not interact with the system.

Reliability Requirements:

Warn when level is lower than 18.6 %

An alarm should be displayed to the user when liquid level is lower than 18.6 % or as displayed to the user, when the level is above 81.4 %.

Warn when level is higher than 82.3 %

An alarm should be displayed to the user when liquid level is higher than 82.3 % or as displayed to the user, when the level is below 17.7 %.

Gauge pressure must be kept at a level which the tank can sustain.

The control system must be capable of controlling the PV valve such that the gauge pressure doesn't raise above a level the tank can withstand.

Performance Requirement:

Control 6 input pumps

Monitor 2 output pumps

Supportability Requirements:

No extra devices in the buffer tank system

## 6 Appendix B

The fully dressed use case document:

- 1. Use Case Name: Control the input pumps.
- 2. Scope: Buffer tank level control system.
- 3. Level: User goal
- 4. Primery Actor: Input pumps.
- 5. Interests: Control system user and management of the plant.
- 6. Preconditions: Prefered liquid level must be set to a value.
- 7. Success Garantee: Input pumps setting changed to a new setting proportional to the output pumps setting and the relation between the current and preferred liquid level.
- 8. Main Success Scenario:
  - 1. Control system collects data on current liquid levels.
  - 2. Control system request current pump settings
  - 3. Pumps give current pump settings
  - 4. Control system determins pumps new settings.

- 5. Control system sends adjusted input pumps settings.
- 6. Control system requests pumps new settings.
- 7. Pumps send new settings.
- 9. Extension:
  - 1A. Check displayed level if memory of level has been corrupted
  - 2A. Reestablish connection to pumps if connection is broken.
  - 3B. Request new pump settings if recieved settings are ouside of pumps capabilities
  - 4A. Display a warning if input settings are already at max but current liquid level is sinking below prefered level.
  - 4B. Display a warning if input settings are already at min but current liquid level is sinking above prefered level.
  - 5A. Reestablish with pumps if pumps are no longer connected
  - 7A. Reconnect with pump if a pump is not changing its setting to the one requested.
  - 7B. Display warning if pump is not changing setting after reconnection.
- 10. Special Requirements:
- 11. Technology and Data variation list:
- 12. Frequency of occurence:

Every time output pumps settings are changed

Every time an alarm goes off

Every ten minutes it hasen't been called

13. Misc: