

### Homework 3: Problem 5

- i) The order of the  $\delta$ -distribution is 0. To see this, let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\Omega$  and let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ . First, assume that  $0 \in K$ , then we have that

$$\langle \delta, \phi \rangle = \phi(0) \lesssim \sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x)|.$$

If  $0 \notin K$ , then we have that

$$\langle \delta, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

- ii) The order of the regular distribution associated to  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  is 0. To see this let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$  and notice that

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_K f(x) \phi(x) dx \lesssim \sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x)| \|f\|_{L^1(K)}.$$

- iii) Call the distribution  $L$ . We claim that the order of  $L$ , which we denote  $m$ , is  $|\alpha|$ . For this, we let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\Omega$  and let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ . Notice that, in the same way as in part i), we can assume that  $x_0 \in K$ . Then, we have that

$$\langle L, \phi \rangle \leq |\partial^\alpha \phi(x_0)| \leq \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha \phi(x)|.$$

We now know that  $m \leq |\alpha|$ .

To see that  $m = |\alpha|$ , let's assume towards a contradiction that there exists  $n < |\alpha|$  such that

$$(1) \quad \langle L, \phi \rangle = \partial^\alpha \phi(x_0) \leq \|\phi\|_{C^n(K)},$$

for any  $K \Subset \Omega$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ . Then for  $\epsilon > 0$ , we consider the test function  $\phi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{|\alpha|} \phi(x/\epsilon)$ . Since  $\partial^\beta \phi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} \partial^\beta \phi(x/\epsilon)$  for any multi-index  $\beta$ , if (1) were to hold then applying it to  $\phi_\epsilon$  would give:

$$\langle L, \phi_\epsilon \rangle = \partial^\alpha \phi(x_0/\epsilon) \leq \sum_{\beta: |\beta| \leq n} \sup_{x \in K} \epsilon^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} \partial^\beta \phi(x_0/\epsilon).$$

Notice that because  $|\alpha| - |\beta| > 0$  since  $|\beta| \leq n$ , we can make  $\epsilon^{|\alpha| - |\beta|}$  arbitrarily small by choosing  $\epsilon$  small enough. This gives a contradiction.

- iv) First notice that because  $(x_j)_j$  does not have an accumulation point, for any compact set  $K \Subset \Omega$  the sum in the definition of the distribution is actually a finite sum. (This is because on finitely many  $x_j \in K$ .) From part iii) it then follows that  $m = \max_{j: x_j \in K} |\alpha_j|$ .