

Stat. IIE M

① $X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ iid } \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad H_0: \mu = \mu_0.$

(a) Power of the left-sided z -test: $H_1: \mu < \mu_0.$

$z = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \approx_{H_0} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$ We reject H_0 at level α if $z < -z_{1-\alpha}.$

Test power:
$$P_\mu(\text{reject } H_0) = P_\mu\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < -z_{1-\alpha}\right) = P_\mu\left(\underbrace{\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}}_{\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)} < -z_{1-\alpha} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(-z_{1-\alpha} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

b) Impact on the test power: The test power is a monotonously increasing function of μ_0, n and μ and a decreasing function of α, μ and $\sigma.$

②

Data 1:	8,8	10,5	12,5	9,7	9,6	13,2
Data 2:	8,4	10,1	12,0	9,3	9,0	13,0

We have paired samples and assume that the differences are $\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_d, \sigma_d^2).$ $H_0: \mu_d = 0.$

We know that $t = \frac{\bar{d} - 0}{s_d/\sqrt{n}} \approx_{H_0} t_{n-1};$ we choose $\alpha = 0,05$ and decide to

reject H_0 if $|t| > t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} \Leftrightarrow 7,68... > 2,57... \Leftrightarrow T.$

The p -value is $P(|t| > 7,68...) = F_t(-7,68...) + (1 - F_t(7,68...)) \approx 0,0006,$

which supports our conjecture that $\mu_d \neq 0.$

④ Independent samples: $\begin{cases} \bar{X}_1 = 5,275 \\ S_1 = 150 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \bar{X}_2 = 5,240 \\ S_2 = 200 \end{cases}, \quad n_1 = n_2 = 400.$

(a) Use 95% CI to estimate $\mu_1 - \mu_2: \bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2}{n}} \approx [-24,5; 24,5]$

Interpretation: If we repeat the experiment and calculate the CI every time, it will contain the true value in 95% of the experiments.

(b) Test $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ vs. $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0:$

$z = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2}{n}}} \approx_{H_0} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$ Reject if $|z| > z_{1-\alpha/2} \Leftrightarrow 0,028 > 1,9599 \Leftrightarrow \perp.$

p -value = $P_{H_0}(|z| > 0,028...) = 2\Phi(-0,028) = 0,998.$

Interpretation: If H_0 is true, the probability of obtaining a result at least as extreme as the result actually observed is $\approx 99,8\%.$

(c) Test H_0 vs $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$:

The p-value is now $P_{H_0}(z > 0,028) = 1 - \Phi(0,028) \approx 0,499$, which is smaller. Nevertheless, we would reject H_0 .

(d) Test $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 25$ vs $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 25$. Compare to (b).

$$z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - 25}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2 + s_2^2}{n}}} = -1,9972 \quad \leadsto \quad |z| = 1,9972 > 1,9599 \Rightarrow \text{reject.}$$

$$p\text{-value} = P_{H_0}(|z| > 1,9972) = 2\Phi(-1,9972) \approx 0,046 < 0,05.$$

(e) What assumptions were necessary for (a) - (d)?

- independent samples
- $n \geq 30$

- only one test per data set
- choose test before data is observed

⑤

1: HS:	131	74	129	96	92
2: HF:	44	70	69	43	53
3: FB:	15	14	21	29	21

a) HS vs. HF: We have independent samples. $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$, $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$. We have sample size $5 < 30$ and assume unequal variances.

The 95% CI is $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 \pm t_{\alpha/2}(v) \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2 + s_2^2}{n}} \approx [18,0; 79,2] \neq 0$.

b) the 95% CI for $\mu_2 - \mu_3$ is $\approx [19,7; 51,8] \neq 0$.

c) I would recommend the fist bump, but based on common sense and not on the experiment which was carried out with questionable methods (high five for 3 seconds).