## Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing

Lothar Nannen, Conrad Gößnitzer, Michael Innerberger



# Numerik von Differentialgleichungen - Kreuzlübung 9

Date: 27.5.2020 May 20, 2020

### Exercise 41:

Prove that the k-step BDF-formulas from Exercise 37 satisfy the root condition k = 1, ... 6. Furthermore, prove that they do not satisfy the root condition for k = 7, ..., 10.

Hint: The coefficients of the k-step BDF-formulas can be computed with a computer algebra system. The methods take the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{k-j} y_{\ell+1-j} = h f_{\ell+1}, \quad \alpha_{k-j} = h L'_j(t_{\ell+1}), \quad L_j(t) := \prod_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^{k} \frac{t - t_{\ell+1-m}}{t_{\ell+1-j} - t_{\ell+1-m}}.$$
 (1)

Additional information: One can show that all BDF-formulas with  $k \geq 7$  do not satisfy the root condition.

#### Exercise 42:

Generalize the Proof of Theorem 5.35 of the lecture notes for the general case  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To this end, you must essentially show that:

a) With the adapted definitions from the lecture notes there holds

$$E_{\ell+1} = \left( A_{\rho}^{\top} \otimes I \right) E_{\ell} + F_{\ell}, \tag{2}$$

where  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denotes the identity matrix and  $A \otimes B$  denotes the Kronecker-product of two matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , i.e.,

$$A \otimes B := \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B & \dots & A_{1k}B \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{k1}B & \dots & A_{kk}B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{kn \times kn}.$$
 (3)

**b)** From the root condition there follows

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| \left( A_{\rho}^{\top} \otimes I \right)^k \right\|_{\infty} < \infty. \tag{4}$$

You should be able to explain why these are the essential changes compared to the scalar case!

#### Exercise 43:

Consider two Adams-Bashforth methods with k and k+1 steps, respectively, and the same step-size h. According to Definition 5.9. of the lecture notes, let  $y_{\ell+1}$  be the solution of the k-step method

and  $\tilde{y}_{\ell+1}$  the solution of the (k+1)-step method with exact initial values in both cases. Derive a computable error estimator  $\mu$  for the consistency error  $\tau_{\ell}(h)$  of the first method. There should hold

$$\tau_{\ell}(h) = \mu + \mathcal{O}(h^{k+3}).$$

To this end, use the expansion of the truncation error from the proof of Theorem 5.15 and retrace the construction of the error estimator in Section 2.6 and 2.7.

#### Exercise 44:

Use different linear multi-step methods to solve the initial value problem for the heat equation from Exercise 29. To this end use:

- a) The Adams-Bashforth method with k = 3.
- b) The Adams-Moulton method with k = 2, 3.
- c) The BDF-method

$$y_{\ell+1} - \frac{48}{25}y_{\ell} + \frac{36}{25}y_{\ell-1} - \frac{16}{25}y_{\ell-2} + \frac{3}{25}y_{\ell-3} = h\frac{12}{25}f\left(t_{\ell+1}, y_{\ell+1}\right).$$

For each method, optimize the step-size h, i.e., choose h as large as possible, but  $||U(t)||_{\infty}$  should be monotonically decreasing in time. What do you observe?

*Hint:* For the implicit methods you don't need the Newton iteration, but you can solve the arising equations explicitly. Pay attention to Exercise 29c.

#### Exercise 45:

Show that the stability region of an explicit, linear multi-step method is bounded and therefore the underlying method is not A-stable.

*Hint:* Assume that the moduli of the roots of  $\xi \mapsto \rho(\xi) - z\sigma(\xi)$  are smaller than one and find an estimate which is uniform in z. Use this to construct a contradiction.