${\bf Table~1}~~{\bf Definitions~and~Comparisons~of~Conditions}$ 

Perfect Foresight Versions	Uncertainty Versions	
Finite Human Wealth Condition (FHWC)		
$\Gamma/R < 1$	$\Gamma/R < 1$	
The growth factor for permanent income $\Gamma$ must be smaller than the discounting factor R for human wealth to be finite.	The model's risks are mean-preserving spreads, so the PDV of future income is unchanged by their introduction.	
Absolute Impatience Condition (AIC)		
<b>p</b> < 1	<b>p</b> < 1	
The unconstrained consumer is sufficiently impatient that the level of consumption will be declining over time:	If wealth is large enough, the expectation of consumption next period will be smaller than this period's consumption:	
$\mathbf{c}_{t+1} < \mathbf{c}_t$	$\lim_{m_t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{c}_{t+1}] < \mathbf{c}_t$	
Return Impatie	Return Impatience Conditions	
Return Impatience Condition (RIC)	Weak RIC (WRIC)	
<b>P</b> /R < 1	$\wp^{1/\rho}\mathbf{P}/R < 1$	
The growth factor for consumption <b>P</b> must be smaller than the discounting factor R, so that the PDV of current and future consumption will be finite:	If the probability of the zero-income event is $\wp=1$ then income is always zero and the condition becomes identical to the RIC. Otherwise, weaker.	
$c'(m) = 1 - \mathbf{P}/R < 1$	$c'(m) < 1 - \wp^{1/\rho} \mathbf{P}/R < 1$	
Growth Impatience Conditions		
GIC	GIC-Nrm	
$\mathbf{p}/\Gamma < 1$	$\mathbf{p}  \mathbb{E}[\psi^{-1}]/\Gamma < 1$	
Guarantees that for an unconstrained consumer, the ratio of consumption to permanent income will fall over time. For a constrained consumer, guarantees the constraint will eventually be binding.	By Jensen's inequality, stronger than the GIC. Ensures consumers will not expect to accumulate $m$ unboundedly. $\lim_{m_t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\Gamma}}$	
Finite Value of A	utarky Conditions	
PF-FVAC	FVAC	
$eta \Gamma^{1- ho} < 1$ equivalently $\mathbf{p} < R^{1/ ho} \Gamma^{1-1/ ho}$	$\beta \Gamma^{1-\rho}  \mathbb{E}[\psi^{1-\rho}] < 1$	
The discounted utility of constrained consumers who spend their permanent income each period should be finite.	By Jensen's inequality, stronger than the PF-FVAC because for $\rho > 1$ and nondegenerate $\psi$ , $\mathbb{E}[\psi^{1-\rho}] > 1$ .	