1 Unique And Stable Target and Steady State Points

Theorem 1. For a nondegenerate solution to the problem defined in section 2.1, if the GIC-Nrm (36) holds, there exists a unique cash-on-hand-to-income ratio $\check{m} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1 \text{ if } m_t = \check{m}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, \check{m} is a point of stablity in the sense that

$$\forall m_t \in (0, \check{m}), \ \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] > m_t$$

$$\forall m_t \in (\check{m}, \infty), \ \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] < m_t.$$

$$(2)$$

The elements of the proof are:

- Existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$
- Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]=1$
- $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] m_t$ is monotonically decreasing

1.0.1 Existence and Continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$.

The consumption function exists because we have imposed the sufficient conditions (the WRIC and FVAC; theorem 1). (Indeed, Appendix C shows that c(m) is not just continuous, but twice continuously differentiable.)

Section 2.7 shows that for all t, $a_{t-1} = m_{t-1} - c_{t-1} > 0$. Since $m_t = a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t + \xi_t$, even if ξ_t takes on its minimum value of 0, $a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t > 0$, since both a_{t-1} and \mathcal{R}_t are strictly positive. With $m_t > 0$, the ratio $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ inherits continuity (and, for that matter, continuous differentiability) from the consumption function.

1.0.2 Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$.

Paralleling the logic for c in section 3.2: the ratio of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded as $m_t \downarrow 0$ because $\lim_{m_t \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] > 0$.

The limit as m_t goes to infinity is

$$\lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}_{t+1} \mathbf{a}(m_t) + \xi_{t+1}}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_t[(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma_{t+1})\mathbf{\tilde{p}}_{\mathsf{R}}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{\tilde{p}}/\Gamma_{t+1}]$$

$$< 1$$
(3)

where the last two lines are merely a restatement of the GIC-Nrm (36).

The Intermediate Value Theorem says that if $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ is continuous, and takes on values above and below 1, there must be at least one point at which it is equal to one.

1.0.3 $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] - m_t$ is monotonically decreasing.

Now define $\zeta(m_t) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] - m_t$ and note that

$$\zeta(m_t) < 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) = 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) > 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1,$$
(4)

so that $\zeta(\check{m}) = 0$. Our goal is to prove that $\zeta(\bullet)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ using the fact that

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \left(\mathcal{R}_{t+1}(m_t - c(m_t)) + \xi_{t+1} - m_t \right) \right]$$

$$= \bar{\mathcal{R}} \left(1 - c'(m_t) \right) - 1.$$
(5)

Note that the statement of theorem 1 did not require the RIC to hold. Now, we show that (given our other assumptions) $\zeta'(m)$ is decreasing (but for different reasons) whether the RIC holds or fails (RIC).

If RIC holds. Equation (22) indicates that if the RIC holds, then $\underline{\kappa} > 0$. We show at the bottom of Section 2.8.1 that if the RIC holds then $0 < \underline{\kappa} < c'(m_t) < 1$ so that

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}} (1 - c'(m_t)) - 1 < \bar{\mathcal{R}} (1 - \underbrace{(1 - \mathbf{p}_R)}_{\underline{\kappa}}) - 1$$

$$= \bar{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{p}_R - 1$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{R}{\Gamma \psi} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{R} \right] - 1$$

$$= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathbf{p}}{\Gamma \psi} \right]}_{\underline{=\mathbf{p}_R}} - 1$$

which is negative because the GIC-Nrm says $\mathbf{p}_{\Gamma} < 1$.

If RIC fails. Under RIC, recall that $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} \overline{c'}(m) = 0$. Concavity of the consumption function means that c' is a decreasing function, so everywhere

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}\left(1 - c'(m_t)\right) < \bar{\mathcal{R}}$$

which means that $\zeta'(m_t)$ from (11) is guaranteed to be negative if

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\Gamma \psi} \right] < 1 \tag{6}$$

But the combination of the GIC-Nrm holding and the RIC failing can be written:

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\Gamma \psi} \right]}_{\mathbf{E}_t} < 1 < \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{R}}}_{\mathbf{R}},$$

and multiplying all three elements by R/\mathbf{P} gives

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\Gamma \psi} \right] < \mathsf{R}/\mathbf{P} < 1$$

which satisfies our requirement in (6).

Theorem 2. For a nondegenerate solution to the problem defined in section 2.1, if the GIC (36) holds, there exists a unique cash-on-hand-to-income ratio $\hat{m} > 0$ such that

$$\hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t = 1 \text{ if } m_t = \check{m}. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, \hat{m} satisfies

$$\forall m_t \in (0, \hat{m}), \, \hat{m}_{t+1} > m_t$$

$$\forall m_t \in (\hat{m}, \infty), \, \hat{m}_{t+1} < m_t.$$

$$(8)$$

The elements of the proof are:

- Existence and continuity of \hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t
- Existence of a point where $\hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t = 1$
- $\hat{m}_{t+1} m_t$ is monotonically decreasing

1.0.4 Existence and Continuity of The Ratio

The consumption function exists because we have imposed the sufficient conditions (the WRIC and FVAC; theorem 1).

Section 2.7 shows that for all t, $a_{t-1} = m_{t-1} - c_{t-1} > 0$. Since $m_t = a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t + \xi_t$, even if ξ_t takes on its minimum value of 0, $a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t > 0$, since both a_{t-1} and \mathcal{R}_t are strictly positive. With $m_t > 0$, the ratio \hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t inherits continuity (and, for that matter, continuous differentiability) from the consumption function.

1.0.5 Existence of a stable point

Paralleling the logic for c in section 3.2: the ratio of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded as $m_t \downarrow 0$ because $\lim_{m_t \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}] > 0$.

The limit as m_t goes to infinity is

$$\lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \hat{m}_{t+1} / m_t = \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\Gamma_{t+1} \left((\mathsf{R} / \Gamma_{t+1}) \mathsf{a}(m_t) + \xi_{t+1} \right) / \Gamma}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\left(\mathsf{R} / \Gamma \right) \mathsf{a}(m_t) + \psi_{t+1} \xi_{t+1}}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \left[\frac{\left(\mathsf{R} / \Gamma \right) \mathsf{a}(m_t) + 1}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= (\mathsf{R} / \Gamma) \mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{R}}$$

$$= \mathbf{p}_{\Gamma}$$
(9)

where the last two lines are merely a restatement of the GIC (30).

The Intermediate Value Theorem says that if \hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t is continuous, and takes on values above and below 1, there must be at least one point at which it is equal to one.

1.0.6 $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}] - m_t$ is monotonically decreasing.

Now define $\zeta(m_t) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}] - m_t$ and note that

$$\zeta(m_t) < 0 \leftrightarrow \hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t < 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) = 0 \leftrightarrow \hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t = 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) > 0 \leftrightarrow \hat{m}_{t+1}/m_t > 1,$$
(10)

so that $\zeta(\check{m}) = 0$. Our goal is to prove that $\zeta(\bullet)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ using the fact that

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \left(\mathcal{R}(m_t - c(m_t)) + \psi_{t+1} \xi_{t+1} - m_t \right) \right]$$

$$= (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma) \left(1 - c'(m_t) \right) - 1.$$
(11)

Note that the statement of theorem 2 did not require the RIC to hold. Now, we show that (given our other assumptions) $\zeta'(m)$ is decreasing (but for different reasons) whether the RIC holds or fails (RIC).

If RIC holds. Equation (22) indicates that if the RIC holds, then $\underline{\kappa} > 0$. We show at the bottom of Section 2.8.1 that if the RIC holds then $0 < \underline{\kappa} < c'(m_t) < 1$ so that

$$\mathcal{R}\left(1 - c'(m_t)\right) - 1 < \mathcal{R}\left(1 - \underbrace{\left(1 - \mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{R}}\right)}_{\underline{\kappa}}\right) - 1$$
$$= (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)\mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{R}} - 1$$

which is negative because the GIC says $\mathbf{p}_{\Gamma} < 1$.

If RIC fails. Under RIC, recall that $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} c'(m) = 0$. Concavity of the consumption function means that c' is a decreasing function, so everywhere

$$\mathcal{R}\left(1-c'(m_t)\right)<\mathcal{R}$$

which means that $\zeta'(m_t)$ from (11) is guaranteed to be negative if

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma) < 1 \tag{12}$$

But we showed in section 2.5 that the only circumstances under which the problem has a nondegenerate solution while the RIC fails were ones where the FHWC also fails (that is, (12) holds).

Figure 4 plots $\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{c}_{t+1}/\mathbf{c}_t]$ rather than $\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{c}_{t+1}/\mathbf{c}_t]$, and due to the model's nonlinearities the values of m at which the expected growth rate of \mathbf{c} matches Γ is very slightly different from the m at which the growth rate at which expected growth of \mathbf{m} is Γ . But to first order they are the same:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}] = c_t.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[c(m_{t+1})\psi_{t+1}] = c_{t}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[(c(m_{t}) + c'(m_{t})(m_{t+1} - m_{t}))\psi_{t+1}] = c(m_{t})$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[(c'(m_{t})(m_{t+1} - m_{t}))\psi_{t+1}] = 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}] = m_{t}$$