1 Unique And Stable Target and Steady State Points

The two theorems and lemma to be proven in this appendix are:

Theorem 2. *For the nondegenerate solution to the problem defined in section 1.1 when FVAC, WRIC, and GIC-Nrm all hold, there exists a unique cash-on-hand-to-permanent-income ratio* $\check{m} > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1 \text{ if } m_t = \check{m}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, $\check{m}$ *is a point of 'wealth stablity' in the sense that*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m_t \in (0, \check{m}),\ \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] > m_t \\ \forall m_t \in (\check{m}, \infty),\ \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] < m_t. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Theorem 3. *For the nondegenerate solution to the problem defined in section 1.1 when FVAC, WRIC, and GIC all hold, there exists a unique pseudo-steady-state cash-on-hand-to-income ratio* $\hat{m} > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1} m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1 \text{ if } m_t = \hat{m}. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, $\hat{m}$ *is a point of stability in the sense that*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m_t \in (0, \hat{m}),\ \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{m}_{t+1}]/\mathbf{m}_t > \Gamma \\ \forall m_t \in (\hat{m}, \infty),\ \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{m}_{t+1}]/\mathbf{m}_t < \Gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Lemma 1. *If both* $\check{m}$ *and* $\hat{m}$ *exist, then* $\hat{m} < \check{m}$.

1.1 Proof of Theorem 2

The elements of the proof of theorem 2 are:

- Existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$
- Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$
- $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] - m_t$ is monotonically decreasing

1.1.1 Existence and Continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$.

The consumption function exists because we have imposed the sufficient conditions (the WRIC and FVAC; theorem 1). (Indeed, Appendix C shows that $\mathrm{c}(m)$ is not just continuous, but twice continuously differentiable.)

Section 1.7 shows that for all t, $a_{t-1} = m_{t-1} - c_{t-1} > 0$. Since $m_t = a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t + \xi_t$, even if ξ_t takes on its minimum value of 0, $a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t > 0$, since both a_{t-1} and $\mathcal{R}_t$ are strictly positive. With m_t and m_{t+1} both strictly positive, the ratio $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ inherits continuity (and, for that matter, continuous differentiability) from the consumption function.

1.1.2 Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$.

Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$ follows from:

1. Existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ (just proven)
2. Existence a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$
3. Existence a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1$
4. The Intermediate Value Theorem

Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$.

If RIC holds. Logic exactly parallel to that of section 2.1 leading to equation (49), but dropping the Γ_{t+1} from the RHS, establishes that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] &= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\mathcal{R}_{t+1}(m_t - \mathrm{c}(m_t)) + \xi_{t+1}}{m_t}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t[(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma_{t+1})\text{Þ}_{\mathsf{R}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t[\text{Þ}/\Gamma_{t+1}] \\ &< 1\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where the inequality reflects imposition of the GIC-Nrm (36).

If RIC fails. When the RIC fails, the fact that $\lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \mathrm{c}'(m) = 0$ (see equation (40)) means that the limit of the RHS of (5) as $m \uparrow \infty$ is $\bar{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbb{E}_t[\mathcal{R}_{t+1}]$. In the next step of this proof, we will prove that the combination GIC-Nrm and ~~RIC~~ implies $\bar{\mathcal{R}} < 1$.

So we have $\lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$ whether the RIC holds or fails.

Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1$.

Paralleling the logic for c in section 2.2: the ratio of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded above as $m_t \downarrow 0$ because $\lim_{m_t \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] > 0$.

Intermediate Value Theorem. If $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ is continuous, and takes on values above and below 1, there must be at least one point at which it is equal to one.

1.1.3 $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] - m_t$ *is monotonically decreasing.*

Now define $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] - m_t$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) < 0 &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1 \\ \boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) = 0 &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1 \\ \boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) > 0 &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

so that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\check{m}) = 0$. Our goal is to prove that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\bullet)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right)\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) &= \mathbb{E}_t\left[\left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right)(\mathcal{R}_{t+1}(m_t - \mathrm{c}(m_t)) + \xi_{t+1} - m_t)\right] \\ &= \bar{\mathcal{R}}\,(1 - \mathrm{c}'(m_t)) - 1.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now, we show that (given our other assumptions) $\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m)$ is decreasing (but for different reasons) whether the RIC holds or fails.

If RIC holds. Equation (22) indicates that if the RIC holds, then $\underline{\kappa} > 0$. We show at the bottom of Section 1.8.1 that if the RIC holds then $0 < \underline{\kappa} < \mathrm{c}'(m_t) < 1$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{R}}\,(1 - \mathrm{c}'(m_t)) - 1 < \bar{\mathcal{R}}(1 - \underbrace{(1 - \text{Þ}_{\mathsf{R}})}_{\underline{\kappa}}) - 1 \\
= \bar{\mathcal{R}}\text{Þ}_{\mathsf{R}} - 1 \\
= \mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\Gamma\psi}\frac{\text{Þ}}{\mathsf{R}}\right] - 1 \\
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\text{Þ}}{\Gamma\psi}\right]}_{=\text{Þ}_{\underline{\Gamma}}} - 1
\end{aligned}
$$

which is negative because the GIC-Nrm says $\text{Þ}_{\underline{\Gamma}} < 1$.

If RIC fails. Under $\cancel{\text{RIC}}$, recall that $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} \mathrm{c}'(m) = 0$. Concavity of the consumption function means that c' is a decreasing function, so everywhere

$$
\bar{\mathcal{R}}\,(1 - \mathrm{c}'(m_t)) < \bar{\mathcal{R}}
$$

which means that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t)$ from (11) is guaranteed to be negative if

$$
\bar{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\Gamma\psi}\right] < 1. \tag{8}
$$

But the combination of the GIC-Nrm holding and the RIC failing can be written:

$$
\overbrace{\mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\text{Þ}}{\Gamma\psi}\right]}^{\text{Þ}_{\underline{\Gamma}}} < 1 < \overbrace{\frac{\text{Þ}}{\mathsf{R}}}^{\text{Þ}_{\mathsf{R}}},
$$

and multiplying all three elements by $\mathsf{R}/\text{Þ}$ gives

$$
\mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\Gamma\psi}\right] < \mathsf{R}/\text{Þ} < 1
$$

which satisfies our requirement in (8).

1.2 Proof of Theorem 3

The elements of the proof are:

- Existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1} m_{t+1}/m_t]$
- Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1} m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$
- $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1} m_{t+1} - m_t]$ is monotonically decreasing

1.2.1 Existence and Continuity of The Ratio

Since by assumption $0 < \underline{\psi} \leq \psi_{t+1} \leq \bar{\psi} < \infty$, our proof in 1.1.1 that demonstrated existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ implies existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t]$.

1.2.2 Existence of a stable point

Since by assumption $0 < \underline{\psi} \leq \psi_{t+1} \leq \bar{\psi} < \infty$, our proof in subsection 1.1.1 that the ratio of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded as $m_t \downarrow 0$ implies that the ratio $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded as $m_t \downarrow 0$.

The limit of the expected ratio as m_t goes to infinity is most easily calculated by modifying the steps for the prior theorem explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] &= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{\Gamma_{t+1}\left((\mathsf{R}/\Gamma_{t+1})\mathrm{a}(m_t) + \xi_{t+1}\right)/\Gamma}{m_t}\right] \\
&= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t\left[\frac{(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)\mathrm{a}(m_t) + \psi_{t+1}\xi_{t+1}}{m_t}\right] \\
&= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \left[\frac{(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)\mathrm{a}(m_t) + 1}{m_t}\right] \\
&= (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)\text{\textbf{Þ}}_{\mathsf{R}} \qquad (9)\\
&= \text{\textbf{Þ}}_{\Gamma} \\
&< 1
\end{aligned}$$

where the last two lines are merely a restatement of the GIC (30).

The Intermediate Value Theorem says that if $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t]$ is continuous, and takes on values above and below 1, there must be at least one point at which it is equal to one.

1.2.3 $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}] - m_t$ is monotonically decreasing.

Define $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}] - m_t$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) < 0 &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1 \\
\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) = 0 &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1 \qquad (10)\\
\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) > 0 &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1,
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\check{m}) = 0$. Our goal is to prove that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\bullet)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right)\boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) &= \mathbb{E}_t\left[\left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right)\left(\mathcal{R}(m_t - \mathrm{c}(m_t)) + \psi_{t+1}\xi_{t+1} - m_t\right)\right] \qquad (11)\\
&= (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)\left(1 - \mathrm{c}'(m_t)\right) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that (given our other assumptions) $\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m)$ is decreasing (but for different reasons) whether the RIC holds or fails (~~RIC~~).

If RIC holds. Equation (22) indicates that if the RIC holds, then $\underline{\kappa} > 0$. We show at the bottom of Section 1.8.1 that if the RIC holds then $0 < \underline{\kappa} < \mathrm{c}'(m_t) < 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}\left(1-\mathrm{c}'(m_t)\right)-1 &< \mathcal{R}(1-\underbrace{(1-\text{Þ}_{\mathsf{R}})}_{\underline{\kappa}})-1 \\ &= (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)\text{Þ}_{\mathsf{R}}-1\end{aligned}$$

which is negative because the GIC says $\text{Þ}_{\Gamma} < 1$.

If RIC fails. Under ~~RIC~~, recall that $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty}\mathrm{c}'(m)=0$. Concavity of the consumption function means that c' is a decreasing function, so everywhere

$$\mathcal{R}\left(1-\mathrm{c}'(m_t)\right) < \mathcal{R}$$

which means that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t)$ from (11) is guaranteed to be negative if

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma) < 1. \tag{12}$$

But we showed in section 1.5 that the only circumstances under which the problem has a nondegenerate solution while the RIC fails were ones where the FHWC also fails (that is, (12) holds).

1.3 Proof of Lemma

2 The 'Individual Steady-State'

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overbrace{\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{m}_{t+1}]/\mathbf{m}_t}^{\equiv\nu} &= \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\Gamma\psi_{t+1}\mathbf{p}_t]/(m_t\mathbf{p}_t) \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}]/m_t \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[(m_t-\mathrm{c}(m_t))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+\xi_{t+1})\,\psi_{t+1}]/m_t \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[(m_t-\mathrm{c}(m_t))(\mathsf{R}/\psi_{t+1}\Gamma)+\xi_{t+1})\,\psi_{t+1}]/m_t \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[(m_t-\mathrm{c}(m_t))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+\psi_{t+1}\xi_{t+1})]/m_t \\
&= ((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))\mathsf{R}+\Gamma)\,/\tilde{m} \\
&= ((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))\mathsf{R}+\Gamma)\,/\tilde{m} \\
&= ((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))\mathsf{R}+\Gamma)\,/\tilde{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Turn off shocks:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{c}_{t+1}/\mathbf{c}_t &= c_{t+1}\Gamma\mathbf{p}_t/(c_t\mathbf{p}_t) \\
&= \Gamma\mathrm{c}(m_{t+1})/c_t \\
((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))\mathsf{R}+\Gamma)\,/\tilde{m} &= \Gamma\mathrm{c}((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+1)/\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}) \\
((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+1)\,/\tilde{m} &= \mathrm{c}((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+1)/\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{c}_{t+1}]/\mathbf{c}_t &= \mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}\Gamma\psi_{t+1}\mathbf{p}_t]/(c_t\mathbf{p}_t) \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[\mathrm{c}(m_{t+1})\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}\mathrm{c}((m_t-c_t)(\mathsf{R}/\psi_{t+1}\Gamma)+\xi_{t+1})]/c_t \\
&\approx \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t\big[\big(\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})^2\mathrm{c}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
&= \big(\Gamma\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+\mathbb{E}_t[(\Gamma m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}-\tilde{m}\psi_{t+1})\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})^2\mathrm{c}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
&= \big(\Gamma\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+\tilde{m}(\nu-1)\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)\Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t\big[\big(m_{t+1}^2-2m_{t+1}\tilde{m}+\tilde{m}^2\mathrm{c}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]\big)\,/c_t \\
&= \big(\Gamma\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+\tilde{m}(\nu-1)\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)\Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t\big[\big(m_{t+1}^2-2m_{t+1}\tilde{m}+\tilde{m}^2\big)\,\mathrm{c}''\psi_{t+1}]\big)\,/c_t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{a}_{t+1}]/\mathbf{a}_t &= \mathbb{E}_t[a_{t+1}\Gamma\psi_{t+1}\mathbf{p}_t]/(a_t\mathbf{p}_t) \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[\mathrm{a}(m_{t+1})\psi_{t+1}]/a_t \\
&= \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}\mathrm{a}((m_t-c_t)(\mathsf{R}/\psi_{t+1}\Gamma)+\xi_{t+1})]/a_t \\
&\approx \Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t\big[\big(\mathrm{a}(\tilde{m})+(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})\mathrm{a}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})^2\mathrm{a}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]/a_t \\
&= \big(\Gamma\mathrm{a}(\tilde{m})+\mathbb{E}_t[(\Gamma m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}-\tilde{m}\psi_{t+1})\mathrm{a}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})^2\mathrm{a}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]/a_t \\
&= \big(\Gamma\mathrm{a}(\tilde{m})+\tilde{m}(\nu-1)\mathrm{a}'+(1/2)\Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t\big[\big(m_{t+1}^2-2m_{t+1}\tilde{m}+\tilde{m}^2\mathrm{a}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]\big)\,/a_t \\
&= \big(\Gamma\mathrm{a}(\tilde{m})+\tilde{m}(\nu-1)\mathrm{a}'+(1/2)\Gamma\,\mathbb{E}_t\big[\big(m_{t+1}^2-2m_{t+1}\tilde{m}+\tilde{m}^2\big)\,\mathrm{a}''\psi_{t+1}]\big)\,/a_t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overbrace{\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{m}_{t+1}]/\mathbf{m}_t}^{\equiv\nu} &= \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{c}_{t+1}]/\mathbf{c}_t \\
\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\Gamma\psi_{t+1}\mathbf{p}_t]/(m_t\mathbf{p}_t) &= \mathbb{E}_t[c_{t+1}\Gamma\psi_{t+1}\mathbf{p}_t]/(c_t\mathbf{p}_t) \\
\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}]/m_t &= \mathbb{E}_t[\mathrm{c}(m_{t+1})\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
\mathbb{E}_t[(m_t-\mathrm{c}(m_t))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+\xi_{t+1})\,\psi_{t+1}]/m_t &= \mathbb{E}_t[\mathrm{c}((m_t-c_t)(\mathsf{R}/\psi_{t+1}\Gamma)+\xi_{t+1})\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
\mathbb{E}_t[(m_t-\mathrm{c}(m_t))(\mathsf{R}/\psi_{t+1}\Gamma)+\xi_{t+1})\,\psi_{t+1}]/m_t &\approx \mathbb{E}_t[\big(\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})^2\mathrm{c}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
\mathbb{E}_t[(m_t-\mathrm{c}(m_t))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+\psi_{t+1}\xi_{t+1})]/m_t &= \big(\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})^2\mathrm{c}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+1)\,/\tilde{m} &= \mathbb{E}_t[\big(\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}-\tilde{m})^2\mathrm{c}''\big)\,\psi_{t+1}]/c_t \\
((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+1)\,/\tilde{m} &= \big(\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})+(\nu/\Gamma-\tilde{m})\mathrm{c}'+(1/2)(m_{t+1}^2-2(\nu/\Gamma)\tilde{m}\mathrm{c}'+\tilde{m}^2\mathrm{c}'')
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\mu_t = m_t/\tilde{m}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mu) &= \mathrm{c}(m\mu) && (13)\\
\chi(\mu) &\approx \chi(1)+(\mu-1)\chi'(1)+(1/2)(\mu-1)^2\chi''(1) && (14)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
((\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+1)\,/\tilde{m} &\approx \big(\chi(1)+\mathbb{E}_t[(\mu_{t+1}-1)\chi'(1)+(1/2)(\mu_{t+1}-1)^2\chi''(1)\psi_{t+1}]\big)\,/c_t \\
&= \big(\chi(1)+(\nu/\Gamma-1)\chi'(1)+\mathbb{E}_t[(1/2)(\mu_{t+1}^2-2\mu_{t+1}+1)\chi''(1)\psi_{t+1}]\big)\,/c_t \\
&= \big(\chi(1)+(\nu/\Gamma-1)\chi'(1)+(1/2)(\mu_{t+1}^2-2\nu/\Gamma+1)\chi''(1)\psi_{t+1}]\big)\,/c_t
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\mu_t = m_t/\tilde{m}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mu) &= \mathrm{c}(m\mu) && (15)\\
\chi(\mu) &\approx \chi(1)+(\mu-1)\chi'(1)+(1/2)(\mu-1)^2\chi''(1) && (16)
\end{aligned}
$$

If some value $m_t = \hat{m}$ exists for which equation (53) holds, for reasons articulated below we will call that point the 'individual pseudo-steady-state' (alternatively the '**m**-balanced-growth' point):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t\left[(\hat{m}-\mathring{\mathrm{c}}(\hat{m}))\overbrace{\mathsf{R}/\Gamma}^{\mathcal{R}}+\psi_{t+1}\xi_{t+1}\right] &= \hat{m} \\
(\hat{m}-\mathring{\mathrm{c}}(\hat{m}))\mathcal{R}+1 &= \hat{m}. && (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{m}_{t+1}] &= \mathbf{m}_t && (18)\\
\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\boldsymbol{p}_{t+1}] &= m_t\boldsymbol{p}_t && (19)\\
\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\boldsymbol{p}_t\psi_{t+1}] &= m_t\boldsymbol{p}_t && (20)\\
\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}] &= m_t && (21)\\
\mathbb{E}_t[(m_t-c_t)(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)+\psi_{t+1}\xi_{t+1}] &= m_t && (22)\\
(m_t-\mathrm{c}(m_t))\mathcal{R}+1 &= m_t && (23)\\
(\tilde{m}-\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}))\mathcal{R}+1 &= \tilde{m} && (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m})\mathcal{R} = \left(\frac{1 - \tilde{m}(1 - \mathcal{R})}{1}\right) \tag{25}$$

$$\mathrm{c}(\tilde{m}) = \left(\frac{1 + (\mathcal{R} - 1)\tilde{m}}{\mathcal{R}}\right) \tag{26}$$

If some value $m_t = \hat{m}$ exists for which equation (53) holds, for reasons articulated below we will call that point the 'individual pseudo-steady-state' (alternatively the '**m**-balanced-growth' point):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t\left[(\hat{m} - \mathring{\mathrm{c}}(\hat{m}))\overbrace{\mathsf{R}/\Gamma}^{\mathcal{R}} + \psi_{t+1}\xi_{t+1}\right] &= \hat{m} \\ (\hat{m} - \mathring{\mathrm{c}}(\hat{m}))\mathcal{R} + 1 &= \hat{m}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$