

1. show that  $\text{Var}(\hat{y}) = \sigma^2 H$

solution:

for Multiple Linear Regression,

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon \quad \text{where, } E(\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$V(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I$$

We know that in multiple linear regression model,

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'y$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'(X\beta + \varepsilon)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + (X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon$$

H: The HAT Matrix

$$H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$\hat{y} = X \cdot \hat{\beta}$$

$$\hat{y} = X \cdot ((X'X)^{-1} X'y)$$

$$\hat{y} = X \cdot (X'X)^{-1} X'(X\beta + \varepsilon)$$

$$\hat{y} = X[\beta + (X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon]$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{y}) = \text{Var}(X\beta + X(X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon)$$

$$= \text{Var}(X(X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon)$$

$$= (X(X'X)^{-1} X') \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$$

$$= X(X'X)^{-1} X' \cdot \sigma^2 I$$

$$\boxed{\text{Var}(\hat{y}) = H \sigma^2}$$

$$\text{but } X(X'X)^{-1} X' = H$$

(Hat matrix)



- 2) prove that the matrices  $H$  and  $I-H$  are symmetric and idempotent.

Solutions:

Idempotent means that a matrix multiplied by itself is equal to itself.

for example,  $x'x = X$  and  $xx' = X$

The Hat matrix is also a idempotent, we can solve it as follow,

$$H \cdot H$$

$$\therefore H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$X(X'X)^{-1}X' \cdot X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$X(X'X)^{-1}(X'X)(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$I = (X'X)(X'X)$$

$$\rightarrow = X(X'X)^{-1} \cdot I \cdot X'$$

$$\text{result is } = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

To claim that the matrices  $H$  &  $I-H$  are symmetric & Idempotent, we can write,

$$(I-H)(I-H) = I(I-H) - H(I-H)$$

$$= I - H - H + H \cdot H$$

$$= I - 2H + H \cdot H$$

where  $H \cdot H = H$ , so the above equation beco

$$= I - 2H + H$$

$$= I - H$$

so, we proved,  $(I-H)(I-H) = (I-H)$



- 3) show that the residuals from linear regression models can be expressed as  $e = (I - H)y$  in matrix form, and derive  $E(e) = ?$  and  $\text{Var}(e) = ?$ .

Solution :

Residuals is defined as the difference between observed value  $y_i$  and its fitted value  $\hat{y}_i$

$$e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i \quad \therefore \text{where } e_i = \text{residual.}$$

$$= y_i - (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) \quad \dots \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

In matrix form,

$$\begin{aligned} e &= (y - \hat{y}) \\ &= (y - X\hat{\beta}) \\ &= (y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'y) \\ &= (y - Hy) \\ e &= (I - H)y \end{aligned}$$

Mean and variance of residuals,

We have,  $E(e) = 0$ ,  $V(e) = \sigma^2 I$

$$\begin{aligned} 1) E(e) &= [E(I - H)y] \\ &= (I - H)X\beta \\ &= X\beta - HX\beta \quad \therefore HX = X \\ &= X\beta - X\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$E(e) = 0 \quad \dots \text{proved.}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 2) \quad V(e) &= [V(1-H)y] \quad \text{where } \varepsilon = (1-H)y \\
 &= (1-H)V(y)(1-H) \\
 &= (1-H)6^2 \quad \therefore V(y) = 6^2 \\
 V(e) &= 6^2(1-H)
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.3.1 Consider the National Football League data in Table B.1.

a. Fit a multiple linear regression model relating the number of games won to the

team's passing yardage (x2), the percentage of rushing plays (x7), and the opponents' yards rushing (x8).

- b. Construct the analysis-of-variance table and test for significance of a regression.
- c. Calculate t statistics for testing the hypotheses  $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$ ,  $H_0: \beta_7 = 0$ , and  $H_0: \beta_8 = 0$ . What conclusions can you draw about the roles the variables x2, x7, and x8 the model?
- d. Calculate  $R^2$  and for this model.
- e. Using the partial F test, determine the contribution of x7 to the model. How is this partial F statistic related to the t-test for  $\beta_7$  calculated in part c above?

**Solution:**

- a. Fit a multiple linear regression model relating the number of games won to the team's passing yardage (x2), the percentage of rushing plays (x7), and the opponents' yards rushing (x8).

## Regression Equation

$$y = -1.81 + 0.003598 x_2 + 0.1940 x_7 - 0.00482 x_8$$

- b. Construct the analysis-of-variance table and test for significance of a regression.

## Analysis of Variance

| Source     | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
|------------|----|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Regression | 3  | 257.09 | 85.698 | 29.44   | 0.000   |
| x2         | 1  | 78.03  | 78.028 | 26.80   | 0.000   |
| x7         | 1  | 14.07  | 14.068 | 4.83    | 0.038   |
| x8         | 1  | 41.40  | 41.400 | 14.22   | 0.001   |
| Error      | 24 | 69.87  | 2.911  |         |         |
| Total      | 27 | 326.96 |        |         |         |

## Coefficients

| Term     | Coef     | SE Coef  | T-Value | P-Value | VIF  |
|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|------|
| Constant | -1.81    | 7.90     | -0.23   | 0.821   |      |
| x2       | 0.003598 | 0.000695 | 5.18    | 0.000   | 1.12 |
| x7       | 0.1940   | 0.0882   | 2.20    | 0.038   | 2.10 |
| x8       | -0.00482 | 0.00128  | -3.77   | 0.001   | 2.02 |

Since the p-value  $< 0.05$ , we reject the Null hypothesis. Therefore the regression is significant.

**c. Calculate t statistics for testing the hypotheses  $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$ ,  $H_0: \beta_7 = 0$ , and  $H_0: \beta_8 = 0$ . What conclusions can you draw about the roles the variables x2, x7, and x8 the model?**

Test for coefficient  $\beta_2, \beta_7, \beta_8$

**Solution:**

a:  $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$

T-value for  $\beta_2 = 5.18$

P-value decision: Since p-value  $< 0.05$ , we reject the null hypothesis therefore the regression is significant at 5% of the level of significant

b:  $H_0: \beta_7 = 0$

T-value for  $\beta_7 = 2.20$

P-value decision: Since p-value  $< 0.05$ , we reject the null hypothesis therefore the regression is significant at 5% of the level of significant

c:  $H_0: \beta_8 = 0$

T-value for  $\beta_8 = -3.77$

P-value decision: Since p-value  $< 0.05$ , we reject the null hypothesis therefore the regression is significant at 5% of the level of significant

**d. Calculate R2 and for this model.**

**Solution:**

## Model Summary

| S       | R-sq   | R-sq(adj) | R-sq(pred) |
|---------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 1.70624 | 78.63% | 75.96%    | 73.25%     |

R-square=78.63%

R-square(adj)=75.96%

e. Using the partial F test, determine the contribution of x7 to the model. How is this Is partial F statistic related to the t-test for  $\beta_7$  calculated in part c above?

**Solution:**

### Analysis of Variance

| Source     | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
|------------|----|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Regression | 3  | 257.09 | 85.698 | 29.44   | 0.000   |
| x2         | 1  | 78.03  | 78.028 | 26.80   | 0.000   |
| x7         | 1  | 14.07  | 14.068 | 4.83    | 0.038   |
| x8         | 1  | 41.40  | 41.400 | 14.22   | 0.001   |
| Error      | 24 | 69.87  | 2.911  |         |         |
| Total      | 27 | 326.96 |        |         |         |

B7 is significant hence the model is significant of f-test for X7.

H0:  $\beta_7 = 0$

H0:  $\beta_7 \neq 0$

F-test= 4.83

Since the P-value<0.05 i.e 0.038<0.05, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the regression is significant at the level of significance.

**Q.3.10 The quality of Pinot Noir wine is thought to be related to the properties of clarity, aroma, body, flavor, and oakiness. Data for 38 wines are given in Table B.11.**

a. Fit a multiple linear regression model relating wine quality to these regressors.

b. Test for significance of a regression. What conclusions can you draw?

c. Use t-tests to assess the contribution of each regressor to the model.

Discuss your findings.

d. Calculate R<sup>2</sup> and R-square(Adj) for this model. Compare these values to the R<sup>2</sup> and R-square(Adj) for the linear regression model relating wine quality to aroma and flavor. Discuss your results.

e. Find a 95 % CI for the regression coefficient for flavor for both models in part d. Discuss any differences.

**Solution:**

## Regression Equation

$$y = 4.00 + 2.34 x_1 + 0.483 x_2 + 0.273 x_3 + 1.168 x_4 - 0.684 x_5$$

**b. Test for significance of a regression. What conclusions can you draw?**

## Analysis of Variance

| Source     | DF | Seq SS  | Contribution | Adj SS  | Adj MS  | F-Value | P-Value |
|------------|----|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Regression | 5  | 111.540 | 72.06%       | 111.540 | 22.3081 | 16.51   | 0.000   |
| x1         | 1  | 0.125   | 0.08%        | 2.458   | 2.4577  | 1.82    | 0.187   |
| x2         | 1  | 77.353  | 49.97%       | 4.240   | 4.2397  | 3.14    | 0.086   |
| x3         | 1  | 6.414   | 4.14%        | 0.912   | 0.9118  | 0.67    | 0.418   |
| x4         | 1  | 19.050  | 12.31%       | 19.899  | 19.8986 | 14.72   | 0.001   |
| x5         | 1  | 8.598   | 5.55%        | 8.598   | 8.5978  | 6.36    | 0.017   |
| Error      | 32 | 43.248  | 27.94%       | 43.248  | 1.3515  |         |         |
| Total      | 37 | 154.788 | 100.00%      |         |         |         |         |

Testing the hypothesis for the population using F-test,

$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$

$H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$

The level of significance is 0.05

MSR=22.3081

MSE=1.3515

Fstat= MSR/MSE

Fstat=22.3081/1.3515

Fstat=16.5061

p-value= $P(F > 16.5061)$

p-value= $1 - P(F < 16.5061)$

p-value=0

p-value $< \alpha(0.005)$ ,

Since P-value is less than 0.05 we reject the null hypothesis, we can conclude that there is a linear relationship between the dependent variable quality Y and independent variable X1, X2, X3, X4, X5

**c. Use t-tests to assess the contribution of each regressor to the model.**

**Discuss your findings.**



## Coefficients

| Term     | Coef   | SE Coef | 95% CI           | T-Value | P-Value | VIF  |
|----------|--------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|------|
| Constant | 4.00   | 2.23    | (-0.55, 8.54)    | 1.79    | 0.083   |      |
| x1       | 2.34   | 1.73    | (-1.19, 5.87)    | 1.35    | 0.187   | 1.27 |
| x2       | 0.483  | 0.272   | (-0.072, 1.038)  | 1.77    | 0.086   | 2.38 |
| x3       | 0.273  | 0.333   | (-0.404, 0.951)  | 0.82    | 0.418   | 2.06 |
| x4       | 1.168  | 0.304   | (0.548, 1.789)   | 3.84    | 0.001   | 2.68 |
| x5       | -0.684 | 0.271   | (-1.236, -0.132) | -2.52   | 0.017   | 1.10 |

The null and alternative hypothesis of each regressor is as follows,

$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$

$H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$

The level of significance is 0.05

**For X1(Clarify):**

P-value = 0.187

Since p-value > 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis

T-test = 1.35

We do not reject the  $H_0$  hypothesis,

We can conclude that the independent variable (X1) Clarity is not statistically linear of the dependent variable.

**For X2(Aroma):**

P-value = 0.086

Since p-value > 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis

T-test = 1.77

We do not reject the  $H_0$  hypothesis,

We can conclude that the independent variable (X2) Aroma is not statistically linear of the dependent variable.

**For X3(Body):**

P-value = 0.418

Since p-value > 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis

T-test = 0.82

We do not reject the  $H_0$  hypothesis,

We can conclude that the independent variable (X3) Body is not statistically linear of the dependent variable.

**For X4(Flavor):**

P-value = 0.001

Since p-value < 0.05, we reject the null hypothesis

T-test = 3.84

We reject the H0 hypothesis,

We can conclude that the independent variable (X4) Flavor is statistically linear of the dependent variable.

**For X5(oakiness):**

P-value =0.017

Since p-value <0.05, we reject the null hypothesis

T-test=-2.52

We reject the H0 hypothesis,

We can conclude that the independent variable (X4) Flavor is statistically linear of the dependent variable.

So only Flavor and oakiness are statistically significant variables to the mode

So we can narrow down the equation as follows,

$$Y = 1.168X_4 - 0.684X_5$$

**d. Calculate R<sup>2</sup> and R-square(Adj) for this model. Compare these values to the R<sup>2</sup> and R-square(Adj) for the linear regression model relating wine quality to aroma and flavor. Discuss your results.**

### Model Summary

| S       | R-sq   | R-sq(adj) | PRESS   | R-sq(pred) | AICc   | BIC    |
|---------|--------|-----------|---------|------------|--------|--------|
| 1.16254 | 72.06% | 67.69%    | 63.9266 | 58.70%     | 130.49 | 138.22 |

For multiple linear regression R-square=72.06% and the value of adjusted R-square is 67.69%  
Now assuming another linear regression model related to wine quality to aroma and flavor.  
So the equation becomes,

### Regression Equation

$$y = 4.35 + 0.518x_2 + 1.170x_4$$

Now the values of R-square and R-square Adj are as follows,

### Model Summary

| S       | R-sq   | R-sq(adj) | PRESS   | R-sq(pred) | AICc   | BIC    |
|---------|--------|-----------|---------|------------|--------|--------|
| 1.22885 | 65.86% | 63.90%    | 60.7436 | 60.76%     | 129.59 | 134.93 |



Thus, for the above-fitted model, the value of R-square is 65.86% and R-square adj is 63.90%. Thus, the R-square of the multiple linear regression model is more than the adjusted r-square of  $Y=4.35+0.518X_2+1.170 X_4$  model.

Thus, we can conclude that the first multiple linear regression model is better than the  $Y=4.35+0.518X_2+1.170 X_4$  model.

**e. Find a 95 % CI for the regression coefficient for flavor for both models in part d. Discuss any differences.**

### Coefficients

| Term     | Coef  | SE Coef | 95% CI          | T-Value | P-Value | VIF  |
|----------|-------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|------|
| Constant | 4.35  | 1.01    | (2.30, 6.39)    | 4.31    | 0.000   |      |
| x2       | 0.518 | 0.276   | (-0.042, 1.078) | 1.88    | 0.069   | 2.19 |
| x4       | 1.170 | 0.291   | (0.580, 1.760)  | 4.03    | 0.000   | 2.19 |

### Coefficients

| Term     | Coef   | SE Coef | 95% CI           | T-Value | P-Value | VIF  |
|----------|--------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|------|
| Constant | 4.00   | 2.23    | (-0.55, 8.54)    | 1.79    | 0.083   |      |
| x1       | 2.34   | 1.73    | (-1.19, 5.87)    | 1.35    | 0.187   | 1.27 |
| x2       | 0.483  | 0.272   | (-0.072, 1.038)  | 1.77    | 0.086   | 2.38 |
| x3       | 0.273  | 0.333   | (-0.404, 0.951)  | 0.82    | 0.418   | 2.06 |
| x4       | 1.168  | 0.304   | (0.548, 1.789)   | 3.84    | 0.001   | 2.68 |
| x5       | -0.684 | 0.271   | (-1.236, -0.132) | -2.52   | 0.017   | 1.10 |

95% CI for Model fitted in part A is (0.548,1.789) and 95% CI for the model fitted in part d is (0.580,1.760)

From the above observations, we can state that both values are nearly identical.

**Q.3.25. Consider the multiple linear regression model**

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon$$

**Using the procedure for testing a general linear hypothesis, show how to test**

**a.  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta$**

**b.  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2, \beta_3 = \beta_4$**

- 6)  
3.25) Consider the multiple linear regression model  

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \varepsilon$$
 using the procedure for testing a general linear hypothesis, show how to test  
 a)  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$   
 b)  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2, \beta_3 = \beta_4$

Solution:

consider the multiple linear regression model,  
 i.e.

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \varepsilon \rightarrow \text{full model}$$

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

where,  $\beta = (\beta_0 \ \beta_1 \ \beta_2 \ \beta_3 \ \beta_4)^T \therefore \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \end{bmatrix}$

$\therefore$  proceeding for testing a general linear hypothesis:

consider  $H_0: L\beta = 0$

$L \rightarrow$  matrix constants

full model is  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ , with

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

and  $SSR_{es}$  (Full model) = sum of sq. of residual  
 for full model

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$



$$= y'y - \hat{\beta}' X'y \quad \therefore \text{with } (n-p) \text{ df}$$

$\therefore n-5 \text{ df}$

$$\text{Reduced model} \rightarrow y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_4 x_4 + \varepsilon$$

$SS_{\text{Res}}(\text{Reduced model}) = \text{sum of square of reduced model}$

$$= y'y - \hat{\beta}' Z'y \quad \therefore \text{with } (n-p+r) \text{ df}$$

$$\therefore SS_{\text{Res}}(\text{RM}) > SS_{\text{Res}}(\text{FM})$$

$\therefore$  The reduced model contains fewer parameters than the full model.

To test  $H_0$ :

$$H_0 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta$$

$$\therefore L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$SS(H) = SS_{\text{Res}}(\text{RM}) - SS_{\text{Res}}(\text{FM})$$

$SS(H) = \text{sum square due to } H_0$

The test statistic is

$$F = \frac{SS(H) / r}{SS_{\text{Res}}(\text{FM}) / (n-p)} \sim F_{r, n-p}$$

We reject  $H_0$  if  $(L\beta = c)$  at level  $\alpha$ , if observed  $F' > F_{\alpha, r, n-p}$

$$\therefore c = [0]$$



$$b) H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2, \beta_3 = \beta_4$$

$$H_0 : \beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$$

$$H_0 : \beta_3 - \beta_4 = 0$$

To state these equations in general linear hypothesis,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{To test } H_0 : L\beta = 0$$

general linear hypothesis written in the below form,

$$F_0 = \frac{\hat{\beta}' L' (L (X'X)^{-1} L')^{-1} L \hat{\beta}}{SS_{Res}(FM) / (n-5)} \quad | \quad 2$$

Reject  $H_0 : L\beta = 0$  if  $F_0 > F_{\alpha, 2, n-5}$