Titanic Survival Analysis - Project Documentation

Author: Ayuba Abdulazeez

Date Started: September 24, 2025

Current Status: In Progress - EDA Phase

Estimated Completion: [Date]

Repository: [GitHub Link]

© Project Overview

Business Problem

Analyze Titanic passenger data to identify factors that influenced survival rates and build a predictive model for similar emergency scenarios.

Success Metrics

- Model accuracy > 80%
- Clear business insights extracted
- Reproducible professional analysis
- Portfolio-ready documentation

Stakeholders

- Primary: Data Science Learning Portfolio
- Secondary: Future employers, academic reviewers
- Technical Audience: Data scientists, hiring managers

Dataset Information

Source

- Origin: Kaggle Titanic Competition
- Files Used:
 - (train.csv) (891 passengers, 12 features + target)
 - (test.csv) (418 passengers, 12 features, no target)

Dataset Characteristics

- Shape: 891 rows × 12 columns
- Memory Usage: 0.31 MB
- Target Variable: Survived (0 = No, 1 = Yes)
- Target Distribution:
 - Died: 549 passengers (61.6%)
 - Survived: 342 passengers (38.4%)

Key Features

- Passengerld: Unique identifier
- **Survived**: Target variable (0/1)
- Pclass: Passenger class (1st, 2nd, 3rd)
- Name: Passenger name
- Sex: Gender (male/female)
- Age: Age in years
- SibSp: Number of siblings/spouses aboard

• Parch: Number of parents/children aboard

• Ticket: Ticket number

• Fare: Ticket fare

• Cabin: Cabin number

• Embarked: Port of embarkation (C, Q, S)

* Technical Implementation

Professional Setup Implemented

- Structured imports (core → visualization → ML → utilities)
- Project organization (proper directory structure)
- **Professional logging system** (timestamp tracking, step documentation)
- **Error handling** (robust data loading with try/catch)
- Reproducibility (random seed = 42)
- Memory monitoring (resource usage tracking)

Code Quality Standards

- **Modular functions** with proper docstrings
- **Type hints** and parameter documentation
- Professional comments explaining WHY, not just WHAT
- Consistent naming conventions
- **Cell organization** (one concept per cell)

Tools & Libraries

```
python

# Core Analysis

pandas >= 1.3.0

numpy >= 1.21.0

# Visualization

matplotlib >= 3.4.0

seaborn >= 0.11.0

# Machine Learning

scikit-learn >= 1.0.0

# Utilities

warnings, os, datetime
```

Analysis Progress

Phase 1: Data Loading & Initial Inspection **☑** COMPLETED

Date: September 24, 2025

Duration: ~30 minutes

Accomplishments:

- Professional environment setup successful
- Dataset loaded without errors
- Basic data characteristics identified

Logging system operational

Key Findings:

- Dataset integrity confirmed (891 rows, 12 columns)
- Class imbalance identified (61.6% mortality rate)
- Memory footprint acceptable for analysis

Technical Notes:

- Hardcoded file path used (needs improvement for portability)
- Professional logging providing excellent audit trail
- Data types appear appropriate for analysis

Phase 2: Target Variable Analysis **COMPLETED**

Date: September 24, 2025

Duration: ~15 minutes

Accomplishments:

- Survival distribution analyzed professionally
- Professional visualizations created (bar chart + pie chart)
- Business implications documented

Key Insights:

- Major Finding: 61.6% mortality rate suggests significant survival challenges
- **Business Implication**: Understanding factors that enabled 38.4% survival could inform emergency protocols
- Technical Note: Class imbalance will require special handling in model building

Visualization Quality:

- Professional subplot layout implemented
- Clear labels and titles
- Publication-ready formatting

Phase 3: Missing Data Analysis 🔚 IN PROGRESS

Expected Completion: [Today's Date]

Estimated Duration: 45 minutes

Planned Activities:

- ☐ Comprehensive missing data assessment
- Professional visualization of missing patterns
- Strategy development for handling missing values
- Documentation of business impact

Learning Outcomes & Professional Development

Technical Skills Gained

- 1. Professional project organization Industry-standard directory structure and imports
- 2. Systematic logging Audit trail creation and progress tracking
- 3. **Error handling** Robust code that fails gracefully
- 4. Documentation practices Clear, professional technical writing

Professional Habits Developed

1. **Systematic approach** - Step-by-step methodology vs random exploration

- 2. Business thinking Always connecting technical work to business value
- 3. Quality standards Professional-grade code and documentation
- 4. Reproducibility Ensuring others can replicate and understand work

Key Insights About Professional Practice

- Documentation timing: Document while work is fresh, not after completion
- Logging value: Provides accountability, debugging trail, and progress tracking
- **Professional standards**: Small details (formatting, comments, organization) create major credibility differences
- Systematic methodology: Following structured approach prevents missing critical steps

Challenges & Solutions

Challenge 1: Kernel Management

- Issue: "Dead kernel" status on notebook startup
- Solution: Restart & Clear Output before execution
- Learning: Normal Jupyter behavior, part of professional workflow

Challenge 2: Path Management

- Current: Hardcoded file paths used
- Professional Solution: Relative paths with proper directory structure
- Future Implementation: Environment variables for production deployment

Challenge 3: Class Imbalance Recognition

- **Discovery:** 61.6% vs 38.4% survival split identified early
- Professional Response: Flagged for special handling in model building phase
- Planning: Will require stratified sampling and appropriate metrics

Next Steps & Timeline Immediate Next Phase (Today) ■ Complete missing data analysis ■ Feature distribution exploration Correlation analysis Professional visualization creation Business insights generation Short-term Roadmap (This Week) Data preprocessing and cleaning ■ Feature engineering ■ Baseline model development Professional model evaluation Medium-term Goals (Week 1-2 Completion) ■ Complete Titanic analysis with professional documentation Deploy findings in presentation format ☐ GitHub repository showcase-ready ☐ Transition to guided practice mode for next project

References & Resources

Professional Standards Applied

- Industry-standard Python data science stack
- Professional documentation practices
- Systematic project organization methodology
- Professional logging and audit trail practices

Learning Resources

- Mentorship guidance on professional practices
- Professional reference guides created
- Jupyter organization best practices implemented

Quality Assurance

Code Review Checklist

- All imports organized and commented
- ✓ Functions have proper docstrings
- Professional naming conventions used
- Error handling implemented
- Reproducibility ensured (random seeds)

Documentation Review Checklist

- Business problem clearly stated
- ☑ Technical implementation documented

- Progress tracked with timestamps
- Learning outcomes captured
- Next steps clearly defined

Last Updated: September 24, 2025

Status: Active Development

Next Review: [Tomorrow's Date]