

Website Evaluation Form

Thank you for taking the time to review my website. Please follow the link below and answer all of the questions included in this page.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: https://mrscrablelovesfacs.github.io/ISLT_7360/unit5/crablepilot.html
2. Author of site evaluated: Cynthia Crable
3. Evaluator's first name: _____Michael_____

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
Dell Inspiron, Windows 10/ i5 processor/ 8 Gb Ram
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)
Latest Firefox
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
Wifi connected

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
I gave the design a 4 because it was simple to understand and not overwhelming. It could use some sprucing up. It isn't laid out traditionally.					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
The site seems color coordinated but the gray background isn't very appealing					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
The navigation is straightforward and marked correctly.					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
I can get to the homepage from anywhere so I would say this is fulfilled.					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
The pictures clearly are there to represent her personal life but there isn't much in media content regarding the subject at hand. There are links though to a lot of resources.					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
Ya there is an option to download. Everything loads very well.					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
Doesn't seem to be any of this information available but maybe I am just missing it.					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5

I believe the gray background is a bad choice but the text is easy to read.

9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					

Everything is easy to understand.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

Everything is marked correctly

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

All of the links work effortlessly and is marked well.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					

Yes everything works well.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

Nothing seems to take more then 2 clicks to get to.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					

It has a wealth of knowledge available and would be a great reference to return to.

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

Everything is accurate and current.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback

This site is really helpful for students in one of her classes.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CREDIBILITY					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Everything seems to be referenced correctly. No credentials seem to be missing					
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Email address is clearly accessible					
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Doesn't seem to have any bias except for being pro education!					
4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
All of the information seems to be user created.					
5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Nothing seems to be grammatically off.					
6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The website states when it was last updated but none of the other links or content.					