Website Evaluation Form

Thank you for taking the time to review my website. Please follow the link below and answer all of the questions included in this page.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: https://mrscrablelovesfacs.github.io/ISLT_7360/unit5/crablepilot.html

2. Author of site evaluated: Cynthia Crable

3. Evaluator's first name: Maggie Moore
4.

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):

   Toshiba Satellite M305 running Windows Vista

2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)

   Moxilla Firefox

3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

   Home Wifi

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	**4**	5

Please explain your feedback
Section headings are easy to spot so it makes the site layout easy to navigate.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	**2**	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Website seems to be left side heavy, that may be due to my old operating system.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Very easy to follow

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
User friendly and easy to navigate.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
All seems relevant to each tab.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Quick to download.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	**3**	4	5

Please explain your feedback
I didn't see this information, but I am also not technologically gifted to know exactly what this is.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Very easy to see.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Easy to access

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
I thought the title was really funny and would help students make a connection.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Very easy to find and the information is exactly what students need.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Information was correct.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
All content was easy to access.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Would require students to visit multiple times throughout the course for information that is relevant to the class.

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Info is correct.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Would require students to visit multiple times throughout the course for information that is relevant to the class.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CREDIBILITY					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Noted at the top of the page on the main page.

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	**2**	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Could not find this information easily on the main page. Though did see that it was maybe hyperlinked to Outlook at the bottom of the page.

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Informational page over a topic that provides appropriate resources.

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Information is correct.

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	**2**	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
Has some grammatical errors in the main "About Me" section.

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Easy to see.