

Mina kommentarer om seminarium 1:

Alexander Jonsson:

- Typical mistakes are to create a \programmatic DM" or a \na?_ve DM". Is the DM either of those?
- Are there \spider-in-the-web" classes?
No, at most 4 associations at CashRegister, which seems reasonable.
- Can you understand the DM? Is it a correct description of the problem domain?
Describes the domain model good, easy to follow.
- Does the DM have a reasonable number of classes? Are important classes missing?
No seams reasonable.
- Are there irrelevant classes?
No.
- Do you agree with the choices between class and attribute?
Some classes could have been attributes, example CustomerIdentification could be an attribute as class Customer.
- Is there a reasonable number of associations? Do you understand them? Are there classes missing associations? If so, is that a mistake?
- Are naming conventions followed in DM and SSD?
An arrow (< - - -) in the if/else without any return value?
- Is UML used correctly in DM and SSD?
Don't find anything wrong.
- Are the correct objects included in the SSD?
Seams ok.
- Are the correct operations and return values included in the SSD?
Seams ok.
- Is the method and result explained in the report? Is there a discussion? Is the discussion relevant?

2) Christian Antonio

Typical mistakes are to create a "programmatic DM" or a "naïve DM". Is the DM either of those? Feels like a bit of programmatic. It feels like Cashier should have a association to the Sale classes.

- Are there "spider-in-the-web" classes?

No.

- Can you understand the DM? Is it a correct description of the problem domain?
Without the specification I assume it is quite hard to get correct description of the domain.

- Does the DM have a reasonable number of classes? Are important classes missing?
Some class/association that combine the two "domains".

- Are there irrelevant classes?

No.

- Do you agree with the choices between class and attribute?

Seems ok.

- Is there a reasonable number of associations? Do you understand them? Are there classes missing associations? If so, is that a mistake?

Feel like it should some association between the customer, cashier, and the actually sale.

- Are naming conventions followed in DM and SSD?

Some attributes has big letter in DM, else it seems ok.

- Is UML used correctly in DM and SSD?

Ok.

- Are the correct objects included in the SSD?

Ok

- Are the correct operations and return values included in the SSD?

Yes, very good SSD, easy to follow and seems to have it all.

- Is the method and result explained in the report? Is there a discussion? Is the discussion relevant?

There is a discussion and it contains a relevant discussion about the problem.

Adam Gustavsson:

Typical mistakes are to create a \programmatic DM" or a \na?_ve DM". Is the DM either of those?

Seams ok.

Are there \spider-in-the-web" classes?

No.

Can you understand the DM? Is it a correct description of the problem domain?

Ok, discount is a bit unclear.

Does the DM have a reasonable number of classes? Are important classes missing?

Seams ok.

Are there irrelevant classes?

Semas ok.

Do you agree with the choices between class and attribute?

Seams ok.

Is there a reasonable number of associations? Do you understand them? Are there classes missing associations? If so, is that a mistake?

Seams ok.

Are naming conventions followed in DM and SSD?

Yes.

Is UML used correctly in DM and SSD?

Should be a dot-arrow on return value from system.

Are the correct objects included in the SSD?

Yes

Are the correct operations and return values included in the SSD?

Seams ok.

Is the method and result explained in the report? Is there a discussion? Is the discussion relevant?

There is a discussion and the information is relevant, maybe a bit short.