**Problem 1 - A Cat, a Parrot, and a Bag of Seed:**

**A man finds himself on a riverbank with a cat, a parrot and a bag of seed. He needs to transport all three to the other side of the river in his boat. However, the boat has room for only the man, himself and one other item (either the cat, parrot or seed). In his absence, the cat could eat the parrot, and the parrot would eat the bag of seed. Show how he can get all the passengers to the other side, without leaving the wrong ones alone together.**

The initial problem is that the boat is too small to get everything he needs to the other side of the river in one trip. It is obvious that he is concerned about the safety of both the cat and the parrot, and that he has taken on the roll of caring for them. The fact that he is concerned about the seeds being eaten by the parrot, implies that they are equally important to him. Because it states the words “in his absence”, I assume it means he has considered the possibility of having to more than one trip already. Ultimately the goal is to figure out how he can transport himself, the parrot, cat, and seeds safely and without having to leave any behind.

In breaking the problem apart the constraints are that the boat is too small, he is solely responsible for the welfare of the animals, the animals likely cannot be left alone for him to take more than one trip, one animal is a predator to the other, he is unable to walk, and there is no one else there to help him.

The sub goals are for the man to either get all of them across at once, or to figure out how he can leave two behind, and come back for them.

Possible solutions would be for the man to consider how he could actually fit all three into the boat, in a creative way he hasn’t thought of before. If there was only enough room for two in the boat, then I think he should put the cat in the second spot, hold the seeds in his lap, and put the parrot on his shoulder. If he were to leave any behind, he could hide the seeds, take the cat first, come back for the seeds and leave the bird behind, and then come back for the bird.

In evaluating the two solutions, I believe that both meet the goal of getting across the river, getting everything he needs there, and preventing the animals from being alone together, and protects the seeds from the parrot. Each solution works for this case.

Because the second solution to leave two behind would take longer, it seems it would be a waste of time; when the first solution will get all three across with the man at once, in a faster way, and still only takes up two spots in the boat. In choosing the first solution, the plan of action is very simple. It is for the man to set the cat inside the boat first, put the parrot on his shoulder next, and then holding the bag of seeds he can climb inside his spot in the boat and set the seeds down on his lap when he sits down.

Though it would be difficult to physically test this plan, I contemplated the different solutions. The man cannot take the bird first, because he would have to come back for either the cat or the seeds next, both of which the man does not want to leave the bird alone with. He can’t take the seeds first, because he cannot leave the cat and bird together. Taking the cat first would work, only if the man is successful in hiding the seeds from the bird, but that is risky. It makes the most sense for the man to figure out how to take all three at once.

Instructions:

1. Define the problem.
   1. Do this in your own words.
   2. What insight can you offer into the problem that is not immediately visible from the word problem alone?
   3. What is the overall goal?
2. Break the problem apart.
   1. What are the constraints?
   2. What are the sub-goals?
3. Identify Potential Solutions.
   1. For each of the sub-problems you’ve discussed in #2, what is a possible solution?
4. Evaluate each potential solution.
   1. Does each solution meet the goals?
   2. Will each solution work for ALL cases?
5. Choose a solution and develop a plan to implement it.
   1. Explain the solution in full.
   2. Describe some test cases you tried out to make sure it works. (You can include drawings and diagrams as part of your explanation as long as they are clearly communicating the solution).

**Problem 2: Socks in the Dark:**

**There are 20 socks in a drawer: 5 pairs of black socks, 3 pairs of brown and 2 pairs of white. You select the socks in the dark and can check them only after a selection has been made. What is the smallest number of socks you need to select to guarantee getting the following:**

1. **At least one matching pair**
2. **At least one matching pair of each color.**

The problem is that in the dark it’s difficult to know which pair of socks is being chosen, and the person is unable to view their selection until after choosing. Quite possibly they are in a location with no electricity, or a broken light, or they do not want to disturb anyone else that may be in the room, or they are in a contest where they are blindfolded. The overall goal is to choose enough to match colors, but only as little as possible.

Breaking the problem apart, I find the constraints to be the inability to see, for whatever reason. Also, the person would need to solve this problem on the spot in their mind. And the fact that they are limited on the amount they are to grab. The sub goal is to figure out a solution to find at least one matching pair, and a matching pair of each color by getting as few as possible.

Possible solutions could be: One, to just grab some and rely on luck to get matching pairs. Or two, strategize a mathematical plan to end up with enough to make matching pairs.

Randomly grabbing socks and relying on luck could work, but it’s quite a roll of the dice and will not guarantee meeting the goal of the exact amount of matching pairs asked for. So, the best solution seems to be using mathematical logic to make the matches, and as long as the calculations are correct, this solution will meet the goal for both of the required matches of socks.

In order to choose just 1 matching pair of socks, and grabbing the least amount possible, I feel the best mathematical method would be to grab 4 socks total. Since we know there are 3 colors of socks, it makes sense to grab at least 3. Granted there will be no guarantee that 1 of each color was chosen, but by grabbing a 4th sock, that one is guaranteed to match at least 1 of the other 3 socks chosen. All 4 socks could end up being the same color, or 2 socks and 2 socks, or 3 socks and 1 other color. No matter how you look at it, by choosing at least 4, there is guaranteed to be at least 1 matching pair. If only 3 socks were chosen, they could possibly end up being 1 of each color; therefore, not a guaranteed match.

In order to choose 1 matching pairs of all 3 colors…..

Problem 3: