3##	
Assign	
dues 2	
## Sidahadh 3	

4402	Level 4	Level 3	Lover 2	Level 1
communication objectiful to a	colouring to edd to understanding, input one colouribulout another	Flowchart - organized, proper spacing, correct P symbols, proper language	Poor spacing, some incorrect symbols, typing errors,	poor organizations, many symbol incorrectly for the concept attempting to convey, arrows in the wrong section or incorrect pointer.
		Comments - useful sincing sek OK), not sparse block at top (men is, start date, in programmer name, prof. http://www.ling.speckal.or/ misons) in the adapting speckal.or/ misons in the adapting - code is easy to tollow, enough white space.	tacking explanation, inline coments, or hard to read, or spelling mistakes on output or Progammer missing or modifications.	Any two or more details missing or Citations/borrowed work not acknowledged OR more than 25% of code borrowed Needs improvement
	User instructions that are clear and can be accessed at any time or Adtional options, like	ice, clear language, no grammar or ors	2 or 3 grammar or spelling errors	User interface not clear, poor language and or spelling and grammar errors
	policy bearing more advanced cooling	or txt. Open and closing, proper prents in the lile and placing in an	VO opens and closes properly but may be inefficient OR may be skipping certain lines/words	hard coded words and lorgot to close files
Thinking &	Description at top to clarify	er chart (headings), steps, proper y	Unclear steps or incorrect terminology	mesing information in columns or steps missing
Thinking &	Screen sizes to user systems. Make it interactive. Colour or design helps user know what stade they are at.	Graphics are appropriate and professional locking for Hangman - word list appropriate components - Standard screen size 1280 x 1024. Small graphics, features not infultive.	Small graphics, features not intuitive.	No graphics, just console that user would not necessarily have. Lost count on number of guesses.
Amiliani	Manual hand	Trace statements - variable name, steps and changes (Table/chart) All functions (including user) are special work as expected	Variable names don't match or missed a step Functions work, but confusing or inefficient	more confusing, complicate the existing error, or do not recognize it. Hard coded where a user defined function or built in would suffice.
	Highly efficient, best possible, perhaps found a new function or way to complete, the task	Code efficiency - nested if's better into function or class.	Nested if a or lack of proper loops - does not take advantage of built in functions	

Trace table - missing version + date ? then Good, 100 sood.
Scheen to solly coreed -no obvious is if an function missing my screen sing orverty no deduction uninterface.