## Bahaa	*hag Ques	t Assen 3	Tute cad class##	455年 井
4304	Level 4		Level 2	Level 1
communication	Nothing assumed, catching errors, anticipating users needs - beyond Level 3	Comments - useful sincinct, (block OK), not sparse, block at top (modifications, start date, programmer name, problem description, Notes on anything special or unusual, citations)	lacking explanation, inline coments, or hard to read, or spelling mistakes on output or Progammer missing or modifications	Any two or more details missing or Citations/borrowed work not acknowledged OR more than 25% of code borrowed
	Excellent	Readability - code is easy to follow, enough white space	Adequate	Needs improvement
	User instructions that are clear and can be accessed at any time or Aditional options, like premature quit	ge. no	2 or 3 grammar or spelling errors	User interface not clear, poor language and or spelling and grammar errors
Knowledge	With open or similar more advanced coding - not required or not taken in class OR new or better implementation of class work	Proper class usages and storing of data	Considerable usages and storing of data, few minor errors	hard coded words and forgot to close files
Thinking & inquiry	Description at top to clarify	IPO - proper chart (headings). steps, proper terminology	Unclear steps or incorrect terminology	missing information in columns or steps missing
Thinking &	Screen sizes to user systems Make it interactive. Colour or design helps user know what stace they are at	Graphics are appropriate and 2 professional looking for the professional looking for the professional looking for the professional looking features not intuitive. Standard screen size 1280 x 1024 Small graphics, features not intuitive.	Small graphics, features not intuitive.	No graphics, just console that user would not necessarily have. Lost count on number of guesses
		Trace statements - variable name, steps and changes (Table/chart)	Trace statements - variable name, Variable names don't match or missed a existing error, or do not steps and changes (Table/chart) step	more confusing, complicate the existing error, or do not recognize it
Application	Could be class based Highly efficient, best possible, perhaps found a new function or	All functions (including user) are efficient and work as expected Code efficiency - nested if's better	Functions work, but confusing or inefficient Nested if's or lack of proper loops - does not take advantage of built in functions	Hard coded where a user defined function or built in would suffice.
Flowchart/IPO/Tr ace Table (Application)	colouring to add to understanding.	Flowchart - organized, proper spacing, correct symbols, proper language	Poor spacing, some incorrect symbols, typing errors,	poor organizations, many symbols used incorrectly for the concept attempting to convey, arrows in the wrong section or incorrect pointer.
the state	HARD BODGE PATH - WON	North Class North (1 fore huxune (18: William)	wills + wess origilias No callsown class-(7040rig) in your (less) = 102 HARD CODE PATH - WON'T WORK & (1 Gired) - Arie Lincope - work file Line whire the structure (10: 00 WOODS V7 MAC)	(42) = 102 10 - Watch file