1 Rate of Completions

As learners engage in activities supported by a learning ecosystem, they will build up a history of learning experiences. When the digital resources of that learning ecosystem adhere to a framework dedicated to supporting and understanding the learner, such as the Total Learning Architecture (TLA), the data produced by the learning ecosystem will contribute to each learner's digital footprint. One way that footprint can be made actionable is through analysis of trends and/or patterns of activity. The following Algorithm does exactly this but scoped to:

- events describing or asserting that a learner completed a learning activity or exercise.
- events which happened within some target window of time

1.1 Alignment to DAVE Algorithm Definition

The schema RateOfCompletions serves as the first formal definition of an Algorithm which implements the definition of a DAVE Algorithm presented in the section Algorithm Formal Definition(4.6) on page 15. RateOfCompletions is used to introduce the alignment between the generic components of Algorithm and their corresponding definitions within this domain specific use case. In general, all DAVE Algorithm definitions must reference the schema Algorithm and the schemas corresponding to the different components of Algorithm. Within RateOfCompletions, both Algorithm.algorithm.algorithmIter and ROCalgorithmIter are fully expanded for clarity. This is not a requirement of alignment schemas, but alignment schemas should feature:

- an expanded definition of the use case specific algorithm Iter
- binding of the use case specific algorithm Iter to Algorithm. algorithm. algorithm Iter

Typically, an alignment schema would be defined after its component schemas but because RateOfCompletions is the first of its kind, it is featured first to introduce the notation by example and set the stage for the following component definitions. The alignments established in RateOfCompletions are further expanded upon within the corresponding definition of each individual component.

1.1.1 Components

Within each component definition, in order to connect the dots between

- Algorithm and its components
- RateOfCompletions and its components

the symbol \rightsquigarrow is used. This establishes that the constraints defined in the more generic component formal definitions apply to the schema being binded to. This is formalized within each of the RateOfCompletions component schemas via

```
\boxed{\begin{array}{c} genericSchema.primitiveName = \langle body \rangle \\ \hline \langle body \rangle \leadsto localSchema.primitiveName = localSchema.primitiveChain \end{array}}
```

1.2 Formal Definition

The application of the notation described above to *RateOfCompletions* results in the following definition with respect to schemas

```
RateOfCompletions ::= \\ Algorithm \ \S \ RateOfCompletions \Rightarrow \\ (Init \ \S \ RateOfCompletionsInit) \land \\ (Relevant? \ \S \ RateOfCompletionsRelevant?) \land \\ (Accept? \ \S \ RateOfCompletionsAccept?) \land \\ (Step \ \S \ RateOfCompletionsStep) \land \\ (Result \ \S \ RateOfCompletionsResult)
```

such that the $\langle body \rangle$ within each of the generic schema definitions is substituted for the Primitive chain defined within each of the local schemas. Here, the components of RateOfCompletions use a naming scheme of Container + AlgorithmComponent but this pattern is not required. It is used here strictly for additional highlighting of the syntax introduced above for connecting the generic definition of an Algorithm to an Implementation of that methedology much like the concepts underlying Java Interfaces.

```
\Delta RateOfCompletions[KV, Collection, KV] 
Algorithm
RateOfCompletionsInit
RateOfCompletionsRelevant?
RateOfCompletionsAccept?
RateOfCompletionsStep
RateOfCompletionsResult
rateOfCompletions\_: KV \times Collection \times KV \twoheadrightarrow KV
state?, opt?, state!: KV
S?: Collection
Algorithm.algorithm.algorithmIter = \langle relevant?\_, accept?\_, step\_ \rangle
ROCalgorithmIter = \langle RateOfCompletionsRelevant?.relevant? _,
                        RateOfCompletionsAccept? .accept? _,
                        RateOfCompletionsStep.step\_\rangle
Algorithm.algorithmIter\_ \leadsto ROCalgorithmIter\_ \Rightarrow
    (Algorithm.algorithm.algorithmIter.relevant? \_ \sim
              RateOfCompletionsRelevant?.relevant?\_) \land
    (Algorithm.algorithm.algorithmIter.accept? \_ \sim
              RateOfCompletionsAccept? .accept? \_) \land
    (Algorithm.algorithm.algorithmIter.step\_ \sim
              RateOfCompletionsStep.step\_)
state! = rateOfCompletions(state?, S?, opt?) \equiv algorithm(state?, S?, opt?) \iff
              (Algorithm.algorithm.init\_ \rightarrow RateOfCompletionsInit.init\_) \land
              (Algorithm.algorithm.algorithmIter\_ 
ightharpoonup ROCalgorithmIter\_) \land
              (Algorithm.algorithm.result\_ 
ightharpoonup RateOfCompletionsResult.result\_)
```

- the . notation is used to reference components within a schema
- the \rightarrow represents alignment between components of Algorithm and RateOfCompletions
- the Δ in the schema name indicates that RateOfCompletions alters the state space of Algorithm due to usage of \leadsto

1.3 Initialization

The first example of a component to component alignment is found within RateOfCompletionsInit which shows how the primitive RateOfCompletionsInit.init is bound to $\langle body \rangle$ within Algorithm.algorithm.init. Specifically, the schema RateOfCompletionsInit uses the Primtive updateAt such that $init_{\delta}$ can be used to establish the initialization logic.

1.3.1 Formal Definition

In the following, $init_{\delta}$ could have been a stand alone Operation referenced within RateOfCompletionsInit.

```
RateOfCompletionsInit[KV] \_
Init, UpdateAt
state?, state! : KV
init \_: KV \twoheadrightarrow KV
init_{\delta} : V \twoheadrightarrow KV
Init.init = \langle body \rangle
init = \langle updateAt \_ \rangle
Init.init \rightsquigarrow init \Rightarrow \langle body \rangle \equiv \langle updateAt \_ \rangle
init_{\delta}! = init_{\delta}(state?_{\langle roc, completions \rangle}) \bullet
= (\emptyset \iff \langle roc, completions \rangle \not\in state?) \lor
(state?_{\langle roc, completions \rangle} \iff \langle roc, completions \rangle \in state?)
state! = init(state?) = updateAt(state?, \langle roc, completions \rangle, init_{\delta}) \bullet
= (\langle \langle roc \mapsto completions \mapsto \emptyset \rangle) \cup state? \iff init_{\delta}! = \emptyset) \lor
(state? \iff init_{\delta}! \neq \emptyset)
```

The output of RateOfCompletionsInit.init is state! which can be one of two things given the definition of $init_{\delta}$

- $state! = \langle \langle roc \mapsto completions \mapsto \emptyset \rangle \rangle \cup state?$
- state! = state?

This means that the result of any previous runs of rateOfCompletions will not be overwritten but if this is the first iteration of the Algorithm, the necessary storage location is established within the Algorithm State such that

- RateOfCompletionsStep.step can write its output to $state!_{\langle roc, completions \rangle}$
- RateOfCompletionsResult.result can read from $state!_{(roc.completions)}$

and by defining RateOfCompletionsInit.init in this way, it allows for chaining of calls to rateOfCompletion such that

- the Algorithm can pick back up from the result of a previous iteration
- Other Algorithms can use the result of rateOfCompletions within their processing

which highlights the importance of establishing a unique path for individual Algorithms to write their results to. The example path? of $\langle roc, completions \rangle$ is very simple but is sufficient for the current Algorithm. This path? can be made more complex to support more advanced $init_{\delta}$ definitions. For example, each run of rateOfCompletions could have its own unique subpath. In this scenario, $init_{\delta}$ could be updated to look for the most recent run of rateOfCompletions and use it as the seed state for the current iteration among other things.

- $\langle roc, completions, run1 \rangle$
- $\langle roc, completions, run2 \rangle$

1.3.2 Big Picture

When Algorithms write to a unique location within an Algorithm State, high level Algorithms can be designed which chain together individual Algorithms such that the result of one is used to seed the next. Chaining together of Algorithms is a subject not yet covered within this report and its exact form is still under active development. It is mentioned here to highlight the ideal usage of Algorithm State in the context of init; Algorithm State is a mutable Map which serves as a storage location for a collection of Algorithm(s) to write to and/or read from such that an Algorithm can

- pick up from a previous iteration
- use the output of other Algorithm(s) to initialize the current state
- process quantities of data too large to store in local memory all at once

1.4 Relevant?

Given that the purpose of relevant? is to determine if the current Statement (stmt?) is valid for use within step of rateOfCompletions, the validation check itself can be implemented in several different ways but idealy, the predicate logic is expressed using the xAPI Profiles spec.

1.4.1 xAPI Profile Validation

The specification defines xAPI Statement Tempaltes which feature a built in xAPI property predicate language for defining the uniquely identifying properties of an xAPI Statement. These requirements are used within validation logic aligned to/based off of the Statement Template Validation Logic defined in the spec. The formal definition of Statement Template validation logic is outside the scope of this document but the following basic type is introduced to represent an xAPI Statement Template

$$[TEMPLATE_{stmt}]$$

such that the following is an Operation definition for validation of an xAPI Statement stmt? against an xAPI Statement Template.

This Operation can be composed with other xAPI Profile centered Operations to define more complex predicate/validation logic like:

- stmt? matches target xAPI Statement Template(s) defined within some xAPI Profile(s)
- stmt? matches pred (ie, any/none/etc.) xAPI Statement Template(s) defined within some xAPI Profile(s)
- stmt? matches target/pred xAPI Statement Template(s) within target/pred xAPI Pattern(s) defined within some xAPI Profile(s)

1.4.2 xAPI Predicates

In order to avoid brining in additional xAPI Profile complexity, the logic of RateOfCompletionsRelevant? is implemented using predicates which correspond to checks which would happen during validateStmt given Statement Templates containing the following constraints.

- is the Object of the Statement an Activity?
- is the Verb indicative of a completion event?
- is Result.completion used to indicate completion?

In general, each of these Primitives navigates into a Statement to retrieve the value at a target *path*? and check it against the predicate defined in the schema. This generic functionality is defined as the Primitive *stmtPred*.

This Primitive covers the most basic kind of check performed when validating an xAPI Statement against an xAPI Statement Template; does the Statement property found at $stmt?_{path}$? adhere to the expectation(s) defined within the provided predicate. The next three schemas will define the statement predicates used within RateOfCompletionsRelevant? but these predicates could have been contained within some number of xAPI Statement Template(s).

```
-ActivityObject? [STATEMENT] \\ StatementPredicate \\ stmt?: STATEMENT \\ path?: Collection \\ fn_{pred}!: Boolean \\ fn_{pred} =: V \rightarrow Boolean \\ activityObject? \_: STATEMENT \rightarrow Boolean \\ activityObject? = \langle stmtPred\_\rangle \\ path? = \langle object, objectType \rangle \\ fn_{pred}! = activityObject? (stmt?) \\ = stmtPred(stmt?, path?, fn_{pred}) \\ = fn_{pred}(stmt?_{path?}) \\ = true \iff stmt?_{path?} = Activity \lor \emptyset
```

• Determine if the Object of *stmt*? is an Activity

```
CompletionVerb? [STATEMENT] \_
Statement Predicate
stmt?: STATEMENT
path?: Collection
fn_{pred}!: Boolean
fn_{pred} -: V \to Boolean
completionVerb? \_: STATEMENT \rightarrow Boolean
completionVerb? = \langle stmtPred \_ \rangle
path? = \langle verb, id \rangle
fn_{pred}! = completionVerb? (stmt?)
        = stmtPred(stmt?, path?, fn_{pred})
       = fn_{pred}(stmt?_{path?})
       = true \iff stmt?_{path?} =
              http://adlnet.gov/expapi/verbs/passed \lor
              https: //w3id.org/xapi/dod-isd/verbs/answered \lor
              http://adlnet.gov/expapi/verbs/completed
```

- Determine if the Verb id within stmt? is one of
 - passed
 - answered
 - completed
- List of target Verb ids can be expanded as needed

```
CompletionResult? [STATEMENT] \_
StatementPredicate
stmt?: STATEMENT
path?: Collection
fn_{pred}!: Boolean
fn_{pred}: V \to Boolean
completionResult? \_: STATEMENT \to Boolean
completionResult? = \langle stmtPred \_ \rangle
path? = \langle result, completion \rangle
fn_{pred}! = completionResult? (stmt?)
= stmtPred(stmt?, path?, fn_{pred})
= fn_{pred}(stmt?_{path?})
= true \iff stmt?_{path?} = true
```

Determine if completion is set to true within result field of an xAPI Statement

1.4.3 Formal Definition

The xAPI Predicates defined above are used within RateOfCompletionsRelevant? to establish the logic which decides if stmt? is

- passed on to the next step
- discarded for the next Statement in the batch passed to rateOfCompletions

```
 \begin{array}{l} = \mathbb{E}RateOfCompletionsRelevant? [KV,STATEMENT] \_\_\_\_\_\\ Relevant?\\ state?:KV\\ stmt?:STATEMENT\\ relevant!:Boolean\\ relevant?\_:KV \times STATEMENT \rightarrow Boolean\\ \hline Relevant.relevant?=\langle body\rangle\\ \langle body\rangle \leadsto relevant?=\langle activityObject?\_,\langle completionVerb?\_, completionResult?\_\rangle\rangle\\ relevant!=relevant? (state?,stmt?)\\ =true \iff (activityObject(stmt?)=true) \land\\ ((completionVerb?(stmt?)=true) \lor\\ (completionResult?(stmt?)=true))\\ \end{array}
```

The schema prefix Ξ is used to indicate that here, relevant? does not modify state?. Regardless, in order for relevant? to return true

- The object of stmt? must be an activity
- The Verb of stmt? has an id which matches one of the target IDs
- The Result of stmt indicates that a completion happened

1.5 Accept?

The Accept? component of a DAVE Algorithm is a secondary validation check prior to the potential passing of stmt? off to Step. At this point, stmt? has been validated to be relevant to the execution of an Algorithm so the final check is based off of the current Algorithm State state?. In many cases this check will not be necessary but this step matters when the ability to process stmt? is dependent upon some property of state?. This component of an Algorithm could be used to establish the placeholder mapping within state! if it doesn't exist for the current stmt? but this can also be handled within step as done in the schema ProcessCompletionStatement defined later on.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \Xi RateOfCompletionsAccept? \left[KV, STATEMENT\right] \\ Accept? \\ state?: KV \\ stmt?: STATEMENT \\ accept!: Boolean \\ fn_{pred}: KV \times STATEMENT \rightarrow Boolean \\ accept? \_: KV \times STATEMENT \rightarrow Boolean \\ Accept? .accept? = \langle body \rangle \\ accept? = \langle fn_{pred} \_ \rangle \\ Accept? .accept? \rightsquigarrow accept? \Rightarrow \langle body \rangle \equiv \langle fn_{pred} \_ \rangle \\ accept? = accept? (state?, stmt?) \\ = fn_{pred}(state?, stmt?) = true \\ \end{array}
```

The Algorithm rateOfCompletions does not need to check state? before passing stmt? to step so fn_{pred} will always return true. If this was not the case, fn_{pred} would be defined as a predicate describing the relationship between state? and stmt? which determines if true or false is returned. Additionally, if false would be returned, Accept can take the appropriate steps to ensure state! is updated such that accept? (state!, stmt) = true.

1.6 Step

The actual processing of stmt? happens within step and may or may not result in an updated Algorithm State state!. In the case of rateOfCompletions, each call to step is expected to return an altered state such that state! \neq state? and the schema RateOfCompletionsStep is prefixed with Δ accordingly. The updated state! will either have an existing mapping for $objectId \in state$? altered or a completely new mapping for objectId added to state?.

1.6.1 Processing Summary

The execution of step can be summarized as:

- 1. parse the relevant information from stmt
 - \bullet currentTime
 - $\bullet \ objectName$
 - ullet objectId
- 2. resolve previous state (if it exists) given objectId
- 3. update the range of time to include currentTime if not already within the existing interval for objectId
- 4. update the counter tracking the number of times objectId has been in a stmt? passed to step
- 5. add objectName to the set of names associated with objectId if not already a member.

1.6.2 Helper Functions

The following Operations and Primitives are defined for abstracting the functionality of each process within step in order to reduce the noise within RateOfCompletionsStep.

```
ParseCompletionStatement[STATEMENT] \_
GetIn
stmt?: STATEMENT
currentTime:TIMESTAMP
objectName, parseStmt!:KV\\
objectId: STRING
parseStmt\_: STATEMENT \rightarrowtail KV
parseStmt = \langle getIn\_, associate\_ \rangle^2
currentTime = getIn(stmt?, \langle timestamp \rangle)
objectName = getIn(stmt?, \langle object, definition, name \rangle)
objectId = getIn(stmt?, \langle object, id \rangle)
parseStmt! = parseStmt(stmt?) \bullet
     let \ with Time == associate(\langle \langle \rangle \rangle, currentT, currentTime)
          withName == associate(withTime, objName, objectName)
             = associate(withName, objId, objectId) \Rightarrow
     \langle\!\langle currentT \mapsto currentTime, \, objName \mapsto objectName, \, objId \mapsto objectId \rangle\!\rangle
```

• parse timestamp, object name and object id from stmt?

• look in state? for any previous record of objectId

```
IntervalValGiven[TIMESTAMP, TIMESTAMP(\_ + + \_)] \_
IsoToUnix
stmt_{ts}, state_{ts}, intervalValGiven! : TIMESTAMP
fn_{pred} : (\_ + + \_)
fn_{pred}! : \mathbb{N}
intervalValGiven\_ : TIMESTAMP \times TIMESTAMP \times (\_ + + \_) + TIMESTAMP
intervalValGiven = \langle isoToUnix\_, isoToUnix\_, fn_{pred}\_ \rangle
nSeconds_{stmt} = isoToUnix(stmt_{ts})
nSeconds_{state} = isoToUnix(state_{ts})
fn_{pred}! = fn_{pred}(nSeconds_{stmt}, nSeconds_{state})
intervalValGiven! = intervalValGiven(stmt_{ts}, state_{ts}, fn_{pred})
= (stmt_{ts} \iff fn_{pred}! = nSeconds_{stmt}) \vee
(state_{ts} \iff fn_{pred}! = nSeconds_{state})
```

• return $stmt_{ts}$ or $state_{ts}$ based on result of fn_{pred}

```
 \begin{array}{l} \_ReturnIntervalStart[TIMESTAMP,TIMESTAMP] \_\_\_\_\_\\ IntervalValGiven \\ stmt_{ts}, state_{ts}, interval_{start}: TIMESTAMP \\ fn_{\delta}!: \mathbb{N} \\ fn_{\delta}: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ returnIntervalStart\_: TIMESTAMP \times TIMESTAMP \to TIMESTAMP \\ \hline \\ returnIntervalStart = \langle intervalValGiven\_\rangle \\ \\ fn_{\delta}!= fn_{\delta}(nSeconds_{stmt}, nSeconds_{state}) \\ = (nSeconds_{stmt} \iff nSeconds_{stmt} \leq nSeconds_{state}) \vee \\ (nSeconds_{state} \iff nSeconds_{stmt} > nSeconds_{state}) \\ interval_{start} = intervalValGiven(stmt_{ts}, state_{ts}, fn_{\delta}) \\ = (stmt_{ts} \iff fn_{\delta}! = nSeconds_{state}) \\ (state_{ts} \iff fn_{\delta}! = nSeconds_{state}) \\ \end{array}
```

• return $stmt_{ts}$ or $state_{ts}$, which ever one is further back in the past.

```
 \begin{aligned} & ReturnIntervalEnd[TIMESTAMP,TIMESTAMP] \_\_\_\_\\ & IntervalValGiven \\ & stmt_{ts}, state_{ts}, interval_{end}: TIMESTAMP \\ & fn_{\delta}!: \mathbb{N} \\ & fn_{\delta}: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ & returnIntervalEnd\_: TIMESTAMP \times TIMESTAMP \to TIMESTAMP \\ \hline & returnIntervalEnd = \langle intervalValGiven\_\rangle \\ & fn_{\delta}! = fn_{\delta}(nSeconds_{stmt}, nSeconds_{state}) \\ & = (nSeconds_{stmt} \iff nSeconds_{stmt} \geq nSeconds_{state}) \vee \\ & (nSeconds_{state} \iff nSeconds_{stmt} < nSeconds_{state}) \\ & interval_{end} = intervalValGiven(stmt_{ts}, state_{ts}, fn_{\delta}) \\ & = (stmt_{ts} \iff fn_{\delta}! = nSeconds_{state}) \\ & (state_{ts} \iff fn_{\delta}! = nSeconds_{state}) \end{aligned}
```

• return $stmt_{ts}$ or $state_{ts}$, which ever one is later on chronologically

```
ReturnUpdatedCount[V] \\ count?: V \\ count!: \mathbb{N} \\ returnUpdatedCount_{-}: V \to \mathbb{N} \\ \hline \\ count! = returnUpdatedCount(count?) \\ = (count? + 1 \iff count? \neq \emptyset) \lor \\ (1 \iff (count? = 0) \lor (count? = \emptyset)) \\ \hline \\
```

• return an incremented value or 1 otherwise

• add targetName to the end of names? if $targetName \notin names$?

1.6.3 Formal Definition

The schema ProcessCompletionStatement is used to define the core functionality of RateOfCompletionsStep.step using the Primitive replaceAt to produce state!.

```
\Delta ProcessCompletionStatement[STATEMENT, KV] ______
ReplaceAt
ParseCompletionStatement
Resolve Previous Completion State \\
ReturnIntervalStart
ReturnIntervalEnd
ReturnUpdatedCount
ReturnUpdatedNames
stmt?: STATEMENT
state?, state!, state_{objectId}: KV
processStatement\_: STATEMENT \times KV \rightarrowtail KV
processStatement = \langle \langle parseStmt\_, getPreviousState\_ \rangle,
                           \langle returnIntervalStart\_, returnIntervalEnd\_, replaceAt\_ \rangle,
                           \langle returnUpdatedCount\_, replaceAt\_ \rangle,
                           \langle returnUpdatedNames\_, replaceAt\_\rangle \rangle
parsed_{stmt?} = parseStmt(stmt?)
stmt_{timestamp} = get(parsed_{stmt?}, currentT)
stmt_{objName} = get(parsed_{stmt?}, objName)
stmt_{objId} = get(parsed_{stmt?}, objId)
state_{objectId} = getPreviousState(state?, parsed_{stmt?})
interval_{start} = getIn(state_{objectId}, \langle domain, start \rangle)
interval_{end} = getIn(state_{objectId}, \langle domain, end \rangle)
state_{nStmts} = get(state_{objectId}, nStmts)
state_{names} = get(state_{objectId}, names)
interval_{start}! = returnIntervalStart(stmt_{timestamp}, interval_{start})
interval_{end}! = returnIntervalEnd(stmt_{timestamp}, interval_{end})
interval! = \langle \langle start \mapsto interval_{start}!, end \mapsto interval_{end}! \rangle \rangle
nStmts! = returnUpdatedCount(state_{nStmts})
names! = returnUpdatedNames(state_{names}, stmt_{objName})
state! = processStatement(stmt?, state?) \bullet
 let interval_{\delta} == replaceAt(state?, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId}, domain \rangle, interval!)
     nStmts_{\delta} == replaceAt(interval_{\delta}, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId}, nStmts \rangle, nStmts!)
       = replaceAt(nStmts_{\delta}, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId}, names \rangle, names!)
```

• update state! to include a mapping with Key $stmt_{objId}$ or update an existing mapping identified by $stmt_{objId}$

The schema RateOfCompletionsStep introduces the alignment with Algorithm.step such that $\langle body \rangle = processStatement$ as defined by ProcessCompletionStatement.

For each unique $stmt_{objId}$ passed to step, there should be a corresponding mapping in $state_{\langle roc, completions \rangle}$ which looks like

```
stmt_{objId} \mapsto \langle \langle domain \mapsto \langle \langle (start, interval_{start}!), (end, interval_{end}!) \rangle \rangle \\ nStmts \mapsto nStmts! \\ names \mapsto names! \rangle
```

1.7 Result

The interval of $interval_{start}!$ to $interval_{end}!$ can be partitioned based on the passed in opt named timeUnit such that for each unique $stmt_{objId}$, the metric n completions per time unit can be calculated and added to $state_{\langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId}, rate\rangle}$.

```
RateOfCompletionsResult[KV, KV]_{\perp}
Result
RateOf
replaceAt
opt?\,, state?\,, result! \colon KV
result_-: KV \times KV \twoheadrightarrow KV
Result.result = \langle body \rangle
\langle body \rangle \leadsto result = \langle replaceAt\_\rangle^{\#\operatorname{dom}(state_{\langle roc, completions \rangle})}
state! = result(state?, opt?) \bullet
             let \ timeUnit == atKey(opt?, timeUnit) \bullet
      \forall stmt_{objId} \in state_{\langle roc, completions \rangle} \mid \exists_1 state!_{objId} \bullet
                    let nO == getIn(state?, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId}, nStmts \rangle)
                          start == getIn(state?, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{obiId}, domain, start \rangle)
                          end = getIn(state?, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{obiId}, domain, end \rangle)
                          rate_{objId} == rateOf(nO, start, end, timeUnit)
             state!_{objId} = replaceAt(state?, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId}, rate \rangle, rate_{objId})
      =\sum state!_{objId} \bullet \forall stmt_{objId} \mid getIn(state!, \langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId}, rate \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}
```

- $state! = \sum state!_{objId}$ is an alternative way to describe the aggregation of all changes made to state?.
- rateOf performs the calculation which is used to update state? with consideration to opt?
- timeUnit will default to day if not specified within opt?

The output of rateOfCompletions is a state! which contains a mapping of following shape for each unique $stmt_{objId}$ passed to rateOfCompletions, each of which can be found at the path $\langle roc, completions, stmt_{objId} \rangle$.

```
stmt_{objId} \mapsto \langle \langle domain \mapsto \langle \langle (start, interval_{start}!), (end, interval_{end}!) \rangle \rangle

nStmts \mapsto nStmts!

names \mapsto names!

rate \mapsto rate_{objId} \rangle \rangle
```

Any mapping within this structure can be used within a corresponding visualization but the core piece of information the visualization should convey is $rate_{objId}$.

1.8 Conclusion

This concludes the first example of a DAVE Algorithm formal definition. The conventions established within this section should be used across all DAVE Algorithm formal definitions in this document. If any aspect of this section requires further explanation or clarification, please post an issue to the DAVE github repo describing the issue or reach out to the Author(s) of this report via some other medium.