1 Operations, Primitives and Algorithms

The following sections introduce, define and explain Operations, Primitives and Algorithms generally using the Terminology presented below. Operations are the building blocks of Primitives whereas Primitives are the building blocks of Algorithms. The definitions which follow are flexible enough to support implementation across programing languages but have been inspired by the core concepts found within Lisp and Z. The focus of these sections is to define the properties of and interactions between Operations, Primitives and Algorithms in a general way which doesn't place unnecessary bounds on their range of possible functionality with respect to processing xAPI data.

1.1 Terminology

Within this document, (s) indicates one or more. When talking about some $x \in X$ at some index within a range i ... n ... j, the notation $i_X \vee n_X, \vee j_X$ may be used in cases where it is a more concise version of an equivalent expression.

1.1.1 Scalar

When working with xAPI data, Statements are written using JavaScript Object Notation (JSON). This data model supports a few fundamental types as described by JSON Schema. In order to speak about a singular valid JSON value (string, number, boolean, null) generically, the term Scalar is used. To talk about a scalar within a Z Schema, the following free and basic types are introduced.

```
\begin{split} [STRING, NULL] \\ Boolean :== true \, | \, false \\ Scalar :== Boolean \, | \, STRING \, | \, NULL \, | \, \mathbb{Z} \end{split}
```

Arrays and Objects are also valid JSON values but will be referenced using the terms Collection and Map \vee KV respectively.

1.1.2 Collection

```
a sequence \langle ... \rangle of items c such that each c: \mathbb{N} \times V \Rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, V) \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mapsto V
```

$$C: Collection$$

$$C = \langle c_i...c_n...c_j \rangle \Rightarrow \{i \mapsto c_i, n \mapsto c_n, j \mapsto c_j\} \bullet i \leq n \leq j \Rightarrow i \prec n \prec j \iff i \neq n \neq j$$

And the following free type is introducted for collections

```
Collection :== emptyColl \mid append \langle \langle Collection \times Scalar \vee Collection \vee KV \times \mathbb{N} \rangle \\ emptyColl \qquad \qquad [\text{the empty Collection } \langle \rangle] \\ append \qquad \qquad [\text{is a constructor and is infered to be an injection}] \\ KV \qquad \qquad [\text{a free type introduced bellow}] \\ append(emptyColl, c?, 0) = \langle c_0 \rangle \Rightarrow \{0 \mapsto c?\} \qquad [append \text{ adds } c? \text{ to } \langle \rangle \text{ at } \mathbb{N}]
```

1.1.3 Key

An identifier k paired with some value v to create an ordered pair (k, v). k can take on any valid JSON value (Scalar, Collection, KV) except for the Scalar null. The following free type is introduced for keys.

$$K ::= (Scalar \setminus NULL) \mid Collection \mid KV$$

1.1.4 Value

A value v is paired with an identifier k to create an ordered pair (k, v). v can be any valid JSON value (Scalar, Collection, KV) The following free type is introduced for values.

$$V ::= Scalar \mid Collection \mid KV$$

1.1.5 Map

Within the Z Notation Introduction section, Maps are introduced using the free type KV.

$$KV ::= base \mid associate \langle \langle KV \times X \times Y \rangle \rangle$$

This definition is more accurately

$$KV ::= base \mid associate \langle \langle KV \times K \times V \rangle \rangle$$

which indicates the usage of Key k and Value v within associate. Using this updated definition,

$$associate(base, k, v) = \langle \langle (k, v) \rangle \rangle$$

such that a Map is a Collection of ordered pairs (k_n, v_n) and thus a Collection of mappings

$$(k_n, v_n) \Rightarrow k_n \mapsto v_n$$

but Maps are special cases of Collections as k_n is the unique identifier of v_n within a Map but the opposite is not true. In fact, keys are their own identifiers

$$id v_n = k_n$$

 $id k_n \neq v_n$
 $id k_n = k_n$

Given a Map $M = \langle \langle (k_i, v_i) ... (k_n, v_n) ... (k_j, v_j) \rangle \rangle$ the following demonstrates the uniqueness of Keys but the same is not true for all v within M

$$i_k \neq n_k \neq j_k$$

$$i_v = n_v \lor i_v \neq n_v \ i_v = j_v \lor i_v \neq j_v \ j_v = n_v \lor j_v \neq n_v$$

which can all be stated formally as

```
 \begin{aligned} & = [K, V] = \\ & Map : K \times V \rightarrowtail KV \\ \hline & Map = \langle \langle (k_i, v_i) \dots (k_n, v_n) \dots (k_j, v_j) \rangle \rangle \bullet \\ & \text{dom } Map = \{ k_i \dots k_n \dots k_j \} \\ & \text{ran } Map = \{ v_i \dots v_n \dots v_j \} \\ & first(k_i, v_i) \neq first(k_n, v_n) \neq first(k_j, v_j) \wedge \\ & i_v = n_v \vee i_v \neq n_v \ i_v = j_v \vee i_v \neq j_v \ j_v = n_v \vee j_v \neq n_v \wedge \\ & \text{id } v_i = k_i \wedge \text{id } v_n = k_n \wedge \text{id } v_j = k_j \wedge \\ & \text{id } k_i = k_i \wedge \text{id } k_n = k_n \wedge \text{id } k_j = k_j \end{aligned}
```

Given that v can be a Map M, or a Collection C, Arbitrary nesting is allowed within Maps but the properties of a Map hold at any depth.

```
M = \langle \langle (k_i, v_i) ... (k_n, \langle \langle (k_{ni}, v_{ni}) \rangle \rangle) ... (k_i, \langle v_{ii} ... \langle \langle (k_{in}, v_{in}) \rangle \rangle ... \langle v_{iji} ... v_{iji} ... v_{iji} \rangle \rangle) \rangle
```

such that $\langle \langle (k_{ni}, v_{ni}) \rangle \rangle$ and $\langle \langle (k_{nj}, v_{nj}) \rangle \rangle$ are both Maps and adhere to the constraints enumerated above.

1.1.6 Statement

Immutable Map conforming to the xAPI Specification as described in the xAPI Formal Definition section of this document. The imutability of a Statement s is demonstrated by the following which indicates that s was not altered when passed to associate.

```
s!, s?: STATEMENT
k?: K
v?: V
s! = associate(s?, k?, v?) = s? \Rightarrow (k?, v?) \notin s! \Rightarrow s! = s?
```

Additionally, given the schema *Statements* the following is true for all *Statement(s)*

```
Statements \\ Keys: STRING \\ S: Collection \\ Keys = \{id, actor, verb, object, result, context, attachments, timestamp, stored\} \\ dom statement = K \lhd Keys \\ S = \langle statement_i ... statement_n ... statement_j \rangle \bullet \\ atKey(statement_i, id) \neq atKey(statement_n, id) \neq atKey(statement_j, id) \Rightarrow id_i \neq id_n \neq id_j \iff statement_i \neq statement_n \neq statement_j
```

Which confirms the constraints found in the schema *Statement* and adds an additional constraint to *Statements* such that every unique *Statement* in a *Collection* of *Statements* has a unique *id*.

1.1.7 Algorithm State

Mutable Map state without any domain restriction such that

```
state?, state!: KV
k?: K
v?: V
associate(state?, k?, v?) = state! \bullet (k, v) \in state! \Rightarrow state? \neq state!
```

1.1.8 Option

Mutable Map opt which is used to alter the result of an Algorithm. The effect of opt on an Algorithm will be discussed in the Algorithm Result section bellow.

2 Operation

An Operation is a function of arbitrary arguments and is defined using Z. For example, Operations pulled directly from "The Z Notation: A Reference Manual" include

- \bullet first
- \bullet second
- \bullet succ
- min
- max
- $\bullet \ count \equiv \#$
- ~
- \bullet rev
- \bullet head
- \bullet last
- \bullet tail
- \bullet front
- 1
- |
- ^/
- disjoint
- partition
- 🛇
- 🖽
- \forall
- \bullet items

2.1 Domain

The arguments passed to an Operation can be any of the following but the definition of an Operation may limit the domain to a subset of the following

- Key(s)
- Value(s)
- Set(s)
- Collection(s)
- Bag(s)
- KV(s)
- Statement(s)
- Algorithm State

2.2 Range

The result of an Operation can be any of the following but the definition of an Operation may limit this range to a subset of the following

- Key(s)
- Value(s)
- Set(s)
- Collection(s)
- Bag(s)
- KV(s)
- Statement(s)
- Algorithm State

3 Primitive

A collection of Operations where the output of an Operation o is passed as an argument to the next Operation.

$$p_{\langle i \dots n \dots j \rangle} = o_i \gg o_n \gg o_j$$

Within any given Primitive p, variables local to p and any global variables may be passed as arguments to any o within p and there is no restriction on the ordering of arguments with respect to the piping. In the following, q? is a global variable where as the rest are local.

```
x?, y?, z?, i!, n!, j!, p! : Value
o_i : Value \rightarrow Value
o_n : Value \times Value \rightarrow Value
o_j, p : Value \times Value \times Value \rightarrow Value
i! = o_i(x?)
n! = o_n(i!, y?)
j! = o_j(z?, n!, q?)
p! = j! \Rightarrow o_i(z?, o_n(o_i(x?), y?), q?)
```

Primitives break the processing of xAPI data down into discrete units that can be composed to create new analytical functions. Primitives allow users to address the methodology of answering research questions as a sequence of generic algorithmic steps which establish the necessary data transformations, aggregations and calculations required to reach the solution in an implementation agnostic way.

3.1 Domain

Any of the following dependent upon the Operations which compose the Primitive

- Key(s)
- Value(s)
- Set(s)
- Collection(s)
- Bag(s)
- KV(s)
- Statement(s)
- Algorithm State

3.2 Range

Any of the following dependent upon the Domain and Functionality of the $\operatorname{Primitive}$

- Key(s)
- Value(s)
- Set(s)
- Collection(s)
- \bullet Bag(s)
- KV(s)
- Statement(s)
- $\bullet\,$ Algorithm State

4 Algorithm

Given a Collection of statement(s) $S_{\langle a..b..c\rangle}$ and potentially option(s) opt and potentially an existing Algorithm State state an Algorithm A executes as follows

- 1. call init
- 2. for each $stmt \in S_{\langle a..b..c \rangle}$
 - (a) relevant?
 - (b) accept?
 - (c) step
- 3. return result

with each process within A is enumerated as

```
(init [state] body)
  - init state

(relevant? [state statement] body)
  - is the statement valid for use in algorithm?

(accept? [state statement] body)
  - can the algorithm consider the current statement?

(step [state statement] body)
  - processing per statement
  - can result in a modified state

(result [state] body)
  - return without option(s) provided
  - possibly sets default option(s)

(result [state opt] body)
  - return with consideration to option(s)
```

- \bullet body is a collection of Primitive(s) which establishes the processing of inputs \to outputs
- \bullet state is a mutable Map of type KV and synonymous with Algorithm State
- ullet statement is a single statement within the collection of statements passed as input data to the Algorithm A
- opt are additional arguments passed to the algorithm A which impact the return value of the algorithm and synonymous with Option

An Algorithm must be passed an Algorithm State and a Collection of Statement(s). Option is optional.

- Statement(s)
- Algorithm State
- Option(s)

An Algorithm will return an Algorithm State.

• Algorithm State

An Algorithm can be described via its components. A formal definition for an Algorithm is presented at the end of this section. The following subsections go into more detail about the components of an Algorithm.

 $Algorithm ::= Init \ _{3} Relevant? \ _{3} Accept? \ _{3} Step \ _{3} Result$

4.1 Initialization

First process to run within an Algorithm which returns the Algorithm State for the current iteration.

such that some *state*! does not need to be related to its arguments *state*? but *state*! could be derived from some seed *state*?. This functionality is dependent upon the *body* of an Algorithms *init*

4.1.1 Domain

• Algorithm State

4.1.2 Range

• Algorithm State

4.2 Relevant?

First process that each stmt passes through $\Rightarrow relevant? \prec accept? \prec step$

```
Relevant? [KV, STATEMENT] \\ state? : KV \\ stmt? : STATEMENT \\ relevant? : KV \times STATEMENT \rightarrow Boolean \\ \hline \\ relevant? (state?, stmt?) = true \lor false
```

resulting in an indication of whether the stmt is valid within algorithm A. The criteria which determines validity of stmt within A is defined by the body of relevant?

4.2.1 Domain

- Statement
- Algorithm State

4.2.2 Range

• Boolean

4.3 Accept?

Second process that each stmt passes through $\Rightarrow relevant? \prec accept? \prec step$

```
Accept? [KV, STATEMENT] \_
state?: KV
stmt?: STATEMENT
accept?: KV \times STATEMENT \rightarrow Boolean
accept? (state?, stmt?) = true \lor false
```

resulting in an indication of whether the *stmt* can be sent to *step* given the current *state*. The criteria which determines usability of *stmt* given *state* is defined by the *body* of *accept*?

4.3.1 Domain

- Statement
- Algorithm State

4.3.2 Range

• Scalar

4.4 Step

An Algorithm Step consists of a sequential composition of Primitive(s) and therefore sequential composition of all Operation(s) where the output of a Primitive is passed as an argument to the next Primitive.

$$p_i \gg p_n \gg p_j \Rightarrow o_{ii} \gg o_{in} \gg o_{ij} \gg o_{ni} \gg o_{nn} \gg o_{nj} \gg o_{ji} \gg o_{jn} \gg o_{jj}$$

The selection and ordering of Operation(s) and Primitive(s) into an Algorithmic Step determines how the Algorithm State changes during iteration through Statement(s) passed as input to the Algorithm.

```
P = \langle p_i ... p_n ... p_j \rangle \bullet i \leq n \leq j \Rightarrow i \prec n \prec j \iff i \neq n \neq j \bullet p_i \gg p_n \gg p_j
P' = \langle p_{i'} ... p_{n'} ... p_{j'} \rangle \bullet i' \leq n' \leq j' \Rightarrow i' \prec n' \prec j' \iff i' \neq n' \neq j' \bullet p_{i'} \gg p_{n'} \gg p_{j'}
P'' = \langle p_x ... p_y ... p_z \rangle \bullet x \leq y \leq z \Rightarrow x \prec y \prec z \iff x \neq y \neq z \bullet p_x \gg p_y \gg p_z
P = P' \iff i \mapsto i' \land n \mapsto n' \land j \mapsto j'
P = P'' \iff (i \mapsto x \land n \mapsto y \land j \mapsto z) \land (p_i \equiv p_x \land p_n \equiv p_y \land p_j \equiv p_z)
```

step may or may not update the input Algorithm State given the current Statement from the Collection of Statement(s).

```
S: Collection \\ stmt_a, stmt_b, stmt_c: STATEMENT \\ state?, step_a!, step_b!, step_c!: KV \\ step: KV \times STATEMENT \rightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow KV \\ \hline S = \langle stmt_a..stmt_b..stmt_c \rangle \bullet a \leq b \leq c \Rightarrow a \prec b \prec c \iff a \neq b \neq c \\ step_a! = step(state?, stmt_a) \bullet step_a! = state? \lor step_a! \neq state? \\ step_b! = step(step_a!, stmt_b) \bullet step_b! = step_a! \lor step_b! \neq step_a! \\ step_c! = step(step_b!, stmt_c) \bullet step_c! = step_b! \lor step_c! \neq step_b! \\ \hline
```

In general, this allows step to be defined as

A change of $state? \rightarrow state! \bullet state! \neq state?$ can be predicted to occur given

- The definition of individual Operations which constitute a Primitive
- The ordering of Operations within a Primitive
- The Primitive(s) chosen for inclusion within the body of step
- The ordering of Primitive(s) within the body of step
- The key value pair(s) in both Algorithm State and the current Statement
- The ordering of Statement(s)

4.4.1 Domain

- Statement
- Algorithm State

4.4.2 Range

• Algorithm State

4.5 Result

Last process to run within an Algorithm which returns the Algorithm State state when all $s \in S$ have been processed by step

```
relevant? \prec accept? \prec step \prec result \prec relevant? \iff S \neq \emptysetrelevant? \prec accept? \prec step \prec result \iff S = \emptyset
```

and does so without preventing subsequent calls of A

```
Result[KV, KV] \_
result!, state?, opt?: KV
result: KV \times KV \rightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow KV
result! = result(state?, opt?) = state? \lor state! \neq state?
```

such that if at some future point j within the timeline i ... n ... j

```
S(t_n) = \emptyset [S is empty at t_n]
S(t_j) \neq \emptyset [S is not empty at t_j]
S(t_{n-i}) [stmts(s) added to S between t_i and t_n]
S(t_{j-n}) [stmts(s) added to S between t_n and t_j]
S(t_{j-i}) = S(t_{n-i}) \cup S(t_{j-n}) [stmts(s) added to S between t_i and t_j]
```

Algorithm A can pick up from a previous $state_n$ without losing track of its own history.

```
state_{n-i} = A(state_i, S(t_{n-i}))
state_{n-1} = A(state_{n-2}, S(t_{n-1}))
state_n = A(state_{n-1}, S(t_n))
state_{j-n} = A(state_n, S(t_{j-n}))
state_j = A(state_i, S(t_{j-i}))
state_n = state_{n-1} \iff S(t_n) = \emptyset \land S(t_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset
state_j = state_{j-n} \iff state_{n-i} = state_n = state_{n-1}
```

Which makes A capable of taking in some $S_{\langle i..n.j..\infty\rangle}$ as not all $s \in S_{\langle i..\infty\rangle}$ have to be considered at once. In other words, the input data does not need to persist across the history of A, only the effect of s on state must be persisted. Additionally, the effect of opt is determined by the body within result such that

```
A(state_n, S(t_{j-n}), opt)
\equiv A(state_i S(t_{j-i}))
\equiv A(state_i, S(t_{j-i}), opt)
\equiv A(state_n, S(t_{j-n}))
```

implying that the effect of opt doesn't prevent backwards compatibility of state.

4.5.1 Domain

- Algorithm State
- Option(s)

4.5.2 Range

• Algorithm State

4.6 Algorithm Formal Definition

Given the definitions of Algorithm Components presented above, the previous definition of an Algorithm ${\cal A}$

```
Algorithm ::= Init \ Relevant? \ Accept? \ Step \ Result
```

can be refined using the Operation recur and Primitive algorithmIter (defined in following subsections) to illustrate how an Algorithm processes a Collection of Statement(s).

```
Algorithm[KV, Collection, KV]_{\perp}
Algorithm Iter, Recur, Init, Result
opt?, state?, state!: KV
S?: Collection \bullet \forall s? \in S? \mid s?: STATEMENT
algorithm: KV \times Collection \times KV \twoheadrightarrow KV
algorithm = \langle \_init\_, \langle \_algorithmIter\_, \_recur\_ \rangle^{\#\ S?}, \_result\_ \rangle
state! = algorithm(state?, S?, opt?) \bullet
     let init! == init(state?) \bullet
     \forall s_n \in S? \mid s_n : STATEMENT, n : \mathbb{N} \bullet i \leq n \leq j \bullet
           \exists_1 state_n \mid state_n : KV \bullet
                 let S_n^2 = tail(S_n^2)^{n-i}
                       state_i = algorithmIter(init!, S?_n) \Rightarrow S?_n = S? \iff n = i
                       state_n = recur(state_i, S?_n, \_algorithmIter\_)^{j-1} \iff n \neq i \land n \neq j
                       state_j = recur(state_n, (\{j-1, j\} \uparrow S?), \_algorithmIter\_) \iff n = j
                       state_{j+1} = state_j \Rightarrow recur(state_j, (j \mid S?), \_algorithmIter\_) \iff n = j + 1
       = result(state_i, opt?)
```

4.6.1 Recur

The following schema introduces the Operation recur which expects an accumulator (KV), a Collection of Value(s) V being iterated over and a function $L \to L$ which will be called as the result of L This Operation has been writen to be as general purpose as possible and represents the ability to perform tail recursion. Given this intention, L recur must only ever be the last Operation within a Primitive

```
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline p_{i...j} : \operatorname{seq}_1 \bullet \forall o \in p \mid o : \_ & \to \_ \\ \hline p_{i...j} = \langle \forall n : \mathbb{N} \mid i \leq n \leq j \wedge o_n \in p_{i...j} \bullet \\ & \exists_1 o_n \bullet o_n \neq recur \vee o_n = recur \iff n = j \rangle \Rightarrow \\ & front(p_{i...j}) \upharpoonright recur = \langle \rangle \end{array}
```

and results in a call to the passed in function where the accumulator ack? and the Collection (minus the first member) are passed as arguments to fn?. If this would result in the empty Collection ($\langle \rangle$) being passed to fn?, instead the accumulator ack? is returned.

```
Recur[KV, Collection, (\_ + \_)] \\ ack?: KV \\ S?: Collection \\ fn?: (\_ + \_) \\ recur: KV \times Collection \times (\_ + \_) \leftrightarrow (KV \times Collection + \bot) \\ \hline recur(ack?, S?, fn?) = fn? (ack?, tail(S?)) \iff tail(S?) \neq \langle \rangle \\ recur(ack?, S?, fn?) = first(ack?, tail(S?)) \iff tail(S?) = \langle \rangle \\ \hline
```

In the context of Algorithms,

```
ack? = AlgorithmState

S? = Collection of Statement(s)

fn? = algorithmIter
```

4.6.2 Algorithm Iter

The following schema introduce the Primitive algorithm Iter which demonstrates the life cycle of a single statement as its passed through the components of an Algorithm.

If a statement if both relevant and acceptable, state! will be the result of step. Otherwise, the passed in state is returned $\Rightarrow step! = state?$.