hw04

BY ZIHAN ZHOU

```
1
2
2.1 2.5
Proposition 1. For all t: treeS, traverseS (simplify t)\cong traverseS t
Proof. by structure induction on t
3 cases:
t = emptyS
t = leaf c
t = node(tl,tr)
case 1:
to show traverseS(emptyS) \cong traverseS(simplify(emptyS))
both right and left side equals [].
case 2:
to show traverseS(leaf c) \cong traverseS(simplify(leaf c))
both right and left side equals [c]
case 3:
to show: traverseS (simplify node(tl,tr))≅ traverseS node(tl,tr)
IH traverseS (simplify tl)≅ traverseS tl, traverseS (simplify tr)≅ traverseS tr
we have in code:
val nodeS(x,y) = nodeS(simplify(t1),simplify(tr))
subcase 1: if x is emptyS or y is emptyS. without loss of generality let us assume x is emptyS
we have in code:
         if not (x = emptyS) andalso not (y=emptyS )
         then nodeS(x,y)
         else simplify(nodeS(x,y))
so we have
traverseS(simplify node(tl, tr)) = traverseS(simplify node(simplify tl, simplify tr)) by code
                                                                                    by def of sim
                                = traverseS(simplify(tr))
                                = traverseS(tr)
                                                                                    by IH
                                = traverseS(nodeS(emptyS, tr))
                                                                                    by def of trav
                                = traverseS(nodeS(tl, tr))
                                                                                    by IH
subcase 2: if neither x nor y is emptyS.
```

```
traverseS(simplify node(tl, tr)) = traverseS(node(simplify tl, simplify tr))
                                                                                   by code
                                 = traverseS(simplify t1) \circ traverseS(simplify t2) by def of tra
                                                                                   by IH
                                 = traverseS(t1) \circ traverseS(t2)
                                 = traverseS(node(t1, t2))
                                                                                   by def of trav
                                                                                                  3
3.1 3.3
Lemma 2.
for all treeS ts,
if canonical(ts) and ts != emptyS,
then \ traverseS(ts) = = traverseC(T(convertCan'(ts)))
Proof. by structural induction on ts.
2 cases:
t = leafS c
t = nodeS(tl,tr)
we know that leafS c is always canonical
case 1:
traverseS(leafS c)==traverseC(T(convertCan'(leafS c)))
both right and left side equals [c]
case 2:
to show
if canonical(nodeS(x,y)), traverseS(nodeS(x,y))==traverseC(T(convertCan'(nodeS(x,y))))
since canonical(nodeS(x,y)), so by def of canonical that canonical(x) and canonical(y), and
x!=emptyS, y!=emptyS
IH2: traverseS(x) = traverseC(T(convertCan'(x))),
IH1: traverseS(y) = traverseC(T(convertCan'(y)))
    traverseC(T(convertCan'(nodeS(x, y))))
                                                                     by
 = traverseC(T(\text{nodeC}(\text{convertCan}'(x), \text{convertCan}'(y))))
                                                                     by def of conC'
= traverseC(T(\text{convertCan}'(x))) \circ \text{traverse}C(T(\text{convertCan}'(y))) by def of traC
 = traverseS(x) \circ traverseS(y)
                                                                     by IH
= traverseS(nodeS(x, y))
                                                                     by defoftraS
                                                                                                  Proposition 3.
for all treeS ts, if canonical(ts), then traverseS(ts) = = traverseC(convertCan(ts))
Proof.
```

2 cases:

```
t = emptyS
```

t is not emptyS.

we know that emptyS is always canonical

case 1:

to show traverseS(emptyS) == traverseC(convertCan(emptyS))

both right and left side equals [].

case 2:

```
to show if canonical(t) and t = \text{emtpyS}, \text{traverseS}(t) = \text{traverseC}(\text{convertCan}(t))
```

$$\begin{array}{lll} {\rm traverseC(convertCan}(t)) &=& {\rm traverseC}(T({\rm converCan}'(t))) & {\rm by} \ {\rm def} \ {\rm of} \ {\rm conC} \\ &=& {\rm traverseS}(t) & {\rm by} \ {\rm lemma} \ 2 \end{array}$$

3.2 3.6

Proposition 4.

for any treeS t, convertSloppy(t) = t', where t' is a treeC, and traverseS(t)=traverseC(t')

Proof.

```
 traverseC(t') = traverseC(convertSloppy(t)) 
 = traverseC(convertCan.safe(simplify.safe(t)))
```

because we know that simplify and converCan preserve the property of traverse

so

$$traverseC(t') = traverseS(t)$$

4

4.1 work

4.1.1 splitN

for function splitN, every step it either terminates or reduce one height,

$$W_{\text{splitN}}(d) = W_{\text{splitN}}(d-1) + k_1 + W_{\text{size}}$$

$$W_{\text{splitN}}(0) = k_o$$

$$W_{\text{splitN}}(d) \in O(d)$$

4.1.2 leftmost

leftmost just call splitN with the same d so

$$W_{\text{leftmost}}(d) = W_{\text{splitN}}(d) + k_1 \in O(d)$$

4.1.3 halves

for function halves , it called once splitN, once leftmost on the result of that

$$W_{\text{halves}}(d) = W_{\text{splitN}}(d) + W_{\text{leftmost}}(d) + k_1 + W_{\text{size}}$$

 $W_{\text{halves}}(d) \in O(d)$

4.1.4 rebalance

$$W_{\text{rebalance}}(0) = k_0$$

 $W_{\text{rebalance}}(d) = k_1 + W_{\text{halves}}(d) \times k_2 + 2 \times W_{\text{rebalance}}(d-1)$

$$\begin{split} W_{\text{rebalance}}(d) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(k_1 + i \times k_2\right) \times 2^{d-i} \\ &\leqslant k_3 \times \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(2^{d-i} \times i\right) \\ &= d + 2\left(d-1\right) + 2^2\left(d-2\right) + \dots + 2^{d-2} \times 2 + 2^{d-1} \\ &= \left(1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{d-4} + 2^{d-3} + 2^{d-2} + 2^{d-1}\right) \\ &+ \left(1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{d-4} + 2^{d-3} + 2^{d-2}\right) \\ &+ \left(1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{d-4} + 2^{d-3}\right) \\ &+ \left(1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{d-4}\right) \\ &\vdots \\ &+ 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} 2^i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} 2^j \\ &= 2^d - 2 \end{split}$$

$$W_{\text{rebalance}}(0) = k_0$$

$$W_{\text{rebalance}}(n) = k_1 + W_{\text{halves}}(\log n) \times k_2 + 2 \times W_{\text{rebalance}}(\frac{n}{2}) \times k_4$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_r(n) &\leqslant k_1 + k_3 \times \log n + 2 \times W_r(n/2) \times k_4 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\log n} (k_1 + i \, k_3) \times 2^{\log n - i} \\ &\leqslant k_5 \times \sum_{i=-1}^{\log n} \left(\log \frac{2^{\log n}}{2^{\log n - i}} \times 2^{\log n - i} \right) \\ &= k_5 \times \sum_{j=-0}^{\log n} \left(\log \frac{n}{2^j} \times 2^j \right) \\ &= B3 \end{aligned}$$

O(n)

4.1.5

if it is roughly balance, then we can regard halves as almost constant time

$$W_{\text{rebalance}}(0) = k_0$$

$$W_{\text{rebalance}}(n) = k_1 + W_{\text{halves}}(k_3) \times k_2 + 2 \times W_{\text{rebalance}}(\frac{n}{2}) \times k_4$$

then

$$W_r(n) = k_k + W(n/2) \times 2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\log n} 2^i k_i \in O(n)$$

4.2 span

4.2.1

$$S_{\text{splitN}}(d) = S_{\text{splitN}}(d-1) + k_1 + S_{\text{size}}$$

$$S_{\text{splitN}}(0) = k_o$$

$$S_{\mathrm{splitN}}(d) \in O(d)$$

4.2.2

leftmost just call splitN with the same d so

$$S_{\text{leftmost}}(d) = S_{\text{splitN}}(d) + k_1 \in O(d)$$

4.2.3

for function halves , it called once splitN, once leftmost on the result of that

$$S_{\text{halves}}(d) = S_{\text{splitN}}(d) + S_{\text{leftmost}}(d) + k_1 + S_{\text{size}}$$

$$S_{\text{halves}}(d) \in O(d)$$

4.2.4

$$S_{\text{rebalance}}(0) = k_0$$

$$S_{\text{rebalance}}(n) = k_1 + S_{\text{halves}}(\log n) \times k_2 + S_{\text{rebalance}}(\frac{n}{2}) \times k_4$$

$$S_r(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\log n} \log n \times k_k \in O((\log n)^2)$$

4.2.5

$$S_{\rm rebalance}(0) = k_0$$

$$S_{\text{rebalance}}(n) = k_1 + S_{\text{halves}}(k_v) \times k_2 + S_{\text{rebalance}}(\frac{n}{2}) \times k_4$$

$$S_r(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\log n} k_i \in O(\log n)$$