CS 4780 Final Project Propsal

1. Team

001

006

007

008

009

010

019

021

028

029

039

049

This project will be completed by a team of four students

2. Motivation

DonorsChoose is a crowd funding website that helps public school teachers request and receive funding. 70 percent of campaigns on DonorsChoose are successfully funded. While this is a healthy margin, it could be vastly improved. It would be valuable for teachers to learn what factors may affect the success of their campaign.

Extensive research about Kickstarter and other similar crowd-funding sources has already been done to investigate causes of successful commercial campaigns. It would be interesting to compare and contrast techniques for creating a successful crowd-funding campaign in the commercial realm to the philanthropic realm.

3. Problem Statement

The main goal of this project is to determine what factors have the greatest influence on if a project will be fully funded. in particular, we are interested in investigating whether characteristics of a project such as the location of the school, the poverty level, the grade level, or area of study (such as english versus chemistry) affect the likelihood of funding.

We are also interested in looking at how characteristics of already pledged donations affect likelihood of future donations, and thus success of projects in a time-series framework.

DonorChoose enables various promotions such as having a corporation match donations. We would like to investigate if these promotions affect the number or size of donation as well as if they affect the likelihood of a project being funded.

We also have access to project description essays, written by the creating teachers. We will analyze text samples to determine if certain keywords or other text features increase likelihood of funding for a given project.

4. Approach

The problems we are interested in solving are binary classification problems. Once we determine how to classify the projects then we can investigate different binary classification approaches to compare for both efficiency and accuracy.

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

077

081

090

096

100

106

5. Resources

The full dataset for this project is provided publicly by Kaggle. It is available here: https://www.kaggle.com/c/kdd-cup-2014-predicting-excitement-at-donors-choose/data

We will use existing linear classification software provided publicly online, starting with SVM light and expanding to other software as necessary.

File reading and other custom code written for this project is written in Scala, a publicly accessible and OS-agnostic language.

6. Schedule

- 22nd October: This proposal submitted
- 25th October: Process data, begin classification
- 2nd November: Compile results, begin comparison of different results
- 9th November: Compile models, begin comparison of different models
- 11th November: Submit progress report.
- 16th November: Being writing poster and report.
- 4th December: Poster presentation. Submit poster.
- 5th December: Peer reviews for posters due.
- 10th December: Final project report (and code) due.
- 15th December: Peer reviews for final project reports due.
- 16th December: Author Feedback on reviews for final project reports due.