

## Volume, Tensors and Alternating Forms

Let  $V$  be an  $n$  dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  (where  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

Let  $g : V \times V \dots \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , be a map that takes  $k$  vectors as input and outputs a number in the field. We call  $g$  a  $k$ -multilinear map or a  $k$ -tensor iff

$$g(v_1, \dots, v_i + \lambda u, \dots, v_k) = g(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_k) + \lambda g(v_1, \dots, u, \dots, v_k) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$$

- (I) Practice with tensors. Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . We denote a vector in  $v = (x, y)$ . Which of the following are  $k$ -tensors?
- (a)  $g((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2$
  - (b)  $g((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = x_1$
  - (c)  $g((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = x_1 y_1$
  - (d)  $g((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)) = x_1 y_2 x_3$
  - (e) Prove that 1-tensors are just linear functionals
- (II) Volume. Consider the following problem. Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space. Suppose we are given  $n$  vectors:  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . They define some parallelepiped. How should we define the oriented volume of this shape? This is perhaps not an obvious problem in  $n$ - dimensions, so let's look at the 2-dimensional case, where  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (i) Draw two arbitrary vectors  $u_1, u_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . What is the "parallelepiped" that they create. Shade it in.
  - (ii) We want to define the volume of this shape. This should be some function that takes in 2 vectors, and outputs the area of the parallelogram that it makes. Argue that this function has to be a  $k$ -tensor (i.e. it has to be linear). From now on we denote the  $k$ -multilinear function that gives the area of the parallelogram formed by two vectors  $u_1, u_2$  as

$$\omega(u_1, u_2)$$

- (iii) We want to consider the case when we make a parallelogram out of two collinear (parallel) vectors. Well then there is no parallelogram, it is just a line! So the volume should be 0! From this we demand the following condition:

$$\omega(v, v) = 0 \quad \forall v$$

Prove that this is equivalent to the following condition (*Hint: Consider the vector  $u - v$* ):

$$\omega(u_1, u_2) = -\omega(u_2, u_1) \quad \forall u_1, u_2 \in V \quad (1)$$

Tensors with this condition are called alternating tensors <sup>1</sup>.

From now on we define  $\omega$  to be an alternating 2-tensor.

Prove that if  $u_2$  is a scalar multiple of  $u_1$ , then  $\omega(u_1, u_2) = 0$ . Why does this make sense as a definition of volume?

- (iv) Now let  $e_1, e_2$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $u_1, u_2$  be arbitrary vectors. Express  $u_1, u_2$  in the standard basis, substitute into  $\omega$ , expand and simplify.
  - (v) Using your expansion above, show what evaluation of  $\omega$  needs to be specified, to fully determine  $\omega$  on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .
  - (vi) Show that any alternating 2-tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , is a scalar multiple of an alternating tensor with  $\omega(e_1, e_2) = 1$ .
  - (vii) Show that if  $\omega(e_1, e_2) = 1$  then  $\omega(u_1, u_2)$  is the determinant of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix with columns  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .
- (III) Volume of  $n$ -dimensional parallelepiped. Let  $\omega(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be an  $k$ -tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ). We define  $\omega$  to be an alternating  $k$ -tensor, if it has the property that:

$$\omega(u_1, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_j, \dots, u_k) = -\omega(u_1, \dots, u_j, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_k)$$

(i.e. swapping two inputs, changes output by a minus sign).

Let  $\det(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be an alternating  $n$ -tensor with  $\det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$ .

- Prove that  $\omega$  being an alternating  $k$ -tensor is equivalent to  $\omega$  being a  $k$ -tensor such that  $\omega(u_1, \dots, u_k) = 0$  if  $u_i = u_j$  for any  $i, j$ .
- Prove that the property above fully determine the function  $\det(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  (*Hint: Expand  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  in some basis and use property above*)
- Show that any alternating  $n$ -tensor over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a scalar multiple of  $\det$

<sup>1</sup>You can maybe think of this condition as "sweeping the area" from the  $u_1$  towards  $u_2$  vs from  $u_2$  towards  $u_1$ .