Self-interacting Dark Matter and Invisibly Decaying Higgs

M.C. Bento and O. Bertolami*

Instituto Superior Técnico, Departamento de Física, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

R. Rosenfeld[†]

Instituto de Física Teórica, R. Pamplona 145, 01405-900 São Paulo - SP, Brazil

L. Teodoro[‡]

Centro Multidisciplinar de Astrofísica, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal (Dated: January 31, 2017)

Self-interacting dark matter has been suggested in order to overcome the difficulties of the Cold Dark Matter model on galactic scales. We argue that a scalar gauge singlet coupled to the Higgs boson, which could lead to an invisibly decaying Higgs, is an interesting candidate for this self-interacting dark matter particle. We also present estimates on the abundance of these particles today as well as consequences to non-Newtonian forces.

PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 98.62., 14.80.B

INTRODUCTION

Finding clues for the nature of dark matter (DM) in the Universe is one of the most pressing issues in the interface between particle physics and cosmology. The cold dark matter model supplemented by a cosmological constant (Λ CDM), in the context of inflationary models, explains successfully the observed structure of the Universe on large scales, the cosmic microwave background anisotropies and type Ia supernovae observations [1] for a given set of density parameters, e.g., $\Omega_{DM} \sim 0.30$, $\Omega_{Baryons} \sim 0.05$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} \sim 0.65$. According to this scenario, initial Gaussian density fluctuations, mostly in non-relativistic collisionless particles, the so-called cold dark matter, are generated in an inflationary period of the Universe. These fluctuations grow gravitationally forming dark halos into which luminous matter is eventually condensed and cooled.

However, despite its successes, there is a growing wealth of observational data that raise problems in the CDM scenarios. N-body simulations predict a number of halos which is a factor ~ 10 larger than the observed number at the level of Local Group [2, 3]. Furthermore, CDM models yield dispersion velocities in the Hubble flow within a sphere of 5 h^{-1} Mpc between $300-700~\rm km s^{-1}$ for $\Omega_{DM}\sim 0.95$ and between $150-300~\rm km s^{-1}$ for $\Omega_{DM}\sim 0.30$. The observed value is about 60 kms⁻¹. Neither model can produce a single Local Group candidate with the observed velocity dispersion in a volume of $10^6 h^{-3} \text{Mpc}^3$ [4]. A related issue is that astrophysical systems which are DM dominated like the core, dwarf galaxies [5–7], low surface brightness galaxies [8] and galaxy clusters without a central cD galaxy [9] show shallow matter-density profiles which can be modeled by isothermal spheres with finite central

densities. This is in contrast with galactic and galaxy cluster halos in high resolution N-body simulations [10–13] which have singular cores, with $\rho \sim r^{-\gamma}$ and γ in the range between 1 and 2. Indeed, cold collisionless DM particles do not have any associated length scale leading, due to hierarchical gravitational collapse, to dense dark matter halos with negligible core radius [14].

It has been argued that astrophysical processes such as feedback from star formation or an ionizing background to inhibit star formation and expelling gas in low mass halos [15–17] may solve some of abovementioned problems. However, such processes have been difficult to accommodate in our understanding of galaxy formation since galaxies outside clusters are predominantely rotationally supported disks and their final structure does not result from the struggle between gravity and winds but rather are set by their initial angular momentum.

Another possible solution, coming from particle physics, would be to allow DM particles to self-interact so that they have a large scattering cross section and negligible annihilation or dissipation. The self-interaction results in a characteristic length scale given by the mean free path of the particle in the halo. This idea has been originally proposed to suppress small scale power in the standard CDM model [18, 19] and has been recently revived in order to address the issues discussed above [20]. The main feature of self-interacting dark matter (SIDM) is that large self-interacting cross sections lead to a short mean free path, so that dark matter particles with mean free path of the order of the scale length of halos allows for the transfer of conductive heat to the halo cores, a quite desirable feature [20]. Recently performed numerical simulations indicate that strongly self-interacting dark matter does indeed lead to better predictions concerning satellite galaxies [21–23]. However, only in presence of weak self-interaction [24] the core problem might be solved.

The two-body cross section is estimated to be in the range of $\sigma/m \sim 10^{-24}$ to 10^{-21} cm²/GeV, from a variety of arguments, including a mean free path between 1 and 1000 kpc [20], requiring the core expansion time scale to be smaller than the halo age [7, 25] and analysis of cluster ellipticity [26]. A larger value of $\sigma/m \sim 10^{-19}$ cm²/GeV was obtained from a best fit to the rotation curve of a low surface brightness in a simulation where some extra simplying assumptions were made [21]. In this work, we shall assume for definiteness that the the cross section is fixed via the requirement that the mean free path of the particle in the halo is in the range 1-1000 kpc.

A MODEL FOR SELF-INTERACTING, NON-DISSIPATIVE CDM

Many models of physics beyond the Standard Model suggest the existence of new scalar gauge singlets, e.g., in the so-called next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model [27]. In this section, we provide a simple example for the realization of the idea proposed in [20] of a self-interacting, non-dissipative cold dark matter candidate that is based on an extra gauge singlet, ϕ , coupled to the standard model Higgs boson, h, with a Lagrangian density given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2 - \frac{g}{4} \phi^4 + g' v \phi^2 h , \qquad (1)$$

where g is the field ϕ self-coupling constant, m_{ϕ} is its mass, v=246 GeV is the Higgs vacuum expectation value and g' is the coupling between the singlet ϕ and h. We assume that the ϕ mass does not arise from spontaneous symmetry breaking since, as we shall see in the next section, tight constraints from non-Newtonian forces eliminates this possibility due to the fact that, in this case, there is a relation among coupling constant, mass and vacuum expectation value that results in a tiny scalar self-coupling constant. In its essential features our self-interacting dark matter model can be regarded as a concrete realization of the generic massive scalar field with quartic potential discussed in [28, 29].

We shall assume that ϕ interacts only with h and with itself. It is completely decoupled for $g' \to 0$. For reasonable values of g', this new scalar would introduce a new, invisible decay mode for the Higgs boson. This could be an important loophole in the current attemps to find the Higgs boson at accelerators [30]. This coupling could, in principle, be relevant for $\phi\phi$ scattering but we shall be conservative and assume that it is small and neglect its contribution. However, we point out that even for nonzero values of g', the new scalar is stable in this model.

These particles are non-relativistic, with typical velocities of $v \simeq 200 \mathrm{km} \ s^{-1}$. Therefore, it is not possible to

dissipate energy by, for instance, creating more particles in reactions like $\phi\phi \to \phi\phi\phi\phi$. Only the elastic channel is kinetically accessible and the scattering matrix element near threshold ($s \simeq 4m_{\phi}^2$) is given by:

$$\mathcal{M}(\phi\phi \to \phi\phi) = ig \quad . \tag{2}$$

Near threshold the cross section is given roughly by:

$$\sigma(\phi\phi \to \phi\phi) \equiv \sigma_{\phi\phi} = \frac{g^2}{16\pi s} \simeq \frac{g^2}{64\pi m_{\phi}^2} \quad .$$
 (3)

We shall derive limits on m_{ϕ} and g by demanding that the mean free path of the particle ϕ , λ_{ϕ} , should be in the interval 1 kpc $<\lambda_{\phi}<$ 1 Mpc. This comes about because, if the mean free path were much greater than about 1 Mpc, dark matter particles would not experience any interaction as they fly through a halo. On the other hand, if the dark matter mean free path were much smaller than 1 kpc, dark matter particles would behave as a collisional gas altering substantially the halo structure and evolution. Hence, we have:

$$\lambda_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\phi\phi}n_{\phi}} = \frac{m_{\phi}}{\sigma_{\phi\phi}\rho_{\phi}^{h}} , \qquad (4)$$

where n_{ϕ} and ρ_{ϕ}^{h} are the number and mass density in the halo of the ϕ particle, respectively. Using $\rho_{\phi}^{h} = 0.4$ GeV/cm³, corresponding to the halo density, one finds:

$$\sigma_{\phi\phi} = 2.1 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{m_{\phi}}{\text{GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{\text{Mpc}}\right)^{-1} \text{ GeV}^{-2} .$$
 (5)

Equating Eqs. (3) and (5) we obtain:

$$m_{\phi} = 13 \ g^{2/3} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{\text{Mpc}}\right)^{1/3} \text{MeV} \quad . \tag{6}$$

Demanding the mean free path of the ϕ particle to be of order of 1 Mpc implies in the model independent result:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\phi\phi}}{m_{\phi}} = 8.1 \times 10^{-25} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{\text{Mpc}}\right)^{-1} \text{cm}^2/\text{GeV} \quad . \tag{7}$$

Recently, it has been argued, on the basis of gravitational lensing analysis, that the shape of the MS2137 - 23 system is elliptical while self-interacting non-dissipative CDM implies that halos are spherical [26]. Furthermore, the limit

$$\frac{\sigma_{\phi\phi}}{m_{\phi}} < 10^{-25.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}$$
 (8)

arises from that analysis, which is about an order of magnitude smaller than (7). Indeed, gravitational lensing arguments are acknowledged to be crucial in validating SIDM; however, estimates made in [26] were criticized as they rely on a single system and because their intrinsic

uncertainties actually allow for consistency with SIDM [22].

Let us now estimate the amount of ϕ particles that were produced in the early Universe and survived until present. We shall assume that ϕ particles were mainly produced during reheating after the end of inflation. A natural setting to consider this issue is within the framework of $\mathcal{N}=1$ supergravity inspired inflationary models where the inflaton sector couples with the gauge sector through the gravitational interaction. Hence, the number of ϕ particles expressed in terms of the ratio $Y_{\phi} \equiv \frac{n_{\phi}}{s_{\gamma}}$, where s_{γ} is the photonic entropy density, is related with the inflaton (χ) abundance after its decay by

$$Y_{\phi} = \frac{1}{N} Y_{\chi} \quad , \tag{9}$$

where N is the number of degrees of freedom. Notice that Y_{ϕ} is a conserved quantity since ϕ does not couple to fermions. In the context of $\mathcal{N}=1$ supergravity inflationary models, given the upper bound on the reheating temperature in order to avoid the gravitino problem (see [31] and references therein), Y_{χ} is given by the ratio of the reheating temperature and the inflaton mass and, for typical models

$$Y_{\chi} = \frac{T_{RH}}{m_{\chi}} = \epsilon \ 10^{-4} \ ,$$
 (10)

where ϵ is an order one constant. This estimate allows us to compute the energy density contribution of ϕ particles in terms of the baryonic density parameter:

$$\Omega_{\phi} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{T_{RH}}{m_{\chi}} \frac{1}{\eta_B} \frac{m_{\phi}}{m_B} \Omega_B \quad , \tag{11}$$

where $\eta_B \simeq 5 \times 10^{-10}$ is the baryon asymmetry of the Universe [32].

Using Eq. (6) and taking $N \simeq 150$, we obtain:

$$\Omega_{\phi} \simeq 18.5 \epsilon g^{2/3} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{\text{Mpc}}\right)^{1/3} \Omega_{B} ,$$
(12)

which allows identifying ϕ as the cosmological dark matter candidate, i.e. $\Omega_{\phi} \simeq \Omega_{DM} \lesssim 0.3$ [33], for $\epsilon \sim 0.5$, g of order one and λ_{ϕ} of about 1 Mpc.

THE CASE OF A NON-NEWTONIAN INTERACTION

In the previous section we have considered self-interacting DM particles interacting with ordinary matter via gravity. In this section, we shall consider the possibility of allowing the self-interacting DM particle to couple to ordinary matter via a non-Newtonian type force as well. This possibility has been intensively discussed in

the past and repeatedly sought in laboratory (see [34] and references therein). Moreover, it has recently been revived in the context of the accelerated expansion of the Universe [35]. This is a fairly interesting possibility as the carrier of a putative new interaction can quite naturally be regarded as a DM candidate [34, 36, 37]. In what follows, we will show that the carrier of the non-Newtonian force necessarily has an extremely small self-coupling.

Assuming that the Lagrangian density of the new force carrier, φ , is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 - \frac{g_{\varphi}}{4} \varphi^4 , \qquad (13)$$

while its coupling with nucleons of mass, m_N , and photons is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = c_N \frac{\varphi}{\langle \varphi \rangle} m_N \psi \bar{\psi} + c_G \frac{\varphi}{\langle \varphi \rangle} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad , \tag{14}$$

where $\langle \varphi \rangle$ is a large scale associated to the new interaction and c_N , c_G are coupling constants. This last interaction implies that φ exchange leads to a non-Newtonian contribution for the interaction energy, V(r), between two point masses m_1 and m_2 , that can be expressed in terms of the gravitational interaction as

$$V(r) = -\frac{G_{\infty} m_1 m_2}{r} (1 + \alpha_5 e^{-r/\lambda_5}) , \qquad (15)$$

where $r=|\vec{r}_2-\vec{r}_1|$ is the distance between the masses, G_{∞} is the gravitational coupling for $r\to\infty$, α_5 and λ_5 are the strength and the range of the new interaction so that $\lambda_5=m_{\omega}^{-1}$ and

$$\alpha_5 = \frac{c_N^2}{4\pi} \left(\frac{M_p}{\langle \varphi \rangle}\right)^2 \quad , \tag{16}$$

where $M_P \equiv G_\infty^{-1/2}$ is the Planck mass. Existing bounds on α_5 (see [34]) imply for c_N of order one that $\langle \varphi \rangle \sim M_P$. If however, $c_N \lesssim 10^{-5}$, than one could have instead $\langle \varphi \rangle \sim 10^{-5} \ M_P$ [38].

The issue is, however, that in order to generate a vacuum expectation value to φ , from Eq. (13) so as to satisfy Eq. (6), one must have $m_{\varphi}^2 < 0$, from which would imply that $g_{\varphi} = \left(\frac{|m_{\varphi}|}{\langle \varphi \rangle}\right)^2 << 1$, meaning that for either choice of $\langle \varphi \rangle$ quoted above, φ DM particles have a negligible self-interaction. This argument can be generalized for any potential that gives origin to a vacuum expectation value for φ as specified above. We can therefore conclude that the carrier of a non-Newtonian force is not an acceptable candidate for SIDM.

OUTLOOK

In this letter we suggest that a scalar gauge singlet coupled with the Higgs field in a way to give origin to an invisible Higgs is a suitable candidate for self-interacting dark matter. This proposal has some distinct features. Firstly, since gauge invariance prevents the scalar singlet to couple to fermions, hence strategies for directly searching this dark matter candidate must necessarily concentrate on the hunt of the Higgs field itself in accelerators. Furthermore, in what concerns its astrophysical and cosmological implications the relevant features of our proposal are quite unambiguously expressed by Eqs. (5), (6) and (12). Confronting the result of simulations with our candidate for different values of the relevant parameters with observations may turn out to be crucial in validating our proposal.

Acknowledgments

One of us (O.B.) would like to thank the hospitality of Instituto de Física Teórica in São Paulo, Brazil, where part of this work was carried out. R.R. is supported by CNPq (Brazil) and FAPESP (São Paulo). L.T. would like to acknowledge the financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portugal) under the grant PRAXIS XXI /BPD/16354/98 as well as the project PRAXIS/C/FIS/13196/98, and Ben Moore for pointing out the astrophysical consequences of self-interacting dark matter.

- * Electronic address: orfeu@cosmos.ist.utl.pt
- † Electronic address: rosenfel@ift.unesp.br
- [‡] Electronic address: lteodoro@glencoe.ist.utl.pt
- N. Bahcall, J.P. Ostriker, S. Perlmutter and P.J. Steinhardt, Science 284 (1999) 1481 and references therein.
- [2] B. Moore, S. Ghigna, F. Governato, G. Lake, T. Quinn and J. Stadel, Ap. J. Lett. 524 (1999), L19.
- [3] A.A. Klypin, A.V. Kravtsov, O. Valenzuela and F. Prada, Ap. J. 522 (1999), 82.
- [4] F. Governato, B. Moore, R. Cen, J. Stadel, G. Lake and T. Quinn, New Astronamy 2 (1997) 91.
- [5] B. Moore, Nature 370 (1994) 629.
- [6] R. Flores and J.R. Primack, Ap. J. 427 (1994) L1.
- [7] A. Burkert, Ap. J. 477 (1995) L25.
- [8] W.J.G. de Blok and S.S. McGaugh, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. 290 (1997) 533.
- [9] J.A. Tyson, G.P. Kochanski and I.P. Dell'Antonio, Ap.

- J. Lett. 498 (1998) L107.
- [10] J. Navarro, C.S. Frenk and S.D. White, Ap. J. 490 (1997) 493.
- [11] S. Ghigna, B. Moore, F. Governato, G. Lake and T. Quinn and J. Stadel, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. 300 1998, 146.
- [12] S. Ghigna, B. Moore, F. Governato, G. Lake, T. Quinn, J. Stadel, astro-ph/9910166.
- [13] B. Moore, T. Quinn, F. Governato, J. Stadel and G. Lake, astro-ph/9903164.
- [14] C.J. Hogan and J. Dalcanton, astro-ph/0002330.
- [15] A. Dekel and J. Silk, Ap. J. **303** (1986) 39.
- [16] T. Quinn, N. Katz and G. Efstathiou, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. 278 (1996) L49.
- [17] J. Navarro, V. Eke and C.S. Frenk, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. 278 (1996) L49.
- [18] E.D. Carlson, M.E. Machacek and L.J. Hall, Ap. J. 398 (1992) 43.
- [19] A.A. de Laix, R.J. Scherrer and R.K. Schaefer, Ap. J. 452 (1995) 452.
- [20] D.N. Spergel and P.J. Steinhardt, astro-ph/9909386.
- [21] S. Hannestad, astro-ph/9912558.
- [22] B. Moore, S. Gelato, A. Jenkins, F.R. Pearce and V. Quilis, astro-ph/0002308.
- [23] N. Yoshida, V. Springel, S.D. White and G. Tormen, astro-ph/0002362.
- [24] A. Burkert, astro-ph/0002409.
- [25] C. Firmani, E. D'Onghia, V. Avila-Reese. G. Chincarini and X. Hernándes, astro-ph/0002376.
- [26] J. Miralda-Escudé, astro-ph/0002050.
- [27] See, e.g., M. Drees, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4 (1989) 3635 and references therein.
- [28] P.J. Peebles, astro-ph/0002495.
- [29] J. Goodman, astro-ph/0003018.
- [30] See, e.g., T. Binoth and J. J. van der Bij, hep-ph/9908256 and references therein.
- [31] M.C. Bento and O. Bertolami, Phys. Lett. B365 (1996) 59.
- [32] K.A. Olive, G. Steigman and T.P Walker, astroph/9905320.
- [33] N. Bahcall, astro-ph/9812076.
- [34] O. Bertolami and F.M. Nunes, Phys. Lett. B452 (1999) 108.
- [35] Y. Fujii, gr-qc/9911064.
- [36] J.A. Frieman and B.A. Gradwohl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 2926.
- [37] C.W. Stubbs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 119.
- [38] C.T. Hill and G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B203 (1988) 125; Nucl. Phys. B311 (1988) 253.