

Company Name: Bank of America  
Company Ticker: BAC US  
Date: 2017-04-18  
Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
Current PX: 29.83  
YTD Change(\$): +.31  
YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
Current Quarter: 0.592  
Current Year: 2.485  
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
Current Quarter: 22982.500  
Current Year: 92202.913

## Q1 2017 Earnings Call

### Company Participants

- Brian T. Moynihan
- Paul M. Donofrio

### Other Participants

- Glenn Schorr
- John Eamon McDonald
- Steven Chubak
- Ken Usdin
- Elizabeth Lynn Graseck
- Gerard Cassidy
- Saul Martinez
- Brian Kleinhanzl
- Matt O'Connor
- Marty Mosby
- Andrew Lim

## MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION SECTION

### Brian T. Moynihan

#### *Business Highlights*

##### *Opening Remarks*

- I'm going to begin on slide two, and first, this quarter is another solid example of driving responsible growth at Bank of America
- My teammates continue to deliver for customers around the world, and not many companies have the sources we have to help our clients drive the global economy
  - But with that, we understand that responsibility comes with doing this, and we do it in a responsible way
  - Responsible growth is driving more sustainable returns for you as shareholders also
- This quarter, we produced strong revenue growth
- We drove cost savings that offset higher revenue-related cost, and we managed risk well, and we returned more capital to you, our shareholders, through dividends and increased repurchase of shares than any period since the crisis

##### *EPS and Revenue*

- Turning to slide three

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- Our company produced earnings of \$4.9B after-tax in Q1 2017
- Those earnings were up 40% compared to Q1 last year, driven by 700BPS of operating leverage
- Revenue rose 7%, and we managed to keep overall expenses flat
- Our EPS were \$0.41 per share
- On a diluted basis, that was up 46%
  - This is Q4 in a row we've exceeded \$0.40 of EPS per share
- We did that in quarter one despite \$1.4B of annual retirement-eligible incentives and seasonally elevated payroll tax costs
  - And importantly, we have done this in a responsible manner, not reaching for growth outside of our established risk and customer framework
  - And we achieved this in an economy which continues to grow in the 1.5% to 2% range

### ***Average Deposits and Assets under Management Flows***

- On a y-over-y basis, our average deposits were up over \$58B
- Average loans were up \$21B, and sales and traded revenues, excluding DVA, were up 23% with better client activity
- We saw \$29B in long-term assets under management flows this quarter within our wealth management business

### ***Asset Quality and Net Charge-Offs***

- Asset quality remained strong with provision expense of \$835mm
- Net charge-offs were down 13% from Q1 2016 but were modestly up from Q4 2016 as expected from the normal seasonality, especially in consumer credit cards

### ***Return on Tangible Common Equity***

- Regarding progress against long-term metrics, the first task the company had many years ago was to become stabilized, then it was to reduce our legacy costs and simplify the place, and then we drove towards sustainably of results
- Once results became more sustainable, we pushed towards generating return on tangible common equity above our cost of capital
- We now have shown that we have a return on tangible common equity in the double digits for last 4 quarters
- And keep in mind that we have been doing this where our capital continues to build
- The next step is to push that towards our 12% target
- This quarter, our return on tangible common equity was 10% where return on assets was 88BPS
  - These are reported numbers

### ***Efficiency Ratio***

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- The efficiency ratio was 67%
- These figures reflect solid progress in this quarter against our long-term targets but are even closer if you were to allocate the seasonal aspects of the retirement-eligible compensation cost and elevated payroll tax expenses across the years as just opposed to putting it all in Q1
- And even though quarter one is typically a good capital markets quarter for us, if you just spread those costs, you'll see that across all the quarters, the metrics this quarter would have been reflected in efficiency ratio of near 62%, a return on assets of nearly 100BPS and a return on tangible common equity of 12%
- So simply put, we're getting there

### ***Operating Leverage***

- On slide four, as I mentioned, the key to profitability in this environment is to drive good core customer growth and revenue while controlling our cost to drive operating leverage
- We have established record for the past several years of producing quarterly operating leverage on a y-over-y basis
- This quarter, you can see on the slide 4, that our revenue growth on a y-over-y basis across each of our business segments
- We're also able to hold the expenses overall in the company flat through the careful management of costs
- As you can see on this slide, there's 700BPS of operate leverage for the total company

### ***Consumer, Global Banking and Other Business***

- Some of our businesses like our Consumer business have been driving operating leverage consistently for many years in a row now
- Some, like our Global Banking business, are using operating leverage to drive the company to the best line of business efficiency ratio among our businesses
- Other businesses continue to have leverage opportunities are becoming more clear
  - This is the case in our wealth management or our Global Markets businesses

### ***Efficiency Ratios***

- As we turn to slide five, you can see the line of business results
- Each business improved their efficiency ratios. Each line of business reported returns well above the firm's cost of capital
- Consumer Banking continued its strong performance and transformation, produced \$1.9B in after-tax earnings this quarter, growing 7%
- And on a pre-tax, pre-provision basis, PPNR, which excludes the prior year's sizable reserve release, the PPNR was up 17% y-over-y
- The efficiency ratio in this business was down 500BPS to 53%

### ***Global Wealth and Investment Management***

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- Global Wealth and Investment Management improved earnings 4%, earning \$770mm after-tax, improving its profit margin to 27%
  - This is a new record for the business
- Our Global Banking business produced a record revenue quarter led by strong investment banking results, and that generated \$1.7B in after-tax earnings
  - It remains our most efficient operating business at 44%

### ***Global Markets Business***

- Lastly, our Global Markets business earned \$1.3B in after-tax earning, generating a 15% return on its allocated capital
- Improved performance in sales and trading revenue combined with strong expense discipline drove those results
- All Other categories shows a loss, driven mostly by the \$1B in first quarter FAS 123 cost and related personnel taxes, which gets allocated across the business segments throughout the year
- But the reduction in losses y-over-y was driven by improved operating costs in the company and lower litigation expense

### ***Leadership Positions***

- As you'll see from the slides Paul will walk you through later, our business have important leadership positions across the board
- We believe they have room to grow both market share by deepening relationships with existing customers and by winning customers from the competition
- Overall, I'm pleased with the results this quarter
- We grew the top line, we grew the bottom line, and we did it the right way, all while making significant investments in people, technology, more capabilities for our customers
  - And all that will bode well for future growth
- While many of you might focus on rates and our leverage to rising rates, note that the \$1.5B in y-over-y revenue growth is split 40% for NII, which is driven by rates and by also the growth in loan and deposit balances
- And the other 60% was driven through non-interest revenue

### ***Expenses and Pricing***

- As you know, we remain focused on things we know we can control and drive
- We maintain our discipline on both expenses and pricing
- Our rates paid has remained steady at nine basis points on deposits while, at the same time, we have grown those deposits 5% y-over-y, \$58B.

### ***Lending Activity***

- On lending activity there's been a lot of discussion regarding a slowdown

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- In our core middle market business, representing a broad base of American companies, our business loans grew 7% y-over-y
- In our smaller business segments, business banking and small business, we're up 3%, and small business had the best production quarter in its history

### ***GDP Growth***

- We assisted our Markets clients with their financing needs, which also put capital in the system for economic growth
- All this growth occurred in a sub-2% GDP growth environment
- Our clients stand ready, they're engaged, and they're ready to grow faster as the economy continues to grow and improve

## **Paul M. Donofrio**

### ***Financial Highlights***

#### ***Balance Sheet***

- I will start with the balance sheet on page six
- Overall, end-of-period assets increased \$60B from Q4
- The growth was fairly evenly split between two elements:
  - First, we saw higher trading-related assets in Global Markets business with incremental customer activity following a seasonal slowdown at the end of Q4
  - Secondly, we had higher cash levels driven by seasonal deposit growth, primarily from tax refunds

#### ***Deposits, Loans and Common Equity***

- Deposits rose \$55B or 5% from Q1 2016 and are up \$11B from Q4
- Q1 deposit growth was primarily driven by customer tax refunds in our Consumer business
- Loans on end-of-period basis were steady with Q4 as solid Commercial growth was offset by seasonal declines in credit card and runoff of legacy non-core loans
- Lastly, common equity increased \$1.3B compared to Q4 as \$4.4B in net income available to common was reduced by \$3B and capital returned to shareholders through dividends and net share repurchases

#### ***Global Liquidity Sources***

- Global liquidity sources increased in the quarter, driven by higher deposit flows and bank funding
- We remain well compliant with fully phased-in U.S. LCR requirements
- Book value per share rose 5% from Q1 2016 to \$24.36

#### ***CET1 Transition Ratio***

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- Turning to regulatory metrics and focusing on the advanced approach
- Our CET1 transition ratio under Basel III ended the quarter at 11%
- On a fully phased-in basis, compared to Q4, the CET1 ratio improved 20BPS to 11% and remains well above our 2019 requirement of 9.5%
- CET1 capital increased \$1.6B to \$164B, driven by earnings and the utilization of deferred tax assets offset by return of capital
- The ratio also benefited from \$14B decline in RWA, driven by lower exposure in our Global Markets business, lower card exposure and a legacy asset runoff
- We also provide our capital metrics under the standardized approach, which remains relevant for CCAR comparison purposes
- Here, our CET1 ratio is 10BPS higher at 11.6%
- Supplementing leverage ratios both for parent and bank continue to exceed U.S. regulatory minimums that take effect in 2018

### ***Loan Growth***

- Turning to slide seven and on an average basis total loans were up \$21B or 2% from Q1 2016
- Loan growth in our business segments was primarily offset by continued runoff in non-core consumer real estate loans in All Other
- Y-over-y loans in All Other were down \$23B
- On the other hand, loans in our business segments were up \$44B or 6%

### ***Consumer Banking***

- Consumer Banking led with 8% growth
- We continue to see good growth in residential mortgages although originations slowed in Q1 2017, given the increase in mortgage rates in Q4 and Q1
- We saw growth in credit card and vehicle loans
- Home equity pay-downs continue to outpace originations
- In wealth management, we saw a y-over-y growth of 7%, driven by residential mortgages

### ***Global Banking***

- Global Banking loans were up 4% y-over-y
- Loans in our Commercial business grew 6% y-over-y despite a slight reduction in commercial real estate
  - We think these growth rates are responsible given the economy grew around 2% y-over-y
- Middle market revolver utilization rates have now climbed back to record levels
- On the bottom of the chart, note the \$58B, 5% y-over-y growth in average deposits, which is driven by 10% growth in Consumer Banking

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

### ***Asset Quality, Credit Quality and Net Charge-Off***

- Turning to asset quality on slide eight
- The stability of our asset quality and loss trends reflects many years now of disciplined client selection and strong underwriting practices that are foundational to our responsible growth and through-the-cycle performance
- Credit quality remains strong
- Total net charge-off of \$934mm or 42BPS on average loans increased slightly from Q4 due to expected seasonality in our credit card products, but were down 13% from Q1 2016

### ***Provision Expense and Net Reserve***

- Provision expense of \$835mm rose \$61mm from Q4 but was down \$162mm from Q1 2016
- Net reserve releases in the quarter of \$99mm was fairly consistent with Q4 and the year-ago quarter
- Note that Q1 2016 included a significant increase in reserves in Global Banking for energy exposures
  - That was mostly offset by releases in consumer reserves in that quarter
- Our reserve coverage remains strong with an allowance-to-loan ratio of 125BPS and a coverage level three times our annual charge-offs
- NPLs and reservable criticized exposure both declined notably

### ***Credit Card Losses and Delinquency Trends***

- On slide nine, we break out credit quality metrics for both our Consumer and Commercial portfolios. On the Consumer chart, you can see the impact of the seasonal increase in credit card losses
- Note that delinquency trends remain low and improved modestly from Q4
- Commercial losses continue to be low

### ***Net Interest Income***

- Turning to slide 10
- Net interest income on a GAAP non-FTE basis was \$11.1B, \$11.3B on an FTE basis
- NII improved \$730mm from Q4, primarily due to higher rates
- The net interest yield increased 16BPS to 2.39% from Q4 as loan yields improved 17% while the rates we paid on deposits was flat at nine basis points
- Q4 and Q1 increases in long-end interest rates resulted in slower prepaids and less premium amortization on our securities portfolio this quarter
- Increases in the short end in terms of interest rates caused our variable rate assets to reprice higher while we maintained good pricing discipline on deposits
- We also benefited from normal seasonality in Q1 in our leasing business
- And in addition, we benefited from less unfavorable hedge ineffectiveness as compared to Q4
- But one can think of the reduction in the hedge ineffectiveness as roughly offset by two fewer days in the quarter



Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

### ***Deposit Pricing***

- Now as Brian mentioned, we remain disciplined around deposit pricing given the investment we have made in customer relationships through Preferred Rewards and other deepening activities
- So your natural next question is what should shareholders expect for Q2 with respect to NII given the March rate hike by the Fed? Based on our models and assumptions, we believe NII should continue to improve, but the improvement is expected to be much more modest than Q4 to Q1 driven by a number of factors, most notably, the increase in long-term rates in Q1, in Q4 and Q1 drove a significant portion of Q1 improvement

### ***Fed Funds Rate***

- In terms of Q2, think about it this way
- Given where we are today, with the Fed funds rate hike in March and the long end down since the end of Q1, I would focus you on our asset sensitivity disclosures
- As of 3/31, an instantaneous 100 basis point parallel increase in rates is estimated to increase NII by \$3.3B over the subsequent 12 months, which is consistent with our position at year-end
- Nearly three quarters or \$2.5B of this modeling is driven by our sensitivity to short-end rates
- Given a one month LIBOR rise of about 25BPS with the March hike and the long end down, we should focus on the \$2.5B short-end benefit
  - Dividing that by 4 gets you to a quarterly run rate of roughly \$600mm for a 100-basis point shock
  - Assuming it's only 25BPS instead of 100 would get you to approximately \$150mm benefit in the quarter
  - From there, we would expect continued modest growth in NII in H2 2017 assuming modest growth in loans and deposits and rates at least above where they are today

### ***Non-Interest Expense***

- Turning to slide 11
- Non-interest expense was \$14.8B
- We were able to hold expense flat compared to Q1 2016 despite 9% growth in non-interest income and several other expense headwinds
- The efficiency ratio improved 400BPS y-over-y
- And if you allocate Q1's \$1.4B of incentive for retirement-eligible employees and the seasonally elevated payroll tax across all four quarters, then the efficiency ratio would be 62%

### ***Simplification Efforts and Litigation Cost***

- Our company-wide simplification efforts and the \$110mm in lower litigation cost offset a number of higher expenses y-over-y, including \$150mm of higher incentives for annual retirement-eligible employees and seasonally elevated payroll taxes; \$190mm of higher incentives associated with revenue growth across wealth management, Global Banking and Global Markets; and \$160mm of higher expenses due to changes in our share price with respect to accounting for employee stock-based awards



Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- The y-over-y swing is caused by the share price decline in Q1 2016 compared to an increase in Q1 2017
- We also had \$100mm in higher quarterly cost for FDIC assessments
- Finally, note that employees are down 2% from Q1 2016, and we continue to add client-facing associates

### ***Consumer Banking***

- Turning to the business segments and starting with Consumer Banking on slide 12
- Consumer Banking had another solid quarter
- This segment produced \$1.9B in earnings, growing 7% y-over-y and returning 21% on allocated capital
  - Note that that 21% return is on \$37B of allocated capital, which is an increase of \$3B this quarter given growth in their loans and deposits

### ***Pre-Tax Pre-Provision***

- On a pre-tax pre-provision basis, which adjusts for the sizable release of reserves in Q1 2016, earnings rose \$559mm or 17%
- Y-over-y, average loans grew 8%
- Average deposits grew 10%, and Merrill Edge brokerage assets grew 21%
  - That drove revenue growth of 5% led by a 9% increase in NII from Q1 2016
- Note that the rate paid on deposits in this business declined 1 basis point y-over-y to 3BPS as a result of disciplined pricing

### ***Non-Interest Income***

- Non-interest income included improvements in service charges and a small increase in card income that was more than offset by decline in mortgage banking income
- The decline in mortgage banking income was due to both lower volumes from less refinancings as well as our strategy of holding more of our production on our balance sheet vs. selling it to the agencies
- Through continued efforts to drive down costs, the efficiency ratio improved nearly 500BPS to 53%
- Cost reductions also helped drive the cost of deposits down 10BPS from Q1 2016
- Consumer Banking credit quality remained solid, with the net charge-off ratio declining 4BPS to 121BPS

### ***Key Trends***

#### ***Competitive Position***

- Turning to slide 13 and looking at key trends
- First, as usual, on the upper left, the statutory reminder of our strong competitive position
- Second, as we point out each quarter, while we report NII and non-interest income separately, our strategy remains focused on relationship deepening and growing total revenue while improving operating leverage through expense discipline

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- So as you review the top boxes on this page, note that we drove 8% operating leverage this quarter
- Also, note that our relationship deepening is improving NII and balance growth, while holding fee line flat as we reward customers for doing more business with us

### ***Average Deposits***

- Average deposits continued their strong growth, up \$57B or 10% y-over-y, outpacing the industry
- Importantly 50% of these deposits are checking accounts, and we estimate that 89% of these checking accounts are the primary accounts of households
  - This means these are operational accounts used to pay mortgages and car payments and other bills, so outflows chasing rates is less likely in our view
  - We also believe these deposit accounts offer clients significant value in terms of transparency, convenience and safety, which also means they are less likely to move their relationships

### ***Spending Levels and New Issuances***

- With respect to card, spending levels and new issuances were solid
- However, the industry's trend of increasing rewards continues to mitigate our overall card revenue growth
- Digitalization and other productivity improvements as well as lower fraud costs continue to lead expenses lower
- Expenses declined 3% from Q1 2016 despite increases in the FDIC assessment rate and charges

### ***Client Balances***

- Focusing on client balances on the bottom left, you can see the success we continue to have growing deposits, loans and brokerage assets
- Merrill Edge continues to attract customers who want a self-service investment option as accounts are up 11% from Q1 2016
- We now have more than 1.7mm households that leverage our Financial Solution Advisors and self-directed investment platform
- This quarter also included the successful rollout of Merrill Edge Guided Investing for clients who want some advice from our CAO office but don't desire a fully advise relationship

### ***Residential Mortgages***

- With respect to loans, residential mortgages continue to lead our growth
- As expected, the sudden rise in long-term rates in late 2016 caused a noticeable decline in mortgage production from Q1
- While mortgage originations was down, we continue to hold more of our loans on the balance sheet
- In Q1, we retained about 80% of first mortgage production on the balance sheet

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- We believe retaining these mortgages will provide better economics over time, plus retention deepens our relationship with these customers

### ***Consumer Vehicle Lending***

- Consumer vehicle lending remained solid, up 12% y-over-y, and we continue to remain focused on prime and super-prime borrowers
- Our net charge-offs at 38BPS remain not only low, but also lowest among peers
- U.S. consumer card average balances grew 3% y-over-y, and spending on our credit cards was up 8% compared to Q1 2016

### ***Digital Banking***

- Turning to slide 14, we remain a leader in digital banking
- And we continue to see momentum in digital banking adoption
- Given the rollout of Zelle this quarter, Bank of America customers can now use their online app to transfer money, request money and split bills person to person with more ease than before
- While still in its infancy, customers sent \$8B in payments through our person to person apps in Q1, which is up 25% y-over-y
- Importantly, as digital banking adoption rises, particularly around transaction processing and self-service, we expect to see continued improved efficiency and customer satisfaction

### ***Mobile Devices***

- Mobile devices now account for one out of every five deposit transactions and represent the volume of nearly 1,000 financial centers
- Sales on digital devices continue to grow and now represent 22% of total sales
- Still, with all the digital activity, we have not forgotten and remain focused on the 800,000 people walking into our financial centers across the U.S. on a daily basis
  - Many of these customers still use our branches to transact, but many others use our branches as financial destinations, where they can learn more about products and services, work face to face with a specialized professional and generally improve their financial lives
  - We want to encourage that, and that's why we have an extensive branch refurbishing underway
  - By the way, that's also helping increase customer satisfaction

### ***New Centers***

- We're also building new centers in markets where we have never had financial centers but where we have presence across Global Banking, wealth management or both
- These markets include MSAs like Denver, Minneapolis and Indianapolis
- In addition, we are testing smart centers, which utilize video-assisted ATMs and other video [indiscernible] (27:49) conferencing capabilities in regions where it makes sense

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

### ***Global Wealth and Investment Management***

- Turning to slide 15, let's review Global Wealth and Investment Management, which produced earnings of \$770mm and record pre-tax operating margin of 27% while returning 22% on allocated capital
- These solid results were produced in a period of change for the industry as firms and clients anticipate new fiduciary standards and other market dynamics such as the shift between active and passive investing
- Net interest income rose 3%, driven by loan growth
- Y-over-y, non-interest income also rose 3% as 8% higher asset management fees were partially offset by lower transactional revenue
- Y-over-y, while total revenue grew 3%, expenses grew 2%, creating an important but modest operating leverage
- Also, note the \$29B of long-term AUM flows this quarter, reflecting strong client activity as well as the continuing shift from IRA brokerage to AUM

### ***Client Engagement***

- Moving to slide 16
- We continue to see overall solid client engagement
- Client balances climbed to nearly \$2.6 trillion, driven by higher market values, solid long-term AUM flows and continued loan growth
- Average deposits of \$257B were flat compared to Q4, while ending deposits were down, primarily reflecting some movement to investment assets
- Average loans of \$148B were up 7% y-over-y
- Loan growth remains concentrated in consumer real estate

### ***Global Banking***

- Turning to slide 17, Global Banking had record revenue this quarter, up 11% y-over-y led by investment banking activity
- Revenue growth coupled with expense management improved the efficiency ratio 500BPS to 44%
- In addition, provision expense of \$17mm in Q1 2017 was more closely aligned with charge-offs, while Q1 2016 included approximately \$500mm in reserve increases for energy exposure
  - This resulted in a 58% y-over-y improvement in earnings to \$1.7B

### ***Loan Growth and Investment Banking Fees***

- Global Banking continues to drive loan growth within its risk and client frameworks, albeit at a slower pace
- Total investment banking fees for the company were \$1.6B, which was up a 37% from Q1 2016
- By comparison, overall industry fee pools were up 19% y-over-y

### ***Revenue, M&A Fees and Underwriting Activity***

- A number of items to note, given the strong performance

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- First, this was a record Q1 in terms of revenue from IB fees
- Client underwriting activity in the capital markets was quite strong
- We also earned record M&A fees this quarter with involvement in six of the top ten completed transactions
- Debt underwriting was up 38% y-over-y, led by strong performance in leveraged finance
- Equity underwriting was up 65% y-over-y

### ***Expenses and Return on Allocated Capital***

- Expenses decreased from Q1 2016, despite higher revenue related incentives, higher FDIC insurance costs and costs associated with adding 340 new relationship managers over the past couple of years
- Return on allocated capital increased to 18% despite adding \$3B of allocated capital this quarter

### ***Average Loans and Deposits***

- Looking at trends on slide 18 and comparing to Q1 last year
- Average loans were up \$14B or 4%
- Growth was driven by our commercial bank, where lending was up 6% despite subdued real estate lending
  - As Brian said, we feel good about this growth rate given 2% GDP environment
- We stand ready to support clients who want to borrow directly from us or tap the capital markets
- One of the benefits of our universal banking model is our ability to deliver for clients across a complete product set and geographies
- Average deposits increased 2% from Q1 2016
- As expected, we saw a seasonal decline in deposits from Q4
- We remain mindful of LCR rules as we grow deposits

### ***Global Markets***

- Switching to Global Markets on slide 19
- The business had a strong quarter, which, once again, benefited from the breadth of our product and geographic footprint with leadership positions in a number of areas
- This quarter saw strong issuer activity and tighter spreads across credit products, which played well to our strength in mortgage and corporate credit

### ***Operating Leverage, Revenue and Expenses***

- The business improved operating leverage with revenue, excluding DVA, growing 27% while expenses increased modestly after adjusting for litigation recovery in Q1 2016
- Global Markets earned \$1.3B and returned 15% on allocated capital

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

- This includes a reduction of capital of \$2B, given the great work the team has done optimizing the balance sheet and reducing RWA in the past year
- It is worth noting that we achieved these results with a lower VaR and 6% fewer people than last year
- With respect to expenses, Q1 2016 litigation included a sizable litigation recovery
- Excluding litigation, y-over-y expenses were up 2% while revenue grew 19%

### ***Sales and Trading Performance***

- Moving to trends on slide 20 and focusing on the components of our sales and trading performance
- Sales and trading revenue of \$4B, excluding net DVA, was up 23% from Q1 2016
- Excluding net DVA and vs Q1 2016, FICC sales and trading of \$2.9B increased 29%
- Within FICC, the y-over-y improvement was driven by improved client activity and corporate credit and mortgage products
- Equity sales and trading was up 7% y-over-y to \$1.1B, despite weaker cash equity volumes
- We saw increased activity in Europe and Asia across all products
- We also are beginning to see the benefits of deploying additional balance sheet to meet the financing needs of clients

### ***Net Loss, Tax Rate and Expense***

- On slide 21, we show All Other, which reported a net loss of \$834mm
- This was an improvement from Q1 2016, driven by lower litigation and mortgage servicing costs
- The only other thing worth pointing out here is a reminder that this is where we book the annual retirement eligible incentive and elevated Q1 payroll tax before they get allocated out to a line of business throughout the year
- The effective tax rate for the quarter was 26% and included approximately \$200mm of tax benefit from the deductions on deliveries of share-based awards exceeding the related compensation costs
- A recent change in accounting rules requires booking this difference to the tax income expense instead of directly to equity
  - The effective tax rate would have been 29.4% excluding this benefit, which is in line with our expectation of approximately 30% for the rest of the year

### ***Summary***

Few summary points as I wrap up

This quarter shows the value of our businesses as rates begin to rise and as we experience increased capital markets activity

For years, we have stayed focus on growing responsibly, including staying within our risk and client frameworks and making our growth more sustainable by simplifying the company and improving efficiency



Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

In Q1, consistent with this strategy, we stuck to our strong underwriting standards while growing loans and trading assets

Asset quality remains strong, and net charge-offs low

We grew deposits while managing deposit rate paid

We grew AUM while helping clients adapt to a changing industry

When client activity picked up, we were ready with a breadth of capabilities to raise capital and manage risk in major markets all around the world

We continue to invest in new technology and capabilities while adding sales professionals in certain businesses

We lowered non-personnel expenses, offsetting some seasonal and other expense headwinds this quarter

We created operating leverage in each of our business segments, and we returned more capital to shareholders than in any quarter since the financial crisis

- These results tell us that responsible growth is working, with more to come as the economy continues to improve

### ***Closing Remarks***

Many of you have been waiting patiently for us to approach our long-term targets

I hope you noted that, if one allocates annual retirement eligible incentives and seasonally elevated payroll tax throughout the year, we are basically at our return targets this quarter

We know we have more work to do to be consistently achieving all our targets, but we have more confidence than ever that responsible growth will get us there

## **QUESTION AND ANSWER SECTION**

**<Q - Glenn Schorr>**: First, a very quickie. Did you mention what NPLs you sold during the quarter and if there was any P&L impact?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Small and small. Small sales, small impact.

**<Q - Glenn Schorr>**: No problem. I'm curious. I think we've all taken note of the responsible growth, what you've done, heard your comments on it relative to the economy. I'm curious, as we watch the industry loan growth come down for a bunch of different reasons, can BofA continue on this path? I don't want to say irregardless of what the industry backdrop is, but can it buck the trend of the decline in loan growth that we're seeing in most other places?

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: Glenn, it's Brian. I think, at the end of the day, banks reflect the economy and help make the economy happen. So we've been able to grow loans 5%, 6% in the core middle market segment, 7% actually y-over-y. Credit cards been picking up a little bit, home equity strong, residential mortgage down. So if you look at it overall, we've been able to outgrow the economy, but we're going to be dependent upon the economy keep growing.

But what we've shown you across the last couple years, with the discipline we have for driving deeper penetration in our customers, working hard on our relationships even with the repositioning portfolios that you can see in some of the slides and/or making sure that we maintain great discipline, we'd be able to grow the mid-single digits as we've told you against the backdrop of an economy growing at 1.5% to 2%. If that goes faster, we'll grow faster. If that stays in that range, we should be able to continue to grow at that level.

**<Q - Glenn Schorr>**: Okay. Maybe on the credit front, as you've mentioned credit's awesome in most places, and I saw criticized credit came down with energy improving. Are there any areas that are criticized credits increasing like something like retail?

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

<A - Paul M. Donofrio>: No. Credit looks good across the board, and it's performing as we model and expect.

<Q - Glenn Schorr>: Okay, last little one. Zelle, you mentioned the increase in Zelle activity. Do you make money on that, or is that mostly a customer retention tool?

<A - Brian T. Moynihan>: I think, Glenn, think about it this way, is the way people pay each other. So you don't – we don't charge for it. It's just a service as part of a core DDA account just like checks or just like an ATM card would be to withdraw. It's just a more efficient for the customer, more efficient for us too, ultimately, because the payback will be taking cash out of the system.

And so YTD, we're up about – even before Zelle is announced for Q1 P2P payments at Bank of America up 25% Q1 2017 vs. first quarter 2016. So this is growing fast and will continue to grow. What we'll do a swap out other payment forms, which cost us more to execute, but it's free to the customer.

<Q - John Eamon McDonald>: Paul, just a clarification regarding Q2 framework you provided for net interest income. Does the \$150mm potential bump based on the disclosures include the benefit of loan growth or was that just the rate impact and could it be a little better if loan growth continues?

<A - Paul M. Donofrio>: Well, the loan growth is embedded in our 100-basis-point shock. So, theoretically, it includes it. But if loan growth's a little bit better than we think, it could be better. If it's a little lower, it would be less. That's one of the variables that we have to think about when we think about NII growth.

<Q - John Eamon McDonald>: Okay.

<A - Brian T. Moynihan>: John, just one of the things to keep in mind there is, remember, we just capitalized or put in a run rate, for lack of a better term, \$600mm plus fourth quarter to first quarter. And this is on top of that too. That first benefit as you think for the year, that benefit is now locked in and moves its way through the system.

<Q - John Eamon McDonald>: Got it. So it's incremental to Q1 print. Okay. And then can you remind us what kind of deposit repricing beta you assumed in the disclosures, Paul?

<A - Paul M. Donofrio>: Sure. So on a 100-basis-point rise on interest-bearing deposits – and remember, we have a large amount of non-interest-bearing deposits. But on interest-bearing deposits, we're kind of low 50-ish for that full 100-basis-point rise.

As you can expect, the first 25, 50 of the 100 is going to be a little bit different than the second 25 or 50. And that's about as much that I want to give you, given the competitiveness around this topic.

<Q - John Eamon McDonald>: Okay. A separate question on capital. With the CET1 at 11% now vs. the 2019 requirement of 9.5%, what kind of buffers are you thinking of holding? And what level of CET1 feels right like the right target for you longer term?

<A - Paul M. Donofrio>: So with respect to buffers, I wouldn't want to give an exact number for all sorts of reasons. We put a lot of thought into how we manage our capital and liability structure, including buffers. Having said that, we have 150BPS of cushion right now on fully phased-in minimums and a lot of time between now and 2019. So maybe we'll talk more about it as we get a little closer. But right now, we feel good where we are.

<Q - Steven Chubak>: So just wanted to kick things off with a question on the 2018 expense target of \$53B that you guys had outlined on previous calls. Can you just remind us what the revenue growth assumptions were underlining that target? And just given some of the acceleration that we've seen in fee income growth and the higher incentive comp, is that still an achievable target in your view?

<A - Brian T. Moynihan>: The revenue growth assumptions were, like we said, long term we believe we can grow faster than GDP growth, and that's embedded in those assumptions. I think the way for you, Steve, to think about is, look at the Global Markets y-over-y and what you see there is with that substantial rise in revenue, the expense growth absent last year, we had a credit in litigation, this year we had an expense, so you had a pretty good reversal there.

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

Absent that, it was 2% growth. And as Paul said, had 6% less people. Comp expenses were up a bit, even though revenue was up quite a bit. So we can manage against that with the inevitable thing that if revenue grows faster, we might have a little bit more expense pressure. But I think we'll be very happy to see that happen.

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Look, the only thing I would add for just for the record is when we gave that guidance around this time last year, we specifically said it was based upon the economic environment at that time and that if things got better, we'd have to adjust. If things got worse, we'd have to adjust.

Having said all that – that's just for the record – having said all that, we're still focused and confident we can get to the \$53B – approximately \$53B for full year 2018. That's what we said.

**<Q - Steven Chubak>**: Got it. And then just one question on the provision outlook. Just given the continued favorable credit and delinquency trends, how should we be thinking about the trajectory in the near term? Is the run rate of around \$850mm +/- a reasonable target?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: The way I would think about it, in Q2 provisions should roughly match net charge-offs. But, remember, we're bouncing around the bottom with respect to net charge-offs in Commercial. So a material credit can move the needle one way or the other.

Absent that caution, we will build as we grow loan balances. But we should expect to see that offset, perhaps, by further runoff of non-core consumer real estate and we have a high energy reserve.

**<Q - Steven Chubak>**: Great. Thanks, Paul. And just one final question on capital return, just touching on John's last question. How should we be thinking about the capital return trajectory, given the 150BPS of excess?

I'm also wondering whether some of the recent rhetoric from the regulators suggesting a disinclination of sorts to have a qualitative CCAR failures, whether that informs your approach at all in terms of future payouts.

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: I think we have been building our capital ask y-over-y, and you should expect us to continue to do that since we have both a strong cushion under CCAR. We'll see with this year's results, we don't know yet obviously. But from last year, just extrapolating, and also our start point is higher, and our run rate of earnings is now very consistent. So capital return's part of our story, we'll continue to pursue it.

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: We've made progress every year, you've seen that, and I would remind everybody that we tapped the de minimis last year as well. So with the stability of our earnings, with the progress we're making on CCAR, as Brian said, we hope to continue to make progress.

**<Q - Ken Usdin>**: Just a first clarification, just coming back to the NII commentary, does the 150 also incorporate the extra day you get in Q2, because that's usually pretty meaningful for you guys?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Yeah, I would think about the extra day as kind of being offset by the seasonality we have in Q1 for leasing.

**<Q - Ken Usdin>**: Okay. So you're say you've got a benefit in the first, and that kind of washes through the second. So really, your net is the 150?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Yeah, approximately 150, and as you know, there's a lot of things that go into that modeling.

**<Q - Ken Usdin>**: Understood. Okay, great. So, on the consumer fee side, I wanted to just ask, we saw kind of a little bit of a positive turn in both card income and also in the brokerage line, which is first time in a while we've seen both of those move the right way. Any better line of sight at this point on just the trends getting better underneath the surface, whether it's the rewards competition or the fee capture pressures in brokerage kind of starting to get into the run rate, and we can kind of expect to see growth from here?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Look, we've seen modest growth in card balances. We think that should continue. We're adding new accounts. We added 1.2mm cards this quarter. Combined debit/credit spend was good y-over-y and really good recently. But as you point out, the card income line remains – I think, in terms of growth, remains muted by

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

competition around customer rewards.

I guess, what I would point out and just remind everybody is that just focusing on the fee income line sort of ignores some of the key benefits of our strategy, which is attract relatively higher quality card customers and reward them for deepening their relationships with us.

This strategy, we think, is driving incremental deposit growth and making them stickier, and that helps NII. And by the way, these customers have lower loss rates as well as reduced need to interact with call centers. So that helps us lower costs. In terms of the service line or service charges, they've shown some modest growth driven by growth in new accounts. And we expect that probably to continue here.

**<Q - Ken Usdin>**: And can you just touch on brokerage?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: You mean brokerage...

**<Q - Ken Usdin>**: Wealth and brokerage.

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Yeah. Well, wealth and brokerage is being driven by the long-term trend that we've been seeing with growth in AUM, as transactional brokerage continues to decline. We saw that again this quarter. This quarter, we had significant growth in AUM, which offset that sort of continuing decline in brokerage. I think AUM fees were up 8% this quarter.

**<Q - Elizabeth Lynn Graseck>**: A couple of questions. One on the expense discussion earlier. On page four, you highlighted very clearly the strong operating leverage that you've got y-over-y for the various industries, various segments that you run. So the question I have is, where should we expect the next leg of improvement on expenses could come from? Because one of the questions I've gotten from people today is, this is fantastic operating leverage, but where are the levers to take it further?

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: So, I think when we started a few years ago at \$70B operating expenses to bring it down to this level, it was more obvious. Betsy, now it's everywhere. It's everywhere, a little bit everywhere and a lot of hard work. So the head count generally is drifting down, y-over-y term, 4,000, 5,000 people. That gets harder, but what we're doing is taking out people and putting them into the frontline in the client-facing roles, and so we're seeing that shift go on.

We're continuing to work our real estate portfolio down again through co-locations in cities. So you'll see us take three buildings in an area and put them in one, and you've seen some announcement in that regard. In our data centers, we're accelerating the process to consolidate data centers, and that helps continue to knock down the number of data centers. It takes \$0.5B investment or \$0.25B investment to build one to bring it in. And so you'll see that go on. And then it's everywhere return, every place we look just keep working at the pieces.

But at the end of the day, continue to watch the FTE head count numbers drift down and also how we move those around from less managers to more client-facing people and less layers in the company, which we've been after. And so it is just hard work across the board using our Simplify and Improve and what we call organizational health going on in our company, and we're seeing the aspects of that.

That, by the way, I think, last, in 2016, to give you an example, I think, we invested about – we got about \$400mm, \$500mm in savings from some ideas. But it took us an investment of a couple of hundred million dollars to get that. And so...

**<Q - Elizabeth Lynn Graseck>**: Got it.

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: ... even that investment rate is important to getting the sales out. And so we're not asking you to exclude, but there's severance cost in here, there's real estate reposition costs, all of that, which actually comes down as you get further and further towards the optimization level.

**<Q - Elizabeth Lynn Graseck>**: Okay. So that speaks to why you can continue the revenue growth but yet still bring the expenses down. Got it. Two other quick ones. One, on fixed income, you mentioned that credit was a source of



Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

strength this quarter. Others have highlighted credit as a weakness. So maybe you could speak to what you're seeing in your client base that drove such a strong credit quarter in effect?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Well, first, I would say, look, we've been making a lot of investments in our Global Markets business across equity, across macro. Credit has always been a traditional strength of Bank of America Merrill Lynch, a lot of very strong bankers combined with strong sales and trading effort.

Corporates raised money this quarter in the capital markets. We have a strong relationship. So we saw a lot of increased activity on the primary side, which helps your sales and trading on the secondary side. That's one.

Two, with spreads tightening a little bit and with clients activity picking up, as they were repositioning, given the change in markets, the change in spreads, again, we have a strong corporate credit trading desk. We have strong special situations in credit. We have a strong mortgage, and they just saw a lot of client activity, given what happened in the quarter.

So we've often said, when client activity picks up, you're going to see this business perform. And for us, client activity was more this quarter, and it showed up in our results.

And again lastly, it's a breadth of products. It's significant presence in scale in every major market around the world. So it's not just the U.S. We saw activity in emerging markets around the globe. And we were there for when our clients needed us.

**<Q - Elizabeth Lynn Graseck>**: Okay. Thanks. And then lastly, you mentioned on the call during the prepared remarks that you 'remain mindful' of the LCR rules as we grow deposits. Could you elaborate on your thoughts behind that?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Sure, particularly on the wholesale side, there are three types of deposits fundamentally, 25%, 40% and 100% runoff. And as we think about serving our customers and clients, we're mindful, very mindful of their needs, but we're also focused on maintaining those. Having deposits of the highest quality in terms of being able to use to lend out to customers, so that means you've got to focus on the 25% and 40% or the more – the deposits that are much more operational in nature, deposits that we know our corporate and FI clients are using to run their businesses.

We're focused on growing those deposits, and we're focused on helping them use those deposits to pay bills and to move their money around, to do FX, all the things you might think an individual does, but just on the corporate side. Those are the types of deposits we're focused on. We're respectful of clients who want to give us other types of deposits, but we're having conversations about them about the value of those and therefore what they should expect in terms of pricing.

**<Q - Gerard Cassidy>**: Brian, when you look out longer term, and if you turn back the clock, when the industry before the financial crisis typically earned 120 on assets or 130BPS on assets in a more normal interest rate environment, what do you see further along?

I know ROE is what you focus on, and we all do. But from an ROA standpoint, when everything's going right for Bank of America, the expenses are where you want them to be, the margins are where you want them to be, what kind of ROA do you think this company is capable of producing?

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: Well, I think, Gerard, just the focus we've talked to you about getting at above 100BPS and then with the adjustments of sort of smoothing out Q1 a little bit from the one-time sort of annual expenses that occur in Q1, you're getting close to that.

That is not an aspirational goal, which we'll stop at. I mean, I think, it'll improve, if the rate structure continues to move up and the economy continues to grow, we'll get above that. But the first order of business is to get above – to get to that so that we get the returns on tangible common equity and returns on equity where we want them to be.

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

As you're thinking about that just more broadly, remember that we have a balance sheet of \$2.3 trillion or so and think about \$500B basically being completely liquid assets, that is a far different cry than we were – when our balance sheet sort of at the high point was \$2.7 trillion, and we probably had \$200B or \$100B to \$200B of high quality assets or whatever the moniker we've used back then was.

That's going to knock around your yields on your balance sheet. And so we do focus on ROA in our company because it basically is the thing that ultimately drive ROE. That this equity builds, their ROE can be under pressure just from increases in equity. But if you think about it, the real driver of the yield on the balance sheet has more to do with the amount of assets you're carrying which are underleveraged for purposes of liquidity and safety and soundness.

**<Q - Gerard Cassidy>**: Right. Okay, thanks. And speaking of the lever on the equity, can you remind us what the risk-weighted assets are now for the operational risk for you guys?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Sure. We have \$500B in RWA for operational risk, which is if I can go on a little bit, which is one-third approximately of the RWA of the company under the advanced approach and more RWA than we have for our credit.

**<Q - Gerard Cassidy>**: Very good. And then coming back to the combined payout ratio that you guys are striving for, within that, what should we envision for once you get your capital levels to the point where you're very comfortable with? Is a dividend payout ratio of 30% to 40% a reasonable expectation down the road when things more normalize?

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: A couple things. One is our capital is more than sufficient. We're very comfortable with it with a tangible common equity ratio, Gerard, thinking about before the crisis of 7.9% and a CET1 of 11% with a minimum of 9.5%. We have more capital than the company needs by the different measures, whether it's a traditional market-based measure or a regulatory measure. So we're completely comfortable with that.

That leads us to return more capital. You should expect our dividend payout ratio will – for the bigger companies, I think, there'll be more focus of keeping that to 30% level that's been talked about in the various rules and regulations. And if you go back three or four, five years ago, I spoke to that at one of our industry conferences, I think if you look across time, that level of – just if you think about that level of payout against the earnings stream, there's very low probability that you'll have real danger in the dividend, continuing that dividend even in tough times. So our goal is never to keep the dividend stable and then use the excess capital to buy the stock back at around book value we think it's great trade.

**<Q - Gerard Cassidy>**: [indiscernible] (1:00:42) then, Paul, just circling back to your comments about the FICC – the strength in FICC, the client activity was strong, can you give us some color on the clients? Was it primarily investment clients or hedge or pension funds, hedge funds? What type of clients did you see that strengthened activity?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: I think it was – the only way to really classify that it really across the board. I think we have strengths in all of those client sets. There's just a lot of good sales and trading activity driven by client interest in repositioning their investments but also again driven by [ph] prime new issuants (1:01:16) of our clients. We just have a very broad and diverse product set in FICC, both from a product perspective and a geographic perspective, and that kind of footprint and that kind of diversity when clients want to make changes, we're a natural call.

**<Q - Saul Martinez>**: Couple of questions, first, can you comment on the sustainability broadly of your returns in your Markets and Banking businesses? 15% return on allocated equity in Markets, 18% Banking, despite the fact that you increased your capital allocation there.

Obviously, if you can sustain those kinds of returns, it goes a long way towards helping you hit your 12% RTCE targets on a sustainable basis. So just can you comment broadly on how confident you are to – in your ability to, say, hit sort of mid-teen returns in those businesses?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: We have been getting in Global Markets a double-digit return now for a number of quarters. It's been in the 10%-ish, 11% range for a number of quarters. So I feel like in Global Markets we've made a tremendous amount of progress in improving returns.



Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

In Global Banking, and remember this quarter they did 15%. But this quarter I think we had a very strong quarter in sales and trading. Our performance in Global Markets is going to be a direct result of client activity as we say every quarter. So when client activity is lower, our results will be lower, but through a number of different quarters now with varying amount of client activity, I think we've been able to get that 10% or more return on equity. So that's how I'll answer it from that perspective.

In Global Banking, again, those returns are somewhat dependent on client activity in investment banking, but there I think the Global Banking segment is less volatile with respect to returns tied to the Investment Banking fee pool in any given quarter.

We've got a diverse product set across Treasury Service, Traditional Corporate Banking products and Investment Banking products. And then from a client perspective, we're the full spectrum: small, medium-sized and large global companies. So there, I would expect us to be able to maintain that return level.

**<Q - Saul Martinez>**: Okay, that's helpful. Yeah.

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: The thing I'd add to that is, if you think about what we did, we took Global Banking because we think that's an integrated business, whether it's Corporate Investment Banking with both the Corporate side and the Investment Banking side or middle market banking, what we call Global Commercial Banking, again with Investment Banking, Capital Markets behind it, obviously, less than GCIB yield.

We split that out to show you that that business many years ago we broke Global Banking away from Global Markets to show the distinctness of the business at Global Banking was more of annuity stream driven by Treasury Services revenue, lending revenue and net investment banking fees, which ebb and flow based on client activity and returns are fairly consistent, et cetera.

The flip side was we also wanted to show, I think, doing this five, six years ago when we first did it, have been doing it ever since, and we're one of the few companies that does it on the Global Markets side. You can see that there's actually more stability in that business than a lot of people thought.

So if you look at the low end, we might make \$600mm, \$700mm after-tax; on the high end, we made \$1.3B this quarter, but you'll see this range. And if you look across years of quarters and look at comparative quarters y-over-y because there's some seasonality, you'll see it's relatively stable. And so, we sort of hit that double-digit level in the worst of quarters during a year and then in the best of quarters, it'll kick above it.

That was again how Tom and the team – Tom Montag and team -- run the business. The stability we put in and then most importantly, was bringing the expense structure down dramatically five or six years ago, Tom and the team did by almost \$1B in quarter in operating expenses just in this Markets business alone and then maintaining it there and continuing to push it down where revenues have stabilized and come back up.

**<Q - Saul Martinez>**: Yeah. No. Thank you. That's helpful. I mean, obviously one of the things that's been helpful for returns in banking is very benign credit environment, and I think commercial charge-offs were 10BPS this quarter. It hasn't really moved much in recent quarters, but how should we think about more of a sustainable level? And is there anything there that makes you think that you could start to see some sort of inflection or some sort of uptick in terms of credit costs?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Are you referring to more sustainable on the net charge-off side?

**<Q - Saul Martinez>**: Yeah, exactly. On Commercial.

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: I guess, how I would answer the question is we have been – we changed our underwriting standards years ago. We've been focused on responsible growth now for a number of years. We've been sticking with that improved client selection, heightened credit standards. So the answer is we can't compare to a previous period in the company's history.

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

We're just going to have to see how this develops, but we're very confident. We don't see anything today as we look at what's going on in the marketplace that would suggest that we're at an inflection point. Doesn't mean that I won't be talking to you next quarter about having lived through an inflection point, but we're not seeing anything right now that would tell us that we should expect net charge-offs to rise in the near term.

In the long term, there is some seasoning going on in the credit card portfolio that we expect and we've talked about before, but outside of that, we feel good about where our credit [ph] card (1:07:37) quality is.

**<Q - Brian Kleinhanzl>**: I just had a quick question. I remember you were saying that both the business or the Commercial customers and Consumer customers were optimistic still. But did you see a change in that optimism over the course of the quarter? I mean, did it end lower at the end of the quarter given what was going on with D.C. and everything else or was it fairly consistent?

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: I could say consistent. And if you looked at spending, I think, it actually maintained its pace through the quarter. As an indicator of their behavior, March was a stronger month than the first two months of the quarter.

Now, you can get into day counts and movements around which weekends fall, but just we didn't see any fall off in terms of their behavior in spending, which I think is a good indicator of how they feel.

**<Q - Matt O'Connor>**: I just wanted to follow-up on the net interest income one more time. I mean, it feels like Q2 expectation is a little bit less certainly vs. what I would have thought. And I guess what I'm trying to figure out is just some conservatism on the deposit or pricing assumption.

You talk about 50%, but it's been really insignificant so far for the Fed hikes. I'm trying to gauge, is it conservatism on that? Is it the fact that 10-year has obviously come in a fair amount or some combination of both maybe?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: So I'm not going to take you through the math again because the math is fairly self-explanatory. But there are a lot of assumptions or I should call them assumptions but there's a lot of things that go into the modeling of NII.

You hit upon one of them. Obviously, we could have deposit betas that are different than what we're expecting as we're doing our modeling. The 50BPS obviously is for a full 100% shock. We're talking about a 25% shock. So it's reasonable to expect that we would be lower than 50% for that first 25. I think the question is, how low should we be, and we're just going to have to wait and see. We're very focused on the competitive environment. We're focused on the needs and wants of our clients, and we're focused – we're balancing all of that against what our shareholders would want us to do. So we're going to just have to see how it develops, but there's a lot of things that go into the modeling of expected NII.

**<Q - Matt O'Connor>**: Okay, understood. And then just the impact of long-term rates, I mean, obviously, the comment you made on rate leverage is for higher rates and you're 75% levered on the short-end. But as we think about the decline here in long rates if it holds, how frame kind of the drag on that and I think it breeds in over time, obviously, not all at once.

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Yeah. The sensitivity on the long-end is a function of being able to reinvest as assets mature at higher or lower rates than the average we have now and what it does to the amortization of our premium on our securities portfolio. The latter is a bigger driver in the short-term. The former is a bigger driver in the long-term. If you think about the company right now, where long-term rates are, we've said this on our other calls we're kind of – we used to be at equilibrium where an asset rolling off the balance sheet was being replaced by assets rolling on the balance sheet at roughly the same yield.

I would say we're in a little bit more positive place right now, even where rates are having long-term rates have gone up here over the last two quarters, we're sort of in a position where an asset rolling off the balance sheet on average is being replaced by an asset coming on at slightly higher yield. So I don't know if that helps you?

Company Name: Bank of America  
 Company Ticker: BAC US  
 Date: 2017-04-18  
 Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
 Current PX: 29.83  
 YTD Change(\$): +.31  
 YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
 Current Quarter: 0.592  
 Current Year: 2.485  
 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
 Current Quarter: 22982.500  
 Current Year: 92202.913

**<Q - Marty Mosby>**: Two technical issues on the kind of net interest margin NII. When you look at the big benefit in net interest margin, it seems like you had several things like the hedge ineffectiveness and leasing that pushed the margin up, and even though you can have NII growth, your margin may even kind of just flatten out. Is there kind of a bias towards the margin just being little bit higher given some of those moving pieces this particular quarter?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Look, the bulk of the increase from Q4 to Q1, the \$700mm, the bulk of it was due to rates. We just highlight that there was meaningful improvement that was driven by the leasing seasonality. Think about that as roughly the kind of improvement we get with an extra day in the quarter.

And then there was, I think, significant improvement driven by the lack of hedge ineffectiveness in Q1 relative to what we experienced in Q1. But the bulk of it was driven by rates. And if you think about the rate impact, more than half of the rate impact was driven by the long end as opposed to the short end.

**<Q - Marty Mosby>**: And I would just focus in on the margin in a sense just like it was just rounded up because the things that are going to help next quarter are going to help NII, which may not help the margin. But then the second question was when you look at your transfer pricing mechanism, was curious because it doesn't seem like a lot of banks would have the benefit from [indiscernible] (1:13:35) showing up in corporate other. Is your mechanism where it's still spread some of that – does that matter on operating leverage for the business segments, so are the segments going to benefit as rates go up more than corporate? It does seem like it's spread out more than other banks.

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: I think over the longer – any one quarter, it could be a little bit lumpy how the company overall benefits vs. the segments. But I think over time, over multiple quarters, the segments will benefit. It's just basically a function of how residual flows back to our segments.

**<Q - Andrew Lim>**: Another NII question actually. I'm just trying to understand the mechanics of how your guidance in Q4 for \$6-billion uplift in NII for 100-basis-point shock has come down to about \$3.3B there. If I understand correctly, the long-end has increased.

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Yeah.

**<Q - Andrew Lim>**: So that reduces your guidance going forward. Is that the way to think about it?

**<A - Paul M. Donofrio>**: Yeah. I think, if you look at our disclosures, you'll see that the 100-basis-point rate shock at the end of the year was basically the same as it is right now. You're referring to what we reported at the end of Q3 being 4-point something. And that decline in benefit we experienced as rates rose, and that went into our run rate of NII that as Brian mentioned earlier.

**<Q - Andrew Lim>**: What I've got a difficulty understanding is why the past movements in your long rates should affect your future guidance going forward. So if I think about hypothetically let's say the yield curve did actually go up by 100 basis point shock in Q4, then your guidance going forward would actually be zero, based on the way you view that. Is that the way to think about it, or am I missing it?

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: You have to go back. If you look – you can follow up with Lee afterwards, but if we think about what we told you in Q4, from the third to Q4, I think you may be off a quarter. From the third to Q4, what we said is you basically capitalized into the earnings run rate. That \$2B difference is now in the earnings run rate, and that's what you're actually seeing, and that's the benefit of the lift in rates, especially on the short term side. And so that is relatively stable now, because that piece went through it. So Lee can follow up with you and take you through sort of the calculation, but it's because the good news is it showed up in earnings this quarter as we said it would.

**<Q - Andrew Lim>**: Oh, yeah. No, no, absolutely. I can see that. Just trying to see how that moves depending on the shift in the curve.

**<A - Brian T. Moynihan>**: Well, because the future investment rate on the long-term rates as it comes down as the earlier caller talked about affects our yields on our securities portfolio going forward, so, yeah, as we reinvest \$20B plus a quarter. So I'll get Lee to call you afterwards and take you through that.

Company Name: Bank of America  
Company Ticker: BAC US  
Date: 2017-04-18  
Event Description: Q1 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 304,982.60  
Current PX: 29.83  
YTD Change(\$): +.31  
YTD Change(%): +1.050

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS  
Current Quarter: 0.592  
Current Year: 2.485  
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales  
Current Quarter: 22982.500  
Current Year: 92202.913

## Brian T. Moynihan

### *Closing Remarks*

Just to remind you this is a quarter where we showed a responsible growth coming through

Revenue growth of 7%, flat expenses, 700BPS of operating leverage, across our franchise good client growth in each of the business, and our asset quality remains strong

So we look forward to talking to you next quarter

*This transcript may not be 100 percent accurate and may contain misspellings and other inaccuracies. This transcript is provided "as is", without express or implied warranties of any kind. Bloomberg retains all rights to this transcript and provides it solely for your personal, non-commercial use. Bloomberg, its suppliers and third-party agents shall have no liability for errors in this transcript or for lost profits, losses, or direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or punitive damages in connection with the furnishing, performance or use of such transcript. Neither the information nor any opinion expressed in this transcript constitutes a solicitation of the purchase or sale of securities or commodities. Any opinion expressed in the transcript does not necessarily reflect the views of Bloomberg LP.*

© COPYRIGHT 2018, BLOOMBERG LP. All rights reserved. Any reproduction, redistribution or retransmission is expressly prohibited.