

Division of Mathematics and Computer Science, USC Upstate

**Rubric for evaluation of final oral presentations
CSCI U509: Introduction to IoT-Team Project, Spring 2020**

Please do not give a fraction, just an integer in total

Project Name: *Portable Outdoor Checker*

Categories (Weight)	GRADING CRITERIA				Weight	Final score
	Doesn't meet expectations (< 80)	Meet expectations (80-89)	Exceeds expectations (90-100)	Points (0-100)		
Presentation (20%)	Speakers seem disinterested in project, fail to capture attention of audience and did not observed time limits.	Speakers convey interest in project, audience shows interest in presentation; and observed time limits.	Use of visual aids with prototype to communicate final project. Speakers enthusiastic about project; engaging presentation, and observed time limits.	95	0.20	19
Preparation (20%)	Speakers unprepared; did not practice, relied heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers prepared for presentation, practiced, did not rely heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers well prepared and comfortable. Well-rehearsed with smooth transitions between speakers.	100	0.20	20
Project Demo, Technical knowledge and content (40%)	Project partially working or not working, speakers fail to demonstrate adequate technical understanding of concept and project.	Project partially or mostly working, speakers demonstrate sufficient technical understanding of problem and project.	Working project, speakers demonstrate thorough understanding of applicable engineering principles, use of good engineering concept from the course.	95	0.40	38
Handling of questions (20%)	Became defensive; did not convey understanding of problem or final demonstration.	Did not become defensive. Convinced audience they understood problem, and other issues. Answered questions appropriately.	Answered questions confidently. Convinced audience that they thoroughly researched problem and issues that affect project.	100	0.20	20

Comments:

TOTAL 97

Division of Mathematics and Computer Science, USC Upstate

Rubric for evaluation of final oral presentations
CSCI U509: Introduction to IoT-Team Project, Spring 2020

Please do not give a fraction, just an integer in total

Project Name: *Temp and Humidity*

Categories (Weight)	GRADING CRITERIA				Weight	Final score
	Doesn't meet expectations (< 80)	Meet expectations (80-89)	Exceeds expectations (90-100)	Points (0-100)		
Presentation (20%)	Speakers seem disinterested in project, fail to capture attention of audience and did not observed time limits.	Speakers convey interest in project, audience shows interest in presentation; and observed time limits.	Use of visual aids with prototype to communicate final project. Speakers enthusiastic about project; engaging presentation, and observed time limits.	90	0.20	18
Preparation (20%)	Speakers unprepared; did not practice, relied heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers prepared for presentation, practiced, did not rely heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers well prepared and comfortable. Well-rehearsed with smooth transitions between speakers.	95	0.20	19
Project Demo, Technical knowledge and content (40%)	Project partially working or not working, speakers fail to demonstrate adequate technical understanding of concept and project.	Project partially or mostly working, speakers demonstrate thorough understanding of applicable engineering principles, use of good engineering concept from the course.	Working project, speakers demonstrate sufficient technical understanding of problem and project.	90	0.40	36
Handling of questions (20%)	Became defensive; did not convey understanding of problem or final demonstration.	Did not become defensive. Convinced audience they understood problem, and other issues. Answered questions appropriately.	Answered questions confidently. Convinced audience that they thoroughly researched problem and issues that affect project.	100	0.20	20

Comments:

TOTAL 93

Division of Mathematics and Computer Science, USC Upstate

Rubric for evaluation of final oral presentations
CSCI U509: Introduction to IoT-Team Project, Spring 2020
Please do not give a fraction, just an integer in total

Project Name: *Mirror On the wall*

Categories (Weight)	GRADING CRITERIA				Weight	Final score
	Doesn't meet expectations (< 80)	Meet expectations (80-89)	Exceeds expectations (90-100)	Points (0-100)		
Presentation (20%)	Speakers seem disinterested in project, fail to capture attention of audience and did not observed time limits.	Speakers convey interest in project, audience shows interest in presentation; and observed time limits.	Use of visual aids with prototype to communicate final project. Speakers enthusiastic about project; engaging presentation, and observed time limits.	105	0.20	20
Preparation (20%)	Speakers unprepared; did not practice, relied heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers prepared for presentation, practiced, did not rely heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers well prepared and comfortable. Well-rehearsed with smooth transitions between speakers.	100	0.20	20
Project Demo, Technical knowledge and content (40%)	Project partially working or not working, speakers fail to demonstrate adequate technical understanding of concept and project.	Project partially or mostly working, speakers demonstrate thorough understanding of applicable engineering principles, use of good engineering concept from the course.	Working project, speakers demonstrate sufficient technical understanding of problem and project.	95	0.40	38
Handling of questions (20%)	Became defensive; did not convey understanding of problem or final demonstration.	Did not become defensive. Convinced audience they understood problem, and other issues. Answered questions appropriately.	Answered questions confidently. Convinced audience that they thoroughly researched problem and issues that affect project.	100	0.20	20

Comments:

TOTAL 98

Division of Mathematics and Computer Science, USC Upstate

**Rubric for evaluation of final oral presentations
CSCI U509: Introduction to IoT-Team Project, Spring 2020**
Please do not give a fraction, just an integer in total

Project Name: *Emotion*

Categories (Weight)	GRADING CRITERIA				Weight	Final score
	Doesn't meet expectations (< 80)	Meet expectations (80-89)	Exceeds expectations (90-100)	Points (0-100)		
Presentation (20%)	Speakers seem disinterested in project, fail to capture attention of audience and did not observed time limits.	Speakers convey interest in project, audience shows interest in presentation; and observed time limits.	Use of visual aids with prototype to communicate final project. Speakers enthusiastic about project; engaging presentation, and observed time limits.	85	0.20	17
Preparation (20%)	Speakers unprepared; did not practice, relied heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers prepared for presentation, practiced, did not rely heavily on notes, monitor, or screen.	Speakers well prepared and comfortable. Well-rehearsed with smooth transitions between speakers.	90	0.20	18
Project Demo, Technical knowledge and content (40%)	Project partially working or not working, speakers fail to demonstrate adequate technical understanding of concept and project.	Project partially or mostly working, speakers demonstrate sufficient technical understanding of problem and project.	Working project, speakers demonstrate thorough understanding of applicable engineering principles, use of good engineering concept from the course.	80	0.40	32
Handling of questions (20%)	Became defensive; did not convey understanding of problem or final demonstration.	Did not become defensive. Convinced audience they understood problem, and other issues. Answered questions appropriately.	Answered questions confidently. Convinced audience that they thoroughly researched problem and issues that affect project.	85	0.20	17

Comments:

TOTAL 84